

1 **RYAN W. STITT**
2 California State Bar No. 273651
3 **TOMMY H. VU**
4 California State Bar No. 273760
5 **STITT VU TRIAL LAWYERS APC**
6 185 W F St., Ste. 100-K
7 San Diego, California 92101
8 Telephone: (619) 255-0553
9 rstitt@stittvu.com
10 tvu@stittvu.com

11
12 **TIMOTHY A. SCOTT**
13 California State Bar No. 215074
14 **MARCUS S. BOURASSA**
15 California State Bar No. 316125
16 **MCKENZIE SCOTT PC**
17 1350 Columbia Street, Suite 600
18 San Diego, California 92101
19 Telephone: (619) 794-0451
20 tscott@mckenziescott.com
21 mbourassa@mckenziescott.com

22 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

23
24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
25
26 DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

27
28 ANNETTE MATTIA; FLOYD
1 MATTIA; MITCHELL MATTIA;
2 FRED MATTIA; DELORES
3 HEREDRIA; ARIEL MATTIA; and
4 RAYMOND MATTIA JR., in their
5 individual capacities, and RAYMOND
6 MATTIA JR. as Estate Representative
7 on behalf of the Estate of RAYMOND
8 MATTIA,

9 Plaintiffs,

10 v.

11
12 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA;
13 SCOTT WHITEHOUSE; DAN
14 SIFUENTES; IVAN TORRALVA;
15 DOE BORDER PATROL AGENTS 4-
16 30, INDIVIDUALLY AND IN THEIR
17 OFFICIAL CAPACITIES, inclusive,

18 Defendants.

Case No.: 4:24-cv-00252-RM

19
20 **FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT
21 FOR:**

22
23 **1) *Bivens*: Excessive Force;**
24 **2) *Bivens*: Familial Association;**
25 **3) FTCA: Assault;**
26 **4) FTCA: Battery;**
27 **5) FTCA: Negligence;**
28 **6) FTCA: Wrongful Death;**
1 **7) FTCA: Intentional Infliction of
2 Emotional Distress; and**
3 **8) FTCA: Aggravated Negligence.**

4
5 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL ON
6 NON-FTCA CLAIMS**

1
2 **I. INTRODUCTION.**

3 1. This action seeks justice for Raymond Mattia, who was unarmed in front of his
4 home, when Border Patrol Agents Scott Whitehouse, Dan Sifuentes, and Ivan
5 Torralva shot and killed Raymond for no reason.



16

17 2. On May 18, 2023, Agents arrived at Mr. Mattia's home at about 9:30 p.m. in
18 response to a call saying that someone heard what sounded like two gunshots
19 about thirty minutes prior.

20 3. The dispatcher reported two "shots being heard" but that "[n]obody can pinpoint
21 where it came from."

22 4. Agents believed the shots may have been from a rifle, but at no point did the
23 Agents hear shots fired, see a rifle, or observe any signs of distress upon their
24 arrival in the area about 30 minutes after the reported shots.

25 5. A group of Agents approached Mr. Mattia's home and Mr. Mattia exited to greet
26 them. At least one of the agents was pointing a gun at Mr. Mattia from the
27 moment they saw him and before they even spoke.

28 6. Mr. Mattia was carrying a sheathed hunting knife. One of the Agents told him

1 to drop it and he complied - tossing it underhand (and sheathed) away from
2 himself in a non-threatening manner.

3 7. Despite Mr. Mattia's compliance and non-threatening demeanor, the other
4 agents drew their weapons and screamed conflicting and frantic commands.

5 8. One agent yelled “[g]et on your fucking face.”

6 9. Another ordered Mr. Mattia to “[p]ut your hands out of your fucking pocket.”

7 10. Mr. Mattia's right hand was in his jacket pocket, and he complied by removing
8 his hand from his pocket toward his right side and away from the Agents.

9 11. Mr. Mattia was holding a cellphone in his hand.

10 12. Mr. Mattia was fully compliant, unarmed and posed no threat to the Agents or
11 anyone else. There was no evidence Mr. Mattia had been the subject of the
12 earlier call or otherwise concealing evidence of any crime.

13 13. Despite the lack of a threat and his compliance, at least three of the Agents met
14 his compliant calm demeanor with a hail of gunfire, including Border Patrol
15 Agent Scott Whitehouse, Border Patrol Agent Dan Sifuentes, and Border Patrol
16 Agent Ivan Torralva all fired their weapons at Mr. Mattia.

17 14. Agents ordered him to drop the sheathed knife – which he did. Seconds later
18 they ordered him to take his hand out of his pocket – which he did. In response,
19 the agents immediately killed him.

20 15. The agents shot and killed Mr. Mattia in his own front yard almost immediately
21 after exiting his home to greet them.

22 **II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE.**

23 16. This is a civil action where jurisdiction is founded on a federal question under
24 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

25 17. Plaintiffs' claims arise in this judicial district where the events giving rise to the
26 Complaint occurred, namely Menagers Dam Village in the Tohono O'odham
27 Nation, which is situated in the District of Arizona.

28 18. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the District of Arizona

1 under 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

2 19. Plaintiffs timely served Defendants with an administrative claim under 28
3 U.S.C. §§ 1346, and 2671-80 (the Federal Tort Claims Act), on or about
4 November 15, 2023. The United States denied the claims on or about February
5 23, 2024.

6 **III. PARTIES.**

7 20. Plaintiffs are Mr. Mattia's siblings and children. Specifically:

- 8 a. Annette Mattia is Mr. Mattia's sister.
- 9 b. Floyd Mattia is Mr. Mattia's brother.
- 10 c. Mitchell Mattia is Mr. Mattia's brother.
- 11 d. Fred Mattia is Mr. Mattia's brother.
- 12 e. Delores Heredria is Mr. Mattia's sister.
- 13 f. Ariel Mattia is Mr. Mattia's daughter.
- 14 g. Raymond Mattia Jr. is Mr. Mattia's son.

15 21. Each plaintiff loved Raymond, had an intimate familial relationship with
16 Raymond and was harmed by his death, which has left a hole in their family.

17 22. Raymond Mattia's children bring claims individually and as successors in
18 interest for the Estate of Raymond Mattia. Further, Raymond Mattia Jr. has been
19 duly appointed as the Personal Representative of the Estate of Raymond Mattia
20 by The Judicial Court of the Tohono O'odham Nation in Case Number AV2024-
21 0138. Mr. Mattia Jr. brings all claims on behalf of the Estate in his capacity as
22 the Estate Representative.

23 23. At all times relevant herein, Border Patrol was a sub-agency of the Department
24 of Homeland Security, which in turn is an agency of the Defendant United States
25 of America.

26 24. Border Patrol Agents Scott Whitehouse, Dan Sifuentes and Ivan Torralva were
27 officers and/or agents of the Border Patrol. At all times herein, these Defendants
28 were acting in an official capacity and under the color of law.

1 25. Defendants Doe Border Patrol Agents 4-30 (“Doe Agent(s”), at all times
 2 relevant herein, were officers and/or agents of the Border Patrol. The true names
 3 of these agents are currently unknown. These Defendants were on duty on May
 4 18, 2023, and responded to Raymond Mattia’s home. These Defendants include,
 5 but are not limited to, the Border Patrol Agents who shot at and killed Raymond
 6 Mattia. At all times herein, these Defendants were acting in an official capacity
 7 and under the color of law.

8 **IV. FACTS SUPPORTING CAUSES OF ACTION.**

9 26. On May 18, 2023, a Tohono O’odham Police Department (“TOPD”) despatcher
 10 contacted Border Patrol and requested assistance for a report of two shots fired
 11 in the area of Menagers Dam Village at about 9:00 p.m.

12 27. Menagers Dam Village is in the Tohono O’odham Nation. It is a small rural
 13 town about 100 miles southwest of the Tucson airport and about a mile north of
 14 the international border with Mexico.

15 28. The dispatcher reported that the shots were heard, they were believed to be from
 16 a rifle, and “[n]obody can pinpoint where it came from.”

17 29. Border Patrol Agents agreed to respond and arrived in Menagers Dam Village
 18 at about 9:30 p.m.

19 **A. From Its Inception, the Large Group Investigation Lacked a
 20 Reasonable Plan and the Agents’ Eagerness to Employ Violence
 21 Posed an Immense Danger to Mr. Mattia’s Community.**

22 30. Agents arrived at the Menagers Dam Village Recreational Center and met with
 23 at least one TOPD Officer. One of the Officers stated that they were looking for
 24 someone who had fired a rifle and that “[i]t’s going to be a little bit of a guessing
 25 game trying to find [the suspect.]” He followed up by saying “I don’t know
 26 exactly where that motherfucker’s at.”

27 31. At no point during the meeting at the Recreation Center did any of the Officers
 28 or Agents overhear shots being fired or hear anyone in distress. It was a quiet,
 clear night.

1 32. After conferring at the Menagers Dam Village Recreational Center with TOPD
2 about the gunshot sounds heard in the vague direction of half the town
3 approximately 30 minutes earlier, the Agents lacked even reasonable suspicion
4 from which to detain anyone in the region of Mr. Mattia's home, let alone detain
5 Mr. Mattia personally or use *any* force against him. The Agents lacked adequate
6 information to support an arrest or a criminal charge against anyone. Nor did the
7 Agents have any reasonable basis to believe members of the public were actively
8 in danger or that there was any emergency or exigency necessitating a hurried
9 intervention.

10 33. Nonetheless, the Agents donned body armor, assault rifles and night vision
11 goggles and headed out to in search of an unidentified suspect.

12 34. The Agents, including at least Does Four through Seven and Agents
13 Whitehouse, Sifuentes and Torralva, approached the area of Mr. Mattia's
14 neighbor's home in a convoy of approximately seven vehicles.

15 35. On information and belief, the Agents did not have a particular investigative
16 plan beyond exploring a wide area and seeing whether they could find anything
17 or anyone of interest.

18 36. After exploring the area of several other homes and buildings for several
19 minutes, the Agents had no new information, no new investigative leads, and no
20 new suspects.

21 37. Agents in the vicinity of Mr. Mattia's home wandered and spread out across a
22 large, dark and rural area shining flashlights into windows and randomly
23 exploring.

24 38. They did not hear gunshots. They did not hear screams. They did not hear calls
25 for help. They did not seek or encounter any complaining witnesses or victims.
26 They observed no guns, found no spent ammunition, and found no sign that
27 anything unusual (let alone criminal) had happened in the area.

28 39. After several minutes exploring the neighborhood and surrounding yards and

wilderness, a TOPD officer and Agents, including Agents Whitehouse, Sifuentes and Torralva approached Raymond Mattia's home.

B. The Agents Immediately Resort to Threatening, Then Using, Deadly Force Against a Cooperative Man in Front of His House.

5 40. The Agents had no specific, articulable suspicion of Mr. Mattia (or any
6 particular person in his neighborhood).

7 41. None of the Agents had any reasonable basis to fear Mr. Mattia posed a danger
8 to anyone.

9 42. At all relevant times, Mr. Mattia was calm, cooperative, compliant, and
10 immediately obeying commands.

11 43. At no time did Mr. Mattia say or do anything that a reasonable person could
12 interpret as threatening or dangerous.

13 44. Given how little they knew of any alleged crime and the absence of suspicion,
14 Agents' only lawful investigative tool while interacting with Mr. Mattia would
15 have been a consensual encounter and possible interview as a witness to the
16 reported noises in the region earlier.

17 45. Nonetheless, an Agent drew a handgun and immediately aimed it at Raymond
18 Mattia as he approached Mr. Mattia's home and before he uttered a word.

19 46. Mr. Mattia likely heard unidentified people wandering in the dark outside his
20 home.

21 47. Mr. Mattia exited the home and stood directly in front of his home to determine
22 who was outside and to greet the agents. When he exited, Mr. Mattia had his
23 hand in his pocket and was holding a sheathed hunting knife.

24 48. At least three Agents, a TOPD officer and possibly others shined bright
25 flashlights on Mr. Mattia and in his eyes.

26 49. On information and belief, Mr. Mattia could not immediately identify the
27 Agents or TOPD officer outside his home as members of law enforcement.

28 50. On information and belief, none of the Agents or TOPD identified themselves

1 to Mr. Mattia or explained their reason for coming to his home.

2 51. When abruptly ordered to drop the sheathed knife, Mr. Mattia used a non-
3 threatening, underhanded toss to surrender the sheathed knife to TOPD and the
4 Agents.

5 52. Mr. Mattia was not holding a rifle or any type of weapon and was fully,
6 immediately compliant with all instructions.

7 53. After surrendering the sheathed knife, Mr. Mattia did not pose any reasonable
8 danger to the Agents or anyone else. He was alone, unarmed, calm, and
9 following commands.

10 54. However, the Agent did not lower or holster his firearm.

11 55. None of the Agents present intervened to stop the Agent from threatening
12 deadly force against a compliant member of the public.

13 56. Instead, two other Agents, also drew their firearms and aimed them at Mr.
14 Mattia such that, Agents Whitehouse, Sifuentes and Torralva, and possibly
15 others had guns drawn and were preparing to use deadly force.

16 57. One of the Agents ordered Mr. Mattia to comply with commands and he
17 responded by saying, "I am."

18 58. One of the Doe Agents, on information and belief, Agent Whitehouse, Sifuentes
19 or Torralva, screamed at Mr. Mattia to "[g]et on your fucking face."

20 59. Another Agent yelled a conflicting command and told Mr. Mattia to "[p]ut your
21 hands out of your fucking pocket."

22 60. Mr. Mattia complied and promptly removed his right hand from his jacket
23 pocket.

24 61. The Agents, including Agents Whitehouse, Sifuentes and Torralva, could see
25 Mr. Mattia clearly as he removed his hand from his pocket away from his body.

26 62. Nothing about Mr. Mattia's removal of his hand from his pocket or movements
27 was threatening or could reasonably place the Agents in fear for themselves or
28 public safety.

1 63. Mr. Mattia did not move his hand toward the Agents or assume a firing position.

2 64. Mr. Mattia held only a cellphone in his hand when he complied with commands

3 by removing it from his pocket.

4 65. Mr. Mattia was not reasonably suspected of any crime, was unarmed, was

5 compliant, and immediately obeyed the Agents commands despite having little

6 opportunity to evaluate who they were or determine whether they were even

7 members of law enforcement.

8 66. There was no reasonable basis for aiming a weapon at Mr. Mattia or even for

9 detaining him in connection with the Agents' investigation.

10 67. Nonetheless, after Mr. Mattia removed his hand from his pocket, as instructed,

11 Agents Whitehouse, Sifuentes and Torralva, (and possibly others) immediately

12 opened fire.

13 68. The Agents fired dozens of rounds.

14 69. Each and every round fired was unreasonable and was not based upon a real

15 threat to public safety or even a reasonable fear for public safety.

16 70. Mr. Mattia suffered nine or ten gunshot wounds.

17 71. Mr. Mattia was pronounced dead on the scene.

18 72. Annette Mattia lives next to Raymond Mattia's home, and she was in her home

19 when the Agents fired dozens of rounds, killing her brother.

20 73. Annette Mattia overheard the shooting.

21 74. She could have been struck by the shots fired by Border Patrol Agents, and she

22 suffered severe emotional distress at hearing her brother killed and fearing for

23 her own life as well.

24 **V. CAUSES OF ACTION.**

25 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION:**

26 ***Bivens: Excessive Force***

27 **(The Estate of Raymond Mattia Against Agents Whitehouse, Sifuentes and**

28 **Torralva and Doe Agents 4 through 30)**

1 75. Plaintiffs reassert, re-allege, and incorporate herein by reference each and every
2 allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs.

3 76. This cause of action is based upon *Bivens v. Six Unknown Federal Narcotics*
4 *Agents*, 403 U.S. 388 (1971).

5 77. Plaintiff had a Fourth Amendment right to be free from unreasonable searches
6 and seizures, including the use of excessive force.

7 78. Defendants violated that right by shooting and killing Mr. Mattia.

8 79. These Defendants were not acting in good faith, were acting under color of law,
9 and violated Mr. Mattia's Fourth Amendment rights.

10 80. These Defendants are sued in their individual capacities for the purposes of this
11 cause of action.

12 81. Defendants' actions were the legal, foreseeable, and proximate cause of
13 Plaintiffs' injuries, and caused damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

14 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION:**

15 ***Bivens: Deprivation of Right to Familial Association***

16 **(All Plaintiffs Against Agents Whitehouse, Sifuentes and Torralva and Doe
17 Agents 4 through 30)**

18 82. Plaintiffs reassert, re-allege, and incorporate herein by reference each and every
19 allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs.

20 83. This cause of action is based upon *Bivens v. Six Unknown Federal Narcotics*
21 *Agents*, 403 U.S. 388 (1971).

22 84. Plaintiffs had constitutionally protected rights to familial association with
23 Raymond Mattia, including as enshrined in the First and Fourteenth
24 Amendments.

25 85. Defendants violated that right by shooting and killing Mr. Mattia – immediately
26 and unlawfully severing plaintiffs' intimate and expressive family relationships
27 with their siblings, father, and children.

28 86. These Defendants were not acting in good faith, were acting under color of law,

1 and violated Mr. Mattia's constitutional rights as well as those of his family and
2 other plaintiffs.

3 87. These Defendants are sued in their individual capacities for the purposes of this
4 cause of action.

5 88. Defendants' actions were the legal, foreseeable, and proximate cause of
6 Plaintiffs' injuries, and caused damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

7 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION:**

8 **FTCA: Assault**

9 **(The Estate of Raymand Mattia Against the United States)**

10 89. Plaintiffs reassert, re-allege, and incorporate herein by reference each and every
11 allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs.

12 90. Defendants created a reasonable apprehension in Mr. Mattia of immediate
13 harmful or offensive contact. Specifically, Defendants drew their weapons and
14 pointed them at Mr. Mattia when he posed no threat and was compliant with
15 their commands.

16 91. Mr. Mattia reasonably believed that he was going to be shot by Defendants.

17 92. Defendants in fact fired multiple times at Mr. Mattia, striking him nine times
18 and killing him.

19 93. Defendants acted without privilege or Mr. Mattia's consent.

20 94. Defendants acted in their official capacity and in the scope of their employment
21 as Border Patrol agents of the United States of America.

22 95. Defendants' actions were intended to cause harm, their actions were outrageous
23 and intolerable, and they intentionally disregarded a substantial risk of harm to
24 Plaintiffs.

25 96. Defendants' actions were a substantial factor in causing harm to Mr. Mattia,
26 and Plaintiffs seek all damages available under the law for the harm caused by
27 Defendants.

28 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION:**

FTCA: Battery

(The Estate of Raymand Mattia Against the United States)

97. Plaintiffs reassert, re-allege, and incorporate herein by reference each and every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs.

98. Defendants created a reasonable apprehension in Mr. Mattia of immediate harmful or offensive contact. Specifically, Defendants drew their weapons and pointed them at Mr. Mattia when he posed no threat and was compliant with their commands.

99. Defendants acted with an intent to cause harmful or offense contact with Mr. Mattia.

100. The intended harmful or offensive contact did occur. Specifically, Defendants shot and killed Mr. Mattia without just cause.

101. Defendants' actions were intended to cause harm, their actions were
outrageous and intolerable, and they intentionally disregarded a substantial risk
of harm to Plaintiffs.

102. Defendants acted without privilege or Mr. Mattia's consent.

103. Defendants acted in their official capacity and in the scope of their employment as Border Patrol Agents of the United States of America.

104. Defendants' actions were a substantial factor in causing harm to Mr. Mattia, and Plaintiffs seek all damages available under the law for the harm caused by Defendants.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION:

FTCA: Negligence

(The Estate of Raymand Mattia Against the United States)

105. Plaintiffs reassert, re-allege, and incorporate herein by reference each and every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs.

106. Defendants had a duty to use reasonable care in interacting with a citizen.
Defendants had a duty to use reasonable care in planning, coordinating, and

1 executing their investigative work. They also had a duty to use reasonable care
 2 in the deployment of deadly weapons, orders to the public, and the use of deadly
 3 force. They also had a duty to use reasonable care by stopping firing once
 4 shooting began.

5 107. Defendants breached these duties by engaging in an unnecessarily dangerous
 6 unplanned and frantic search in the absence of exigency, aiming deadly weapons
 7 at Mr. Mattia, failing to calmly communicate with Mr. Mattia, detaining Mr.
 8 Mattia at all, failing to intervene as others aimed and shot deadly weapons at
 9 Mr. Mattia, and by shooting and killing Mr. Mattia when he was unarmed and
 10 standing in front of his home complying with their commands. Defendants
 11 further breached their duties of reasonable care by firing without an appropriate
 12 need to fire and by failing to stop shooting immediately.

13 108. Defendants' actions caused Mr. Mattia substantial harm, pain, suffering, and
 14 death. Their actions were outrageous and intolerable, and they unreasonably or
 15 intentionally disregarded a substantial risk of harm to Plaintiffs.

16 109. Defendants acted in their official capacity and in the scope of their
 17 employment as Border Patrol Agents of the United States of America.

18 110. Defendants' actions were a substantial factor in causing harm to Mr. Mattia,
 19 and Plaintiffs seek all damages available under the law for the harm caused by
 20 Defendants.

21 **SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION:**

22 **FTCA: Wrongful Death**

23 **(Ariel Mattia, and Raymond Mattia Jr. Against the United States)**

24 111. Plaintiffs reassert, re-allege, and incorporate herein by reference each and
 25 every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs.

26 112. Defendants shot and killed Mr. Mattia in front of his home when he was
 27 unarmed and compliant.

28 113. Defendants did not reasonably act in self-defense and they acted recklessly

1 when they shot and killed Mr. Mattia.

2 114. Defendants' actions were intended to cause harm, their actions were
3 outrageous and intolerable, and they intentionally disregarded a substantial risk
4 of harm to Plaintiffs.

5 115. Defendants acted in their official capacity and in the scope of their
6 employment as Border Patrol Agents of the United States of America.

7 116. Defendants' actions were a substantial factor in causing harm to Mr. Mattia,
8 and Plaintiffs seek all damages available under the law for the harm caused by
9 Defendants.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION:

FTCA: Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress

**(The Estate of Raymond Mattia and Annette Mattia Bring This Cause of
Action Against the United States)**

14 117. Plaintiffs reassert, re-allege, and incorporate herein by reference each and
15 every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs.

16 118. Defendants engaged in extreme and outrageous conduct that transcended the
17 bounds of human decency. Specifically, Defendants shot and killed Mr. Mattia
18 in front of his home when he was unarmed and compliant.

19 119. Defendants intended to cause, and did cause, Mr. Mattia to experience severe
20 physical injury and emotional distress and each acted with a reckless disregard
21 of the probability that Mr. Mattia, and Plaintiffs, would suffer injury.

22 120. Plaintiffs suffered severe emotional distress as a result of the Defendants'
23 reckless and intentional outrageous conduct.

24 121. Defendants' actions were intended to cause harm, their actions were
25 outrageous and intolerable, and they intentionally disregarded a substantial risk
26 of harm to Plaintiffs.

27 122. Defendants acted in their official capacity and in the scope of their
28 employment as Border Patrol Agents of the United States of America.

1 123. Defendants' actions were a substantial factor in causing harm to Mr. Mattia,
2 and Plaintiffs seek all damages available under the law for the harm caused by
3 Defendants.

4 **EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION:**

5 **FTCA: Aggravated Negligence**

6 **(The Estate of Raymand Mattia Brings This Cause of Action Against
7 the United States)**

8 124. Plaintiffs reassert, re-allege, and incorporate herein by reference each and
9 every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs.

10 125. Defendants acted with willful and wanton conduct, and with reckless
11 indifference to the safety of others when they drew their weapons and pointed
12 them at Mr. Mattia and fired an unknown number of shots at him and his home.

13 126. Defendants' actions created an unreasonable risk of harm to Mr. Mattia and
14 others.

15 127. Defendants' actions were intended to cause harm, their actions were
16 outrageous and intolerable, and they intentionally disregarded a substantial risk
17 of harm to Plaintiffs.

18 128. The risk of harm created by Defendants was great because they fired many
19 shots at Mr. Mattia when he was unarmed and compliant, and they fired shots at
20 his home.

21 129. Defendants acted in their official capacity and in the scope of their
22 employment as Border Patrol Agents of the United States of America.

23 130. Defendants' actions were a substantial factor in causing harm to Mr. Mattia,
24 and Plaintiffs seek all damages available under the law for the harm caused by
25 Defendants.

26 **PUNITIVE DAMAGES:**

27 **(Agents Whitehouse, Sifuentes and Torralva)**

28 131. Plaintiffs reassert, re-allege, and incorporate herein by reference each and

1 every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs.

2 132. By intentionally shooting and killing Mr. Mattia without reason, the Doe
3 Agents as well as Agent Whitehouse's, Sifuentes', and Torralva's actions were
4 intended to cause harm, their actions were outrageous and intolerable, and they
5 intentionally or recklessly disregarded a substantial risk of harm to Plaintiffs.

6 133. Defendants' despicable conduct resulted in Mr. Mattia's death, and Plaintiffs
7 have suffered severe emotional harm as a result. Defendants are therefore liable
8 for punitive damages.

9 **VI. RELIEF REQUESTED.**

10 134. For general damages and compensatory damages in an amount according to
11 proof;

12 135. For punitive damages against the individual Defendants;

13 136. Civil penalties as provided by law;

14 137. Monetary damages as provided by law;

15 138. Legal interest on all damages awards from the date of judicial demand until
16 paid;

17 139. Costs of suit;

18 140. And for such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.

19 **VII. JURY DEMAND.**

20 141. Plaintiff hereby respectfully demands that a trial by jury be conducted with
21 respect to all non-FTCA issues presented herein.

22
23
24 Dated: November 26, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

25 s/Timothy A. Scott

26 Timothy A. Scott

27 Marcus S. Bourassa

28 MCKENZIE SCOTT PC

Tommy H. Vu
Ryan W. Stitt
STITT VU TRIAL LAWYERS APC

Attorneys for Plaintiffs