MICHAEL K. JEANES
Clerk of the Superior Court
By Clarissa Cruz, Deputy
Date 11/08/2017 Time 16:52:55
Description Amount
CIVIL NEW COMPLAINT 322.00 W

Receipt# 26253417

MARK BRNOVICH ATTORNEY GENERAL

Firm State Bar No. 14000

TAREN ELLIS LANGFORD

State Bar No. 022431

ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

400 W. Congress, South Bldg., Suite S-315

6 | Tucson, Arizona 85701-1367

Telephone: (520) 628-6504

Fax: (520) 628-6532 consumer@azag.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

In the Matter of

Tucson Tech College LTD; Sharon Kaye

Shalosky Pichotta, individually; Jeffrey T. Phichotta, individually; and Sharon Kaye

15 Shalosky and Jeffrey T. Pichotta, as a marital

community;

Respondents.

No: CV-2017-013523

ASSURANCE OF DISCONTINUANCE

The Attorney General of the State of Arizona (or the "State") and Respondents, Tucson Tech College LTD; Sharon Kaye Shalosky Pichotta, individually; Jeffrey T. Pichotta, individually; and Sharon Kaye Shalosky Pichotta and Jeffrey T. Pichotta, as a marital community, agree to the entry of the following Assurance of Discontinuance (the "Assurance"). Respondents have consented and stipulated to entry of the Assurance to compromise and settle allegations by the State of violations of the Arizona Consumer Fraud Act, Arizona Revised Statutes ("A.R.S.") §§ 44-1521 – 44-1534.

- 1. Respondent Tucson Tech College LTD ("Tucson Tech"), formerly known as Arizona Prep Sports Academy, was an Arizona, non-profit corporation.
 - 2. Respondent Tucson Tech offered a program for high school graduates who were

9

10

11

12

13

14

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

17

18

19

20

16

2122

23

2425

26

not selected to play football at NCAA Division I or Division II schools. Tucson Tech operated in Pima County, Arizona.

- 3. Respondent Sharon Kaye Shalosky Pichotta was the owner, Athletic Director, Admissions Person, Athletic Secretary, and Web Designer for Tucson Tech. She was responsible for the everyday running of the business, oversight of the athletic program, operations, marketing, strategy, financing, creation of Tucson Tech's culture, and compliance with safety regulations.
- 4. Respondent Jeffrey T. Pichotta was the Recruiter for Tucson Tech. He was responsible for planning and directing athlete recruitment, football team operations, and oversight of player training, player performance and assistant coaches.
- 5. Respondents Jeffrey T. Pichotta and Sharon Kaye Shalosky Pichotta are a marital community.
- 6. This Assurance does not represent a finding of law or fact, or any evidence supporting any such finding of law or fact by any court or agency that Respondents have engaged in any act or practice declared unlawful by any laws, rules, or regulations of Arizona.
 - 7. Respondents deny the Factual Allegations in this Assurance.

I. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1. The Arizona Attorney General has conducted an investigation, pursuant to A.R.S. section 18-545.
 - 2. The State has reason to believe:
 - a. Respondents arranged for consumers to lease apartments from The View at Catalina, in Tucson, Arizona, and consumers signed the leases as "a formality."
 - b. Respondents represented to consumers that Defendants would pay the rent required as part of the apartment leases in exchange for the consumers

24^{*}

participating in Defendants' program, but failed to pay the rent in a timely fashion which resulted in consumers receiving demand notices for delinquent rent payments.

3. Respondents' actions described in paragraph 2 violate the Arizona Consumer Fraud Act, A.R.S. §§ 44-1521 – 44-1534.

II. AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE PARTIES

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby agreed as follows:

- 4. Respondents, and their officers, agents, servants and employees and all persons in active concert or participation with them, shall not engage in the following activities without approval of their program from the Arizona State Board of Private Postsecondary Education:
 - a. Operate a program for high school graduates who were not selected to play
 a sport at NCAA Division I or Division II schools;
 - Manage a program for high school graduates who were not selected to play a sport at NCAA Division I or Division II schools;
 - c. Recruit high school graduates who were not selected to play a sport at NCAA Division I or Division II schools; and
 - d. Arrange housing for high school graduates.
- 5. Respondents shall dispose of all records that contain personally identifiable information in a manner that complies with all federal and state laws and that renders all personally identifiable information unreadable.
- 6. Respondents shall comply with the Arizona Consumer Fraud Act, A.R.S. §§ 44-1521 44-1534, as it is currently written, and as it may be amended.
- 7. Respondents shall pay to the Arizona Attorney General the amount of \$2,000 in consumer restitution, with interest thereon at 5.25 percent per annum until paid, to be deposited into an interest-bearing consumer restitution subaccount of the Consumer Restitution and Remediation Revolving Fund, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1531.02(B). Defendants shall pay #6207825

- 8. Respondents shall pay to the Arizona Attorney General the amount of \$3,600 in attorneys' fees and costs, with interest thereon at 5.25 percent to be deposited into the Consumer Protection Consumer Fraud Revolving Fund pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1531.01 and used in the sole discretion of the Attorney General for consumer protection investigative and enforcement operations and/or consumer fraud education. The attorneys' fees and costs are suspended until such time as Defendants (1) violate paragraphs 4 or 5 of this Assurance, or (2) default on any payment pursuant to paragraph 7 of this Assurance.
- 9. The State acknowledges by its execution hereof that this Assurance constitutes a complete settlement of the claims against Respondents arising from the allegations set forth in paragraph 2, and the State agrees not to institute any civil action against Respondents or their employees or agents for the violations of the Arizona Consumer Fraud Act, A.R.S. §§ 44-1521 44-1534, described herein, so long as Respondents comply with the provisions of this Assurance.
- 10. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the State may institute an action or proceeding to enforce the terms and provisions of this Assurance. Furthermore, nothing in this Assurance may be construed to prevent the State from instituting an action or proceeding based on future #6207825

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

25

26

conduct by Respondents.

- 11. This Assurance shall not be construed as an approval of or sanction by the Attorney General of Respondents' past, present, or future operations or business practices, and Respondents are prohibited from making any representations to the contrary.
- 12. If any portion of this Assurance is held invalid by operation of law, the remaining terms thereof shall not be affected and shall remain in full force and effect.
- 13. This Assurance represents the entire agreement between the parties, and there are no representations, agreements, arrangements, or understandings, oral or written, between the parties relating to the subject matter of the Assurance which are not fully expressed herein or attached hereto.
- 14. Respondents represent and warrant that the person signing below on its behalf is duly appointed and authorized to do so.
- 15. Respondents agree that this Assurance may be approved by and filed with the Superior Court of the State of Arizona without any further notice or hearing.
- 16. Respondents state that no promise of any kind or nature whatsoever was made to induce them to enter into this Assurance and declare that they have entered into this Assurance voluntarily.
- 17. Jurisdiction is retained by this Court for purposes of enabling enforcement of and determining Respondents' compliance with the Assurance.

Dated this 27th day of October, 2017.

MARK BRNOVICH ATTORNEY GENERAL Tucson Tech College LTD; Sharon Kaye Shalosky Pichotta; Jeffrey T. Phichotta

By: /s/ Taren Ellis Langford
Taren Ellis Langford
Assistant Attorney General
Attorneys for State of Arizona

By: /s/ Ruth Carter
Ruth Carter, Esq.
VENJURIS | Innovation Counsel
Attorney for Defendants

#6207825

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:

Tucson Tech College LTD

Sharon Kaye Shalosky Pichetta, Owner

#6207825