FILED
JAMES W. GIACOMINO
CLERK, SUPERIOR COURT
11/19/2026 4:07:23 PM

ARIZONA SUPERIOR COURT, PIMA COUNTY

HON. JEFFREY T. BERGIN

CASE NO. C20268537

DATE: November 19, 2026

RANDALL GODFREY JR
Plaintiff

VS.

ARIZONA BOARD OF REGENTS
Defendant

R U L I N G

IN CHAMBERS RULING RE: PLAINTIFF'S EMERGENCY MOTION TO ENFORCE PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

The Court has considered Plaintiff's Emergency Motion to Enforce Preliminary Injunction, filed November 18, 2026. The motion was not available in the Court's file until November 19, 2026.

Plaintiff's motion seeks relief that exceeds both the scope and intent of the Court's November 17, 2026 Order granting Plaintiff's Application for Temporary Restraining Order and Motion for Preliminary Injunction.

In that Order, the Court directed Defendant, the University of Arizona acting through the Arizona Board of Regents, to immediately certify Plaintiff as eligible to compete in NCAA Division I men's basketball during the 2026-27 season pursuant to Bylaw 12.6 of the 2026-27 NCAA Division I Manual. The Court deliberately limited its ruling to the interpretation and application of Bylaw 12.6.

As discussed on the record at the conclusion of the hearing, Plaintiff acknowledged the existence of other independent issues affecting his eligibility, including his relationship with an agent who reportedly paid certain training expenses and his participation in an all-star game. The Court expressly recognized those issues as separate potential grounds affecting certification and specifically stated that its ruling did not address, resolve, or express any opinion regarding them. The Court's injunction was narrowly tailored to the issue presented and litigated before it: Plaintiff's eligibility under Bylaw 12.6.

Joyce Burbridge
Judicial Administrative Assistant

In the present motion, Plaintiff argues that the agent and all-star issues are not independent barriers to certification and that they are sufficiently related to the interpretation of Bylaw 12.6 to require unqualified certification of his eligibility. Plaintiff further contends that those issues do not constitute genuine impediments to certification.

These arguments were not presented to or decided by the Court when it issued the preliminary injunction. More importantly, the relief Plaintiff now seeks would require the Court to adjudicate matters that were intentionally excluded from its prior ruling. The Court recognizes that much of Plaintiff's frustration appears to stem not from actions taken by Defendant, but from the continued inaction of the non-party NCAA. Nevertheless, the existence of that frustration does not change that a motion to enforce is not a vehicle to enlarge the substance of an injunction or to obtain additional relief that was neither requested nor granted in the underlying order.

The Court's November 17, 2026 Order required certification only with respect to Plaintiff's eligibility under Bylaw 12.6. It did not resolve any other eligibility issues, nor did it require Defendant to disregard or waive any separate grounds that might independently affect Plaintiff's certification status. The Court declines Plaintiff's invitation to expand the scope of its injunction beyond the matters actually presented and decided.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED denying Plaintiff's November 18, 2026 Emergency Motion to Enforce Preliminary Injunction.

/s/
HON. JEFFREY T. BERGIN
(ID: 5d1d4011-ea37-46ba-9420-d352b6abe06d)

cc: Ali J. Farhang, Esq.
Brendan A Melander, Esq.
Michael P Harnden, Esq.
Ryan Downton, Esq.

Joyce Burbridge
Judicial Administrative Assistant