1 2 3	TODD KIM Assistant Attorney General United States Department of Justice Environment and Natural Resources Division	
4 5	RICKEY D. TURNER, JR. Senior Attorney (CO Bar No. 38353)	
-	Wildlife & Marine Resources Section	
6	999 18th Street, South Terrace, Suite 370 Denver, CO 80202	
7	Tel.: (303) 844-1373	
8 9	Fax: (303) 844-1350 rickey.turner@usdoj.gov	
10	PAUL A. TURCKE Trial Attorney (ID Bar No. 4759)	
11	Natural Resources Section	
12	P.O. Box 7611 Washington, D.C. 20044	
13	Tel.: (202) 353-1389 Fax: (202) 305-0275	
14	paul.turcke@usdoj.gov	
15	Counsel for Defendants	
16 17	IN THE UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
18	FOR THE DISTRICT	OF ARIZONA
19		
20	Western Watersheds Project, et al.,	No. CV-20-0149-TUC-JGZ
21	Plaintiffs,	
22	V.	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
23	••	
24	Anthony (Scott) Feldhausen, et al.,	
25	Defendants.	
26		
27		
28		
	SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT – 1	

1	This stipulated Settlement Agreement ("Agreement") is entered into by and
2	between Plaintiffs Western Watersheds Project, Center for Biological Diversity, and
3 4	Sierra Club ("Plaintiffs"); and Defendants United States Bureau of Land Management
5	("BLM"); Anthony (Scott) Feldhausen, BLM Gila District Manager; Raymond Suazo,
6	BLM Arizona State Director; United States Fish and Wildlife Service ("FWS"); Mark
7	Lamb, Acting Arizona Field Supervisor; and Martha Williams, FWS Director;
8 9	(collectively, the "Parties"), who, by and through their undersigned counsel, state as
10	follows:
11	WHEREAS, in 2019, BLM approved a Final Environmental Impact Statement
12	("FEIS"), Record of Decision ("ROD"), and Approved Resource Management Plan
13 14	("RMP") for the San Pedro Riparian National Conservation Area ("SPRNCA"), adopting
14	certain management provisions for livestock grazing and vegetation treatments;
16	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed this action on April 7, 2020, and amended their
17	complaint on June 22, 2020, alleging that BLM's adoption of the RMP violated the
18	National Environmental Policy Act ("NEPA"), 42 U.S.C. § 4321 et seq., the Federal
19 20	Land Policy and Management Act ("FLPMA"), 43 U.S.C. § 1701 et seq., and the
21	Arizona-Idaho Conservation Act ("AICA"), 16 U.S.C. § 460xx et seq.;
22	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs further allege that FWS violated the Endangered Species
23	Act ("ESA"), 16 U.S.C. § 1531 et seq., by adopting an insufficient Biological Opinion,
24 25	by failing to ensure against jeopardy and take of certain listed species within SPRNCA,
26	and by failing to properly complete consultation on the effects of the RMP;
27	WHEREAS, BLM is conducting project-level analysis of existing grazing leases
28	
	SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT – 2 Western Watersheds Project, et al. v. Feldhausen, et al., No. CV-20-0149-TUC-JGZ

1	within SPRNCA, and through that allotment lease planning process will determine
2	whether to authorize continuing livestock grazing and, if so, any applicable terms and
3 4	conditions of such use;
5	WHEREAS, Defendants deny any violation of law; and
6	WHEREAS, the Parties have explored options for resolving this litigation, and
7	have determined that the Agreement will allow BLM to address the alleged violations of
8 9	law, while conserving the resources of the Parties and the Court.
10	NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties hereby stipulate and agree as follows:
11	1. BLM will address existing and continuing BLM-managed grazing within
12	SPRNCA, which occurs only on the Babocomari, Brunckow Hill, Three Brothers, and
13	Lucky Hills Allotments, through the SPRNCA allotment lease planning process. See
14 15	https://eplanning.blm.gov/eplanning-ui/project/2013674/510.
16	2. Defendants will document that any decisions relating to livestock grazing
17	on the four allotments or otherwise implementing RMP direction relating to livestock
18	grazing comply with applicable law, to include but not necessarily be limited to, NEPA,
19 20	FLPMA, AICA, and the ESA, and including by taking the actions described in the
21	remainder of this section.
22	a. Defendants will document that any such decisions are consistent with
23 24	AICA's command to "manage the [SPRNCA] in a manner that conserves, protects, and
25	enhances the [SPRNCA] and the aquatic, wildlife, archeological, paleontological,
26	scientific, cultural, educational, and recreational resources" of the SPRNCA and that
27 28	authorized uses, if any, "will further the primary purposes for which the [SPRNCA] is
_0	SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT – 3 Western Watersheds Project, et al. v. Feldhausen, et al., No. CV-20-0149-TUC-JGZ

¹ established." *See* 16 U.S.C. § 460xx-1.

13

- b. Defendants will prepare a Biological Assessment and Biological Opinion to
 the extent required under Section 7 of the ESA, 16 U.S.C. § 1536, examining the impacts
 of BLM's proposed action in the SPRNCA allotment lease planning process on Huachuca
 water umbel, southwestern willow flycatcher, desert pupfish, Gila topminnow, northern
 Mexican gartersnake, yellow-billed cuckoo, and Arizona eryngo, as well as proposed and
 Designated Critical Habitat.
- In the course of conducting the SPRNCA allotment lease planning process,
 BLM agrees to consider a "no grazing" alternative that would prohibit livestock grazing
 on BLM-managed lands in the SPRNCA.
- 4. BLM agrees to complete and issue a final decision or decisions in the
 aforementioned SPRNCA allotment lease planning process within seven months of the
 date that this Agreement is fully executed, or within eight months of the date that this
 Agreement is fully executed for any allotments for which BLM receives a protest to the
 associated proposed decision.
- If BLM finds, in the SPRNCA allotment lease planning process or 5. 20 21 otherwise, that livestock grazing does not meet the AICA statutory criteria set forth in 16 22 U.S.C. § 460xx-1, then BLM will amend the SPRNCA RMP. BLM further agrees to 23 complete such an amendment within eighteen months of making the relevant finding. 24 6. During the completion of the SPRNCA allotment lease planning process 25 and up to the point that any decision(s) are finalized in that process, BLM agrees: (a) to 26 27 seek voluntary agreement from the lessees (i) to limit livestock grazing on the BLM 28

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT – 4 Western Watersheds Project, et al. v. Feldhausen, et al., No. CV-20-0149-TUC-JGZ

1	portion of the River Pasture of the Babocomari Allotment to the winter months and (ii) to
2	not use the riparian portion of the Brunckow Allotment; and (b) to inspect, maintain, and
3	
4	repair, as BLM deems appropriate, allotment pasture fences on BLM-managed land to
5	include (i) fencing on the western boundary of the Three Brothers and Lucky Hills
6	Allotments; (ii) fencing parallel to the San Pedro River in the Southwest Pasture of the
7	Brunckow Hill Allotment; and (iii) internal fences in the River Pasture of the Babocomari
8	Allotment.
9	
10	7. During the completion of the SPRNCA allotment lease planning process
11	and up to the point that any decision(s) are finalized in that process, BLM further agrees
12	to undertake certain measures to address unauthorized and/or trespass grazing on BLM-
13 14	managed lands within the four SPRNCA Allotments, including:
14	(a) to receive information regarding alleged unauthorized and/or trespass
16	grazing submitted to the Tucson office email (BLM_AZ_TFOWEB@blm.gov) by
17	Plaintiffs, and—within a reasonable time following receipt of reasonable
18	allegations demonstrating that acts prohibited on public lands under 43 C.F.R. §
19	
20	4140.1 have occurred on any of the SPRNCA allotments subject to this
21	Agreement—to determine whether a prohibited act as defined under 43 C.F.R. §
22	4140.1 has, in fact, occurred, and to determine whether any and all violations are
23	nonwillful, willful, or repeatedly willful under 43 C.F.R. § 4150;
24	nonwinitui, winitui, or repeatedry winitur under 45 C.I. IX. § 4150,
25	(b) to acknowledge the receipt of any such information via email within
26	three business days, and provide Plaintiffs notice of any action taken to address the
27	reported trespass grazing within three business days of such action;
28	
	SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT – 5

1	(c) to maintain fencing and remove cattle from areas closed to grazing as
2	appropriate, in any event to be not less than twice a year, and as otherwise needed;
3	and
4	(d) if the measures in paragraphs $7(a) - (c)$ do not eliminate unauthorized
5	
6	and/or trespass grazing in SPRNCA, to consider initiating administrative
7	enforcement proceedings pursuant to 43 C.F.R. Subpart 4150, which may include
8 9	impoundment and disposal under 43 C.F.R. § 4150.4, if appropriate.
10	8. BLM agrees that any decision authorizing any livestock grazing or
11	vegetation treatment must comply with applicable law, to include but not necessarily be
12	limited to, NEPA, FLPMA, AICA, and the ESA.
13	
14	9. In exchange for the consideration set forth herein, Plaintiffs agree to
15	dismiss without prejudice their First through Fifth Claims for Relief in the First Amended
16	Complaint. Within five days of the execution of this Agreement, the Parties agree to
17	submit a stipulation of dismissal without prejudice, along with a copy of this Agreement.
18 19	10. Plaintiffs' sole remedy for any dispute concerning the adequacy of any
20	decision issued under paragraphs 4 or 5, including the application of the terms of
21	paragraphs 2 or 3 in any such decision(s), shall be to seek administrative review or to file
22	a new civil action seeking judicial review under the Administrative Procedure Act
23	("APA"), 5 U.S.C. §§ 701-06, or other applicable statute. Plaintiffs' sole remedy for
24	
25	BLM's alleged non-compliance with this Agreement shall be to move for an order
26	compelling compliance (a) in the event BLM abdicates or fails to address its
27	commitments concerning interim measures specified in paragraphs 6 and 7, or (b) in the
28	SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT – 6

1	event BLM fails to comply with the timeframes in paragraphs 4 and 5. In no event shall
2	any term of this Agreement be construed as limiting any claims or defenses that Plaintiffs
3	
4	or Defendants may raise in any such subsequent proceedings.

11. The Court shall retain jurisdiction over this Agreement for the purpose of 5 6 resolving any dispute that may arise under Paragraphs 10(a) and 10(b). Any such dispute 7 shall be resolved through the process set forth in paragraphs 11.a-c. The Court's 8 continuing jurisdiction shall continue until BLM's issuance of the final decisions 9 contemplated in paragraph 4 or completion of an RMP amendment under paragraph 5, if 10 11 appropriate, whichever is later, unless the parties have commenced the dispute resolution 12 process described below.

a. The parties agree that they will first attempt to resolve any disputes related
 to non-compliance informally among themselves before invoking the jurisdiction of the
 Court. If such a dispute arises, the complaining party shall notify the other parties in
 writing of the dispute. If the parties do not reach a resolution within 30 days, the
 complaining party may invoke the jurisdiction of the Court to resolve the dispute, as set
 forth in paragraph 11.b.

13

b. In the event the parties are unable to resolve a dispute regarding allegations
 of non-compliance through informal means, Plaintiffs may move for an order compelling
 compliance as contemplated in paragraph 10, in accordance with the procedures set forth
 below:

26 (i) The complaining party shall file a motion, in accordance with the Local
 27 Rules of this Court, requesting judicial resolution of the dispute. The parties may, by
 28

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT – 7 Western Watersheds Project, et al. v. Feldhausen, et al., No. CV-20-0149-TUC-JGZ

1 stipulation approved by the Court, alter the timetable for briefing the motion; otherwise, 2 briefing shall proceed as set forth in the Local Rules.

3

(ii) In exercising the retained jurisdiction to resolve disputes brought before the 4 Court, the Court shall limit its remedial relief to compelling agency action under 5 6 appropriate timeframes to achieve the purposes of this Agreement. The Court's decision 7 as to whether relief is appropriate should be guided by the following non-exhaustive list 8 of considerations: (1) the appropriate timing for compliance with paragraphs 4 and 5 9 must be governed by a rule of reason; (2) the reasons for the delay, taking into account 10 11 that BLM is a land management agency with numerous competing priorities and limited 12 resources; and (3) the nature and extent of the interests prejudiced by delay. Defendants 13 shall not be deemed to have breached this Agreement for any failure or delay in fulfilling 14 or performing any term of this Agreement (except for obligations under Paragraph 15) 15 16 when and to the extent such failure or delay is caused by or results from acts beyond 17 Defendants' reasonable control, including, without limitation, the following force 18 majeure events that frustrate the purpose of this Agreement: (a) inadequate appropriations 19 or funding (including due to sequestration); (b) supply-chain disruptions or other 20 21 complications preventing acquisition of supplies, equipment or labor; (c) wildfires and 22 other natural disasters (which may require temporary reassignment of staff); and (d) other 23 similar events beyond Defendants' reasonable control. In the event of any delay, 24 Defendants shall notify Plaintiffs and the Court as promptly as possible, describing the 25 26 force majeure event, Defendants' response to the force majeure event, and an estimated 27 date for compliance. 28

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT - 8

1	c. The parties agree that contempt of court is not available as a remedy for any
2	alleged violation of any portion of this Agreement. The parties therefore knowingly
3 4	waive any right that they might have to seek an order for contempt for any such violation.
5	The parties also agree that a suit for monetary damages against BLM or any Defendant is
6	not available as a remedy for any alleged violation of any portion of this Agreement.
7	12. Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed to limit or modify the
8 9	discretion accorded Defendants by any applicable federal law or regulation, including the
10	APA, NEPA, FLPMA, AICA, the ESA, and their implementing regulations.
11	13. Nothing in this Agreement shall be interpreted as, or shall constitute, a
12	requirement that Defendants are obligated to pay any funds exceeding those available or
13 14	take any action in contravention of the Anti-Deficiency Act, 31 U.S.C. §§ 1341-1342,
14	1511-1519, or any other applicable appropriations law.
16	14. The parties agree that this Agreement was negotiated in good faith and that
17	this Agreement constitutes a settlement of claims that were denied and disputed by
18 19	Defendants. By entering into this Agreement, the parties do not waive any claim or
20	defense.
21	15. Plaintiffs agree to accept payment of \$150,000 in full satisfaction of any
22	and all claims for attorneys' fees and costs of litigation to which Plaintiffs are entitled in
23	this matter through and including the date of this Agreement. Defendants' payment shall
24 25	be accomplished by an electronic transfer to a bank account, and Plaintiffs agree within
26	five (5) days of executing this Agreement to designate a payee and provide sufficient
27	information to Defendants to facilitate this transfer. Plaintiffs acknowledge that under 31
28	SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT – 9
	Western Watersheds Project, et al. v. Feldhausen, et al., No. CV-20-0149-TUC-JGZ

1	U.S.C. §§ 3711, 3716; 26 U.S.C. § 6402(d); 31 C.F.R. §§ 285.5, 901.3; and other
2	authorities, that the United States will offset against the attorney fee award the delinquent
3	debts of Western Watersheds Project, Center for Biological Diversity, and Sierra Club
4	debts of Western Watersheds Froject, Center for Diological Diversity, and Sterra Club
5	owed to the United States, if any. See Astrue v. Ratliff, 560 U.S. 586 (2010). Plaintiffs
6	acknowledge that the above-referenced payee shall receive payment on behalf of all
7	Plaintiffs, and agree that the payee's receipt of this payment from Defendants shall
8 9	operate as a release of Plaintiffs' claims for attorneys' fees and costs in this matter up to,
-	through and including the data of this A mean ant
10	through, and including the date of this Agreement.
11	16. The undersigned representatives of each party certify that they are fully
12	authorized by the party or parties they represent to agree to the terms and conditions of
13 14	this Agreement and do hereby agree to the terms herein.
14	Dated: August 1, 2022 Respectfully Submitted,
16	
	/s/ Todd C. Tucci (with consent)
17	Todd C. Tucci, pro hac vice
18	Idaho State Bar # 6526
10	ADVOCATES FOR THE WEST
19	P.O. Box 1612
20	Boise, ID 83701
21	(208) 342-7024 ttuesi@advaget.erg
21	ttucci@advocateswest.org
22	Counsel for Plaintiffs
23	TODD KIM
24	Assistant Attorney General
25	United States Department of Justice
26	Environment and Natural Resources Division
27	RICKEY D. TURNER, JR.
27	Senior Attorney (CO Bar No. 38353)
28	Wildlife & Marine Resources Section
	SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT – 10 Western Watersheds Project, et al. v. Feldhausen, et al., No. CV-20-0149-TUC-JGZ
	n colorn in aleroneus 1 rojeel, el al. v. 1 elandasen, el al., 190. C v -20-0179-10C-JOZ

	Case 4:20-cv-00149-JGZ Document 50-1 Filed 08/01/22 Page 11 of 11	
1 2	999 18th Street, South Terrace, Suite 370 Denver, CO 80202 (303) 844 1373	
3	(303) 844-1373 rickey.turner@usdoj.gov	
4	/s/ Paul A. Turcke	
5	PAUL A. TURCKE	
6	Trial Attorney (ID Bar No. 4759) Natural Resources Section	
7	P.O. Box 7611 Washington, D.C. 20044-7611	
8	(202) 353-1389	
9	paul.turcke@usdoj.gov	
10	Counsel for Defendants	
11		
12	OF COUNSEL:	
13	Rachel Kent	
14	Attorney Advisor U.S. Department of the Interior, Office of the Solicitor	
15	Intermountain Region, Phoenix Field Office (602) 364-7891	
16	rachel.kent@sol.doi.gov	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT – 11 Western Watersheds Project, et al. v. Feldhausen, et al., No. CV-20-0149-TUC-JGZ	