

WEATHER
Forecast for Tucson: Variable cloudiness continued mild.
Temperatures
Yesterday: High 44 Low 22
Year Ago: High 72 Low 39
By U.S. Weather Bureau

VOL. 118 NO. 43

'56 Looks Good, Ike Aide Says

Treasury Official In City For Brief Rest

After spending a brief vacation in Tucson where he sought a rest from his official duties, W. Randolph Burgess, under secretary of the treasury, will leave tomorrow morning for Washington. He has been vacationing at the Arizona Inn with his wife, the former Helen W. Burgess, who founded the former Arizona Inn in the Catalina foothills. Mrs. Burgess is still full of "Tucson" and her friends here. As a member of the "Little Cabinet" Burgess was asked the question of whether he will run.

"I would like to know myself," he answered, "I hope I will be as inclined to think as I will. What do you think?"

Who will run if he does not run?

"That's another thing I would like to know," he answered. "What about your boss, George Humphrey, secretary of the treasury?"

"He's the ablest man I know, and has more political sagacity than any one I know," he said. "I don't want to run, because he knows he is a symbol of his times."

What about Nixon or Gov. Herter of Massachusetts?

"Both are good men."

Are we going to have a tax reduction?

"That depends upon the total of the budget. If by May 1 the receipts indicate a large surplus of six or seven billion, we can make payments on the debt and give a small tax reduction. Above everything else we must maintain the stable purchasing power of the dollar."

Is there danger of inflation?

"There was last year, but it has been substantially corrected by self-correcting measures. The budget has been balanced and the increase in credit has leveled off. During 1955 we have been in good luck."

Any chance for a free market in gold?

"For a while. Our gold reserve is a world gold reserve. A free market in gold could do great harm to the finances of our allies."

Does not France have a free market?

"There are plenty of restrictions on that market."

Will there be an increase in the price of gold?

"No. There will not be. Our program is based on maintaining the price of gold and the current commodity price level."

What happens to social security funds that are collected to pay future liabilities?

"The only way they can be invested is in the obligations of our federal government."

Are we going to have a good year in 1956?

"I think that way. It may not be as big as 1955."

The reporter wanted to ask him did not, what will happen to the stock market if the dollar does not rise.

Hastily Assembled Armada Rescues 60 Fishermen

MUSKOGEE, Mich., Feb. 11.—A hastily assembled armada of boats Saturday rescued 60 fishermen from a crumbling log flow on the Muskegon Lake.

Volunteers, manned rowboats from a local ferry, a Coast Guard life boat and a fishing tug joined in the rescue.

The hours eluded rapidly across the widening beach to remove cut, but otherwise unhurt fishermen from the 15-acre fire that developed just west of Lake Michigan.

The rescue operation was completed in an hour and 15 minutes. Arthur Lincoln, a volunteer who lives near the lake, said, "I think the Washington, growing about struggle for control of the state's delegation to the Republican national convention."

The fishermen were trapped when an east wind pushed the log. It rapidly widened an open channel between them and the shore.

from Ash Wednesday until Easter Sunday

You'll be reading a series of 40 inspirational stories — one for each experience warmed by faith.

Watch for... Lenten Guideposts

Beginning Wednesday in the Arizona Daily Star

At Three-Quarter Mark Veteran Kroll Captures Lead In Tucson Open

Lead Kroll, a 36-year-old state veteran, still had the lead in the 1000-yard Tucson Open golf tournament.

The 28-year-old Kroll, who is a member of the Tucson Golf and Country Club, shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament. He shot a 68 today to lead the tournament.

Maclean, Burgess Show Up

Unveiling Of 2 Turncoats Due To Reds' Anti-West Strategy

Calculated To Stir Animosities

By TOM WHITNEY

AP Feature News Analysis

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

The unveiling in Moscow of Burgess and Maclean, like the unveiling of a new KGB, is a calculated move to stir animosities.

Ex-British Diplomats Tell All

Never Were Soviet Agents, They Say

MOSCOW, Feb. 11.—The Russians put the long-missing Donald D. Maclean and Guy Burgess on display at a news conference Saturday.

The two former British diplomats declared, in a statement broadcast in the world by Moscow radio, that they were Communists from college days and came to the Soviet Union to work for peace.

"We, neither of us, have ever been Soviet agents," the two men declared.

Both were foreign office clerks on British-American policy when they vanished mysteriously from Britain May 15, 1951.

The broadcast statement was their reply to a charge made in a British White Paper last year, while their whereabouts were still a matter of wide speculation, that they had spied western secrets for the Russians.

Both were foreign office clerks on British-American policy when they vanished mysteriously from Britain May 15, 1951.

The broadcast statement was their reply to a charge made in a British White Paper last year, while their whereabouts were still a matter of wide speculation, that they had spied western secrets for the Russians.

Both were foreign office clerks on British-American policy when they vanished mysteriously from Britain May 15, 1951.

The broadcast statement was their reply to a charge made in a British White Paper last year, while their whereabouts were still a matter of wide speculation, that they had spied western secrets for the Russians.

Both were foreign office clerks on British-American policy when they vanished mysteriously from Britain May 15, 1951.

The broadcast statement was their reply to a charge made in a British White Paper last year, while their whereabouts were still a matter of wide speculation, that they had spied western secrets for the Russians.

Both were foreign office clerks on British-American policy when they vanished mysteriously from Britain May 15, 1951.

The broadcast statement was their reply to a charge made in a British White Paper last year, while their whereabouts were still a matter of wide speculation, that they had spied western secrets for the Russians.

Both were foreign office clerks on British-American policy when they vanished mysteriously from Britain May 15, 1951.

The broadcast statement was their reply to a charge made in a British White Paper last year, while their whereabouts were still a matter of wide speculation, that they had spied western secrets for the Russians.

Both were foreign office clerks on British-American policy when they vanished mysteriously from Britain May 15, 1951.

The broadcast statement was their reply to a charge made in a British White Paper last year, while their whereabouts were still a matter of wide speculation, that they had spied western secrets for the Russians.

Both were foreign office clerks on British-American policy when they vanished mysteriously from Britain May 15, 1951.

The broadcast statement was their reply to a charge made in a British White Paper last year, while their whereabouts were still a matter of wide speculation, that they had spied western secrets for the Russians.

Both were foreign office clerks on British-American policy when they vanished mysteriously from Britain May 15, 1951.

The broadcast statement was their reply to a charge made in a British White Paper last year, while their whereabouts were still a matter of wide speculation, that they had spied western secrets for the Russians.

Both were foreign office clerks on British-American policy when they vanished mysteriously from Britain May 15, 1951.

The broadcast statement was their reply to a charge made in a British White Paper last year, while their whereabouts were still a matter of wide speculation, that they had spied western secrets for the Russians.

Both were foreign office clerks on British-American policy when they vanished mysteriously from Britain May 15, 1951.

The broadcast statement was their reply to a charge made in a British White Paper last year, while their whereabouts were still a matter of wide speculation, that they had spied western secrets for the Russians.

Both were foreign office clerks on British-American policy when they vanished mysteriously from Britain May 15, 1951.

The broadcast statement was their reply to a charge made in a British White Paper last year, while their whereabouts were still a matter of wide speculation, that they had spied western secrets for the Russians.

Both were foreign office clerks on British-American policy when they vanished mysteriously from Britain May 15, 1951.

The broadcast statement was their reply to a charge made in a British White Paper last year, while their whereabouts were still a matter of wide speculation, that they had spied western secrets for the Russians.

Both were foreign office clerks on British-American policy when they vanished mysteriously from Britain May 15, 1951.

The broadcast statement was their reply to a charge made in a British White Paper last year, while their whereabouts were still a matter of wide speculation, that they had spied western secrets for the Russians.

Both were foreign office clerks on British-American policy when they vanished mysteriously from Britain May 15, 1951.

The broadcast statement was their reply to a charge made in a British White Paper last year, while their whereabouts were still a matter of wide speculation, that they had spied western secrets for the Russians.

Both were foreign office clerks on British-American policy when they vanished mysteriously from Britain May 15, 1951.

The broadcast statement was their reply to a charge made in a British White Paper last year, while their whereabouts were still a matter of wide speculation, that they had spied western secrets for the Russians.

Both were foreign office clerks on British-American policy when they vanished mysteriously from Britain May 15, 1951.

The broadcast statement was their reply to a charge made in a British White Paper last year, while their whereabouts were still a matter of wide speculation, that they had spied western secrets for the Russians.

Both were foreign office clerks on British-American policy when they vanished mysteriously from Britain May 15, 1951.

The broadcast statement was their reply to a charge made in a British White Paper last year, while their whereabouts were still a matter of wide speculation, that they had spied western secrets for the Russians.

Both were foreign office clerks on British-American policy when they vanished mysteriously from Britain May 15, 1951.

The broadcast statement was their reply to a charge made in a British White Paper last year, while their whereabouts were still a matter of wide speculation, that they had spied western secrets for the Russians.

Both were foreign office clerks on British-American policy when they vanished mysteriously from Britain May 15, 1951.

The broadcast statement was their reply to a charge made in a British White Paper last year, while their whereabouts were still a matter of wide speculation, that they had spied western secrets for the Russians.

Both were foreign office clerks on British-American policy when they vanished mysteriously from Britain May 15, 1951.

The broadcast statement was their reply to a charge made in a British White Paper last year, while their whereabouts were still a matter of wide speculation, that they had spied western secrets for the Russians.

Both were foreign office clerks on British-American policy when they vanished mysteriously from Britain May 15, 1951.

Driving Storm Clamps Hold On Chicago

By ASSOCIATED PRESS

A driving storm, which began early today, has clamped down on Chicago, with heavy rain and strong winds.

A driving storm, which began early today, has clamped down on Chicago, with heavy rain and strong winds.

A driving storm, which began early today, has clamped down on Chicago, with heavy rain and strong winds.

A driving storm, which began early today, has clamped down on Chicago, with heavy rain and strong winds.

A driving storm, which began early today, has clamped down on Chicago, with heavy rain and strong winds.

A driving storm, which began early today, has clamped down on Chicago, with heavy rain and strong winds.

A driving storm, which began early today, has clamped down on Chicago, with heavy rain and strong winds.

A driving storm, which began early today, has clamped down on Chicago, with heavy rain and strong winds.

A driving storm, which began early today, has clamped down on Chicago, with heavy rain and strong winds.

A driving storm, which began early today, has clamped down on Chicago, with heavy rain and strong winds.

A driving storm, which began early today, has clamped down on Chicago, with heavy rain and strong winds.

A driving storm, which began early today, has clamped down on Chicago, with heavy rain and strong winds.

A driving storm, which began early today, has clamped down on Chicago, with heavy rain and strong winds.

A driving storm, which began early today, has clamped down on Chicago, with heavy rain and strong winds.

A driving storm, which began early today, has clamped down on Chicago, with heavy rain and strong winds.

A driving storm, which began early today, has clamped down on Chicago, with heavy rain and strong winds.