

**IN THE VIGO SUPERIOR COURT
STATE OF INDIANA**

DUSTIN J. MILLIGAN,

CAUSE NO. 84D04-2610-CC-007393

Plaintiff,

COURT NO. 4

v.

CITY OF TERRE HAUTE, INDIANA,

Defendant.

**FIRST AMENDED VERIFIED COMPLAINT TO COMPEL DISCLOSURE OF PUBLIC
RECORDS**

Plaintiff Dustin J. Milligan, appearing individually and without counsel, alleges as follows:

Parties Jurisdiction and Venue

1. Plaintiff Dustin J. Milligan is an adult resident of Terre Haute, Vigo County, Indiana. Plaintiff requested the records at issue in his individual capacity.
2. Defendant City of Terre Haute, Indiana, is a municipal corporation and a public agency subject to the Indiana Access to Public Records Act.
3. The Terre Haute Police Department is a department of Defendant and maintained or controlled the records requested by Plaintiff.
4. This Court has jurisdiction under Indiana Code section 5-14-3-9(e), which authorizes a person denied access to a public record to file an action in the circuit or superior court of the county where the denial occurred.
5. Venue is proper in Vigo County because the requests were directed to Terre Haute officials, the denials occurred in Vigo County, and the requested records are maintained or controlled there.

FILED

OCT 05 2026

CLERK
VIGO COUNTY, INDIANA

Organization Audit Records

6. On August 11, 2026, Plaintiff submitted Request No. 26090 for existing electronic Flock audit records. The request included the complete available audit history for all THPD-associated users from the earliest recorded activity through December 31, 2024, and command-staff audit records from earliest access through processing. A copy is attached as Exhibit A.
7. The request identified the system, agency, audit categories, users, time periods, requested fields, and preferred native electronic formats.
8. On September 9, 2026, the City denied Request No. 26090, asserting that it lacked reasonable particularity. The City identified no exemption applicable to the audit records. A copy is attached as part of Exhibit B.
9. On September 10, 2026, without waiving the original request, Plaintiff narrowed Request No. 26090 to one record: the Flock Safety Organization Audit log or export for THPD for June 1 through July 22, 2024, preferably in CSV or Excel format. A copy is attached as part of Exhibit B.
10. The narrowed request identifies the record by system, report name, agency, date range, and format.
11. On September 30, 2026, Plaintiff sent a final status request concerning the narrowed export. A copy is attached as Exhibit C.
12. On October 2, 2026, THPD denied the narrowed Organization Audit request in writing. THPD asserted that the audit reports contain criminal intelligence information under Indiana Code section 5-2-4-1(2), are confidential under Indiana Code section 5-2-4-6, and therefore are exempt from disclosure under Indiana Code section 5-14-3-4(a)(1). THPD alternatively asserted the investigatory-records exemption under Indiana Code section 5-14-3-4(b)(1). THPD stated that it reviewed the records for segregation but was

withholding the audit records in their entirety. A copy of the October 2, 2026 written denial is attached as Exhibit M.

Camera Location Records

13. After an earlier denial, Plaintiff renewed and clarified his request for existing camera-location and installation records on August 13, 2026. A copy is attached as Exhibit D.

14. On August 31, 2026, the City denied Request No. 26098 under Indiana Code section 5-14-3-4(b)(10), withholding camera locations, direction of travel, images, and configuration information. A copy is attached as Exhibit E.

15. Plaintiff sought PAC review. The PAC assigned matter 26-FC-573 but rejected it under a combined category describing the complaint as duplicative, premature, or untimely. Plaintiff requested clarification and reconsideration. The related correspondence is attached as Exhibit F.

16. The City has not produced the requested camera-location records or segregable nonexempt portions.

Internal Procedures and Training Records

17. On August 13, 2026, Plaintiff submitted Request No. 26099 for current operating procedures, standard operating procedures, directives, manuals, instructions, guidelines, training materials, and written rules governing specified aspects of THPD's use of Flock. A copy is attached as Exhibit G.

18. On September 9, 2026, the City denied the portions of Request No. 26099 not satisfied by contracts and the publicly posted general policy, asserting that Plaintiff had not identified a specific record. The City did not state that the requested procedures or training materials did not exist. A copy is attached as Exhibit H.

19. On August 13, 2026, Plaintiff separately requested existing training and system-operation records for November 1, 2024, through February 12, 2026. The request identified manuals, user guides, quick-reference guides, procedures, instructions, emails, presentations, screenshots, and audit records associated with identified testing activity. A copy is attached as Exhibit I.

20. On August 31, 2026, the City assigned Request No. 26100 and stated that it was being reviewed. Plaintiff located no later production or final determination. A copy is attached as Exhibit J.

21. As of the filing of this First Amended Complaint, the City has not produced the requested current procedures and training records or stated that no responsive records exist.

Flock Safety Vendor Communications

22. Beginning March 13, 2026, Plaintiff requested correspondence concerning implementation, purchase, or operation of Flock cameras. After an initial denial, Plaintiff identified search terms and communications between Flock employees and THPD or City staff.

23. On April 23, 2026, Plaintiff further identified command-staff custodians, a January 1, 2022 through December 31, 2025 date range, specific search terms, and communications between THPD personnel and Flock Safety representatives.

24. On April 28, 2026, the City denied the refined request as insufficiently particular and burdensome, while stating that responsive emails would likely contain exempt security or deliberative material. The correspondence is attached as Exhibit K.

25. On August 14, 2026, Plaintiff submitted Request No. 26101 for identified categories of Flock-provided guidance, training resources, customer communications,

attachments, links, and emails from the beginning of THPD's relationship with Flock through the date of the request.

26. On August 31, 2026, the City denied Request No. 26101 as broad and undefined. The City did not search a defined sender domain or produce segregable nonexempt vendor communications. The request and denial are attached as Exhibit L.

Count One Flock Audit Records

27. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 26.

28. The requested audit logs and exports are existing public records under Indiana Code section 5-14-3-2.

29. Request No. 26090 identifies records with reasonable particularity; alternatively, the September 10 narrowing identifies one Organization Audit export with exact particularity under Indiana Code section 5-14-3-3(a)(1).

30. The City's September 9 denial, October 2 denial of the narrowed request, and continuing nonproduction have denied Plaintiff access to the requested audit records, including any reasonably segregable nonexempt portions.

31. Under Indiana Code section 5-14-3-9(f), review is de novo and the City bears the burden of sustaining its denial.

Count Two Camera Location Records

32. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 31.

33. The requested camera-location and installation records are public records under Indiana Code section 5-14-3-2.

34. The City expressly denied access under a discretionary exemption in Indiana Code section 5-14-3-4(b).

35. Under Indiana Code section 5-14-3-9(g), the City must prove that the withheld records fall within the cited exemption and establish their content with adequate specificity.

36. Plaintiff requests in camera review and production of every reasonably segregable nonexempt portion.

Count Three Internal Procedures and Training Records

37. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 36.

38. Requests Nos. 26099 and 26100 identify current procedures and time-limited categories of training and operational records by system, agency, record type, subject matter, and date range.

39. The City denied Request No. 26099 without showing why the identified records could not be located and acknowledged but did not complete Request No. 26100 within a reasonable time.

40. The City must produce all reasonably segregable nonexempt portions and bears the burden of sustaining any denial.

Count Four Flock Safety Vendor Communications

41. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 40.

42. The refined April request identified custodians, a date range, search terms, and vendor communications; Request No. 26101 separately identified Flock-originated communications by sender category and subject matter.

43. The possibility that some responsive communications contain exempt information does not authorize categorical withholding of all records or all reasonably segregable nonexempt portions.

44. The City's refusal to conduct a reasonable search and its categorical denial of vendor communications denied Plaintiff access to public records.

Request for Relief

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully asks the Court to:

- A. Expedite the hearing under Indiana Code section 5-14-3-9(l);
- B. Declare that Defendant violated APRA by denying or failing to provide access to the records identified in this First Amended Complaint;
- C. Order Defendant to produce the audit records requested in Request No. 26090 or, at minimum, the Organization Audit export for June 1 through July 22, 2024;
- D. Order Defendant to produce the requested camera-location records and all reasonably segregable nonexempt portions, subject to lawful redactions;
- E. Order Defendant to produce the current procedures, written instructions, rules, and training records responsive to Requests Nos. 26099 and 26100;
- F. Order Defendant to conduct a reasonable search for and produce nonexempt emails and communications sent by Flock Safety representatives to THPD or City personnel within the pleaded requests;
- G. Conduct an in camera inspection of withheld records when necessary;
- H. Award Plaintiff recoverable court costs and other reasonable litigation expenses under Indiana Code section 5-14-3-9(i); and
- I. Grant all other just and proper relief.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Dustin J. Milligan

Dustin J. Milligan, Plaintiff pro se
714 S. 19th Street
Terre Haute, IN 47803
Telephone: (317) 476-0884
Email: thvicenews@gmail.com

Verification

I affirm, under the penalties for perjury, that the factual statements in this First Amended Verified Complaint are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Date: October 5, 2026 /s/ Dustin J. Milligan
Dustin J. Milligan

EXHIBITS TO VERIFIED COMPLAINT

Dustin J. Milligan v. City of Terre Haute, Indiana

Vigo Superior Court

Source note: These exhibits are court-formatted transcriptions and, where indicated by length, concise excerpts of the Gmail correspondence identified by sender, recipient, date, subject, and request number. The complete native Gmail messages remain preserved in the account and should be retained for authentication or supplemental filing if requested.

Exhibit A - August 11, 2026 Request No. 26090 - Flock audit records

Exhibit B - September 9 denial and September 10 narrowing - Request No. 26090

Exhibit C - September 30 status request and October 2 final denial - Request No. 26090

Exhibit D - August 13 renewed request - camera locations - Request No. 26098

Exhibit E - August 31 denial - camera locations - Request No. 26098

Exhibit F - PAC matter 26-FC-573 correspondence

Exhibit G - August 13 request - internal operating procedures - Request No. 26099

Exhibit H - September 9 denial - internal operating procedures - Request No. 26099

Exhibit I - August 14 request - training and system-operation records - Request No. 26100

Exhibit J - August 31 acknowledgment - Request No. 26100

Exhibit K - March-April vendor correspondence request, refinement, and April 28 denial

Exhibit L - August 14 request and August 31 denial - Request No. 26101

EXHIBIT A

August 11, 2026 Request No. 26090 - Flock audit records

Dustin J. Milligan v. City of Terre Haute, Indiana

From: Dustin J. Milligan <thvicenews@gmail.com>

To: Molly Meeks; Mayor; Justin Sears; Michael Wright

Date: August 11, 2026

Subject: APRA Request - Flock Safety Early-Use and Command Staff Audit Records

Ms. Meeks,

Pursuant to the Indiana Access to Public Records Act, Indiana Code 5-14-3, I am requesting existing electronic records concerning the Terre Haute Police Department's earliest access to and use of the Flock Safety system and the subsequent use of that system by THPD command staff.

The requested period begins with the earliest date on which any THPD employee, account, administrator, device, agency account, or other THPD-associated user first accessed, tested, searched, queried, configured, administered, demonstrated, piloted, or otherwise used the Flock Safety platform or a Flock-connected system, including any trial, demonstration, pilot, temporary, vendor-enabled, pre-contract, or pre-installation access.

1. Please provide the complete available Flock Safety audit history for all THPD-associated users and accounts from the earliest recorded THPD activity through December 31, 2024, including Organization Audit, Network Audit, administrative audit, user audit, activity history, event history, search/query history, sharing history, and equivalent records. Include all existing fields, including date/time, user/account, role, agency, action, search parameters, reason, case number, plate/vehicle activity, filters, network scope, sharing, downloads, administrative actions, account and permission changes, configuration and retention changes, and report generation.
 2. Please provide the complete available Flock audit/activity records for the Chief, each Assistant Chief, any Acting Chief, and command-level users with elevated permissions from earliest access through processing.
 3. Please provide existing records sufficient to identify the earliest THPD user and administrator accounts, login, search, audit event, network access, hot-list or alert activity, and any account existing before the formal contract.
- Please provide original or native electronic formats, including CSV, Excel, JSON, or system-generated exports. If information is exempt, redact only the exempt information, identify the statutory authority, and produce the remainder. If older records were purged or unavailable, identify the period and produce records documenting the retention or system limitation. Please preserve responsive records while the request is pending.

Thank you,
Dustin J. Milligan
Terre Haute Vice News

EXHIBIT B

September 9 denial and September 10 narrowing - Request No. 26090

Dustin J. Milligan v. City of Terre Haute, Indiana

From: Molly Meeks, THPD

To: Dustin J. Milligan

Date: September 9, 2026

Subject: Request No. 26090 / Flock Safety Early-Use and Command Staff Audit Records

Dustin:

After reviewing this request, we are unable to process it as presently written because it does not identify the records sought with the reasonable particularity required by IC 5-14-3-3(a)(1).

From: Dustin J. Milligan

To: Molly Meeks

Date: September 10, 2026

Subject: RE: Request No. 26090 - Flock Organization Audit Records

Molly,

To narrow Request No. 26090, I am submitting the following specific request:

Please provide the Flock Safety Organization Audit log or Organization Audit export for THPD for June 1, 2024 through July 22, 2024, preferably in CSV or Excel format.

This identifies one record, one agency, one system, and a defined date range. This narrowing does not waive the original request.

Thank you,

Dustin J. Milligan

EXHIBIT C

September 30 status request and October 2 final denial - Request No. 26090

Dustin J. Milligan v. City of Terre Haute, Indiana

From: Dustin J. Milligan

To: Molly Meeks

Date: September 30, 2026

Subject: Final Status Request - Narrowed Request 26090

Ms. Meeks,

On September 10, 2026, I narrowed Request No. 26090 to one record: the Flock Safety Organization Audit log or export for THPD covering June 1 through July 22, 2024, in its existing electronic format. I have received no acknowledgment, production, or written denial. Please provide the export or issue a written response stating the statutory basis for withholding it.

Thank you,

Dustin J. Milligan

From: Molly Meeks, THPD
To: Dustin J. Milligan
Date: October 2, 2026
Subject: RE: Final Status Request - Narrowed Request 26090

Thank you for submitting your request for records. You requested records showing searches and activity conducted through THPD's Flock Safety system, including organization and network audit reports.

These records contain criminal intelligence information as defined by Indiana Code 5-2-4-1(2). Under Indiana Code 5-2-4-6, criminal intelligence information is confidential and is not subject to disclosure under Indiana Code 5-14-3-4(a)(1).

To the extent the records contain information outside that definition, THPD is withholding it as investigatory records under Indiana Code 5-14-3-4(b)(1). The audit reports show what officers searched, when, what vehicle or plate was being investigated, why, and the related case or investigation.

We reviewed the records for separation. The fields, viewed together, reveal the nature, timing, scope, and purpose of searches. The audit records are withheld in their entirety.

Molly Meeks
Terre Haute Police Department

EXHIBIT D

August 13 renewed request - camera locations - Request No. 26098

Dustin J. Milligan v. City of Terre Haute, Indiana

From: Dustin J. Milligan

To: Molly Meeks

Date: August 13, 2026

Subject: APRA Request - Flock Camera Locations / Request for Reconsideration

Ms. Meeks,

I request reconsideration of THPD's denial and renew my request for existing records identifying each Flock camera's street or intersection, type/model, installation or activation date, general direction of travel, total fixed and mobile devices, and one representative daytime and nighttime image from each camera. Plates, faces, addresses, and other exempt information may be redacted.

Indiana Code 5-14-3-6 requires segregation. If exact GPS coordinates, technical information, or portions of images may be withheld, redact only those portions and release the remainder. Please identify the exemption and factual basis for each withholding.

Thank you,

Dustin J. Milligan

EXHIBIT E

August 31 denial - camera locations - Request No. 26098

Dustin J. Milligan v. City of Terre Haute, Indiana

From: Molly Meeks, THPD

To: Dustin J. Milligan

Date: August 31, 2026

Subject: Request No. 26098 / Flock Camera Locations / Request for Reconsideration

Dustin:

Your renewed request for records identifying the location, positioning, orientation, or operational characteristics of Flock Safety LPR cameras has been reviewed. Responsive records, including camera locations, direction of travel monitored, representative images, and configuration details tied to deployment sites, are withheld pursuant to IC 5-14-3-4(b)(10).

The information is administrative and technical information integral to a law-enforcement surveillance system. Disclosure would compromise effectiveness by revealing deployment structure and enabling evasion. The Transparency Portal states the number of cameras.

Molly Meeks

Terre Haute Police Department

EXHIBIT F

PAC matter 26-FC-573 correspondence

Dustin J. Milligan v. City of Terre Haute, Indiana

From: Indiana Public Access Counselor; Dustin J. Milligan

To: Correspondence between the parties

Date: September 2-30, 2026

Subject: 26-FC-573 Milligan - Terre Haute PD

The Office of the Indiana Public Access Counselor rejected matter 26-FC-573, marking the combined reason: "Duplicative Complaints, the complaint is premature, or the complaint is not timely filed." It also stated that several complaints were pending against the same agency on similar issues and considered the matter closed.

On September 10, 2026, Dustin Milligan requested clarification because the denial occurred August 31 and the complaint was filed September 1. He asked the PAC to identify any complaint number already covering Request No. 26098. On September 30, 2026, he resubmitted and requested reconsideration.

EXHIBIT G

August 13 request - internal operating procedures - Request No. 26099

Dustin J. Milligan v. City of Terre Haute, Indiana

From: Dustin J. Milligan

To: Molly Meeks

Date: August 13, 2026

Subject: APRA Request - Current Flock Contract and THPD Internal Operating Procedures

Ms. Meeks,

Under APRA, I request: (1) the current Flock agreement, renewal, amendment or replacement; (2) all current internal operating procedures, SOPs, directives, manuals, written instructions, guidelines and training materials governing THPD use; (3) current written rules for searches, hot lists, alerts, audit review, permissible uses, access, supervision, sharing, retention and discipline; and (4) any Flock-specific procedure separate from the public general policy. Produce electronic records and segregable portions; state specifically if no responsive records exist.

Dustin J. Milligan

EXHIBIT H

September 9 denial - internal operating procedures - Request No. 26099

Dustin J. Milligan v. City of Terre Haute, Indiana

From: Molly Meeks, THPD
To: Dustin J. Milligan
Date: September 9, 2026
Subject: Request No. 26099

Dustin:

Flock agreements were previously provided and the THPD policy is publicly available. For the remainder, without identification of a specific record, we cannot conduct a reasonable search. The request lacks reasonable particularity under IC 5-14-3-3 and is denied.

Molly Meeks
Terre Haute Police Department

EXHIBIT I

August 14 request - training and system-operation records - Request No. 26100

Dustin J. Milligan v. City of Terre Haute, Indiana

From: Dustin J. Milligan

To: Molly Meeks

Date: August 14, 2026

Subject: APRA Request - Flock Testing, System Views, Training Records, and Audit Activity

Ms. Meeks,

Under APRA, for November 1, 2024 through February 12, 2026, I request existing screenshots, recordings, manuals, guides, procedures, instructions, emails, presentations and audit exports showing THPD testing, training, demonstration and administrative use of Flock, including plate lookup, mobile lookup, search and convoy functions. This includes records tied to entries marked test or admin test, the recurring hash 596f4162, the January 22, 2026 convoy search, test accounts and vehicles, network scope, user accounts, and communications with Flock about testing and training.

Please produce native electronic formats and all segregable nonexempt portions.

Dustin J. Milligan

EXHIBIT J

August 31 acknowledgment - Request No. 26100

Dustin J. Milligan v. City of Terre Haute, Indiana

From: Molly Meeks, THPD
To: Dustin J. Milligan
Date: August 31, 2026
Subject: Request No. 26100

Thank you for submitting your request. This office received it and is reviewing it. Upon determining that it meets statutory requirements, the office will search, compile, and review responsive records prior to release and anticipates a response soon.

Molly Meeks
Terre Haute Police Department

EXHIBIT K

March-April vendor correspondence request, refinement, and April 28 denial

Dustin J. Milligan v. City of Terre Haute, Indiana

From: Dustin J. Milligan

To: Molly Meeks

Date: March 13-April 23, 2026

Subject: Flock Cameras and Related Contracts - refined vendor correspondence request

I refined the correspondence request to all emails and attachments to or from the Chief, any Assistant or Acting Chief, and command staff involved in technology, investigations, or procurement, from January 1, 2022 through December 31, 2025, using the terms Flock, Flock Safety, LPR, license plate reader, camera system, camera deployment, Flock contract, and data retention. I also requested correspondence between THPD personnel and Flock representatives and asked for review and redaction rather than blanket withholding.

From: Molly Meeks, THPD

To: Dustin J. Milligan

Date: April 28, 2026

Subject: Denial of refined correspondence request

The request is denied under IC 5-14-3-3(a)(1). THPD states that searching multiple unspecified personnel across several years using expansive terms would require comprehensive searches and extensive review. THPD further states that likely responsive records would concern deployment and capabilities under IC 5-14-3-4(b)(19) or contain deliberative material under IC 5-14-3-4(b)(6).

EXHIBIT L

August 14 request and August 31 denial - Request No. 26101

Dustin J. Milligan v. City of Terre Haute, Indiana

From: Dustin J. Milligan

To: Molly Meeks

Date: August 14, 2026

Subject: APRA Request - Flock Safety Guidance and Communications

Under APRA, I requested Flock-provided guidance, links, Manager resources, manuals, training and onboarding materials, best practices, advisories, promotional materials, feature updates, release notes, newsletters, materials on searches, hot lists, alerts, audits, sharing, retention and administration, training invitations and demonstrations, model policies and privacy guidance, test-search materials, administrator guidance, and customer-resource communications from the beginning of THPD's relationship with Flock through the date of the request.

From: Molly Meeks, THPD
To: Dustin J. Milligan
Date: August 31, 2026
Subject: Request No. 26101 - denial

THPD denied the request as a broad and undefined universe across formats, personnel and timeframes. It stated that records are not indexed by vendor; locating them would require a manual system-wide review of accounts and storage without defined custodians, date limitations or record types; and externally hosted materials are not maintained by THPD. It invited a new request with specific custodians, dates and record types.

EXHIBIT M

October 2, 2026 written denial of narrowed Request No. 26090

Flock Safety Organization Audit

From: Molly Meeks <Molly.Meeks@TerreHaute.IN.Gov>

To: Terre Haute Vice News <thvicenews@gmail.com>

CC: Justin Sears <Justin.Sears@TerreHaute.IN.Gov>

Date: Friday, October 2, 2026, 11:48 AM EDT (15:48:57 UTC)

Subject: RE: EXTERNAL - Final Status Request - Narrowed Request 26090 - Flock Organization Audit

Message-ID:

<DSWP221MB996925A48B07B96FE00D3860AEBA892@DSWP221MB996925.NAMP221.PROD.OUTLOOK.COM>

Email body

Thank you for submitting your request for records. Pursuant to Indiana Code § 5-14-3-9, this email is our response to your request.

You requested records showing searches and activity conducted through the Terre Haute Police Department's Flock Safety system, including organization and network audit reports.

These records contain criminal intelligence information as defined by Indiana Code § 5-2-4-1(2). The audit reports show law enforcement searches and activity involving information used to anticipate prevent, or monitor possible criminal activity. They include information such as the officer conducting the search, the license plate searched, the date and time of the search, the reason for the search, the related case number, and the vehicle characteristics or other search parameters used.

Under Indiana Code § 5-2-4-6, criminal intelligence information is confidential and may be disseminated only as permitted by that statute. Because this information is confidential under state law, it is not subject to disclosure under Indiana Code § 5-14-3-4(a)(1).

To the extent the records contain information that does not fall within the definition of criminal intelligence information, THPD is withholding that information as investigatory records under Indiana Code § 5-14-3-4(b)(1). The audit reports show what officers searched, when they searched it, what vehicle or plate was being investigated, why the search was conducted, and what case or investigation was involved. Releasing that information would disclose law enforcement investigative activity.

We also reviewed the records to determine whether any information could reasonably be separated and released. The information contained in the audit reports is interconnected, and the individual fields when viewed together, reveal the nature, timing, scope, and purpose of law enforcement searches. For that reason, the audit records are being withheld in their entirety.

Molly Meeks
Terre Haute Police Department
222 South 7th Street
Terre Haute, IN 47807
(812) 244-2236

Quoted prior message contained in the October 2 email

From: Terre Haute Vice News <thvicenews@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, September 30, 2026 11:47 AM

To: Meeks, Molly <Molly.Meeks@TerreHaute.IN.Gov>

Subject: EXTERNAL - Final Status Request - Narrowed Request 26090 - Flock Organization Audit

External Email. - Think Before You Click -- Help Desk

Ms. Meeks,

On September 10, 2026, I narrowed Request No. 26090 to the following single record:

The Flock Safety Organization Audit log or Organization Audit export for the Terre Haute Police Department covering June 1, 2024, through July 22, 2024, in its existing electronic format, such as CSV or Excel.

The request identifies the specific record, agency, system and date range. I have not received an acknowledgment, production or written denial responding to this narrowed request.

Please provide the requested existing export or issue a written response identifying the specific statutory basis for withholding it. If THPD contends that the record does not exist or is not maintained by THPD, please state that expressly.

This message does not expand or replace the September 10 request. It requests the status and completion of that existing narrowed request.

Thank you,

Dustin J. Milligan
thvicenews@gmail.com

This email has been scanned for email related threats and delivered safely by Mimecast.

The sender, recipients, timestamp, subject, and Message-ID above were taken from the original message headers preserved in Gmail.