	С	ase 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	File	ed 10/10/18 Page 1 of 61 PageID #: 24	4 <b>59</b> 3
			2	EXAMINATION	P A G E
			3	By Ms. Olivier	5
	1 2	IN THE UNITED STATE DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA NORTHERN DIVISION	4		
	3 4	SDIF LIMITED PARTNERSHIP 2, a 1:17-CV-01002-CBK South Dakota Limited Partnership,	5	EXHIBIT NOS.	P A G E
	5	Plaintiff, vs.	6	1 - Deposition Notice 2 - Joop's Depo Exhibits (2014 previously	4 4
	6	TENTEXKOTA, L.L.C., a South Dakota	7	marked)	4
	7	Limited Liability Company, W. KENNETH ALPHIN, TIMOTHY J.	8	3 - Joop Discovery SDRC 1653-2458 Binder 3 of 4	106
	9	CONRAD, MICHAEL R. GUSTAFSON, GEORGE D. MITCHELL, DALE MORRIS, MARC W. OSWALD, RONALD W. WHEELER,	9	4 - Joop Discovery SDRC 1059-1652	115
	10	and DWIGHT P. WILES,	3	Binder 2 of 4 5 - Tentexkota Confidential Offering	117
	11	Defendants and Third-Party Plaintiffs, vs.	10	Memorandum 6 - 5/7/15 E-mail	151
	12	JOOP BOLLEN, SDRC, INC., a South	11	7 - 5/18/16 E-mail	153
	13	Dakota Corporation, SDIF LIMITED PARTNERSHIP 2, a South Dakota	12	8 - 5/18/16 E-mail 9 - Joop Discovery SDRC 1-1058 Binder 1 of 4	154 155
	14 15	Limited Partnership, SD INVESTMENT FUND LLC2, a South Dakota Limited Liability Company, John Doe 1-75,	40	10 - Ashenberg E-mail and Letter	156
	16	Third-Party Defendants.	13		
	17	-	14	Certified Question	88
	18	Video Deposition of Joop Bollen March 20, 2018 10:38 a.m.	15		
	19 20	A P P E A R A N C E S	16		
	21	ERIC R. KERKVLEIT and STEVEN J. OBERG,	17		
	22	LYNN, JACKSON, SHULTZ & LEBRUN, P.C., Attorneys at Law, 110 South Minnesota Avenue,	18		
	23	Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57104, appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff;			
	24	Reported by Cheri McComsey Wittler, RPR, CRR	19 20		
	25	Precision Reporting, 213 South Main, Onida, South Dakota	21		
			22 23		
			24		
			25		
1		APPEARANCES (Continued)	25		4
-			25 1	The deposition of Joop Bollen was taken at th	
1 2		APPEARANCES (Continued) HAVEN L. STUCK, LYNN, JACKSON, SHULTZ & LEBRUN, P.C.,			e
-		HAVEN L. STUCK, LYNN, JACKSON, SHULTZ & LEBRUN, P.C., Attorneys at Law, P.O. Box 82501,	1	office of Siegel, Barnett & Schutz, L.L.P., 415 Sout	e th
2		HAVEN L. STUCK, LYNN, JACKSON, SHULTZ & LEBRUN, P.C., Attorneys at Law, P.O. Box 82501, Rapid City, South Dakota 57709,	1 2	office of Siegel, Barnett & Schutz, L.L.P., 415 Sout Main Street, Aberdeen, South Dakota, on the 20th	e th
2		HAVEN L. STUCK, LYNN, JACKSON, SHULTZ & LEBRUN, P.C., Attorneys at Law, P.O. Box 82501,	1 2 3 4	office of Siegel, Barnett & Schutz, L.L.P., 415 Sout Main Street, Aberdeen, South Dakota, on the 20th March, 2018, commencing at 10:38 a.m.; before	e th day of
2		HAVEN L. STUCK, LYNN, JACKSON, SHULTZ & LEBRUN, P.C., Attorneys at Law, P.O. Box 82501, Rapid City, South Dakota 57709, appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff; SCOTT N. HEIDEPRIEM and KASEY L. OLIVIER,	1 2 3 4 5	office of Siegel, Barnett & Schutz, L.L.P., 415 Sour Main Street, Aberdeen, South Dakota, on the 20th March, 2018, commencing at 10:38 a.m.; before Cheri McComsey Wittler, a Registered Professional	e th day of
2 3 4		HAVEN L. STUCK, LYNN, JACKSON, SHULTZ & LEBRUN, P.C., Attorneys at Law, P.O. Box 82501, Rapid City, South Dakota 57709, appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff;	1 2 3 4 5 6	office of Siegel, Barnett & Schutz, L.L.P., 415 Sout Main Street, Aberdeen, South Dakota, on the 20th March, 2018, commencing at 10:38 a.m.; before Cheri McComsey Wittler, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Notary	e th day of
2 3 4 5		HAVEN L. STUCK, LYNN, JACKSON, SHULTZ & LEBRUN, P.C., Attorneys at Law, P.O. Box 82501, Rapid City, South Dakota 57709, appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff; SCOTT N. HEIDEPRIEM and KASEY L. OLIVIER, HEIDEPRIEM, PURTELL, SIEGEL & OLIVIER, L.L.P.,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	office of Siegel, Barnett & Schutz, L.L.P., 415 Sour Main Street, Aberdeen, South Dakota, on the 20th March, 2018, commencing at 10:38 a.m.; before Cheri McComsey Wittler, a Registered Professional	e th day of
2 3 4 5		<ul> <li>HAVEN L. STUCK,</li> <li>LYNN, JACKSON, SHULTZ &amp; LEBRUN, P.C.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, P.O. Box 82501,</li> <li>Rapid City, South Dakota 57709,</li> <li>appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff;</li> <li>SCOTT N. HEIDEPRIEM and KASEY L. OLIVIER,</li> <li>HEIDEPRIEM, PURTELL, SIEGEL &amp; OLIVIER, L.L.P.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, 101 West 69th Street, Suite 105,</li> <li>Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57108,</li> <li>appearing on behalf of the Defendants and</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	office of Siegel, Barnett & Schutz, L.L.P., 415 Sout Main Street, Aberdeen, South Dakota, on the 20th March, 2018, commencing at 10:38 a.m.; before Cheri McComsey Wittler, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Notary	e th day of
2 3 4 5 6		<ul> <li>HAVEN L. STUCK,</li> <li>LYNN, JACKSON, SHULTZ &amp; LEBRUN, P.C.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, P.O. Box 82501,</li> <li>Rapid City, South Dakota 57709,</li> <li>appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff;</li> <li>SCOTT N. HEIDEPRIEM and KASEY L. OLIVIER,</li> <li>HEIDEPRIEM, PURTELL, SIEGEL &amp; OLIVIER, L.L.P.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, 101 West 69th Street, Suite 105,</li> <li>Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57108,</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	office of Siegel, Barnett & Schutz, L.L.P., 415 Sout Main Street, Aberdeen, South Dakota, on the 20th March, 2018, commencing at 10:38 a.m.; before Cheri McComsey Wittler, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Notary	e th day of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		<ul> <li>HAVEN L. STUCK,</li> <li>LYNN, JACKSON, SHULTZ &amp; LEBRUN, P.C.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, P.O. Box 82501,</li> <li>Rapid City, South Dakota 57709,</li> <li>appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff;</li> <li>SCOTT N. HEIDEPRIEM and KASEY L. OLIVIER,</li> <li>HEIDEPRIEM, PURTELL, SIEGEL &amp; OLIVIER, L.L.P.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, 101 West 69th Street, Suite 105,</li> <li>Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57108,</li> <li>appearing on behalf of the Defendants and</li> <li>Third-Party Plaintiffs;</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	office of Siegel, Barnett & Schutz, L.L.P., 415 Sout Main Street, Aberdeen, South Dakota, on the 20th March, 2018, commencing at 10:38 a.m.; before Cheri McComsey Wittler, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Notary	e th day of
2 3 4 5 6 7		<ul> <li>HAVEN L. STUCK,</li> <li>LYNN, JACKSON, SHULTZ &amp; LEBRUN, P.C.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, P.O. Box 82501,</li> <li>Rapid City, South Dakota 57709,</li> <li>appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff;</li> <li>SCOTT N. HEIDEPRIEM and KASEY L. OLIVIER,</li> <li>HEIDEPRIEM, PURTELL, SIEGEL &amp; OLIVIER, L.L.P.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, 101 West 69th Street, Suite 105,</li> <li>Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57108,</li> <li>appearing on behalf of the Defendants and</li> <li>Third-Party Plaintiffs;</li> <li>JULIE DVORAK,</li> <li>SIEGEL, BARNETT &amp; SCHUTZ, L.L.P.,</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	office of Siegel, Barnett & Schutz, L.L.P., 415 Sout Main Street, Aberdeen, South Dakota, on the 20th March, 2018, commencing at 10:38 a.m.; before Cheri McComsey Wittler, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Notary	e th day of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		<ul> <li>HAVEN L. STUCK,</li> <li>LYNN, JACKSON, SHULTZ &amp; LEBRUN, P.C.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, P.O. Box 82501,</li> <li>Rapid City, South Dakota 57709,</li> <li>appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff;</li> <li>SCOTT N. HEIDEPRIEM and KASEY L. OLIVIER,</li> <li>HEIDEPRIEM, PURTELL, SIEGEL &amp; OLIVIER, L.L.P.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, 101 West 69th Street, Suite 105,</li> <li>Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57108,</li> <li>appearing on behalf of the Defendants and</li> <li>Third-Party Plaintiffs;</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	office of Siegel, Barnett & Schutz, L.L.P., 415 Sout Main Street, Aberdeen, South Dakota, on the 20th March, 2018, commencing at 10:38 a.m.; before Cheri McComsey Wittler, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Notary	e th day of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		<ul> <li>HAVEN L. STUCK,</li> <li>LYNN, JACKSON, SHULTZ &amp; LEBRUN, P.C.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, P.O. Box 82501,</li> <li>Rapid City, South Dakota 57709,</li> <li>appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff;</li> <li>SCOTT N. HEIDEPRIEM and KASEY L. OLIVIER,</li> <li>HEIDEPRIEM, PURTELL, SIEGEL &amp; OLIVIER, L.L.P.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, 101 West 69th Street, Suite 105,</li> <li>Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57108,</li> <li>appearing on behalf of the Defendants and</li> <li>Third-Party Plaintiffs;</li> <li>JULIE DVORAK,</li> <li>SIEGEL, BARNETT &amp; SCHUTZ, L.L.P.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, P.O. Box 490,</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	office of Siegel, Barnett & Schutz, L.L.P., 415 Sout Main Street, Aberdeen, South Dakota, on the 20th March, 2018, commencing at 10:38 a.m.; before Cheri McComsey Wittler, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Notary	e th day of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		<ul> <li>HAVEN L. STUCK,</li> <li>LYNN, JACKSON, SHULTZ &amp; LEBRUN, P.C.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, P.O. Box 82501,</li> <li>Rapid City, South Dakota 57709,</li> <li>appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff;</li> <li>SCOTT N. HEIDEPRIEM and KASEY L. OLIVIER,</li> <li>HEIDEPRIEM, PURTELL, SIEGEL &amp; OLIVIER, L.L.P.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, 101 West 69th Street, Suite 105,</li> <li>Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57108,</li> <li>appearing on behalf of the Defendants and</li> <li>Third-Party Plaintiffs;</li> <li>JULIE DVORAK,</li> <li>SIEGEL, BARNETT &amp; SCHUTZ, L.L.P.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, P.O. Box 490,</li> <li>Aberdeen, South Dakota 57402-0490,</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	office of Siegel, Barnett & Schutz, L.L.P., 415 Sout Main Street, Aberdeen, South Dakota, on the 20th March, 2018, commencing at 10:38 a.m.; before Cheri McComsey Wittler, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Notary	e th day of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		<ul> <li>HAVEN L. STUCK,</li> <li>LYNN, JACKSON, SHULTZ &amp; LEBRUN, P.C.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, P.O. Box 82501,</li> <li>Rapid City, South Dakota 57709,</li> <li>appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff;</li> <li>SCOTT N. HEIDEPRIEM and KASEY L. OLIVIER,</li> <li>HEIDEPRIEM, PURTELL, SIEGEL &amp; OLIVIER, L.L.P.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, 101 West 69th Street, Suite 105,</li> <li>Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57108,</li> <li>appearing on behalf of the Defendants and</li> <li>Third-Party Plaintiffs;</li> <li>JULIE DVORAK,</li> <li>SIEGEL, BARNETT &amp; SCHUTZ, L.L.P.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, P.O. Box 490,</li> <li>Aberdeen, South Dakota 57402-0490,</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	office of Siegel, Barnett & Schutz, L.L.P., 415 Sout Main Street, Aberdeen, South Dakota, on the 20th March, 2018, commencing at 10:38 a.m.; before Cheri McComsey Wittler, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Notary	e th day of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		<ul> <li>HAVEN L. STUCK,</li> <li>LYNN, JACKSON, SHULTZ &amp; LEBRUN, P.C.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, P.O. Box 82501,</li> <li>Rapid City, South Dakota 57709,</li> <li>appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff;</li> <li>SCOTT N. HEIDEPRIEM and KASEY L. OLIVIER,</li> <li>HEIDEPRIEM, PURTELL, SIEGEL &amp; OLIVIER, L.L.P.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, 101 West 69th Street, Suite 105,</li> <li>Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57108,</li> <li>appearing on behalf of the Defendants and</li> <li>Third-Party Plaintiffs;</li> <li>JULIE DVORAK,</li> <li>SIEGEL, BARNETT &amp; SCHUTZ, L.L.P.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, P.O. Box 490,</li> <li>Aberdeen, South Dakota 57402-0490,</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	office of Siegel, Barnett & Schutz, L.L.P., 415 Sout Main Street, Aberdeen, South Dakota, on the 20th March, 2018, commencing at 10:38 a.m.; before Cheri McComsey Wittler, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Notary	e th day of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		<ul> <li>HAVEN L. STUCK,</li> <li>LYNN, JACKSON, SHULTZ &amp; LEBRUN, P.C.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, P.O. Box 82501,</li> <li>Rapid City, South Dakota 57709,</li> <li>appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff;</li> <li>SCOTT N. HEIDEPRIEM and KASEY L. OLIVIER,</li> <li>HEIDEPRIEM, PURTELL, SIEGEL &amp; OLIVIER, L.L.P.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, 101 West 69th Street, Suite 105,</li> <li>Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57108,</li> <li>appearing on behalf of the Defendants and</li> <li>Third-Party Plaintiffs;</li> <li>JULIE DVORAK,</li> <li>SIEGEL, BARNETT &amp; SCHUTZ, L.L.P.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, P.O. Box 490,</li> <li>Aberdeen, South Dakota 57402-0490,</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	office of Siegel, Barnett & Schutz, L.L.P., 415 Sout Main Street, Aberdeen, South Dakota, on the 20th March, 2018, commencing at 10:38 a.m.; before Cheri McComsey Wittler, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Notary	e th day of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		<ul> <li>HAVEN L. STUCK,</li> <li>LYNN, JACKSON, SHULTZ &amp; LEBRUN, P.C.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, P.O. Box 82501,</li> <li>Rapid City, South Dakota 57709,</li> <li>appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff;</li> <li>SCOTT N. HEIDEPRIEM and KASEY L. OLIVIER,</li> <li>HEIDEPRIEM, PURTELL, SIEGEL &amp; OLIVIER, L.L.P.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, 101 West 69th Street, Suite 105,</li> <li>Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57108,</li> <li>appearing on behalf of the Defendants and</li> <li>Third-Party Plaintiffs;</li> <li>JULIE DVORAK,</li> <li>SIEGEL, BARNETT &amp; SCHUTZ, L.L.P.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, P.O. Box 490,</li> <li>Aberdeen, South Dakota 57402-0490,</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	office of Siegel, Barnett & Schutz, L.L.P., 415 Sout Main Street, Aberdeen, South Dakota, on the 20th March, 2018, commencing at 10:38 a.m.; before Cheri McComsey Wittler, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Notary	e th day of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		<ul> <li>HAVEN L. STUCK,</li> <li>LYNN, JACKSON, SHULTZ &amp; LEBRUN, P.C.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, P.O. Box 82501,</li> <li>Rapid City, South Dakota 57709,</li> <li>appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff;</li> <li>SCOTT N. HEIDEPRIEM and KASEY L. OLIVIER,</li> <li>HEIDEPRIEM, PURTELL, SIEGEL &amp; OLIVIER, L.L.P.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, 101 West 69th Street, Suite 105,</li> <li>Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57108,</li> <li>appearing on behalf of the Defendants and</li> <li>Third-Party Plaintiffs;</li> <li>JULIE DVORAK,</li> <li>SIEGEL, BARNETT &amp; SCHUTZ, L.L.P.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, P.O. Box 490,</li> <li>Aberdeen, South Dakota 57402-0490,</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	office of Siegel, Barnett & Schutz, L.L.P., 415 Sout Main Street, Aberdeen, South Dakota, on the 20th March, 2018, commencing at 10:38 a.m.; before Cheri McComsey Wittler, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Notary	e th day of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		<ul> <li>HAVEN L. STUCK,</li> <li>LYNN, JACKSON, SHULTZ &amp; LEBRUN, P.C.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, P.O. Box 82501,</li> <li>Rapid City, South Dakota 57709,</li> <li>appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff;</li> <li>SCOTT N. HEIDEPRIEM and KASEY L. OLIVIER,</li> <li>HEIDEPRIEM, PURTELL, SIEGEL &amp; OLIVIER, L.L.P.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, 101 West 69th Street, Suite 105,</li> <li>Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57108,</li> <li>appearing on behalf of the Defendants and</li> <li>Third-Party Plaintiffs;</li> <li>JULIE DVORAK,</li> <li>SIEGEL, BARNETT &amp; SCHUTZ, L.L.P.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, P.O. Box 490,</li> <li>Aberdeen, South Dakota 57402-0490,</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	office of Siegel, Barnett & Schutz, L.L.P., 415 Sout Main Street, Aberdeen, South Dakota, on the 20th March, 2018, commencing at 10:38 a.m.; before Cheri McComsey Wittler, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Notary	e th day of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		<ul> <li>HAVEN L. STUCK,</li> <li>LYNN, JACKSON, SHULTZ &amp; LEBRUN, P.C.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, P.O. Box 82501,</li> <li>Rapid City, South Dakota 57709,</li> <li>appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff;</li> <li>SCOTT N. HEIDEPRIEM and KASEY L. OLIVIER,</li> <li>HEIDEPRIEM, PURTELL, SIEGEL &amp; OLIVIER, L.L.P.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, 101 West 69th Street, Suite 105,</li> <li>Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57108,</li> <li>appearing on behalf of the Defendants and</li> <li>Third-Party Plaintiffs;</li> <li>JULIE DVORAK,</li> <li>SIEGEL, BARNETT &amp; SCHUTZ, L.L.P.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, P.O. Box 490,</li> <li>Aberdeen, South Dakota 57402-0490,</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	office of Siegel, Barnett & Schutz, L.L.P., 415 Sout Main Street, Aberdeen, South Dakota, on the 20th March, 2018, commencing at 10:38 a.m.; before Cheri McComsey Wittler, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Notary	e th day of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		<ul> <li>HAVEN L. STUCK,</li> <li>LYNN, JACKSON, SHULTZ &amp; LEBRUN, P.C.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, P.O. Box 82501,</li> <li>Rapid City, South Dakota 57709,</li> <li>appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff;</li> <li>SCOTT N. HEIDEPRIEM and KASEY L. OLIVIER,</li> <li>HEIDEPRIEM, PURTELL, SIEGEL &amp; OLIVIER, L.L.P.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, 101 West 69th Street, Suite 105,</li> <li>Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57108,</li> <li>appearing on behalf of the Defendants and</li> <li>Third-Party Plaintiffs;</li> <li>JULIE DVORAK,</li> <li>SIEGEL, BARNETT &amp; SCHUTZ, L.L.P.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, P.O. Box 490,</li> <li>Aberdeen, South Dakota 57402-0490,</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	office of Siegel, Barnett & Schutz, L.L.P., 415 Sout Main Street, Aberdeen, South Dakota, on the 20th March, 2018, commencing at 10:38 a.m.; before Cheri McComsey Wittler, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Notary	e th day of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		<ul> <li>HAVEN L. STUCK,</li> <li>LYNN, JACKSON, SHULTZ &amp; LEBRUN, P.C.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, P.O. Box 82501,</li> <li>Rapid City, South Dakota 57709,</li> <li>appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff;</li> <li>SCOTT N. HEIDEPRIEM and KASEY L. OLIVIER,</li> <li>HEIDEPRIEM, PURTELL, SIEGEL &amp; OLIVIER, L.L.P.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, 101 West 69th Street, Suite 105,</li> <li>Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57108,</li> <li>appearing on behalf of the Defendants and</li> <li>Third-Party Plaintiffs;</li> <li>JULIE DVORAK,</li> <li>SIEGEL, BARNETT &amp; SCHUTZ, L.L.P.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, P.O. Box 490,</li> <li>Aberdeen, South Dakota 57402-0490,</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	office of Siegel, Barnett & Schutz, L.L.P., 415 Sout Main Street, Aberdeen, South Dakota, on the 20th March, 2018, commencing at 10:38 a.m.; before Cheri McComsey Wittler, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Notary	e th day of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		<ul> <li>HAVEN L. STUCK,</li> <li>LYNN, JACKSON, SHULTZ &amp; LEBRUN, P.C.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, P.O. Box 82501,</li> <li>Rapid City, South Dakota 57709,</li> <li>appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff;</li> <li>SCOTT N. HEIDEPRIEM and KASEY L. OLIVIER,</li> <li>HEIDEPRIEM, PURTELL, SIEGEL &amp; OLIVIER, L.L.P.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, 101 West 69th Street, Suite 105,</li> <li>Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57108,</li> <li>appearing on behalf of the Defendants and</li> <li>Third-Party Plaintiffs;</li> <li>JULIE DVORAK,</li> <li>SIEGEL, BARNETT &amp; SCHUTZ, L.L.P.,</li> <li>Attorneys at Law, P.O. Box 490,</li> <li>Aberdeen, South Dakota 57402-0490,</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	office of Siegel, Barnett & Schutz, L.L.P., 415 Sout Main Street, Aberdeen, South Dakota, on the 20th March, 2018, commencing at 10:38 a.m.; before Cheri McComsey Wittler, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Notary	e th i day of Public

	Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	File	ed 10/10/18 Page 2 of 61 PageID #: 2460 7
1	MS. OLIVIER: This is the time and the place for	1	Q. Your answers we also have a tendency to talk very
2	the individual deposition of Joop Bollen. Could the	2	quickly, so for the benefit of our court reporter, we try
3	court reporter please swear in the witness.	3	to make our answers as clear as possible.
4	JOOP BOLLEN,	4	If you don't understand something that I'm asking
5	called as a witness, being first duly sworn in the above	5	you, will you ask me to clarify?
6	cause, testified under oath as follows:	6	A. Yes.
7	EXAMINATION	7	Q. Okay. Did you talk to anyone other than your
8	BY MS. OLIVIER:	8	lawyers before you came here today about this deposition?
9	<b>Q.</b> Would you please state your name for the record.	9	A. No.
10	A. Joop Bollen.	10	<b>Q.</b> Did you review any documents before your deposition?
11	Q. Joop, what is your address here in Aberdeen?	11	A. Yes.
12	A. 1201 North Main Street.	12	Q. What did you review?
13	Q. Okay. Have you ever had your deposition taken	13	A. Yesterday I reviewed the State documents.
14	before?	14	Q. When you say "the State documents" are you talking
15	A. Yes.	15	about the
16	Q. How many times?	16	A. 900 pages.
17	A. I do not recall exactly, but my guess is about	17	<b>Q.</b> Okay. Did you review anything else?
18	three. Two, three.	18	A. A couple other documents you forwarded to me
19	<b>Q.</b> Could you tell me in what instances you had your	19	(indicating).
20	deposition taken?	20	<b>Q.</b> And what were those documents?
21	A. There was a case while I was still at Northern State	21	A. It's been a little while. It were I think my the
22	University, <u>Darley vs. the State of South Dakota</u> .	22	main thing were my answers to prior deposition.
23	<b>Q.</b> When was the next time?	23	<b>Q.</b> Okay. So your prior deposition?
24	A. I think it was all related to that particular case.	24	A. With the <u>Darley</u> case.
25	<b>Q.</b> Okay. So you were deposed three times in that case?	25	Q. Okay. What else?
	6		8
1	<ul> <li>A. That's a guess at three.</li> <li>Okay, Any other times that you've had your</li> </ul>	1	A. That's all I recall.
2	<b>Q.</b> Okay. Any other times that you've had your deposition taken?	2	<b>Q.</b> Did you review any of your written discovery answers?
	A. No.	4	A. Yes, I did.
5	Q. Have you ever given sworn testimony outside of a	5	<b>Q.</b> Okay. Anything else in connection with those that
6	deposition?	6	you reviewed?
7	A. Not that I know.	7	A. Not that I recall.
8	<b>Q.</b> Okay. I'm going to go over the rules of this	8	<b>Q.</b> Okay. Is there anything else that you did to
9	deposition. I know that you were here when Mary was	9	prepare for your deposition here today?
10	being deposed, but I'm just going to cover them again so	10	A. No.
11	it goes as smooth as possible. Okay?	11	Q. Okay. Joop, I know a little bit about your
12			
13	A. Okay.	12	background. You were born in the Netherlands; right?
1		12 13	background. You were born in the Netherlands; right? A. Correct.
14	A. Okay.		
14 15	<ul><li>A. Okay.</li><li>Q. First of all, all your answers have to be oral.</li></ul>	13	A. Correct.
	<ul><li>A. Okay.</li><li>Q. First of all, all your answers have to be oral.</li><li>You're doing a really good job of that. Our court</li></ul>	13 14	A. Correct. Q. Where?
15	<ul> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. First of all, all your answers have to be oral.</li> <li>You're doing a really good job of that. Our court</li> <li>reporter can't take down uh-huh, huh-uh, the shaking of</li> </ul>	13 14 15	<ul><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. Where?</li><li>A. Eindhoven.</li></ul>
15 16	<ul> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. First of all, all your answers have to be oral.</li> <li>You're doing a really good job of that. Our court reporter can't take down uh-huh, huh-uh, the shaking of the head. If you forget, it's common. I'll just remind</li> </ul>	13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Where?</li> <li>A. Eindhoven.</li> <li>Q. Eindhoven.</li> </ul>
15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. First of all, all your answers have to be oral.</li> <li>You're doing a really good job of that. Our court reporter can't take down uh-huh, huh-uh, the shaking of the head. If you forget, it's common. I'll just remind you and follow up with is that a yes or is that a no.</li> </ul>	13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Where?</li> <li>A. Eindhoven.</li> <li>Q. Eindhoven.</li> <li>How old were you when you decided you wanted to come</li> </ul>
15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. First of all, all your answers have to be oral.</li> <li>You're doing a really good job of that. Our court reporter can't take down uh-huh, huh-uh, the shaking of the head. If you forget, it's common. I'll just remind you and follow up with is that a yes or is that a no. Do you understand?</li> </ul>	13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Where?</li> <li>A. Eindhoven.</li> <li>Q. Eindhoven. How old were you when you decided you wanted to come to the United States?</li> </ul>
15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. First of all, all your answers have to be oral.</li> <li>You're doing a really good job of that. Our court reporter can't take down uh-huh, huh-uh, the shaking of the head. If you forget, it's common. I'll just remind you and follow up with is that a yes or is that a no. Do you understand?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Where?</li> <li>A. Eindhoven.</li> <li>Q. Eindhoven. How old were you when you decided you wanted to come to the United States?</li> <li>A. 17.</li> </ul>
15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. First of all, all your answers have to be oral.</li> <li>You're doing a really good job of that. Our court reporter can't take down uh-huh, huh-uh, the shaking of the head. If you forget, it's common. I'll just remind you and follow up with is that a yes or is that a no. Do you understand?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I will respect you and when you're talking I</li> </ul>	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Where?</li> <li>A. Eindhoven.</li> <li>Q. Eindhoven. How old were you when you decided you wanted to come to the United States?</li> <li>A. 17.</li> <li>Q. Why did you want to come here?</li> </ul>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. First of all, all your answers have to be oral.</li> <li>You're doing a really good job of that. Our court reporter can't take down uh-huh, huh-uh, the shaking of the head. If you forget, it's common. I'll just remind you and follow up with is that a yes or is that a no. Do you understand?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I will respect you and when you're talking I will make sure that I'm not talking over you, and I would</li> </ul>	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Where?</li> <li>A. Eindhoven.</li> <li>Q. Eindhoven. How old were you when you decided you wanted to come to the United States?</li> <li>A. 17.</li> <li>Q. Why did you want to come here?</li> <li>A. Something new. Excitement.</li> </ul>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. First of all, all your answers have to be oral.</li> <li>You're doing a really good job of that. Our court reporter can't take down uh-huh, huh-uh, the shaking of the head. If you forget, it's common. I'll just remind you and follow up with is that a yes or is that a no. Do you understand?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I will respect you and when you're talking I will make sure that I'm not talking over you, and I would ask that you do the same thing for me. Our court</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Where?</li> <li>A. Eindhoven.</li> <li>Q. Eindhoven. How old were you when you decided you wanted to come to the United States?</li> <li>A. 17.</li> <li>Q. Why did you want to come here?</li> <li>A. Something new. Excitement.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Talk to me about or explain schooling in the</li> </ul>

	Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	File	ed 10/10/18 Page 3 of 61 PageID #: 2461 11
1	decide what track you want to go into, whether you want	1	your master's degree you were here on a student visa?
2	to go the university track, more technical track. You	2	A. Correct.
3	can split up in different at least when I was there.	3	<b>Q.</b> And then was it a work visa?
4	<b>Q.</b> What did you choose when it came to that point?	4	A. H-1 visa.
5	A. It was more professional track.	5	<b>Q.</b> Who helped you apply for the different visas?
6	<b>Q.</b> Okay. What does that mean?	6	A. Continental Grain.
7	A. It's not academic, but it can lead to either	7	Q. How long were you with Continental Grain?
8	university track or some skill set that would prepare you	8	A. Approximately two years. Year and a half, two
9	for the workforce right away.	9	years.
10	Q. Okay. And when did how old were you when you had	10	Q. What did you do then?
11	to make that decision?	11	A. I first Pyush Patel had more capital and
12	A. About 12.	12	requested me to go into business with him.
13	<b>Q.</b> And what did you do after you made that decision?	13	Q. How did you meet him?
14	Did you go into work?	14	A. We went to the same community college and graduate
15	A. No. I just went to school.	15	school.
16	Q. Okay.	16	Q. Okay. And he came to you, and he said what?
17	A. To high school in the Netherlands.	17	A. He requested I didn't have capital. He had the
18	Q. Okay. And when did you graduate?	18	capital, but he felt that I had more business acumen than
19	A. I actually graduated from an American high school.	19	he did so he wanted me to come to work with him.
20	<b>Q.</b> Okay. And where was that?	20	<b>Q.</b> And where did he work?
21	A. In California.	21	A. In Atlanta, Georgia.
22	<b>Q.</b> Did you come to the United States with your parents?	22	Q. Atlanta, Georgia?
23	A. No. I came alone as an exchange student.	23	A. Well, he didn't work at Atlanta, but we started
24	<b>Q.</b> As an exchange student. What high school did you	24	he first worked in L.A. at a company, and then together
25	come to?	25	our intent was to work in Atlanta, Georgia.
	10		12
			12
1	A. El Camino Real.	1	Q. What were you going to do in Atlanta?
1 2	<ul><li>A. El Camino Real.</li><li>Q. Did you stay in the United States after high school?</li></ul>	1 2	<ul><li>Q. What were you going to do in Atlanta?</li><li>A. Anything that made money. But there was some kind</li></ul>
2 3	<ul> <li>A. El Camino Real.</li> <li>Q. Did you stay in the United States after high school?</li> <li>A. Yes. Pretty much, yes. I went to school in the</li> </ul>	2 3	<ul><li>Q. What were you going to do in Atlanta?</li><li>A. Anything that made money. But there was some kind of separation so I went to work for a bank in New York.</li></ul>
2 3 4	<ul> <li>A. El Camino Real.</li> <li>Q. Did you stay in the United States after high school?</li> <li>A. Yes. Pretty much, yes. I went to school in the United States.</li> </ul>	2	<ul><li>Q. What were you going to do in Atlanta?</li><li>A. Anything that made money. But there was some kind</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. El Camino Real.</li> <li>Q. Did you stay in the United States after high school?</li> <li>A. Yes. Pretty much, yes. I went to school in the United States.</li> <li>Q. Where did you go to school?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. What were you going to do in Atlanta?</li> <li>A. Anything that made money. But there was some kind of separation so I went to work for a bank in New York.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Talk to me about that. So did you actually move to Atlanta? Did you start a business?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. El Camino Real.</li> <li>Q. Did you stay in the United States after high school?</li> <li>A. Yes. Pretty much, yes. I went to school in the United States.</li> <li>Q. Where did you go to school?</li> <li>A. After El Camino Real, I received my high school</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. What were you going to do in Atlanta?</li> <li>A. Anything that made money. But there was some kind of separation so I went to work for a bank in New York.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Talk to me about that. So did you actually move to Atlanta? Did you start a business?</li> <li>A. I started in Atlanta, but then I went back to the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. El Camino Real.</li> <li>Q. Did you stay in the United States after high school?</li> <li>A. Yes. Pretty much, yes. I went to school in the United States.</li> <li>Q. Where did you go to school?</li> <li>A. After El Camino Real, I received my high school diploma from a school called Calabasas High and went to a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. What were you going to do in Atlanta?</li> <li>A. Anything that made money. But there was some kind of separation so I went to work for a bank in New York.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Talk to me about that. So did you actually move to Atlanta? Did you start a business?</li> <li>A. I started in Atlanta, but then I went back to the Netherlands and was hired by a bank called J.P. Morgan.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. El Camino Real.</li> <li>Q. Did you stay in the United States after high school?</li> <li>A. Yes. Pretty much, yes. I went to school in the United States.</li> <li>Q. Where did you go to school?</li> <li>A. After El Camino Real, I received my high school diploma from a school called Calabasas High and went to a community college, and from there I transferred to UCLA.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. What were you going to do in Atlanta?</li> <li>A. Anything that made money. But there was some kind of separation so I went to work for a bank in New York.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Talk to me about that. So did you actually move to Atlanta? Did you start a business?</li> <li>A. I started in Atlanta, but then I went back to the Netherlands and was hired by a bank called J.P. Morgan.</li> <li>Q. In the Netherlands?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. El Camino Real.</li> <li>Q. Did you stay in the United States after high school?</li> <li>A. Yes. Pretty much, yes. I went to school in the United States.</li> <li>Q. Where did you go to school?</li> <li>A. After El Camino Real, I received my high school diploma from a school called Calabasas High and went to a community college, and from there I transferred to UCLA.</li> <li>Q. When did you graduate from UCLA?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. What were you going to do in Atlanta?</li> <li>A. Anything that made money. But there was some kind of separation so I went to work for a bank in New York.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Talk to me about that. So did you actually move to Atlanta? Did you start a business?</li> <li>A. I started in Atlanta, but then I went back to the Netherlands and was hired by a bank called J.P. Morgan.</li> <li>Q. In the Netherlands?</li> <li>A. Right. Right.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. El Camino Real.</li> <li>Q. Did you stay in the United States after high school?</li> <li>A. Yes. Pretty much, yes. I went to school in the United States.</li> <li>Q. Where did you go to school?</li> <li>A. After El Camino Real, I received my high school diploma from a school called Calabasas High and went to a community college, and from there I transferred to UCLA.</li> <li>Q. When did you graduate from UCLA?</li> <li>A. '86.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. What were you going to do in Atlanta?</li> <li>A. Anything that made money. But there was some kind of separation so I went to work for a bank in New York.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Talk to me about that. So did you actually move to Atlanta? Did you start a business?</li> <li>A. I started in Atlanta, but then I went back to the Netherlands and was hired by a bank called J.P. Morgan.</li> <li>Q. In the Netherlands?</li> <li>A. Right. Right.</li> <li>Q. How long were you in the Netherlands?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. El Camino Real.</li> <li>Q. Did you stay in the United States after high school?</li> <li>A. Yes. Pretty much, yes. I went to school in the United States.</li> <li>Q. Where did you go to school?</li> <li>A. After El Camino Real, I received my high school diploma from a school called Calabasas High and went to a community college, and from there I transferred to UCLA.</li> <li>Q. When did you graduate from UCLA?</li> <li>A. '86.</li> <li>Q. Then what did you do?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. What were you going to do in Atlanta?</li> <li>A. Anything that made money. But there was some kind of separation so I went to work for a bank in New York.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Talk to me about that. So did you actually move to Atlanta? Did you start a business?</li> <li>A. I started in Atlanta, but then I went back to the Netherlands and was hired by a bank called J.P. Morgan.</li> <li>Q. In the Netherlands?</li> <li>A. Right. Right.</li> <li>Q. How long were you in the Netherlands?</li> <li>A. A couple months. And then I went back to New York</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. El Camino Real.</li> <li>Q. Did you stay in the United States after high school?</li> <li>A. Yes. Pretty much, yes. I went to school in the United States.</li> <li>Q. Where did you go to school?</li> <li>A. After El Camino Real, I received my high school diploma from a school called Calabasas High and went to a community college, and from there I transferred to UCLA.</li> <li>Q. When did you graduate from UCLA?</li> <li>A. '86.</li> <li>Q. Then what did you do?</li> <li>A. I went to a graduate school in Arizona.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. What were you going to do in Atlanta?</li> <li>A. Anything that made money. But there was some kind of separation so I went to work for a bank in New York.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Talk to me about that. So did you actually move to Atlanta? Did you start a business?</li> <li>A. I started in Atlanta, but then I went back to the Netherlands and was hired by a bank called J.P. Morgan.</li> <li>Q. In the Netherlands?</li> <li>A. Right. Right.</li> <li>Q. How long were you in the Netherlands?</li> <li>A. A couple months. And then I went back to New York for training at J.P. Morgan.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. El Camino Real.</li> <li>Q. Did you stay in the United States after high school?</li> <li>A. Yes. Pretty much, yes. I went to school in the United States.</li> <li>Q. Where did you go to school?</li> <li>A. After El Camino Real, I received my high school diploma from a school called Calabasas High and went to a community college, and from there I transferred to UCLA.</li> <li>Q. When did you graduate from UCLA?</li> <li>A. '86.</li> <li>Q. Then what did you do?</li> <li>A. I went to a graduate school in Arizona.</li> <li>Q. And what degree were you pursuing?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. What were you going to do in Atlanta?</li> <li>A. Anything that made money. But there was some kind of separation so I went to work for a bank in New York.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Talk to me about that. So did you actually move to Atlanta? Did you start a business?</li> <li>A. I started in Atlanta, but then I went back to the Netherlands and was hired by a bank called J.P. Morgan.</li> <li>Q. In the Netherlands?</li> <li>A. Right. Right.</li> <li>Q. How long were you in the Netherlands?</li> <li>A. A couple months. And then I went back to New York for training at J.P. Morgan.</li> <li>Q. What year or time period is this?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. El Camino Real.</li> <li>Q. Did you stay in the United States after high school?</li> <li>A. Yes. Pretty much, yes. I went to school in the United States.</li> <li>Q. Where did you go to school?</li> <li>A. After El Camino Real, I received my high school diploma from a school called Calabasas High and went to a community college, and from there I transferred to UCLA.</li> <li>Q. When did you graduate from UCLA?</li> <li>A. '86.</li> <li>Q. Then what did you do?</li> <li>A. I went to a graduate school in Arizona.</li> <li>Q. And what degree were you pursuing?</li> <li>A. At that time it was called a master degree in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. What were you going to do in Atlanta?</li> <li>A. Anything that made money. But there was some kind of separation so I went to work for a bank in New York.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Talk to me about that. So did you actually move to Atlanta? Did you start a business?</li> <li>A. I started in Atlanta, but then I went back to the Netherlands and was hired by a bank called J.P. Morgan.</li> <li>Q. In the Netherlands?</li> <li>A. Right. Right.</li> <li>Q. How long were you in the Netherlands?</li> <li>A. A couple months. And then I went back to New York for training at J.P. Morgan.</li> <li>Q. What year or time period is this?</li> <li>A. 1990.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. El Camino Real.</li> <li>Q. Did you stay in the United States after high school?</li> <li>A. Yes. Pretty much, yes. I went to school in the United States.</li> <li>Q. Where did you go to school?</li> <li>A. After El Camino Real, I received my high school diploma from a school called Calabasas High and went to a community college, and from there I transferred to UCLA.</li> <li>Q. When did you graduate from UCLA?</li> <li>A. '86.</li> <li>Q. Then what did you do?</li> <li>A. I went to a graduate school in Arizona.</li> <li>Q. And what degree were you pursuing?</li> <li>A. At that time it was called a master degree in international management.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. What were you going to do in Atlanta?</li> <li>A. Anything that made money. But there was some kind of separation so I went to work for a bank in New York.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Talk to me about that. So did you actually move to Atlanta? Did you start a business?</li> <li>A. I started in Atlanta, but then I went back to the Netherlands and was hired by a bank called J.P. Morgan.</li> <li>Q. In the Netherlands?</li> <li>A. Right. Right.</li> <li>Q. How long were you in the Netherlands?</li> <li>A. A couple months. And then I went back to New York for training at J.P. Morgan.</li> <li>Q. What year or time period is this?</li> <li>A. 1990.</li> <li>Q. 1990. When you came back to the United States to do</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. El Camino Real.</li> <li>Q. Did you stay in the United States after high school?</li> <li>A. Yes. Pretty much, yes. I went to school in the United States.</li> <li>Q. Where did you go to school?</li> <li>A. After El Camino Real, I received my high school diploma from a school called Calabasas High and went to a community college, and from there I transferred to UCLA.</li> <li>Q. When did you graduate from UCLA?</li> <li>A. '86.</li> <li>Q. Then what did you do?</li> <li>A. I went to a graduate school in Arizona.</li> <li>Q. And what degree were you pursuing?</li> <li>A. At that time it was called a master degree in international management.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. What were you going to do in Atlanta?</li> <li>A. Anything that made money. But there was some kind of separation so I went to work for a bank in New York.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Talk to me about that. So did you actually move to Atlanta? Did you start a business?</li> <li>A. I started in Atlanta, but then I went back to the Netherlands and was hired by a bank called J.P. Morgan.</li> <li>Q. In the Netherlands?</li> <li>A. Right. Right.</li> <li>Q. How long were you in the Netherlands?</li> <li>A. A couple months. And then I went back to New York for training at J.P. Morgan.</li> <li>Q. What year or time period is this?</li> <li>A. 1990.</li> <li>Q. 1990. When you came back to the United States to do training with J.P. Morgan were you on a work visa?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. El Camino Real.</li> <li>Q. Did you stay in the United States after high school?</li> <li>A. Yes. Pretty much, yes. I went to school in the United States.</li> <li>Q. Where did you go to school?</li> <li>A. After El Camino Real, I received my high school diploma from a school called Calabasas High and went to a community college, and from there I transferred to UCLA.</li> <li>Q. When did you graduate from UCLA?</li> <li>A. '86.</li> <li>Q. Then what did you do?</li> <li>A. I went to a graduate school in Arizona.</li> <li>Q. And what degree were you pursuing?</li> <li>A. At that time it was called a master degree in international management.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It turned into an MBA.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. What were you going to do in Atlanta?</li> <li>A. Anything that made money. But there was some kind of separation so I went to work for a bank in New York.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Talk to me about that. So did you actually move to Atlanta? Did you start a business?</li> <li>A. I started in Atlanta, but then I went back to the Netherlands and was hired by a bank called J.P. Morgan.</li> <li>Q. In the Netherlands?</li> <li>A. Right. Right.</li> <li>Q. How long were you in the Netherlands?</li> <li>A. A couple months. And then I went back to New York for training at J.P. Morgan.</li> <li>Q. What year or time period is this?</li> <li>A. 1990.</li> <li>Q. 1990. When you came back to the United States to do training with J.P. Morgan were you on a work visa?</li> <li>A. No. It was really an intense training program.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. El Camino Real.</li> <li>Q. Did you stay in the United States after high school?</li> <li>A. Yes. Pretty much, yes. I went to school in the United States.</li> <li>Q. Where did you go to school?</li> <li>A. After El Camino Real, I received my high school diploma from a school called Calabasas High and went to a community college, and from there I transferred to UCLA.</li> <li>Q. When did you graduate from UCLA?</li> <li>A. '86.</li> <li>Q. Then what did you do?</li> <li>A. I went to a graduate school in Arizona.</li> <li>Q. And what degree were you pursuing?</li> <li>A. At that time it was called a master degree in international management.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It turned into an MBA.</li> <li>Q. When did you get your MBA?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. What were you going to do in Atlanta?</li> <li>A. Anything that made money. But there was some kind of separation so I went to work for a bank in New York.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Talk to me about that. So did you actually move to Atlanta? Did you start a business?</li> <li>A. I started in Atlanta, but then I went back to the Netherlands and was hired by a bank called J.P. Morgan.</li> <li>Q. In the Netherlands?</li> <li>A. Right. Right.</li> <li>Q. How long were you in the Netherlands?</li> <li>A. A couple months. And then I went back to New York for training at J.P. Morgan.</li> <li>Q. What year or time period is this?</li> <li>A. 1990.</li> <li>Q. 1990. When you came back to the United States to do training with J.P. Morgan were you on a work visa?</li> <li>A. No. It was really an intense training program.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. El Camino Real.</li> <li>Q. Did you stay in the United States after high school?</li> <li>A. Yes. Pretty much, yes. I went to school in the United States.</li> <li>Q. Where did you go to school?</li> <li>A. After El Camino Real, I received my high school diploma from a school called Calabasas High and went to a community college, and from there I transferred to UCLA.</li> <li>Q. When did you graduate from UCLA?</li> <li>A. '86.</li> <li>Q. Then what did you do?</li> <li>A. I went to a graduate school in Arizona.</li> <li>Q. And what degree were you pursuing?</li> <li>A. At that time it was called a master degree in international management.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It turned into an MBA.</li> <li>Q. When did you get your MBA?</li> <li>A. '87.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. What were you going to do in Atlanta?</li> <li>A. Anything that made money. But there was some kind of separation so I went to work for a bank in New York.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Talk to me about that. So did you actually move to Atlanta? Did you start a business?</li> <li>A. I started in Atlanta, but then I went back to the Netherlands and was hired by a bank called J.P. Morgan.</li> <li>Q. In the Netherlands?</li> <li>A. Right. Right.</li> <li>Q. How long were you in the Netherlands?</li> <li>A. A couple months. And then I went back to New York for training at J.P. Morgan.</li> <li>Q. What year or time period is this?</li> <li>A. 1990.</li> <li>Q. 1990. When you came back to the United States to do training with J.P. Morgan were you on a work visa?</li> <li>A. No. It was really an intense training program.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. To get ready to work in either the intent was</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. El Camino Real.</li> <li>Q. Did you stay in the United States after high school?</li> <li>A. Yes. Pretty much, yes. I went to school in the United States.</li> <li>Q. Where did you go to school?</li> <li>A. After El Camino Real, I received my high school diploma from a school called Calabasas High and went to a community college, and from there I transferred to UCLA.</li> <li>Q. When did you graduate from UCLA?</li> <li>A. '86.</li> <li>Q. Then what did you do?</li> <li>A. I went to a graduate school in Arizona.</li> <li>Q. And what degree were you pursuing?</li> <li>A. At that time it was called a master degree in international management.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It turned into an MBA.</li> <li>Q. When did you get your MBA?</li> <li>A. '87.</li> <li>Q. In what year?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. What were you going to do in Atlanta?</li> <li>A. Anything that made money. But there was some kind of separation so I went to work for a bank in New York.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Talk to me about that. So did you actually move to Atlanta? Did you start a business?</li> <li>A. I started in Atlanta, but then I went back to the Netherlands and was hired by a bank called J.P. Morgan.</li> <li>Q. In the Netherlands?</li> <li>A. Right. Right.</li> <li>Q. How long were you in the Netherlands?</li> <li>A. A couple months. And then I went back to New York for training at J.P. Morgan.</li> <li>Q. What year or time period is this?</li> <li>A. 1990.</li> <li>Q. 1990. When you came back to the United States to do training with J.P. Morgan were you on a work visa?</li> <li>A. No. It was really an intense training program.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. To get ready to work in either the intent was either New York or Switzerland or London.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. El Camino Real.</li> <li>Q. Did you stay in the United States after high school?</li> <li>A. Yes. Pretty much, yes. I went to school in the United States.</li> <li>Q. Where did you go to school?</li> <li>A. After El Camino Real, I received my high school diploma from a school called Calabasas High and went to a community college, and from there I transferred to UCLA.</li> <li>Q. When did you graduate from UCLA?</li> <li>A. '86.</li> <li>Q. Then what did you do?</li> <li>A. I went to a graduate school in Arizona.</li> <li>Q. And what degree were you pursuing?</li> <li>A. At that time it was called a master degree in international management.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It turned into an MBA.</li> <li>Q. When did you get your MBA?</li> <li>A. '87.</li> <li>Q. In what year?</li> <li>A. 1987.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. What were you going to do in Atlanta?</li> <li>A. Anything that made money. But there was some kind of separation so I went to work for a bank in New York.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Talk to me about that. So did you actually move to Atlanta? Did you start a business?</li> <li>A. I started in Atlanta, but then I went back to the Netherlands and was hired by a bank called J.P. Morgan.</li> <li>Q. In the Netherlands?</li> <li>A. Right. Right.</li> <li>Q. How long were you in the Netherlands?</li> <li>A. A couple months. And then I went back to New York for training at J.P. Morgan.</li> <li>Q. What year or time period is this?</li> <li>A. 1990.</li> <li>Q. 1990. When you came back to the United States to do training with J.P. Morgan were you on a work visa?</li> <li>A. No. It was really an intense training program.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. To get ready to work in either the intent was either New York or Switzerland or London.</li> <li>Q. How long was the training program?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. El Camino Real.</li> <li>Q. Did you stay in the United States after high school?</li> <li>A. Yes. Pretty much, yes. I went to school in the United States.</li> <li>Q. Where did you go to school?</li> <li>A. After El Camino Real, I received my high school</li> <li>diploma from a school called Calabasas High and went to a community college, and from there I transferred to UCLA.</li> <li>Q. When did you graduate from UCLA?</li> <li>A. '86.</li> <li>Q. Then what did you do?</li> <li>A. I went to a graduate school in Arizona.</li> <li>Q. And what degree were you pursuing?</li> <li>A. At that time it was called a master degree in international management.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It turned into an MBA.</li> <li>Q. When did you get your MBA?</li> <li>A. '87.</li> <li>Q. In what year?</li> <li>A. 1987.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Then what did you do?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. What were you going to do in Atlanta?</li> <li>A. Anything that made money. But there was some kind of separation so I went to work for a bank in New York.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Talk to me about that. So did you actually move to Atlanta? Did you start a business?</li> <li>A. I started in Atlanta, but then I went back to the Netherlands and was hired by a bank called J.P. Morgan.</li> <li>Q. In the Netherlands?</li> <li>A. Right. Right.</li> <li>Q. How long were you in the Netherlands?</li> <li>A. A couple months. And then I went back to New York for training at J.P. Morgan.</li> <li>Q. What year or time period is this?</li> <li>A. 1990.</li> <li>Q. 1990. When you came back to the United States to do training with J.P. Morgan were you on a work visa?</li> <li>A. No. It was really an intense training program.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. To get ready to work in either the intent was either New York or Switzerland or London.</li> <li>Q. How long was the training program?</li> <li>A. From what I recall, approximately three, four</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. El Camino Real.</li> <li>Q. Did you stay in the United States after high school?</li> <li>A. Yes. Pretty much, yes. I went to school in the United States.</li> <li>Q. Where did you go to school?</li> <li>A. After El Camino Real, I received my high school diploma from a school called Calabasas High and went to a community college, and from there I transferred to UCLA.</li> <li>Q. When did you graduate from UCLA?</li> <li>A. '86.</li> <li>Q. Then what did you do?</li> <li>A. I went to a graduate school in Arizona.</li> <li>Q. And what degree were you pursuing?</li> <li>A. At that time it was called a master degree in intermational management.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It turned into an MBA.</li> <li>Q. When did you get your MBA?</li> <li>A. '87.</li> <li>Q. In what year?</li> <li>A. 1987.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Then what did you do?</li> <li>A. My first job assignment was with Continental Grain</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. What were you going to do in Atlanta?</li> <li>A. Anything that made money. But there was some kind of separation so I went to work for a bank in New York.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Talk to me about that. So did you actually move to Atlanta? Did you start a business?</li> <li>A. I started in Atlanta, but then I went back to the Netherlands and was hired by a bank called J.P. Morgan.</li> <li>Q. In the Netherlands?</li> <li>A. Right. Right.</li> <li>Q. How long were you in the Netherlands?</li> <li>A. A couple months. And then I went back to New York for training at J.P. Morgan.</li> <li>Q. What year or time period is this?</li> <li>A. 1990.</li> <li>Q. 1990. When you came back to the United States to do training with J.P. Morgan were you on a work visa?</li> <li>A. No. It was really an intense training program.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. To get ready to work in either the intent was either New York or Switzerland or London.</li> <li>Q. How long was the training program?</li> <li>A. From what I recall, approximately three, four months.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. El Camino Real.</li> <li>Q. Did you stay in the United States after high school?</li> <li>A. Yes. Pretty much, yes. I went to school in the United States.</li> <li>Q. Where did you go to school?</li> <li>A. After El Camino Real, I received my high school</li> <li>diploma from a school called Calabasas High and went to a community college, and from there I transferred to UCLA.</li> <li>Q. When did you graduate from UCLA?</li> <li>A. '86.</li> <li>Q. Then what did you do?</li> <li>A. I went to a graduate school in Arizona.</li> <li>Q. And what degree were you pursuing?</li> <li>A. At that time it was called a master degree in international management.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It turned into an MBA.</li> <li>Q. When did you get your MBA?</li> <li>A. '87.</li> <li>Q. In what year?</li> <li>A. 1987.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Then what did you do?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. What were you going to do in Atlanta?</li> <li>A. Anything that made money. But there was some kind of separation so I went to work for a bank in New York.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Talk to me about that. So did you actually move to Atlanta? Did you start a business?</li> <li>A. I started in Atlanta, but then I went back to the Netherlands and was hired by a bank called J.P. Morgan.</li> <li>Q. In the Netherlands?</li> <li>A. Right. Right.</li> <li>Q. How long were you in the Netherlands?</li> <li>A. A couple months. And then I went back to New York for training at J.P. Morgan.</li> <li>Q. What year or time period is this?</li> <li>A. 1990.</li> <li>Q. 1990. When you came back to the United States to do training with J.P. Morgan were you on a work visa?</li> <li>A. No. It was really an intense training program.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. To get ready to work in either the intent was either New York or Switzerland or London.</li> <li>Q. How long was the training program?</li> <li>A. From what I recall, approximately three, four</li> </ul>

	Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	File	ed 10/10/18 Page 4 of 61 PageID #: 2462 15
1	A. Financial securities.	1	A. 1990. December, 1990. December 31, 1990. New
2	<b>Q.</b> Okay. What did you do after those four months?	2	Year's Eve.
3	<ul> <li>A. Mr. Patel wanted me to come back to work with him so</li> </ul>	3	Q. Did you apply for U.S. citizenship?
4	I decided at that point that I thought it might be more	4	A. Yes.
5	interesting to work as my own boss and not have a boss.	5	Q. When did you apply for U.S. citizenship?
6	Q. Okay. So what happened?	6	A. Immediately.
7	A. I went to Atlanta, and I started searching for	7	Q. Immediately?
8	businesses that made financial sense.	8	A. Uh-huh. From what I recall, yes.
9	<b>Q.</b> And what kind of visa were you here on at that time?	9	<b>Q.</b> Do you remember when you were granted citizenship?
10	A. Let's see. What was that?	10	A. Citizenship was in '95.
11	I think it was just at that time still a tourist	11	<b>Q.</b> Okay. So you moved back to Aberdeen. What year did
12	visa because I wasn't earning any money. Part of the	12	you move back to Aberdeen?
13	visa waiver program.	13	A. I think it was very early 1990. Had to be somewhere
14	<b>Q.</b> So did you ever actually beyond the intense	14	in 1990.
15	training program, did you actually ever work for	15	<b>Q</b> . Okay. What did you do when you got back to
16	J.P. Morgan?	16	Aberdeen?
17	A. No. Not actively work.	17	A. At that time I looked for a job, and there was a
18	Q. So you end this training program, and you go to	18	brokerage firm called Kenards [phonetic] that was looking
19	Atlanta, Georgia.	19	for somebody.
20	A. Uh-huh.	20	<b>Q</b> . And did they hire you?
21	<b>Q.</b> And what happens there?	21	A. Yes. Yes. The dates are a little bit confusing,
22	A. Pyush and I looked for a liquor store, found a	22	but yes.
23	liquor store, and he purchased a liquor store.	23	<b>Q</b> . That's okay.
24	Q. Why a liquor store?	24	So what did you do for this brokerage firm?
25	A. Just made the bottom line made sense.	25	A. Pretty much sell financial instruments.
	14		16
1	<b>Q.</b> Okay. Was it a big liquor store? Small liquor	1	<b>Q.</b> Okay. How long were you with the brokerage firm?
2		2	A. You know, my guess is about two years, but I really
3	A. Maybe about a million, revenues at that time.	3	do not recall the details on that.
4	Q. Okay. And did you work for the liquor store?	4	Q. What did you do after the brokerage firm?
5	A. No. It was pretty much he and I had a fallout, and	5	A. Northern State University was looking for somebody
6	that is when because while I was here my ex-wife was	6	to set up an international department.
7 8	from here so that's how I ended up coming back here.	7	<ul><li>Q. Okay. Tell me about that.</li><li>A. Northern State had an academic program and also</li></ul>
9	Q. Okay. And when you say "coming back here" are you talking about South Dakota?	9	A. Northern State had an academic program and also wanted to develop an outreach program that would help
10	A. Aberdeen.	10	that would anchor a closer relationship with the U.S.
11	Q. Aberdeen. Okay.	11	Department of Commerce and GOED to assist companies in
12	So how long were you in Atlanta?	12	South Dakota with their exports.
13	A. I think it was probably less than a it wasn't	13	Q. Were they working with the Governor's Office at that
14	that long. My guess is anywhere from three to six	14	point in time?
15	months.	15	A. No.
16	<b>Q.</b> Okay.	16	<b>Q.</b> Okay. So they want to create an international
17	A. Yeah.	17	program. How do they get connected with you?
18	Q. What did the falling out between you and Mr. Patel	18	A. The mayor of Aberdeen suggested that I go talk to
19	involve?	19	Northern State University.
20	A. I don't even recall, to be honest.	20	<b>Q.</b> Were you friends with the mayor at that time?
21	<b>Q.</b> Okay. How did you meet your ex-wife?	21	A. I did one transaction with him. I bought one piece
22	A. While I was with Continental Grain here is when I	22	of real estate from him.
23	met her. Continental Grain sent me from Chicago to	23	<b>Q.</b> Okay. So he suggested you apply for the position?
24	Minneapolis to here to get a feel of the country.	24	A. Right.
25	Q. Okay. When did you get married?	25	<b>Q.</b> And what was the name of the position that you were

## Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1 Filed 10/10/18 Page 5 of 61 PageID #: 2463 19 1 1 applying for? leads, and how you develop distribution channels. 2 2 A. There was no name. It was just an idea to develop We provided opportunities for them to advertise 3 3 their products internationally. We also provided them an international outreach program, but there was no 4 structure. It was pretty much come do it, see if you can 4 with -- and I'm just quickly trying to recall because 5 put something together. 5 this was a long time ago, but access to credit reports 6 Okay. So when did you start at the college? 6 and foreign buyers and develop relationship with Q. 7 Α. I think I already was kind of watching or went over 7 consultants nationwide who really know the field that I 8 the end of '93, but I think officially was in '94, very, 8 would invite them to speak about in our series of 9 9 very early in '94 that I started my employment there. workshops. 10 Q. Did you have an office on campus? 10 Q. Where were your workshops held? 11 Yes. 11 Α. Α. **RDTN it was called.** 12 Q. Where was your office? 12 Q. Okay. 13 Δ Graham Hall. 13 Δ So we would have one main site where we would have 14 Q. Did you work with students? 14 the speakers, and there would be several satellite sites 15 15 Α. Yes. We used student labor. where interested company representatives could come --16 16 You called that RDT --Q. Okay. Explain what that means. Q. 17 Student labor is a way for students to earn extra 17 Α. RDTN it was called at that time. Α. 18 money while they are students at Northern. 18 Q. Where is RDTN located? 19 Okay. So kind of like a work study program? 19 RDTN is a statewide system for communication. You Q. Α. 20 Right. Uh-huh. 20 could really communicate from any of the different Α. 21 21 Q. Okay. How many students would work for you at one satellite sites. 22 22 Q. Okay. Would it be something that would be similar time? 23 Α. Not many. Work, about three, but we had -- we 23 to like an ITV now? 24 developed a lot of programs where a lot of students did 24 Α. Yeah. I think so. 25 25 get certification in international business. Q. Okay. And would you just do these seminars from 18 20 1 your office? Q. Tell me what programs -- well, let's go back. 1 2 So you take this position. They give you an office 2 Δ No. We would go to the RDTN center at Northern 3 at Graham Hall. What is the first thing you do? 3 State University. 4 Α. Analyze the market, see what -- what I think of --4 Q. Okay. So they actually had a center -what the demand is and what is not covered. 5 5 Α. Riaht. 6 -- where they would film you --Q. And what did you find out of that analyzing? 6 Q. 7 I found that South Dakota was virgin territory. 7 Right. Α. Α. 8 There was a lot I could do here. 8 Okav. Q. 9 Q. Okay. And what were you looking to do at that 9 Α. It's not film. It's live. Interactive. 10 10 point? Q. Okay. And where were the other satellite sites? 11 Α. Well, my main goal was to increase exports from 11 Α. Sioux Falls, Rapid City, Spearfish, Mitchell, 12 South Dakota. So I felt that I had to provide the tools 12 Watertown. 13 13 of the trade to the companies here. Q. Who would assist you in putting on the seminars? 14 Q. And when you mean "increase exports," what type of 14 Α. I would hire people who were the experts in the 15 exports did you want to --15 field. 16 Manufactured goods. Not agricultural goods. 16 **Q.** So give me an example of a seminar that you remember Α. 17 17 0 Were there any companies in particular that you were holding and who you would have hired. 18 18 focused on? Α. Payment terms I would go through, for example, 19 Α. There's just a lot of companies. There were quite a 19 Wells Fargo, talk to their international division, 20 20 few companies. Over 100. explain to them that it's in their financial interest to 21 Okay. So you figure out that you need to increase 21 send their lead expert here and communicate to letters --Q. 22 exports and that you want to help do this through 22 payment terms, and through that they could develop new 23 23 manufacturing. What do you do next? relationships with South Dakota companies and hopefully 24 I developed a series of workshops to teach companies 24 develop a business relationship with the companies in Α. 25 how to -- how you do export, how you obtain foreign trade 25 South Dakota.

	Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	Filed 10/10/18 Page 6 of 61 PageID #: 2464 23
1	<b>Q.</b> Okay. Was this successful?	1 In addition Janklow under the Janklow
2	A. Yes.	2 administration there was a contract, an annual renewable
3	<b>Q.</b> How long were you doing these workshops?	3 contract, where they would pay Northern State University
4	A. Even when we started foreign investment they were	4 for me to do the work for the State.
5	still ongoing. I just oversaw it. My guess, 15 years or	<b>5 Q.</b> Okay.
6	something like that.	6 A. And because Hilpert wanted to anchor the
7	<b>Q.</b> 15 years?	7 relationship even wider with GOED, they asked me what can
8	A. Let's say 10 to 15 years.	8 I do? And I said, Well, I know that the State has been
9	Q. Okay. You had mentioned that you also wanted to	9 trying to attract foreign investment, but they never had
10	develop relationships with international consultants.	10 any success. So that is something that I think I could
11	A. Uh-huh.	11 contribute.
12	<b>Q.</b> Is that correct?	12 Q. Okay. Let me just ask a question. Why put this
13	A. Uh-huh.	<b>13</b> into the University or have this at the University? Why
14	Q. How did you meet these international consultants?	14 doesn't GOED just do it themselves? They could hire you,
15	A. Just call. Phone call.	<b>15</b> and you could work directly for them.
16	Q. How would you know who to call?	16 A. I think that's a question you can ask GOED. You
17	A. Well, it makes sense if you, for example, want a	17 know, I would just be speculating.
18	bank expert, you go to those banks that have an	<b>18 Q</b> . Okay. Did you know the Governor?
19	international department, and you just do your research	19 A. I had he asked me to come to Pierre and talk to
20	and make communicating.	20 him. So I didn't really know him personally on a social
21	Q. Okay. Did you develop any long-term, lasting	21 basis, but we just had a conversation with the Governor.
22	relationships with these consultants?	<b>22 Q</b> . Sure. When did you go up there to talk to him?
23	A. Yes. I did have a relationship, but just in a	23 A. I do not know the exact date, but it was President
24	professional standpoint.	24 Hutchinson, myself, and the dean of the business school,
25	Q. Okay.	25 Clyde Arnold.
	22	24
1	A. And then I started going towards foreign investment,	<b>1 Q.</b> And what did you and Governor Janklow and the rest
2	and at that point that relationship watered away a little	2 of the group discuss?
3	bit.	3 A. Janklow just wanted to have a picture of what I
4	Q. Okay. So explain why you choose to go to foreign	
		4 think he heard about what we were doing at Northern and
5	investments instead of exports.	5 probably liked what he heard. So he just wanted to have
6	A. Under the Janklow administration it was very clear	<ul> <li>5 probably liked what he heard. So he just wanted to have</li> <li>6 a picture of how to efficiently manage the international</li> </ul>
6 7	A. Under the Janklow administration it was very clear that they wanted export promotion first. It	<ul> <li>5 probably liked what he heard. So he just wanted to have</li> <li>6 a picture of how to efficiently manage the international</li> <li>7 business for South Dakota.</li> </ul>
6 7 8	A. Under the Janklow administration it was very clear that they wanted export promotion first. It eventually the effect was that Janklow transferred the	<ul> <li>5 probably liked what he heard. So he just wanted to have</li> <li>6 a picture of how to efficiently manage the international</li> <li>7 business for South Dakota.</li> <li>8 Q. And what did you tell him was the most efficient way</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9	A. Under the Janklow administration it was very clear that they wanted export promotion first. It eventually the effect was that Janklow transferred the international department to Northern for export	<ul> <li>5 probably liked what he heard. So he just wanted to have</li> <li>6 a picture of how to efficiently manage the international</li> <li>7 business for South Dakota.</li> <li>8 Q. And what did you tell him was the most efficient way</li> <li>9 to manage that?</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10	A. Under the Janklow administration it was very clear that they wanted export promotion first. It eventually the effect was that Janklow transferred the international department to Northern for export promotion.	<ul> <li>5 probably liked what he heard. So he just wanted to have</li> <li>6 a picture of how to efficiently manage the international</li> <li>7 business for South Dakota.</li> <li>8 Q. And what did you tell him was the most efficient way</li> <li>9 to manage that?</li> <li>10 A. I just laid out what I believed the facts were. And</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Under the Janklow administration it was very clear that they wanted export promotion first. It eventually the effect was that Janklow transferred the international department to Northern for export promotion. The relationship for Northern State was looked upon	<ul> <li>5 probably liked what he heard. So he just wanted to have</li> <li>6 a picture of how to efficiently manage the international</li> <li>7 business for South Dakota.</li> <li>8 Q. And what did you tell him was the most efficient way</li> <li>9 to manage that?</li> <li>10 A. I just laid out what I believed the facts were. And</li> <li>11 I think he appreciated what I said so</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Under the Janklow administration it was very clear that they wanted export promotion first. It eventually the effect was that Janklow transferred the international department to Northern for export promotion. The relationship for Northern State was looked upon as very favorable. I remember Hilpert coming to me, the	<ul> <li>5 probably liked what he heard. So he just wanted to have</li> <li>6 a picture of how to efficiently manage the international</li> <li>7 business for South Dakota.</li> <li>8 Q. And what did you tell him was the most efficient way</li> <li>9 to manage that?</li> <li>10 A. I just laid out what I believed the facts were. And</li> <li>11 I think he appreciated what I said so</li> <li>12 Q. What were the facts?</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Under the Janklow administration it was very clear that they wanted export promotion first. It eventually the effect was that Janklow transferred the international department to Northern for export promotion. The relationship for Northern State was looked upon as very favorable. I remember Hilpert coming to me, the president, saying we want a closer relationship with	<ul> <li>5 probably liked what he heard. So he just wanted to have</li> <li>6 a picture of how to efficiently manage the international</li> <li>7 business for South Dakota.</li> <li>8 Q. And what did you tell him was the most efficient way</li> <li>9 to manage that?</li> <li>10 A. I just laid out what I believed the facts were. And</li> <li>11 I think he appreciated what I said so</li> <li>12 Q. What were the facts?</li> <li>13 A. That from an agricultural standpoint I didn't think</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Under the Janklow administration it was very clear that they wanted export promotion first. It eventually the effect was that Janklow transferred the international department to Northern for export promotion. The relationship for Northern State was looked upon as very favorable. I remember Hilpert coming to me, the president, saying we want a closer relationship with GOED. What else can we do? Because this was a good	<ul> <li>5 probably liked what he heard. So he just wanted to have</li> <li>6 a picture of how to efficiently manage the international</li> <li>7 business for South Dakota.</li> <li>8 Q. And what did you tell him was the most efficient way</li> <li>9 to manage that?</li> <li>10 A. I just laid out what I believed the facts were. And</li> <li>11 I think he appreciated what I said so</li> <li>12 Q. What were the facts?</li> <li>13 A. That from an agricultural standpoint I didn't think</li> <li>14 he had the distribution channels, that he had an accurate</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Under the Janklow administration it was very clear that they wanted export promotion first. It eventually the effect was that Janklow transferred the international department to Northern for export promotion. The relationship for Northern State was looked upon as very favorable. I remember Hilpert coming to me, the president, saying we want a closer relationship with GOED. What else can we do? Because this was a good revenue stream for Northern State University.	<ul> <li>5 probably liked what he heard. So he just wanted to have</li> <li>6 a picture of how to efficiently manage the international</li> <li>7 business for South Dakota.</li> <li>8 Q. And what did you tell him was the most efficient way</li> <li>9 to manage that?</li> <li>10 A. I just laid out what I believed the facts were. And</li> <li>11 I think he appreciated what I said so</li> <li>12 Q. What were the facts?</li> <li>13 A. That from an agricultural standpoint I didn't think</li> <li>14 he had the distribution channels, that he had an accurate</li> <li>15 picture. So I told him what I believed the accurate</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Under the Janklow administration it was very clear that they wanted export promotion first. It eventually the effect was that Janklow transferred the international department to Northern for export promotion.</li> <li>The relationship for Northern State was looked upon as very favorable. I remember Hilpert coming to me, the president, saying we want a closer relationship with GOED. What else can we do? Because this was a good revenue stream for Northern State University.</li> <li>Q. Okay. When you say it was "a good revenue stream"</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>5 probably liked what he heard. So he just wanted to have</li> <li>6 a picture of how to efficiently manage the international</li> <li>7 business for South Dakota.</li> <li>8 Q. And what did you tell him was the most efficient way</li> <li>9 to manage that?</li> <li>10 A. I just laid out what I believed the facts were. And</li> <li>11 I think he appreciated what I said so</li> <li>12 Q. What were the facts?</li> <li>13 A. That from an agricultural standpoint I didn't think</li> <li>14 he had the distribution channels, that he had an accurate</li> <li>15 picture. So I told him what I believed the accurate</li> <li>16 picture was.</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Under the Janklow administration it was very clear that they wanted export promotion first. It eventually the effect was that Janklow transferred the international department to Northern for export promotion.</li> <li>The relationship for Northern State was looked upon as very favorable. I remember Hilpert coming to me, the president, saying we want a closer relationship with GOED. What else can we do? Because this was a good revenue stream for Northern State University.</li> <li>Q. Okay. When you say it was "a good revenue stream" what do you mean?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>5 probably liked what he heard. So he just wanted to have</li> <li>6 a picture of how to efficiently manage the international</li> <li>7 business for South Dakota.</li> <li>8 Q. And what did you tell him was the most efficient way</li> <li>9 to manage that?</li> <li>10 A. I just laid out what I believed the facts were. And</li> <li>11 I think he appreciated what I said so</li> <li>12 Q. What were the facts?</li> <li>13 A. That from an agricultural standpoint I didn't think</li> <li>14 he had the distribution channels, that he had an accurate</li> <li>15 picture. So I told him what I believed the accurate</li> <li>16 picture was.</li> <li>17 Q. What was the accurate picture?</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Under the Janklow administration it was very clear that they wanted export promotion first. It eventually the effect was that Janklow transferred the international department to Northern for export promotion.</li> <li>The relationship for Northern State was looked upon as very favorable. I remember Hilpert coming to me, the president, saying we want a closer relationship with GOED. What else can we do? Because this was a good revenue stream for Northern State University.</li> <li>Q. Okay. When you say it was "a good revenue stream" what do you mean?</li> <li>A. Well, we set up a corporation called Northern Plains</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>5 probably liked what he heard. So he just wanted to have</li> <li>6 a picture of how to efficiently manage the international</li> <li>7 business for South Dakota.</li> <li>8 Q. And what did you tell him was the most efficient way</li> <li>9 to manage that?</li> <li>10 A. I just laid out what I believed the facts were. And</li> <li>11 I think he appreciated what I said so</li> <li>12 Q. What were the facts?</li> <li>13 A. That from an agricultural standpoint I didn't think</li> <li>14 he had the distribution channels, that he had an accurate</li> <li>15 picture. So I told him what I believed the accurate</li> <li>16 picture was.</li> <li>17 Q. What was the accurate picture?</li> <li>18 A. That that is not something they were really</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. Under the Janklow administration it was very clear that they wanted export promotion first. It eventually the effect was that Janklow transferred the international department to Northern for export promotion.</li> <li>The relationship for Northern State was looked upon as very favorable. I remember Hilpert coming to me, the president, saying we want a closer relationship with GOED. What else can we do? Because this was a good revenue stream for Northern State University.</li> <li>Q. Okay. When you say it was "a good revenue stream" what do you mean?</li> <li>A. Well, we set up a corporation called Northern Plains International, Incorporated, which the purpose was to</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>5 probably liked what he heard. So he just wanted to have</li> <li>6 a picture of how to efficiently manage the international</li> <li>7 business for South Dakota.</li> <li>8 Q. And what did you tell him was the most efficient way</li> <li>9 to manage that?</li> <li>10 A. I just laid out what I believed the facts were. And</li> <li>11 I think he appreciated what I said so</li> <li>12 Q. What were the facts?</li> <li>13 A. That from an agricultural standpoint I didn't think</li> <li>14 he had the distribution channels, that he had an accurate</li> <li>15 picture. So I told him what I believed the accurate</li> <li>16 picture was.</li> <li>17 Q. What was the accurate picture?</li> <li>18 A. That that is not something they were really</li> <li>19 controlled by the Continental Grains, the Cargills.</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Under the Janklow administration it was very clear that they wanted export promotion first. It eventually the effect was that Janklow transferred the international department to Northern for export promotion.</li> <li>The relationship for Northern State was looked upon as very favorable. I remember Hilpert coming to me, the president, saying we want a closer relationship with GOED. What else can we do? Because this was a good revenue stream for Northern State University.</li> <li>Q. Okay. When you say it was "a good revenue stream" what do you mean?</li> <li>A. Well, we set up a corporation called Northern Plains International, Incorporated, which the purpose was to generate funds for Northern to spend on different</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>5 probably liked what he heard. So he just wanted to have</li> <li>6 a picture of how to efficiently manage the international</li> <li>7 business for South Dakota.</li> <li>8 Q. And what did you tell him was the most efficient way</li> <li>9 to manage that?</li> <li>10 A. I just laid out what I believed the facts were. And</li> <li>11 I think he appreciated what I said so</li> <li>12 Q. What were the facts?</li> <li>13 A. That from an agricultural standpoint I didn't think</li> <li>14 he had the distribution channels, that he had an accurate</li> <li>15 picture. So I told him what I believed the accurate</li> <li>16 picture was.</li> <li>17 Q. What was the accurate picture?</li> <li>18 A. That that is not something they were really</li> <li>19 controlled by the Continental Grains, the Cargills.</li> <li>20 There's not much you can do. But on the manufacturing</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Under the Janklow administration it was very clear that they wanted export promotion first. It eventually the effect was that Janklow transferred the international department to Northern for export promotion.</li> <li>The relationship for Northern State was looked upon as very favorable. I remember Hilpert coming to me, the president, saying we want a closer relationship with GOED. What else can we do? Because this was a good revenue stream for Northern State University.</li> <li>Q. Okay. When you say it was "a good revenue stream" what do you mean?</li> <li>A. Well, we set up a corporation called Northern Plains International, Incorporated, which the purpose was to generate funds for Northern to spend on different academic international programs.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>5 probably liked what he heard. So he just wanted to have</li> <li>6 a picture of how to efficiently manage the international</li> <li>7 business for South Dakota.</li> <li>8 Q. And what did you tell him was the most efficient way</li> <li>9 to manage that?</li> <li>10 A. I just laid out what I believed the facts were. And</li> <li>11 I think he appreciated what I said so</li> <li>12 Q. What were the facts?</li> <li>13 A. That from an agricultural standpoint I didn't think</li> <li>14 he had the distribution channels, that he had an accurate</li> <li>15 picture. So I told him what I believed the accurate</li> <li>16 picture was.</li> <li>17 Q. What was the accurate picture?</li> <li>18 A. That that is not something they were really</li> <li>19 controlled by the Continental Grains, the Cargills.</li> <li>20 There's not much you can do. But on the manufacturing</li> <li>21 side there is a lot you can do.</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Under the Janklow administration it was very clear that they wanted export promotion first. It eventually the effect was that Janklow transferred the international department to Northern for export promotion.</li> <li>The relationship for Northern State was looked upon as very favorable. I remember Hilpert coming to me, the president, saying we want a closer relationship with GOED. What else can we do? Because this was a good revenue stream for Northern State University.</li> <li>Q. Okay. When you say it was "a good revenue stream" what do you mean?</li> <li>A. Well, we set up a corporation called Northern Plains International, Incorporated, which the purpose was to generate funds for Northern to spend on different academic international programs.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>5 probably liked what he heard. So he just wanted to have</li> <li>6 a picture of how to efficiently manage the international</li> <li>7 business for South Dakota.</li> <li>8 Q. And what did you tell him was the most efficient way</li> <li>9 to manage that?</li> <li>10 A. I just laid out what I believed the facts were. And</li> <li>11 I think he appreciated what I said so</li> <li>12 Q. What were the facts?</li> <li>13 A. That from an agricultural standpoint I didn't think</li> <li>14 he had the distribution channels, that he had an accurate</li> <li>15 picture. So I told him what I believed the accurate</li> <li>16 picture was.</li> <li>17 Q. What was the accurate picture?</li> <li>18 A. That that is not something they were really</li> <li>19 controlled by the Continental Grains, the Cargills.</li> <li>20 There's not much you can do.</li> <li>22 Q. So it's really the Continental Grain, the</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Under the Janklow administration it was very clear that they wanted export promotion first. It eventually the effect was that Janklow transferred the international department to Northern for export promotion.</li> <li>The relationship for Northern State was looked upon as very favorable. I remember Hilpert coming to me, the president, saying we want a closer relationship with GOED. What else can we do? Because this was a good revenue stream for Northern State University.</li> <li>Q. Okay. When you say it was "a good revenue stream" what do you mean?</li> <li>A. Well, we set up a corporation called Northern Plains International, Incorporated, which the purpose was to generate funds for Northern to spend on different academic international programs.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>5 probably liked what he heard. So he just wanted to have</li> <li>6 a picture of how to efficiently manage the international</li> <li>7 business for South Dakota.</li> <li>8 Q. And what did you tell him was the most efficient way</li> <li>9 to manage that?</li> <li>10 A. I just laid out what I believed the facts were. And</li> <li>11 think he appreciated what I said so</li> <li>12 Q. What were the facts?</li> <li>13 A. That from an agricultural standpoint I didn't think</li> <li>14 he had the distribution channels, that he had an accurate</li> <li>15 picture. So I told him what I believed the accurate</li> <li>16 picture was.</li> <li>17 Q. What was the accurate picture?</li> <li>18 A. That that is not something they were really</li> <li>19 controlled by the Continental Grains, the Cargills.</li> <li>20 There's not much you can do. But on the manufacturing</li> <li>21 side there is a lot you can do.</li> <li>22 Q. So it's really the Continental Grain, the</li> <li>23 A. Cargills, the Bunges, all these large companies.</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Under the Janklow administration it was very clear that they wanted export promotion first. It eventually the effect was that Janklow transferred the international department to Northern for export promotion.</li> <li>The relationship for Northern State was looked upon as very favorable. I remember Hilpert coming to me, the president, saying we want a closer relationship with GOED. What else can we do? Because this was a good revenue stream for Northern State University.</li> <li>Q. Okay. When you say it was "a good revenue stream" what do you mean?</li> <li>A. Well, we set up a corporation called Northern Plains International, Incorporated, which the purpose was to generate funds for Northern to spend on different academic international programs.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>5 probably liked what he heard. So he just wanted to have</li> <li>6 a picture of how to efficiently manage the international</li> <li>7 business for South Dakota.</li> <li>8 Q. And what did you tell him was the most efficient way</li> <li>9 to manage that?</li> <li>10 A. I just laid out what I believed the facts were. And</li> <li>11 I think he appreciated what I said so</li> <li>12 Q. What were the facts?</li> <li>13 A. That from an agricultural standpoint I didn't think</li> <li>14 he had the distribution channels, that he had an accurate</li> <li>15 picture. So I told him what I believed the accurate</li> <li>16 picture was.</li> <li>17 Q. What was the accurate picture?</li> <li>18 A. That that is not something they were really</li> <li>19 controlled by the Continental Grains, the Cargills.</li> <li>20 There's not much you can do.</li> <li>22 Q. So it's really the Continental Grain, the</li> </ul>

	Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	File	ed 10/10/18 Page 7 of 61 PageID #: 2465 27
1	<b>Q.</b> So since it's so difficult to add value to that	1	A. That was on a at that time the only way to do it
2	industry, you wanted to switch more to manufacturing	2	was on a nonimmigrant E-2 visa.
3	exports. Was there any way that you thought that you	3	<b>Q</b> . E-2.
4	could even break in and compete there with the grain	4	A. Treaty visa.
5	imports, exports?	5	<b>Q</b> . And what year was that about?
6	A. No. I didn't think I had a chance.	6	A. Had to be somewhere around 2000 to 2003.
7	Q. Okay. So what did he feel about the manufacturing	7	<b>Q.</b> So you fly to the Netherlands?
8	import and	8	A. Go to the Netherlands. And then I would invite
9	A. He liked it. He liked my plans, I think. So he	9	Department of Agriculture to come along to enhance the
10	sent me an e-mail if I had an interest to come to Pierre.	10	credibility.
11	But I told him, no, I didn't want to go to Pierre.	11	<b>Q</b> . Okay.
12	<b>Q.</b> To go live in Pierre?	12	A. Because the State involvement helps. And I would
13	A. To work. Yeah.	13	set up a booth and promote South Dakota.
14	Q. Okay. What type of plans did you have for	14	<b>Q.</b> Where would you set up your booth?
15	manufacturing exports?	15	A. In a town called Zwolle.
16	A. To develop the skill set. I was convinced that	16	Q. Okay. And was it in a like a shopping center
17	South Dakota had marketable products, but I felt that the	17	or
18	companies didn't have the tools of the trade, a good	18	A. Dutch dairy farmers, they were leaving the country.
19	grasp of the tools of the trade, and, therefore, they	19	So they it was in such numbers that they even had a
20	weren't able to take advantage of it.	20	show specifically targeted towards immigration.
21	<b>Q.</b> And is this when you started the seminars?	21	<b>Q.</b> Okay. And what was the name of the show? Do you
22	A. Yeah.	22	remember?
23	<b>Q.</b> Okay. Did you see a lot of success with the	23	A. I don't remember.
24	seminars?	24	<b>Q.</b> Okay. How many shows did you go to?
25	A. Yes.	25	A. You know, four or five. I don't know exactly.
	26		28
1	<b>Q.</b> Was there an increase in exports from South Dakota?	1	Q. Several times?
2	A. Yes.	2	A. Yeah.
3	Q. Okay. What time period did you decide that you were	3	Q. Was it in multiple trips, or did you do it
4	going to switch to foreign investments?	4	A. Multiple trips.
5	A. When Hilpert came to me and said we want a closer	5	<b>Q.</b> Did you just stay over there?
6	relationship with GOED. We like the relationship. At	6	A. And then after the show we would bring those Dutch
7	that time I said, look, foreign investment, they haven't	7	farmers who had an interest to South Dakota, and I would
8	done anything. Tim Oviatt at that point was still doing	8	tour them all over South Dakota and look for sites that
9	that job, but there was just nothing happening.	9	made sense.
10	<b>Q</b> . Okay.	10	<b>Q.</b> And what sites made the most sense for the dairy
11	A. So at that point I put together the plan for the	11	A. The I-29 corridor close to where the milk market is.
12	Governor to review, and they accepted it.	12	Good transportation.
13	<b>Q.</b> So what was the plan that you prepared?	13	<b>Q.</b> Did you have quite a bit of interest?
14	A. I don't remember the industry sectors that I focused	14	A. Yeah. I think so.
15	on, but the first one was dairy. I knew that the	15	<b>Q.</b> Okay. So what happened then? So you toured them
16	Netherlands, the government was pretty much telling these	16	over. What was the next step?
17	dairies, look, we don't want you here. I knew the milk	17	A. I toured them over, and they would come. Come on an
18	quota system was tough, and these Dutch dairy farmers	18	E-2 visa. What the name of an E-2 visa implies is
19	were looking all over the world for alternatives.	19	nonimmigrants.
20	I knew that we had a very good market for dairy so	20	So these people come here with all their belongings
21	it was just a matter of going to Holland and saying,	21	and their entire life savings to set up shop, but the
121			
22	look, why don't you consider South Dakota.	22	intent is that once you quit your business you have to go
	look, why don't you consider South Dakota. Q. And is that when you so what was the plan to be	22 23	intent is that once you quit your business you have to go back to the Netherlands, which is not really what they
22			

	Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	File	ed 10/10/18 Page 8 of 61 PageID #: 2466 31
1	advantage over other states, such as Michigan, Ohio,	1	<b>Q</b> . Just you.
2	that also were trying to recruit these Dutch dairy	2	And what did you discuss with Morrie?
3	farmers.	3	A. What my interest was and gathered information on how
4	<b>Q.</b> So it could be a situation where someone could come	4	this program could be valuable to South Dakota. And at
5	here, live their whole life, have kids here, and then	5	the same time Morrie was hired to revitalize the EB-5
6	retire and	6	program. So he saw looked at me and saw that I would
7	A. Have to go back.	7	be the tool to also enhance his program.
8	<b>Q</b> have to go back. Okay.	8	<b>Q</b> . So tell me about the revitalization of EB-5. Had it
9	So what was the solution to the immigrants that were	9	been around for a long time?
10	coming in on the E-2? Did were they still just	10	A. Yes. It was around I believe since 1990, but it
11	A. There wasn't really a solution. So that's why I	11	kind of died very quickly.
12	started searching for something, and I found EB-5.	12	Q. Why was that?
13	Q. Okay. And what training or how did you learn about	13	A. I don't know the specifics, but the program I
14	EB-5? It's a pretty big program.	14	think that the USCIS didn't really have the expertise at
15	A. You just start from what I recall, immigration,	15	that point to be effective in a very entrepreneurial
16	visa, started to look at all the different visas.	16	setting.
17	<b>Q.</b> Uh-huh.	17	<b>Q</b> . Okay.
18	A. And that is how I you know, you had EB-1, EB-2,	18	A. Because they're more bureaucratic minded. They
19	EB-3, EB-4, EB-5. You just kind of go through the	19	wanted to enter the entrepreneurial side, but I think the
20	employment base visas.	20	program was wide open for fraudulent
21	And then you had the family-based petitions. The	21	Q. Sure.
22	family-based petitions pretty much didn't work, so that	22	A abuse.
23	is how I landed with EB-5.	23	Q. So when you came to meet with Morrie were you kind
24	Q. Did you have an advisor, an immigration advisor	24	of one of the first forefront frontier
25	A. Huh-uh.	25	A. California just applied, I understand.
	20		
	30		32
1	<b>Q.</b> that helped you figure this all out?	1	32 <b>Q</b> . Okay.
1 2		1 2	
	<b>Q.</b> that helped you figure this all out?		Q. Okay.
2	<ul><li>Q that helped you figure this all out?</li><li>A. No.</li></ul>	2	<ul><li>Q. Okay.</li><li>A. And at the same time Pennsylvania just applied. So</li></ul>
2 3	<ul> <li>Q that helped you figure this all out?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you start looking into EB-5, and then what</li> </ul>	2 3	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And at the same time Pennsylvania just applied. So</li> <li>Morrie I advised that I was working on behalf of the</li> </ul>
2 3 4	<ul> <li>Q that helped you figure this all out?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you start looking into EB-5, and then what do you do?</li> </ul>	2 3 4	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And at the same time Pennsylvania just applied. So</li> <li>Morrie I advised that I was working on behalf of the</li> <li>Governor's Office so the political clout from</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q that helped you figure this all out?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you start looking into EB-5, and then what do you do?</li> <li>A. I contact the USCIS.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And at the same time Pennsylvania just applied. So</li> <li>Morrie I advised that I was working on behalf of the</li> <li>Governor's Office so the political clout from</li> <li>South Dakota could probably be effective for Maury's</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q that helped you figure this all out?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you start looking into EB-5, and then what do you do?</li> <li>A. I contact the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you meet with them?</li> <li>A. Yes. I met Morrie Berez.</li> <li>Q. How do you spell his name?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And at the same time Pennsylvania just applied. So</li> <li>Morrie I advised that I was working on behalf of the</li> <li>Governor's Office so the political clout from</li> <li>South Dakota could probably be effective for Maury's</li> <li>goals as well.</li> <li>So I kind of cut a deal with the other regional</li> <li>centers from say, look, you guys are private. Here you</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q that helped you figure this all out?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you start looking into EB-5, and then what do you do?</li> <li>A. I contact the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you meet with them?</li> <li>A. Yes. I met Morrie Berez.</li> <li>Q. How do you spell his name?</li> <li>A. It's Maurice properly, M-A-U-R-I-C-E. Last name</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And at the same time Pennsylvania just applied. So Morrie I advised that I was working on behalf of the Governor's Office so the political clout from South Dakota could probably be effective for Maury's goals as well.</li> <li>So I kind of cut a deal with the other regional centers from say, look, you guys are private. Here you apply for the region center on behalf of the State of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q that helped you figure this all out?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you start looking into EB-5, and then what do you do?</li> <li>A. I contact the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you meet with them?</li> <li>A. Yes. I met Morrie Berez.</li> <li>Q. How do you spell his name?</li> <li>A. It's Maurice properly, M-A-U-R-I-C-E. Last name B-E-R-E-Z.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And at the same time Pennsylvania just applied. So Morrie I advised that I was working on behalf of the Governor's Office so the political clout from South Dakota could probably be effective for Maury's goals as well.</li> <li>So I kind of cut a deal with the other regional centers from say, look, you guys are private. Here you apply for the region center on behalf of the State of South Dakota. We have some Congressional delegates that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q that helped you figure this all out?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you start looking into EB-5, and then what do you do?</li> <li>A. I contact the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you meet with them?</li> <li>A. Yes. I met Morrie Berez.</li> <li>Q. How do you spell his name?</li> <li>A. It's Maurice properly, M-A-U-R-I-C-E. Last name B-E-R-E-Z.</li> <li>Q. B-E-R-E-Z.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And at the same time Pennsylvania just applied. So Morrie I advised that I was working on behalf of the Governor's Office so the political clout from South Dakota could probably be effective for Maury's goals as well.</li> <li>So I kind of cut a deal with the other regional centers from say, look, you guys are private. Here you apply for the region center on behalf of the State of South Dakota. We have some Congressional delegates that can probably be beneficial. So why don't you give me a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q that helped you figure this all out?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you start looking into EB-5, and then what do you do?</li> <li>A. I contact the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you meet with them?</li> <li>A. Yes. I met Morrie Berez.</li> <li>Q. How do you spell his name?</li> <li>A. It's Maurice properly, M-A-U-R-I-C-E. Last name B-E-R-E-Z.</li> <li>Q. B-E-R-E-Z.</li> <li>A. And he was the head of the EB-5 program for the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And at the same time Pennsylvania just applied. So Morrie I advised that I was working on behalf of the Governor's Office so the political clout from South Dakota could probably be effective for Maury's goals as well.</li> <li>So I kind of cut a deal with the other regional centers from say, look, you guys are private. Here you apply for the region center on behalf of the State of South Dakota. We have some Congressional delegates that can probably be beneficial. So why don't you give me a copy of your application, and then I can help you.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q that helped you figure this all out?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you start looking into EB-5, and then what do you do?</li> <li>A. I contact the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you meet with them?</li> <li>A. Yes. I met Morrie Berez.</li> <li>Q. How do you spell his name?</li> <li>A. It's Maurice properly, M-A-U-R-I-C-E. Last name B-E-R-E-Z.</li> <li>Q. B-E-R-E-Z.</li> <li>A. And he was the head of the EB-5 program for the USCIS.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And at the same time Pennsylvania just applied. So Morrie I advised that I was working on behalf of the Governor's Office so the political clout from South Dakota could probably be effective for Maury's goals as well.</li> <li>So I kind of cut a deal with the other regional centers from say, look, you guys are private. Here you apply for the region center on behalf of the State of South Dakota. We have some Congressional delegates that can probably be beneficial. So why don't you give me a copy of your application, and then I can help you.</li> <li>So it was really a mutual beneficial relationship</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q that helped you figure this all out?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you start looking into EB-5, and then what do you do?</li> <li>A. I contact the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you meet with them?</li> <li>A. Yes. I met Morrie Berez.</li> <li>Q. How do you spell his name?</li> <li>A. It's Maurice properly, M-A-U-R-I-C-E. Last name B-E-R-E-Z.</li> <li>Q. B-E-R-E-Z.</li> <li>A. And he was the head of the EB-5 program for the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. And where did you meet with him?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And at the same time Pennsylvania just applied. So Morrie I advised that I was working on behalf of the Governor's Office so the political clout from South Dakota could probably be effective for Maury's goals as well.</li> <li>So I kind of cut a deal with the other regional centers from say, look, you guys are private. Here you apply for the region center on behalf of the State of South Dakota. We have some Congressional delegates that can probably be beneficial. So why don't you give me a copy of your application, and then I can help you.</li> <li>So it was really a mutual beneficial relationship that I anchored with Pennsylvania, with Morrie, and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q that helped you figure this all out?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you start looking into EB-5, and then what do you do?</li> <li>A. I contact the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you meet with them?</li> <li>A. Yes. I met Morrie Berez.</li> <li>Q. How do you spell his name?</li> <li>A. It's Maurice properly, M-A-U-R-I-C-E. Last name B-E-R-E-Z.</li> <li>Q. B-E-R-E-Z.</li> <li>A. And he was the head of the EB-5 program for the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. And where did you meet with him?</li> <li>A. In Washington.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And at the same time Pennsylvania just applied. So Morrie I advised that I was working on behalf of the Governor's Office so the political clout from South Dakota could probably be effective for Maury's goals as well.</li> <li>So I kind of cut a deal with the other regional centers from say, look, you guys are private. Here you apply for the region center on behalf of the State of South Dakota. We have some Congressional delegates that can probably be beneficial. So why don't you give me a copy of your application, and then I can help you.</li> <li>So it was really a mutual beneficial relationship that I anchored with Pennsylvania, with Morrie, and California. So I was able to apply very quickly.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q that helped you figure this all out?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you start looking into EB-5, and then what do you do?</li> <li>A. I contact the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you meet with them?</li> <li>A. Yes. I met Morrie Berez.</li> <li>Q. How do you spell his name?</li> <li>A. It's Maurice properly, M-A-U-R-I-C-E. Last name B-E-R-E-Z.</li> <li>Q. B-E-R-E-Z.</li> <li>Q. B-E-R-E-Z.</li> <li>A. And he was the head of the EB-5 program for the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. And where did you meet with him?</li> <li>A. In Washington.</li> <li>Q. Is that where he lives?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And at the same time Pennsylvania just applied. So Morrie I advised that I was working on behalf of the Governor's Office so the political clout from South Dakota could probably be effective for Maury's goals as well.</li> <li>So I kind of cut a deal with the other regional centers from say, look, you guys are private. Here you apply for the region center on behalf of the State of South Dakota. We have some Congressional delegates that can probably be beneficial. So why don't you give me a copy of your application, and then I can help you.</li> <li>So it was really a mutual beneficial relationship that I anchored with Pennsylvania, with Morrie, and California. So I was able to apply very quickly.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so when you say you cut a deal did you</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q that helped you figure this all out?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you start looking into EB-5, and then what do you do?</li> <li>A. I contact the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you meet with them?</li> <li>A. Yes. I met Morrie Berez.</li> <li>Q. How do you spell his name?</li> <li>A. It's Maurice properly, M-A-U-R-I-C-E. Last name B-E-R-E-Z.</li> <li>Q. B-E-R-E-Z.</li> <li>Q. B-E-R-E-Z.</li> <li>A. And he was the head of the EB-5 program for the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. And where did you meet with him?</li> <li>A. In Washington.</li> <li>Q. Is that where he lives?</li> <li>A. That's where he was employed. I think he lives in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And at the same time Pennsylvania just applied. So Morrie I advised that I was working on behalf of the Governor's Office so the political clout from South Dakota could probably be effective for Maury's goals as well.</li> <li>So I kind of cut a deal with the other regional centers from say, look, you guys are private. Here you apply for the region center on behalf of the State of South Dakota. We have some Congressional delegates that can probably be beneficial. So why don't you give me a copy of your application, and then I can help you.</li> <li>So it was really a mutual beneficial relationship that I anchored with Pennsylvania, with Morrie, and California. So I was able to apply very quickly.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so when you say you cut a deal did you want their filing documents to help you</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q that helped you figure this all out?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you start looking into EB-5, and then what do you do?</li> <li>A. I contact the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you meet with them?</li> <li>A. Yes. I met Morrie Berez.</li> <li>Q. How do you spell his name?</li> <li>A. It's Maurice properly, M-A-U-R-I-C-E. Last name B-E-R-E-Z.</li> <li>Q. B-E-R-E-Z.</li> <li>A. And he was the head of the EB-5 program for the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. And where did you meet with him?</li> <li>A. In Washington.</li> <li>Q. Is that where he lives?</li> <li>A. That's where he was employed. I think he lives in Maryland.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And at the same time Pennsylvania just applied. So Morrie I advised that I was working on behalf of the Governor's Office so the political clout from South Dakota could probably be effective for Maury's goals as well.</li> <li>So I kind of cut a deal with the other regional centers from say, look, you guys are private. Here you apply for the region center on behalf of the State of South Dakota. We have some Congressional delegates that can probably be beneficial. So why don't you give me a copy of your application, and then I can help you.</li> <li>So it was really a mutual beneficial relationship that I anchored with Pennsylvania, with Morrie, and California. So I was able to apply very quickly.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so when you say you cut a deal did you want their filing documents to help you</li> <li>A. Yes. The application.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q that helped you figure this all out?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you start looking into EB-5, and then what do you do?</li> <li>A. I contact the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you meet with them?</li> <li>A. Yes. I met Morrie Berez.</li> <li>Q. How do you spell his name?</li> <li>A. It's Maurice properly, M-A-U-R-I-C-E. Last name B-E-R-E-Z.</li> <li>Q. B-E-R-E-Z.</li> <li>A. And he was the head of the EB-5 program for the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. And where did you meet with him?</li> <li>A. In Washington.</li> <li>Q. Is that where he lives?</li> <li>A. That's where he was employed. I think he lives in Maryland.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Okay. When did you fly out there?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And at the same time Pennsylvania just applied. So Morrie I advised that I was working on behalf of the Governor's Office so the political clout from South Dakota could probably be effective for Maury's goals as well.</li> <li>So I kind of cut a deal with the other regional centers from say, look, you guys are private. Here you apply for the region center on behalf of the State of South Dakota. We have some Congressional delegates that can probably be beneficial. So why don't you give me a copy of your application, and then I can help you.</li> <li>So it was really a mutual beneficial relationship that I anchored with Pennsylvania, with Morrie, and California. So I was able to apply very quickly.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so when you say you cut a deal did you want their filing documents to help you</li> <li>A. Yes. The application.</li> <li>Q figure out</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q that helped you figure this all out?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you start looking into EB-5, and then what do you do?</li> <li>A. I contact the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you meet with them?</li> <li>A. Yes. I met Morrie Berez.</li> <li>Q. How do you spell his name?</li> <li>A. It's Maurice properly, M-A-U-R-I-C-E. Last name B-E-R-E-Z.</li> <li>Q. B-E-R-E-Z.</li> <li>Q. B-E-R-E-Z.</li> <li>A. And he was the head of the EB-5 program for the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. And where did you meet with him?</li> <li>A. In Washington.</li> <li>Q. Is that where he lives?</li> <li>A. That's where he was employed. I think he lives in Maryland.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Okay. When did you fly out there?</li> <li>A. Would have to be about 2000 late 2002, early</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And at the same time Pennsylvania just applied. So Morrie I advised that I was working on behalf of the Governor's Office so the political clout from South Dakota could probably be effective for Maury's goals as well.</li> <li>So I kind of cut a deal with the other regional centers from say, look, you guys are private. Here you apply for the region center on behalf of the State of South Dakota. We have some Congressional delegates that can probably be beneficial. So why don't you give me a copy of your application, and then I can help you.</li> <li>So it was really a mutual beneficial relationship that I anchored with Pennsylvania, with Morrie, and California. So I was able to apply very quickly.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so when you say you cut a deal did you want their filing documents to help you</li> <li>A. Yes. The application.</li> <li>Q figure out</li> <li>A. How to because the Federal Register, you can read</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q that helped you figure this all out?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you start looking into EB-5, and then what do you do?</li> <li>A. I contact the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you meet with them?</li> <li>A. Yes. I met Morrie Berez.</li> <li>Q. How do you spell his name?</li> <li>A. It's Maurice properly, M-A-U-R-I-C-E. Last name B-E-R-E-Z.</li> <li>Q. B-E-R-E-Z.</li> <li>A. And he was the head of the EB-5 program for the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. And where did you meet with him?</li> <li>A. In Washington.</li> <li>Q. Is that where he lives?</li> <li>A. That's where he was employed. I think he lives in Maryland.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Okay. When did you fly out there?</li> <li>A. Would have to be about 2000 late 2002, early 2003, I guess.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And at the same time Pennsylvania just applied. So Morrie I advised that I was working on behalf of the Governor's Office so the political clout from South Dakota could probably be effective for Maury's goals as well.</li> <li>So I kind of cut a deal with the other regional centers from say, look, you guys are private. Here you apply for the region center on behalf of the State of South Dakota. We have some Congressional delegates that can probably be beneficial. So why don't you give me a copy of your application, and then I can help you.</li> <li>So it was really a mutual beneficial relationship that I anchored with Pennsylvania, with Morrie, and California. So I was able to apply very quickly.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so when you say you cut a deal did you want their filing documents to help you</li> <li>A. Yes. The application.</li> <li>Q figure out</li> <li>A. How to because the Federal Register, you can read it, but you don't know how in depth you need to be to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q that helped you figure this all out?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you start looking into EB-5, and then what do you do?</li> <li>A. I contact the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you meet with them?</li> <li>A. Yes. I met Morrie Berez.</li> <li>Q. How do you spell his name?</li> <li>A. It's Maurice properly, M-A-U-R-I-C-E. Last name B-E-R-E-Z.</li> <li>Q. B-E-R-E-Z.</li> <li>Q. B-E-R-E-Z.</li> <li>A. And he was the head of the EB-5 program for the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. And where did you meet with him?</li> <li>A. In Washington.</li> <li>Q. Is that where he lives?</li> <li>A. That's where he was employed. I think he lives in Maryland.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Okay. When did you fly out there?</li> <li>A. Would have to be about 2000 late 2002, early 2003, I guess.</li> <li>Q. Did you take anybody with the State department</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And at the same time Pennsylvania just applied. So Morrie I advised that I was working on behalf of the Governor's Office so the political clout from South Dakota could probably be effective for Maury's goals as well.</li> <li>So I kind of cut a deal with the other regional centers from say, look, you guys are private. Here you apply for the region center on behalf of the State of South Dakota. We have some Congressional delegates that can probably be beneficial. So why don't you give me a copy of your application, and then I can help you.</li> <li>So it was really a mutual beneficial relationship that I anchored with Pennsylvania, with Morrie, and California. So I was able to apply very quickly.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so when you say you cut a deal did you want their filing documents to help you</li> <li>A. Yes. The application.</li> <li>Q figure out</li> <li>A. How to because the Federal Register, you can read it, but you don't know how in depth you need to be to address each thing.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q that helped you figure this all out?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you start looking into EB-5, and then what do you do?</li> <li>A. I contact the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you meet with them?</li> <li>A. Yes. I met Morrie Berez.</li> <li>Q. How do you spell his name?</li> <li>A. It's Maurice properly, M-A-U-R-I-C-E. Last name B-E-R-E-Z.</li> <li>Q. B-E-R-E-Z.</li> <li>Q. B-E-R-E-Z.</li> <li>A. And he was the head of the EB-5 program for the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. And where did you meet with him?</li> <li>A. In Washington.</li> <li>Q. Is that where he lives?</li> <li>A. That's where he was employed. I think he lives in Maryland.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Okay. When did you fly out there?</li> <li>A. Would have to be about 2000 late 2002, early 2003, I guess.</li> <li>Q. Did you take anybody with the State department</li> <li>A. Huh-uh.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And at the same time Pennsylvania just applied. So Morrie I advised that I was working on behalf of the Governor's Office so the political clout from South Dakota could probably be effective for Maury's goals as well.</li> <li>So I kind of cut a deal with the other regional centers from say, look, you guys are private. Here you apply for the region center on behalf of the State of South Dakota. We have some Congressional delegates that can probably be beneficial. So why don't you give me a copy of your application, and then I can help you.</li> <li>So it was really a mutual beneficial relationship that I anchored with Pennsylvania, with Morrie, and California. So I was able to apply very quickly.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so when you say you cut a deal did you want their filing documents to help you</li> <li>A. Yes. The application.</li> <li>Q figure out</li> <li>A. How to because the Federal Register, you can read it, but you don't know how in depth you need to be to address each thing.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q that helped you figure this all out?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you start looking into EB-5, and then what do you do?</li> <li>A. I contact the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you meet with them?</li> <li>A. Yes. I met Morrie Berez.</li> <li>Q. How do you spell his name?</li> <li>A. It's Maurice properly, M-A-U-R-I-C-E. Last name B-E-R-E-Z.</li> <li>Q. B-E-R-E-Z.</li> <li>Q. B-E-R-E-Z.</li> <li>A. And he was the head of the EB-5 program for the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. And where did you meet with him?</li> <li>A. In Washington.</li> <li>Q. Is that where he lives?</li> <li>A. That's where he was employed. I think he lives in Maryland.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Okay. When did you fly out there?</li> <li>A. Would have to be about 2000 late 2002, early 2003, I guess.</li> <li>Q. Did you take anybody with the State department</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And at the same time Pennsylvania just applied. So Morrie I advised that I was working on behalf of the Governor's Office so the political clout from South Dakota could probably be effective for Maury's goals as well.</li> <li>So I kind of cut a deal with the other regional centers from say, look, you guys are private. Here you apply for the region center on behalf of the State of South Dakota. We have some Congressional delegates that can probably be beneficial. So why don't you give me a copy of your application, and then I can help you.</li> <li>So it was really a mutual beneficial relationship that I anchored with Pennsylvania, with Morrie, and California. So I was able to apply very quickly.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so when you say you cut a deal did you want their filing documents to help you</li> <li>A. Yes. The application.</li> <li>Q figure out</li> <li>A. How to because the Federal Register, you can read it, but you don't know how in depth you need to be to address each thing.</li> </ul>

	Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	File	ed 10/10/18 Page 9 of 61 PageID #: 2467 💦 💡
4	55	1	office?
1	acceptance.		
2	<b>Q.</b> Okay. And South Dakota would have been the first	2	A. No. No. The South Dakota Regional Center is just a
3	state regional center?	3	name that I came up with. Q. Okay.
5	<ul><li>A. Uh-huh. First public, yes. That's correct.</li><li>Q. So really at that time it was California,</li></ul>	4 5	
6		6	<ul><li>A. It's not a legal entity.</li><li>Q. It's just a geographic area.</li></ul>
7	Pennsylvania, and the State of South Dakota? A. Uh-huh.	7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And the one in California was private.	8	<ul><li>Q. Okay. And where were you operating at this point in</li></ul>
9	A. Yep.	9	time? Because I'm guessing you were managing the
10	<b>Q.</b> Tell me about that. What did that mean?	10	regional center; correct?
11	A. Anybody can really set up a regional center. You	11	A. Graham Hall.
12	know, you just follow the process through the USCIS.	12	Q. At Graham Hall in your office.
13	Those were people that entered into the regional center	13	So what happened after you got the official okay,
14	program to generate profit for themselves. Whereas in my	14	this is the South Dakota Regional Center? What did you
15	case that was not the intent. I was working as a state	15	do next?
16	employee, Northern State employee on behalf of the	16	A. I would go to Europe.
17	Governor's Office.	17	<b>Q</b> . Okay.
18	Q. Okay.	18	A. And tell them here, look, you can go to Michigan,
19	A. So I thought that gave us more sway.	19	you can go to Ohio, but you have an E-2 visa. If you
20	<b>Q.</b> Was and maybe this is just thinking back. When	20	come to South Dakota, you get a green card. So pretty
21	the EB-5 program had not done so well before, was it	21	much checkmate the other states.
22	because so many regional centers were private that it	22	Q. Okay. Did you start in the Netherlands, or when you
23	was there was you mentioned fraud.	23	say Europe
24	A. No. I didn't really go into the details of why the	24	A. Yeah. I started in the Netherlands, but while I was
25	past failed. I was just looking forward.	25	in the Netherlands I ran across Dutch Canadians who had
	34		36
1	34     Q. Looking forward. Okay.	1	36 immigrated to Canada but now also were running in
1 2		1 2	
	Q. Looking forward. Okay.		immigrated to Canada but now also were running in
2	<ul><li>Q. Looking forward. Okay.</li><li>Are the private California and Pennsylvania regional</li></ul>	2	immigrated to Canada but now also were running in problems with the Canadian milk order system. So then I
2 3	Q. Looking forward. Okay. Are the private California and Pennsylvania regional centers still operating?	2	immigrated to Canada but now also were running in problems with the Canadian milk order system. So then I branched out to Canada, and while I was in Canada I found
2 3 4	<ul> <li>Q. Looking forward. Okay. Are the private California and Pennsylvania regional centers still operating?</li> <li>A. You know, I've been out of the game since about 2013</li> </ul>	2 3 4	immigrated to Canada but now also were running in problems with the Canadian milk order system. So then I branched out to Canada, and while I was in Canada I found British people. So then I went to England, Ireland, and
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. Looking forward. Okay. Are the private California and Pennsylvania regional centers still operating?</li> <li>A. You know, I've been out of the game since about 2013 so I don't</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	immigrated to Canada but now also were running in problems with the Canadian milk order system. So then I branched out to Canada, and while I was in Canada I found British people. So then I went to England, Ireland, and started bringing in
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. Looking forward. Okay. Are the private California and Pennsylvania regional centers still operating?</li> <li>A. You know, I've been out of the game since about 2013 so I don't</li> <li>Q. You don't</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>immigrated to Canada but now also were running in problems with the Canadian milk order system. So then I branched out to Canada, and while I was in Canada I found British people. So then I went to England, Ireland, and started bringing in</li> <li>Q. People from all over the world.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. Looking forward. Okay. Are the private California and Pennsylvania regional centers still operating?</li> <li>A. You know, I've been out of the game since about 2013 so I don't</li> <li>Q. You don't</li> <li>A. I know there's about probably about 1,000</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>immigrated to Canada but now also were running in problems with the Canadian milk order system. So then I branched out to Canada, and while I was in Canada I found British people. So then I went to England, Ireland, and started bringing in</li> <li>Q. People from all over the world.</li> <li>A. Tried to, yeah.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Looking forward. Okay. Are the private California and Pennsylvania regional centers still operating?</li> <li>A. You know, I've been out of the game since about 2013 so I don't</li> <li>Q. You don't</li> <li>A. I know there's about probably about 1,000 regional centers now.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>immigrated to Canada but now also were running in problems with the Canadian milk order system. So then I branched out to Canada, and while I was in Canada I found British people. So then I went to England, Ireland, and started bringing in</li> <li>Q. People from all over the world.</li> <li>A. Tried to, yeah.</li> <li>Q. And were you holding seminars in each of these</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Looking forward. Okay. Are the private California and Pennsylvania regional centers still operating?</li> <li>A. You know, I've been out of the game since about 2013 so I don't</li> <li>Q. You don't</li> <li>A. I know there's about probably about 1,000 regional centers now.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So California, Pennsylvania, Morrie worked to push South Dakota's regional center through quickly.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh. Yep.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>immigrated to Canada but now also were running in problems with the Canadian milk order system. So then I branched out to Canada, and while I was in Canada I found British people. So then I went to England, Ireland, and started bringing in</li> <li>Q. People from all over the world.</li> <li>A. Tried to, yeah.</li> <li>Q. And were you holding seminars in each of these</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And okay. Started off with the dairies; correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Looking forward. Okay. Are the private California and Pennsylvania regional centers still operating?</li> <li>A. You know, I've been out of the game since about 2013 so I don't</li> <li>Q. You don't</li> <li>A. I know there's about probably about 1,000 regional centers now.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So California, Pennsylvania, Morrie worked to push South Dakota's regional center through quickly.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh. Yep.</li> <li>Q. What year was the South Dakota Regional Center</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>immigrated to Canada but now also were running in problems with the Canadian milk order system. So then I branched out to Canada, and while I was in Canada I found British people. So then I went to England, Ireland, and started bringing in</li> <li>Q. People from all over the world.</li> <li>A. Tried to, yeah.</li> <li>Q. And were you holding seminars in each of these</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And okay. Started off with the dairies; correct?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Looking forward. Okay. Are the private California and Pennsylvania regional centers still operating?</li> <li>A. You know, I've been out of the game since about 2013 so I don't</li> <li>Q. You don't</li> <li>A. I know there's about probably about 1,000 regional centers now.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So California, Pennsylvania, Morrie worked to push South Dakota's regional center through quickly.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh. Yep.</li> <li>Q. What year was the South Dakota Regional Center approved?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>immigrated to Canada but now also were running in problems with the Canadian milk order system. So then I branched out to Canada, and while I was in Canada I found British people. So then I went to England, Ireland, and started bringing in</li> <li>Q. People from all over the world.</li> <li>A. Tried to, yeah.</li> <li>Q. And were you holding seminars in each of these</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And okay. Started off with the dairies; correct?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh. THE COURT REPORTER: Is that yes?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Looking forward. Okay. Are the private California and Pennsylvania regional centers still operating?</li> <li>A. You know, I've been out of the game since about 2013 so I don't</li> <li>Q. You don't</li> <li>A. I know there's about probably about 1,000 regional centers now.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So California, Pennsylvania, Morrie worked to push South Dakota's regional center through quickly.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh. Yep.</li> <li>Q. What year was the South Dakota Regional Center approved?</li> <li>A. I think it was it was 2004, early 2004.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>immigrated to Canada but now also were running in problems with the Canadian milk order system. So then I branched out to Canada, and while I was in Canada I found British people. So then I went to England, Ireland, and started bringing in</li> <li>Q. People from all over the world.</li> <li>A. Tried to, yeah.</li> <li>Q. And were you holding seminars in each of these</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And okay. Started off with the dairies; correct?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh. THE COURT REPORTER: Is that yes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Looking forward. Okay. Are the private California and Pennsylvania regional centers still operating?</li> <li>A. You know, I've been out of the game since about 2013 so I don't</li> <li>Q. You don't</li> <li>A. I know there's about probably about 1,000 regional centers now.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So California, Pennsylvania, Morrie worked to push South Dakota's regional center through quickly.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh. Yep.</li> <li>Q. What year was the South Dakota Regional Center approved?</li> <li>A. I think it was it was 2004, early 2004.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what was the physical location of the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>immigrated to Canada but now also were running in problems with the Canadian milk order system. So then I branched out to Canada, and while I was in Canada I found British people. So then I went to England, Ireland, and started bringing in</li> <li>Q. People from all over the world.</li> <li>A. Tried to, yeah.</li> <li>Q. And were you holding seminars in each of these</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And okay. Started off with the dairies; correct?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh. THE COURT REPORTER: Is that yes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Sorry. I should have followed up there.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Looking forward. Okay. Are the private California and Pennsylvania regional centers still operating?</li> <li>A. You know, I've been out of the game since about 2013 so I don't</li> <li>Q. You don't</li> <li>A. I know there's about probably about 1,000 regional centers now.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So California, Pennsylvania, Morrie worked to push South Dakota's regional center through quickly.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh. Yep.</li> <li>Q. What year was the South Dakota Regional Center approved?</li> <li>A. I think it was it was 2004, early 2004.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what was the physical location of the regional center?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>immigrated to Canada but now also were running in problems with the Canadian milk order system. So then I branched out to Canada, and while I was in Canada I found British people. So then I went to England, Ireland, and started bringing in</li> <li>Q. People from all over the world.</li> <li>A. Tried to, yeah.</li> <li>Q. And were you holding seminars in each of these</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And okay. Started off with the dairies; correct?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh. THE COURT REPORTER: Is that yes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Sorry. I should have followed up there. Talk to me about SDIBI. What does that stand for?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Looking forward. Okay. Are the private California and Pennsylvania regional centers still operating?</li> <li>A. You know, I've been out of the game since about 2013 so I don't</li> <li>Q. You don't</li> <li>A. I know there's about probably about 1,000 regional centers now.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So California, Pennsylvania, Morrie worked to push South Dakota's regional center through quickly.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh. Yep.</li> <li>Q. What year was the South Dakota Regional Center approved?</li> <li>A. I think it was it was 2004, early 2004.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what was the physical location of the regional center?</li> <li>A. You know, when you there's the regional, the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>immigrated to Canada but now also were running in problems with the Canadian milk order system. So then I branched out to Canada, and while I was in Canada I found British people. So then I went to England, Ireland, and started bringing in</li> <li>Q. People from all over the world.</li> <li>A. Tried to, yeah.</li> <li>Q. And were you holding seminars in each of these</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And okay. Started off with the dairies; correct?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh. THE COURT REPORTER: Is that yes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Sorry. I should have followed up there. Talk to me about SDIBI. What does that stand for?</li> <li>A. Again, it's not a legal entity. It's just some name</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Looking forward. Okay. Are the private California and Pennsylvania regional centers still operating?</li> <li>A. You know, I've been out of the game since about 2013 so I don't</li> <li>Q. You don't</li> <li>A. I know there's about probably about 1,000 regional centers now.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So California, Pennsylvania, Morrie worked to push South Dakota's regional center through quickly.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh. Yep.</li> <li>Q. What year was the South Dakota Regional Center approved?</li> <li>A. I think it was it was 2004, early 2004.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what was the physical location of the regional center?</li> <li>A. You know, when you there's the regional, the entire it's well, initially were certain counties</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>immigrated to Canada but now also were running in problems with the Canadian milk order system. So then I branched out to Canada, and while I was in Canada I found British people. So then I went to England, Ireland, and started bringing in</li> <li>Q. People from all over the world.</li> <li>A. Tried to, yeah.</li> <li>Q. And were you holding seminars in each of these</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And okay. Started off with the dairies; correct?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh. THE COURT REPORTER: Is that yes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Sorry. I should have followed up there. Talk to me about SDIBI. What does that stand for?</li> <li>A. Again, it's not a legal entity. It's just some name I came up with.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. Looking forward. Okay. Are the private California and Pennsylvania regional centers still operating?</li> <li>A. You know, I've been out of the game since about 2013 so I don't</li> <li>Q. You don't</li> <li>A. I know there's about probably about 1,000 regional centers now.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So California, Pennsylvania, Morrie worked to push South Dakota's regional center through quickly.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh. Yep.</li> <li>Q. What year was the South Dakota Regional Center approved?</li> <li>A. I think it was it was 2004, early 2004.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what was the physical location of the regional center?</li> <li>A. You know, when you there's the regional, the entire it's well, initially were certain counties that I designated, but then it kind of expanded with</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>immigrated to Canada but now also were running in problems with the Canadian milk order system. So then I branched out to Canada, and while I was in Canada I found British people. So then I went to England, Ireland, and started bringing in</li> <li>Q. People from all over the world.</li> <li>A. Tried to, yeah.</li> <li>Q. And were you holding seminars in each of these</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And okay. Started off with the dairies; correct?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh. THE COURT REPORTER: Is that yes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Sorry. I should have followed up there. Talk to me about SDIBI. What does that stand for?</li> <li>A. Again, it's not a legal entity. It's just some name I came up with.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Looking forward. Okay. Are the private California and Pennsylvania regional centers still operating?</li> <li>A. You know, I've been out of the game since about 2013 so I don't</li> <li>Q. You don't</li> <li>A. I know there's about probably about 1,000 regional centers now.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So California, Pennsylvania, Morrie worked to push South Dakota's regional center through quickly.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh. Yep.</li> <li>Q. What year was the South Dakota Regional Center approved?</li> <li>A. I think it was it was 2004, early 2004.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what was the physical location of the regional center?</li> <li>A. You know, when you there's the regional, the entire it's well, initially were certain counties that I designated, but then it kind of expanded with different amendments.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>immigrated to Canada but now also were running in problems with the Canadian milk order system. So then I branched out to Canada, and while I was in Canada I found British people. So then I went to England, Ireland, and started bringing in</li> <li>Q. People from all over the world.</li> <li>A. Tried to, yeah.</li> <li>Q. And were you holding seminars in each of these</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And okay. Started off with the dairies; correct?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh. THE COURT REPORTER: Is that yes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Sorry. I should have followed up there. Talk to me about SDIBI. What does that stand for?</li> <li>A. Again, it's not a legal entity. It's just some name I came up with.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. South Dakota International Business Institute.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Looking forward. Okay. Are the private California and Pennsylvania regional centers still operating?</li> <li>A. You know, I've been out of the game since about 2013 so I don't</li> <li>Q. You don't</li> <li>A. I know there's about probably about 1,000 regional centers now.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So California, Pennsylvania, Morrie worked to push South Dakota's regional center through quickly.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh. Yep.</li> <li>Q. What year was the South Dakota Regional Center approved?</li> <li>A. I think it was it was 2004, early 2004.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what was the physical location of the regional center?</li> <li>A. You know, when you there's the regional, the entire it's well, initially were certain counties that I designated, but then it kind of expanded with different amendments.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it wasn't a business.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>immigrated to Canada but now also were running in problems with the Canadian milk order system. So then I branched out to Canada, and while I was in Canada I found British people. So then I went to England, Ireland, and started bringing in</li> <li>Q. People from all over the world.</li> <li>A. Tried to, yeah.</li> <li>Q. And were you holding seminars in each of these</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And okay. Started off with the dairies; correct?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh. THE COURT REPORTER: Is that yes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Sorry. I should have followed up there. Talk to me about SDIBI. What does that stand for?</li> <li>A. Again, it's not a legal entity. It's just some name</li> <li>I came up with.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. South Dakota International Business Institute.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Looking forward. Okay. Are the private California and Pennsylvania regional centers still operating?</li> <li>A. You know, I've been out of the game since about 2013 so I don't</li> <li>Q. You don't</li> <li>A. I know there's about probably about 1,000 regional centers now.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So California, Pennsylvania, Morrie worked to push South Dakota's regional center through quickly.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh. Yep.</li> <li>Q. What year was the South Dakota Regional Center approved?</li> <li>A. I think it was it was 2004, early 2004.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what was the physical location of the regional center?</li> <li>A. You know, when you there's the regional, the entire it's well, initially were certain counties that I designated, but then it kind of expanded with different amendments.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it wasn't a business.</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>immigrated to Canada but now also were running in problems with the Canadian milk order system. So then I branched out to Canada, and while I was in Canada I found British people. So then I went to England, Ireland, and started bringing in</li> <li>Q. People from all over the world.</li> <li>A. Tried to, yeah.</li> <li>Q. And were you holding seminars in each of these</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And okay. Started off with the dairies; correct?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh. THE COURT REPORTER: Is that yes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Sorry. I should have followed up there. Talk to me about SDIBI. What does that stand for?</li> <li>A. Again, it's not a legal entity. It's just some name I came up with.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. South Dakota International Business Institute.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And it's part of the School of Business at Northern.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Looking forward. Okay. Are the private California and Pennsylvania regional centers still operating?</li> <li>A. You know, I've been out of the game since about 2013 so I don't</li> <li>Q. You don't</li> <li>A. I know there's about probably about 1,000 regional centers now.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So California, Pennsylvania, Morrie worked to push South Dakota's regional center through quickly.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh. Yep.</li> <li>Q. What year was the South Dakota Regional Center approved?</li> <li>A. I think it was it was 2004, early 2004.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what was the physical location of the regional center?</li> <li>A. You know, when you there's the regional, the entire it's well, initially were certain counties that I designated, but then it kind of expanded with different amendments.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it wasn't a business.</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. It was an area.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>immigrated to Canada but now also were running in problems with the Canadian milk order system. So then I branched out to Canada, and while I was in Canada I found British people. So then I went to England, Ireland, and started bringing in</li> <li>Q. People from all over the world.</li> <li>A. Tried to, yeah.</li> <li>Q. And were you holding seminars in each of these</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And okay. Started off with the dairies; correct?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh. THE COURT REPORTER: Is that yes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Sorry. I should have followed up there. Talk to me about SDIBI. What does that stand for?</li> <li>A. Again, it's not a legal entity. It's just some name I came up with.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. South Dakota International Business Institute.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And it's part of the School of Business at Northern.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Looking forward. Okay. Are the private California and Pennsylvania regional centers still operating?</li> <li>A. You know, I've been out of the game since about 2013 so I don't</li> <li>Q. You don't</li> <li>A. I know there's about probably about 1,000 regional centers now.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So California, Pennsylvania, Morrie worked to push South Dakota's regional center through quickly.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh. Yep.</li> <li>Q. What year was the South Dakota Regional Center approved?</li> <li>A. I think it was it was 2004, early 2004.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what was the physical location of the regional center?</li> <li>A. You know, when you there's the regional, the entire it's well, initially were certain counties that I designated, but then it kind of expanded with different amendments.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it wasn't a business.</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>immigrated to Canada but now also were running in problems with the Canadian milk order system. So then I branched out to Canada, and while I was in Canada I found British people. So then I went to England, Ireland, and started bringing in</li> <li>Q. People from all over the world.</li> <li>A. Tried to, yeah.</li> <li>Q. And were you holding seminars in each of these</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And okay. Started off with the dairies; correct?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh. THE COURT REPORTER: Is that yes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Sorry. I should have followed up there. Talk to me about SDIBI. What does that stand for?</li> <li>A. Again, it's not a legal entity. It's just some name I came up with.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. South Dakota International Business Institute.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And it's part of the School of Business at Northern.</li> </ul>

	Case 1:17-cv-	01002-CBK Document 173-1	=ilec	1 10/10/18 Page 10 of 61 PageID #: 2468 🔬
				59
1		as no office that said	1 2	A. Well, you know, when I submitted the proposal and
3		y SDIBI with my name.	2	was accepted to the Governor's Office for me there was a
4		as a physical office	3 4	new challenge. Export promotion became a little bit boring. Then there was a new challenge where I tried to
4 5		aic?	4 5	
	Q on campus for the	115?	5 6	spend as much time as I could, without putting SDIBI at risk.
6	<ul><li>A. Yeah.</li><li>Q. And can you explain</li></ul>	in the relationship between SDIRI	7	Q. Explain South Dakota's EB-5 program when it first
8	,	in the relationship between SDIBI ? How did those interplay?	8	started. Because I know it's changed over time. So
9	5	SDIBI was the export site.	9	let's start from the beginning, and explain what it was
10	<ul><li>A. I would say that</li><li>Q. Okay.</li></ul>	SDIDI was the export site.	10	like when it first started.
11		from an export site, and the	11	A. The problem with the whole EB-5 is USCIS didn't have
12		ne the foreign direct investment	12	the right personnel to manage EB-5. You have to be very
12	site.	ne the foreign direct investment	12	entrepreneurial, and the USCIS, in my mind, were
14		two separate functions?	14	ex-military people, government bureaucrats who have
15	<b>A.</b> Yes.		15	suddenly started reviewing business plans, which didn't
16	Q. Okay.		16	make any sense to me. But that was the reality.
17		, that was not the intent. It just	17	Initially we started with dairy in a 12-county I
18	developed that way.	that was not the intent. It just	18	believe it was 12 counties along the I-29. And once we
19	<b>Q</b> . What was the inter	nt initially?	19	started booking some successes, Department of Agriculture
20		ternational Business Institute	20	became extremely interested because something for them to
21	included, in my mind,		21	help their agenda, and we started expanding slowly on to
22	Q. Okay. And how die	-	22	what the regional center was when I left.
23	A. Again, it's not a l		23	Q. Okay.
24	Q. Sure.		24	A. When I was when the State terminated the
25		mmarize it, in my mind, SDIBI	25	contract, I should say.
		, , ,		
		38		40
1	became more involve	38 d with the export promotion, and the	1	40 Q. When you talk about USCIS and U-S-C-I-S. When
1			1 2	
		d with the export promotion, and the	-	<b>Q</b> . When you talk about USCIS and U-S-C-I-S. When
2	South Dakota Regiona foreign investment.	d with the export promotion, and the	2	<b>Q</b> . When you talk about USCIS and U-S-C-I-S. When you talk about it in the beginning, ex-military and
2	South Dakota Regiona foreign investment.	d with the export promotion, and the al Center was more on the track of erms of your job you had different	2	<b>Q</b> . When you talk about USCIS and U-S-C-I-S. When you talk about it in the beginning, ex-military and bureaucrats, was it a small group that
2 3 4	South Dakota Region foreign investment. Q. Would you say in t	d with the export promotion, and the al Center was more on the track of erms of your job you had different	2 3 4	<ul> <li>Q. When you talk about USCIS and U-S-C-I-S. When you talk about it in the beginning, ex-military and bureaucrats, was it a small group that</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. It was very small.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>South Dakota Region</li><li>foreign investment.</li><li>Q. Would you say in t</li><li>time allocations for each</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>	d with the export promotion, and the al Center was more on the track of erms of your job you had different	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. When you talk about USCIS and U-S-C-I-S. When you talk about it in the beginning, ex-military and bureaucrats, was it a small group that</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. It was very small.</li> <li>Q. How many would you say?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>South Dakota Region</li><li>foreign investment.</li><li>Q. Would you say in t</li><li>time allocations for each</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>	d with the export promotion, and the al Center was more on the track of erms of your job you had different n one? the beginning were you devoting to	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. When you talk about USCIS and U-S-C-I-S. When you talk about it in the beginning, ex-military and bureaucrats, was it a small group that</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. It was very small.</li> <li>Q. How many would you say?</li> <li>A. I don't want to speculate, but it was very small.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>South Dakota Region</li> <li>foreign investment.</li> <li>Q. Would you say in the time allocations for each</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. How much time in SDIBI versus the region</li> </ul>	d with the export promotion, and the al Center was more on the track of erms of your job you had different n one? the beginning were you devoting to	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. When you talk about USCIS and U-S-C-I-S. When you talk about it in the beginning, ex-military and bureaucrats, was it a small group that</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. It was very small.</li> <li>Q. How many would you say?</li> <li>A. I don't want to speculate, but it was very small.</li> <li>From my perspective it was mainly Morrie. Morrie was the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>South Dakota Region</li> <li>foreign investment.</li> <li>Q. Would you say in the time allocations for each</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. How much time in SDIBI versus the region</li> </ul>	d with the export promotion, and the al Center was more on the track of erms of your job you had different n one? the beginning were you devoting to hal center?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. When you talk about USCIS and U-S-C-I-S. When you talk about it in the beginning, ex-military and bureaucrats, was it a small group that</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. It was very small.</li> <li>Q. How many would you say?</li> <li>A. I don't want to speculate, but it was very small.</li> <li>From my perspective it was mainly Morrie. Morrie was the head.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>South Dakota Region</li> <li>foreign investment.</li> <li>Q. Would you say in t</li> <li>time allocations for each</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. How much time in</li> <li>SDIBI versus the region</li> <li>A. Well, the region</li> </ul>	d with the export promotion, and the al Center was more on the track of erms of your job you had different n one? the beginning were you devoting to hal center?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. When you talk about USCIS and U-S-C-I-S. When you talk about it in the beginning, ex-military and bureaucrats, was it a small group that</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. It was very small.</li> <li>Q. How many would you say?</li> <li>A. I don't want to speculate, but it was very small.</li> <li>From my perspective it was mainly Morrie. Morrie was the head.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>South Dakota Region</li> <li>foreign investment.</li> <li>Q. Would you say in t</li> <li>time allocations for each</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. How much time in</li> <li>SDIBI versus the region</li> <li>A. Well, the region</li> <li>100 percent I was do</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>	d with the export promotion, and the al Center was more on the track of erms of your job you had different n one? the beginning were you devoting to hal center?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. When you talk about USCIS and U-S-C-I-S. When you talk about it in the beginning, ex-military and bureaucrats, was it a small group that</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. It was very small.</li> <li>Q. How many would you say?</li> <li>A. I don't want to speculate, but it was very small.</li> <li>From my perspective it was mainly Morrie. Morrie was the head.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. That's what I was focused on.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>South Dakota Region</li> <li>foreign investment.</li> <li>Q. Would you say in t</li> <li>time allocations for each</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. How much time in</li> <li>SDIBI versus the region</li> <li>A. Well, the region</li> <li>100 percent I was do</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>	d with the export promotion, and the al Center was more on the track of erms of your job you had different n one? the beginning were you devoting to al center? al center didn't exist so ing SDIBI.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. When you talk about USCIS and U-S-C-I-S. When you talk about it in the beginning, ex-military and bureaucrats, was it a small group that</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. It was very small.</li> <li>Q. How many would you say?</li> <li>A. I don't want to speculate, but it was very small.</li> <li>From my perspective it was mainly Morrie. Morrie was the head.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. That's what I was focused on.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Would you say more than 10?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	South Dakota Region foreign investment. Q. Would you say in t time allocations for each A. Yes. Q. How much time in SDIBI versus the region A. Well, the region 100 percent I was do Q. Okay. A. And then eventue	d with the export promotion, and the al Center was more on the track of erms of your job you had different n one? the beginning were you devoting to al center? al center didn't exist so ing SDIBI.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. When you talk about USCIS and U-S-C-I-S. When you talk about it in the beginning, ex-military and bureaucrats, was it a small group that</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. It was very small.</li> <li>Q. How many would you say?</li> <li>A. I don't want to speculate, but it was very small.</li> <li>From my perspective it was mainly Morrie. Morrie was the head.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. That's what I was focused on.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Would you say more than 10?</li> <li>A. You know, when it comes to adjudicating the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	South Dakota Region foreign investment. Q. Would you say in t time allocations for each A. Yes. Q. How much time in SDIBI versus the region A. Well, the region A. Well, the region Q. Okay. A. And then eventual involved with foreign Q. Okay.	d with the export promotion, and the al Center was more on the track of erms of your job you had different n one? the beginning were you devoting to al center? al center didn't exist so ing SDIBI.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. When you talk about USCIS and U-S-C-I-S. When you talk about it in the beginning, ex-military and bureaucrats, was it a small group that</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. It was very small.</li> <li>Q. How many would you say?</li> <li>A. I don't want to speculate, but it was very small.</li> <li>From my perspective it was mainly Morrie. Morrie was the head.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. That's what I was focused on.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Would you say more than 10?</li> <li>A. You know, when it comes to adjudicating the petitions itself, I would say more than 10.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	South Dakota Region foreign investment. Q. Would you say in t time allocations for each A. Yes. Q. How much time in SDIBI versus the region A. Well, the region A. Well, the region Q. Okay. A. And then eventus invelved with foreign Q. Okay. A. And had my secr	d with the export promotion, and the al Center was more on the track of erms of your job you had different n one? the beginning were you devoting to al center? al center didn't exist so ing SDIBI. ally I would become more and more investment.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. When you talk about USCIS and U-S-C-I-S. When you talk about it in the beginning, ex-military and bureaucrats, was it a small group that</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. It was very small.</li> <li>Q. How many would you say?</li> <li>A. I don't want to speculate, but it was very small.</li> <li>From my perspective it was mainly Morrie. Morrie was the head.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. That's what I was focused on.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Would you say more than 10?</li> <li>A. You know, when it comes to adjudicating the petitions itself, I would say more than 10.</li> <li>Q. Okay. More than 20?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	South Dakota Region foreign investment. Q. Would you say in t time allocations for each A. Yes. Q. How much time in SDIBI versus the region A. Well, the region A. Well, the region Q. Okay. A. And then eventual involved with foreign Q. Okay. A. And had my secr export-related SDIBI	d with the export promotion, and the al Center was more on the track of erms of your job you had different n one? the beginning were you devoting to hal center? Al center didn't exist so ing SDIBI. ally I would become more and more investment. etary, Cheri Brick, take care of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. When you talk about USCIS and U-S-C-I-S. When you talk about it in the beginning, ex-military and bureaucrats, was it a small group that</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. It was very small.</li> <li>Q. How many would you say?</li> <li>A. I don't want to speculate, but it was very small.</li> <li>From my perspective it was mainly Morrie. Morrie was the head.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. That's what I was focused on.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Would you say more than 10?</li> <li>A. You know, when it comes to adjudicating the petitions itself, I would say more than 10.</li> <li>Q. Okay. More than 20?</li> <li>A. You know, I'm just purely speculating. I don't want</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	South Dakota Region foreign investment. Q. Would you say in t time allocations for each A. Yes. Q. How much time in SDIBI versus the region A. Well, the region A. Well, the region Q. Okay. A. And then eventus involved with foreign Q. Okay. A. And had my secre export-related SDIBI supervise to make su	d with the export promotion, and the al Center was more on the track of erms of your job you had different in one? the beginning were you devoting to hal center? al center didn't exist so ing SDIBI. ally I would become more and more investment. etary, Cheri Brick, take care of the activities, and I would just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. When you talk about USCIS and U-S-C-I-S. When you talk about it in the beginning, ex-military and bureaucrats, was it a small group that</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. It was very small.</li> <li>Q. How many would you say?</li> <li>A. I don't want to speculate, but it was very small.</li> <li>From my perspective it was mainly Morrie. Morrie was the head.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. That's what I was focused on.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Would you say more than 10?</li> <li>A. You know, when it comes to adjudicating the petitions itself, I would say more than 10.</li> <li>Q. Okay. More than 20?</li> <li>A. You know, I'm just purely speculating. I don't want to a say the say and the say to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	South Dakota Region foreign investment. Q. Would you say in t time allocations for each A. Yes. Q. How much time in SDIBI versus the region A. Well, the region A. Well, the region A. Okay. A. And then eventual involved with foreign Q. Okay. A. And had my secr export-related SDIBI supervise to make su And, again, we we	d with the export promotion, and the al Center was more on the track of erms of your job you had different n one? the beginning were you devoting to al center? al center didn't exist so ing SDIBI. ally I would become more and more investment. etary, Cheri Brick, take care of the activities, and I would just re that things went okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. When you talk about USCIS and U-S-C-I-S. When you talk about it in the beginning, ex-military and bureaucrats, was it a small group that</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. It was very small.</li> <li>Q. How many would you say?</li> <li>A. I don't want to speculate, but it was very small.</li> <li>From my perspective it was mainly Morrie. Morrie was the head.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. That's what I was focused on.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Would you say more than 10?</li> <li>A. You know, when it comes to adjudicating the petitions itself, I would say more than 10.</li> <li>Q. Okay. More than 20?</li> <li>A. You know, I'm just purely speculating. I don't want to</li> <li>Q. Yeah. And that's okay. I'm just asking you.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	South Dakota Region foreign investment. Q. Would you say in t time allocations for each A. Yes. Q. How much time in SDIBI versus the region A. Well, the region A. Well, the region A. Okay. A. And then eventual involved with foreign Q. Okay. A. And had my secr export-related SDIBI supervise to make su And, again, we we	d with the export promotion, and the al Center was more on the track of erms of your job you had different in one? the beginning were you devoting to hal center? In center didn't exist so ing SDIBI. ally I would become more and more investment. etary, Cheri Brick, take care of the activities, and I would just re that things went okay. yould submit a contract to GOED on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. When you talk about USCIS and U-S-C-I-S. When you talk about it in the beginning, ex-military and bureaucrats, was it a small group that</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. It was very small.</li> <li>Q. How many would you say?</li> <li>A. I don't want to speculate, but it was very small.</li> <li>From my perspective it was mainly Morrie. Morrie was the head.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. That's what I was focused on.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Would you say more than 10?</li> <li>A. You know, when it comes to adjudicating the petitions itself, I would say more than 10.</li> <li>Q. Okay. More than 20?</li> <li>A. You know, I'm just purely speculating. I don't want to</li> <li>Q. Yeah. And that's okay. I'm just asking you.</li> <li>A. I don't want to guess. I don't know how many people</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	South Dakota Region foreign investment. Q. Would you say in t time allocations for each A. Yes. Q. How much time in SDIBI versus the region A. Well, the region A. Well, the region Q. Okay. A. And then eventus involved with foreign Q. Okay. A. And had my secr export-related SDIBI supervise to make su And, again, we w an annual basis who w	d with the export promotion, and the al Center was more on the track of erms of your job you had different in one? the beginning were you devoting to hal center? In center didn't exist so ing SDIBI. ally I would become more and more investment. etary, Cheri Brick, take care of the activities, and I would just re that things went okay. yould submit a contract to GOED on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. When you talk about USCIS and U-S-C-I-S. When you talk about it in the beginning, ex-military and bureaucrats, was it a small group that</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. It was very small.</li> <li>Q. How many would you say?</li> <li>A. I don't want to speculate, but it was very small.</li> <li>From my perspective it was mainly Morrie. Morrie was the head.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. That's what I was focused on.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Would you say more than 10?</li> <li>A. You know, when it comes to adjudicating the petitions itself, I would say more than 10.</li> <li>Q. Okay. More than 20?</li> <li>A. You know, I'm just purely speculating. I don't want to</li> <li>Q. Yeah. And that's okay. I'm just asking you.</li> <li>A. I don't want to guess. I don't know how many people they had working on the program initially.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	South Dakota Region foreign investment. Q. Would you say in t time allocations for each A. Yes. Q. How much time in SDIBI versus the region A. Well, the region A. Well, the region Q. Okay. A. And then eventus involved with foreign Q. Okay. A. And had my secr export-related SDIBI supervise to make su And, again, we w an annual basis who w	d with the export promotion, and the al Center was more on the track of erms of your job you had different in one? the beginning were you devoting to hal center? It center didn't exist so ing SDIBI. ally I would become more and more investment. etary, Cheri Brick, take care of the activities, and I would just re that things went okay. Yould submit a contract to GOED on would then approve my time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. When you talk about USCIS and U-S-C-I-S. When you talk about it in the beginning, ex-military and bureaucrats, was it a small group that</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. It was very small.</li> <li>Q. How many would you say?</li> <li>A. I don't want to speculate, but it was very small.</li> <li>From my perspective it was mainly Morrie. Morrie was the head.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. That's what I was focused on.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Would you say more than 10?</li> <li>A. You know, when it comes to adjudicating the petitions itself, I would say more than 10.</li> <li>Q. Okay. More than 20?</li> <li>A. You know, I'm just purely speculating. I don't want to</li> <li>Q. Yeah. And that's okay. I'm just asking you.</li> <li>A. I don't want to guess. I don't know how many people they had working on the program initially.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	South Dakota Region foreign investment. Q. Would you say in t time allocations for each A. Yes. Q. How much time in SDIBI versus the region A. Well, the region A. Well, the region A. Well, the region Q. Okay. A. And then eventua involved with foreign Q. Okay. A. And had my secr export-related SDIBI supervise to make su And, again, we w an annual basis who allocation. Q. Okay. And did eve	d with the export promotion, and the al Center was more on the track of erms of your job you had different in one? the beginning were you devoting to hal center? It center didn't exist so ing SDIBI. ally I would become more and more investment. etary, Cheri Brick, take care of the activities, and I would just re that things went okay. Yould submit a contract to GOED on would then approve my time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. When you talk about USCIS and U-S-C-I-S. When you talk about it in the beginning, ex-military and bureaucrats, was it a small group that</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. It was very small.</li> <li>Q. How many would you say?</li> <li>A. I don't want to speculate, but it was very small.</li> <li>From my perspective it was mainly Morrie. Morrie was the head.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. That's what I was focused on.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Would you say more than 10?</li> <li>A. You know, when it comes to adjudicating the petitions itself, I would say more than 10.</li> <li>Q. Okay. More than 20?</li> <li>A. You know, I'm just purely speculating. I don't want to</li> <li>Q. Yeah. And that's okay. I'm just asking you.</li> <li>A. I don't want to guess. I don't know how many people they had working on the program initially.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And it grew, obviously, as the program grew.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	South Dakota Region foreign investment. Q. Would you say in t time allocations for each A. Yes. Q. How much time in SDIBI versus the region A. Well, the region A. Well, the region Q. Okay. A. And then eventus involved with foreign Q. Okay. A. And had my secr export-related SDIBI supervise to make su And, again, we w an annual basis who allocation. Q. Okay. And did events switch more to the foreign	d with the export promotion, and the al Center was more on the track of erms of your job you had different in one? the beginning were you devoting to hal center? It center didn't exist so ing SDIBI. ally I would become more and more investment. etary, Cheri Brick, take care of the activities, and I would just re that things went okay. Yould submit a contract to GOED on would then approve my time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. When you talk about USCIS and U-S-C-I-S. When you talk about it in the beginning, ex-military and bureaucrats, was it a small group that</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. It was very small.</li> <li>Q. How many would you say?</li> <li>A. I don't want to speculate, but it was very small.</li> <li>From my perspective it was mainly Morrie. Morrie was the head.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. That's what I was focused on.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Would you say more than 10?</li> <li>A. You know, when it comes to adjudicating the petitions itself, I would say more than 10.</li> <li>Q. Okay. More than 20?</li> <li>A. You know, I'm just purely speculating. I don't want to</li> <li>Q. Yeah. And that's okay. I'm just asking you.</li> <li>A. I don't want to guess. I don't know how many people they had working on the program initially.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And it grew, obviously, as the program grew.</li> <li>Q. It grew as the program grew. But, for the most</li> </ul>

	Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	File	110	)/10/18 Page 11 of 61 PageID #: 2469 43
1	investment model for the very first dairy project?	1	Α.	Six people in the family.
2	A. The analogy I've used before is we built a soccer	2	Q.	Okay. I believe we have looked at a document with
3	stadium.	3	Mar	y earlier this morning. It's right there. It's
4	<b>Q.</b> Okay.	4	Bac	hand 35, Haar 1. I can see it there at the bottom.
5	A. The regional center. And the South Dakota the	5		Is that a listing please take a second and look
6	South Dakota investors, if there were any initially	6	thro	ough that document. I just want to talk to you about
7	there weren't any. But the Dutch dairy farmers, if they	7	the	first dairies and what they did and how the program
8	came here, they could just take advantage of the tool,	8	gre	
9	but we would have them sign all kinds of waivers.	9		(Witness examines document.)
10	Q. Okay.	10	Q.	Have you had a chance to review that?
11	A. And that's it. We just provided the access to the	11	Α.	This first page is not dairies.
12	regional center for them to hire their own attorneys, for	12	Q.	Okay. Yeah. Review the entire document, please.
13	them to set up their own partnership. We wouldn't get	13		(Witness examines document.)
14	involved in any of that. For them to get their own bank	14	Α.	You want me to go through the entire through all
15	financing and get the business going.	15		details or
16	<b>Q.</b> So they would come here and look for a farm?	16	Q.	Well, we're under the understanding is this a
17	A. We would try to help them find sites.	17		ument that you prepared?
18	Q. Okay.	18	Α.	I think so.
19	A. And then once they found a site, we step back.	19	Q.	Okay. So if you can turn back, it looks like this
20	Q. Okay.	20	doc	ument is divided into current model and past model.
21	A. And all I would do is when they apply for the visa I	21	Α.	Okay.
22	would submit a letter stating from, according to the best	22	Q.	Is that correct?
23	of my knowledge, this project fits the model and fits the	23	Α.	I see the current model. Yes.
24	requirement under EB-5, and then they would be on their	24	Q.	Okay. So in the past model what one of these
25	own. They would hire their attorneys and do what they	25		ries was the first dairy to come to South Dakota?
	42			44
1	need to do.	1	Α.	Global Dairy was the first one, I think. They were
2	<b>Q.</b> They would do everything on their own.	2	alro	eady here.
3	Were these sites already developed, or was this pure	3	Q.	What do you mean they were
4	land, they had to build	4	Α.	They were here even before without my there was a
5	A. Both.	5	Du	tch dairy farmer
6	<b>Q.</b> Both. Okay. So they could buy out an existing	6	Q.	Okay.
7	dairy?	7	Α.	in White, South Dakota, I believe.
8	A. They no. They could buy an existing dairy, but	8	Q.	So was he here probably on an E-2?
9	then they are contain expansion very incoment	9		
40	then there's a certain expansion requirement.	5	Α.	Yes.
10	Q. Okay.	10	A. Q.	Yes. And you so did you find him? Did he find you?
10 11		_	Q.	
	<b>Q.</b> Okay.	10	<b>Q</b> . Hov	And you so did you find him? Did he find you?
11	<ul><li>Q. Okay.</li><li>A. So you couldn't just buy</li></ul>	10 11	<b>Q</b> . Hov <b>A</b> .	And you so did you find him? Did he find you? v did that how did you meet?
11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. So you couldn't just buy</li> <li>Q. A dairy.</li> </ul>	10 11 12	Q. Hov A. tha	And you so did you find him? Did he find you? v did that how did you meet? I think it was through the Department of Agriculture
11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. So you couldn't just buy</li> <li>Q. A dairy.</li> <li>A. Yeah. So you had some people maybe buy an older</li> </ul>	10 11 12 13	Q. Hov A. tha	And you so did you find him? Did he find you? v did that how did you meet? I think it was through the Department of Agriculture t I found out that there was a Dutch dairy somewhere.
11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. So you couldn't just buy</li> <li>Q. A dairy.</li> <li>A. Yeah. So you had some people maybe buy an older dairy and then put new barns in or something to that</li> </ul>	10 11 12 13 14	Q. Hov A. tha Q.	And you so did you find him? Did he find you? v did that how did you meet? I think it was through the Department of Agriculture t I found out that there was a Dutch dairy somewhere. Okay.
11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. So you couldn't just buy</li> <li>Q. A dairy.</li> <li>A. Yeah. So you had some people maybe buy an older dairy and then put new barns in or something to that effect.</li> </ul>	10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Hov A. tha Q. A.	And you so did you find him? Did he find you? v did that how did you meet? I think it was through the Department of Agriculture it I found out that there was a Dutch dairy somewhere. Okay. And that's and then I contacted them.
11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. So you couldn't just buy</li> <li>Q. A dairy.</li> <li>A. Yeah. So you had some people maybe buy an older dairy and then put new barns in or something to that effect.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were they coming with like large groups like</li> </ul>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Hov A. tha Q. A. Q.	And you so did you find him? Did he find you? v did that how did you meet? I think it was through the Department of Agriculture t I found out that there was a Dutch dairy somewhere. Okay. And that's and then I contacted them. And what happened when you contacted him?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. So you couldn't just buy</li> <li>Q. A dairy.</li> <li>A. Yeah. So you had some people maybe buy an older dairy and then put new barns in or something to that effect.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were they coming with like large groups like a family, or was it just in the beginning just one</li> </ul>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Hov A. tha Q. A. Q. A. the	And you so did you find him? Did he find you? v did that how did you meet? I think it was through the Department of Agriculture at I found out that there was a Dutch dairy somewhere. Okay. And that's and then I contacted them. And what happened when you contacted him? I recruited him to come with me to Europe because
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. So you couldn't just buy</li> <li>Q. A dairy.</li> <li>A. Yeah. So you had some people maybe buy an older dairy and then put new barns in or something to that effect.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were they coming with like large groups like a family, or was it just in the beginning just one dairy</li> </ul>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Hov A. tha Q. A. Q. A. the	And you so did you find him? Did he find you? v did that how did you meet? I think it was through the Department of Agriculture t I found out that there was a Dutch dairy somewhere. Okay. And that's and then I contacted them. And what happened when you contacted him? I recruited him to come with me to Europe because by already bought the South Dakota product, so they
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. So you couldn't just buy</li> <li>Q. A dairy.</li> <li>A. Yeah. So you had some people maybe buy an older dairy and then put new barns in or something to that effect.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were they coming with like large groups like a family, or was it just in the beginning just one dairy</li> <li>A. Family.</li> </ul>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Hov A. tha Q. A. Q. A. the wo	And you so did you find him? Did he find you? v did that how did you meet? I think it was through the Department of Agriculture at I found out that there was a Dutch dairy somewhere. Okay. And that's and then I contacted them. And what happened when you contacted him? I recruited him to come with me to Europe because by already bought the South Dakota product, so they uld be good salespeople to help me in my sales.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. So you couldn't just buy</li> <li>Q. A dairy.</li> <li>A. Yeah. So you had some people maybe buy an older dairy and then put new barns in or something to that effect.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were they coming with like large groups like a family, or was it just in the beginning just one dairy</li> <li>A. Family.</li> <li>Q. Family?</li> </ul>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Hov A. tha Q. A. Q. the wo Q.	And you so did you find him? Did he find you? v did that how did you meet? I think it was through the Department of Agriculture at I found out that there was a Dutch dairy somewhere. Okay. And that's and then I contacted them. And what happened when you contacted him? I recruited him to come with me to Europe because by already bought the South Dakota product, so they uld be good salespeople to help me in my sales. Okay. So they actually went with you.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. So you couldn't just buy</li> <li>Q. A dairy.</li> <li>A. Yeah. So you had some people maybe buy an older dairy and then put new barns in or something to that effect.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were they coming with like large groups like a family, or was it just in the beginning just one dairy</li> <li>A. Family.</li> <li>Q. Family?</li> <li>A. Well, one dairy family would come and set up their</li> </ul>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Hov A. tha Q. A. Q. the wo Q. A.	And you so did you find him? Did he find you? v did that how did you meet? I think it was through the Department of Agriculture t I found out that there was a Dutch dairy somewhere. Okay. And that's and then I contacted them. And what happened when you contacted him? I recruited him to come with me to Europe because by already bought the South Dakota product, so they uld be good salespeople to help me in my sales. Okay. So they actually went with you. Uh-huh.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. So you couldn't just buy</li> <li>Q. A dairy.</li> <li>A. Yeah. So you had some people maybe buy an older dairy and then put new barns in or something to that effect.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were they coming with like large groups like a family, or was it just in the beginning just one dairy</li> <li>A. Family.</li> <li>Q. Family?</li> <li>A. Well, one dairy family would come and set up their own shop.</li> </ul>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Hov A. tha Q. A. Q. A. the WO Q. A. Q.	And you so did you find him? Did he find you? w did that how did you meet? I think it was through the Department of Agriculture at I found out that there was a Dutch dairy somewhere. Okay. And that's and then I contacted them. And what happened when you contacted him? I recruited him to come with me to Europe because by already bought the South Dakota product, so they uld be good salespeople to help me in my sales. Okay. So they actually went with you. Uh-huh. And you went

	Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	File	d 10/10/18 Page 12 of 61 PageID #: 2470 47
1	A. No. They were just E-2. The EB-5 program didn't	1	regional center, yes, it was 500,000, plus they had to
2	exist.	2	create 10 jobs.
3	<b>Q.</b> Okay. Did they ever become part of the EB-5	3	<b>Q</b> . For outside of a regional center what would be the
4	program?	4	requirements of the dairies?
5	A. Yes.	5	A. As I mentioned, job creation is the real reason for
6	Q. When? Do you remember?	6	EB-5. The benefit of a regional center is that it allows
7	A. I don't remember.	7	you to calculate indirect jobs based on an econometric
8	Q. Okay. Who was next?	8	model that we have submitted and received approval from
9	A. You know, I think Winter Dairy was very early on.	9	from the Federal Government.
10	Oh, no. Van Winkle Dairy was very early on.	10	<b>Q.</b> Okay. Let's walk through that.
11	Q. Okay.	11	A. Uh-huh.
12	A. Oh, I guess the dates are right on here.	12	<b>Q.</b> So regional center allows you to create indirect
13	<b>Q.</b> Sure. So would this say that it may have been	13	jobs. And we're going to walk through that economic
14	Van Winkle?	14	model here in a moment.
15	A. 2005	15	A. Uh-huh.
16	Q. So probably Van Winkle	16	<b>Q</b> . Outside of the regional center, so outside of the
17	A. K&K Dairy seems to be in 2005. Swier's, 2005. And	17	counties where you were approved, they would have to
18	then Moody, 2006. Veblen, 2006. Roughly in that area.	18	invest a million dollars.
19	<b>Q.</b> Okay. So 2005, 2006 is when the dairies really took	19	A. Not necessarily. In high unemployment areas and
20	off in South Dakota?	20	rural areas it still would be 500.
21	A. Yes. It appears that way.	21	Q. Okay.
22	<b>Q.</b> Explain the model of investment through the EB-5	22	A. But they would have to create 10 physical jobs.
23	program at that time.	23	<b>Q</b> . They had to hire 10 people.
24	A. As I mentioned, the very first one was where a	24	A. Direct jobs.
25	European dairy farmer would come here and would set up	25	<b>Q.</b> Okay. How do you calculate then indirect jobs?
	46		48
1	46 their own shop, hire their own employment own	1	A. You know, there's different multipliers. And this
2		1 2	A. You know, there's different multipliers. And this is this program is in flux. So initially we never
	their own shop, hire their own employment own		A. You know, there's different multipliers. And this is this program is in flux. So initially we never anticipated how the program developed the way it did.
2 3 4	<ul> <li>their own shop, hire their own employment own employees, and would qualify or not qualify for the right visa.</li> <li>Q. Was there a monetary amount they had to meet?</li> </ul>	2	<ul> <li>A. You know, there's different multipliers. And this is this program is in flux. So initially we never anticipated how the program developed the way it did. So initially there was a direct and indirect. We</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>their own shop, hire their own employment own employees, and would qualify or not qualify for the right visa.</li> <li>Q. Was there a monetary amount they had to meet?</li> <li>A. Under the E-2 visa it's not really black and white.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. You know, there's different multipliers. And this is this program is in flux. So initially we never anticipated how the program developed the way it did. So initially there was a direct and indirect. We used RIMS II job creation where for each job you created</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>their own shop, hire their own employment own employees, and would qualify or not qualify for the right visa.</li> <li>Q. Was there a monetary amount they had to meet?</li> <li>A. Under the E-2 visa it's not really black and white.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. You know, there's different multipliers. And this is this program is in flux. So initially we never anticipated how the program developed the way it did. So initially there was a direct and indirect. We used RIMS II job creation where for each job you created on the farm you would get so many indirect jobs.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>their own shop, hire their own employment own employees, and would qualify or not qualify for the right visa.</li> <li>Q. Was there a monetary amount they had to meet?</li> <li>A. Under the E-2 visa it's not really black and white.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It's on a case-by-case basis. But I've seen them as</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. You know, there's different multipliers. And this is this program is in flux. So initially we never anticipated how the program developed the way it did. So initially there was a direct and indirect. We used RIMS II job creation where for each job you created on the farm you would get so many indirect jobs. So let's say you've created four jobs and your</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>their own shop, hire their own employment own employees, and would qualify or not qualify for the right visa.</li> <li>Q. Was there a monetary amount they had to meet?</li> <li>A. Under the E-2 visa it's not really black and white.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It's on a case-by-case basis. But I've seen them as low as \$50,000.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. You know, there's different multipliers. And this is this program is in flux. So initially we never anticipated how the program developed the way it did. So initially there was a direct and indirect. We used RIMS II job creation where for each job you created on the farm you would get so many indirect jobs. So let's say you've created four jobs and your multiplier for agriculture I believe was in the 2.4 some</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>their own shop, hire their own employment own employees, and would qualify or not qualify for the right visa.</li> <li>Q. Was there a monetary amount they had to meet?</li> <li>A. Under the E-2 visa it's not really black and white.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It's on a case-by-case basis. But I've seen them as low as \$50,000.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So were any of the dairies through EB-5?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. You know, there's different multipliers. And this is this program is in flux. So initially we never anticipated how the program developed the way it did. So initially there was a direct and indirect. We used RIMS II job creation where for each job you created on the farm you would get so many indirect jobs. So let's say you've created four jobs and your multiplier for agriculture I believe was in the 2.4 some region, 4 times 2.4, and a little bit past that threshold</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>their own shop, hire their own employment own employees, and would qualify or not qualify for the right visa.</li> <li>Q. Was there a monetary amount they had to meet?</li> <li>A. Under the E-2 visa it's not really black and white.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It's on a case-by-case basis. But I've seen them as low as \$50,000.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So were any of the dairies through EB-5?</li> <li>A. Yes. There were some on the EB-5. And then, you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. You know, there's different multipliers. And this is this program is in flux. So initially we never anticipated how the program developed the way it did. So initially there was a direct and indirect. We used RIMS II job creation where for each job you created on the farm you would get so many indirect jobs. So let's say you've created four jobs and your multiplier for agriculture I believe was in the 2.4 some region, 4 times 2.4, and a little bit past that threshold of 10, and you would, therefore, be able to qualify with</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>their own shop, hire their own employment own employees, and would qualify or not qualify for the right visa.</li> <li>Q. Was there a monetary amount they had to meet?</li> <li>A. Under the E-2 visa it's not really black and white.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It's on a case-by-case basis. But I've seen them as low as \$50,000.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So were any of the dairies through EB-5?</li> <li>A. Yes. There were some on the EB-5. And then, you know, you can use EB-5 without a regional center as well</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. You know, there's different multipliers. And this is this program is in flux. So initially we never anticipated how the program developed the way it did. So initially there was a direct and indirect. We used RIMS II job creation where for each job you created on the farm you would get so many indirect jobs. So let's say you've created four jobs and your multiplier for agriculture I believe was in the 2.4 some region, 4 times 2.4, and a little bit past that threshold of 10, and you would, therefore, be able to qualify with less direct jobs. And that's the real benefit of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>their own shop, hire their own employment own employees, and would qualify or not qualify for the right visa.</li> <li>Q. Was there a monetary amount they had to meet?</li> <li>A. Under the E-2 visa it's not really black and white.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It's on a case-by-case basis. But I've seen them as low as \$50,000.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So were any of the dairies through EB-5?</li> <li>A. Yes. There were some on the EB-5. And then, you know, you can use EB-5 without a regional center as well when you have to invest a million dollars. But if you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. You know, there's different multipliers. And this is this program is in flux. So initially we never anticipated how the program developed the way it did. So initially there was a direct and indirect. We used RIMS II job creation where for each job you created on the farm you would get so many indirect jobs. So let's say you've created four jobs and your multiplier for agriculture I believe was in the 2.4 some region, 4 times 2.4, and a little bit past that threshold of 10, and you would, therefore, be able to qualify with less direct jobs. And that's the real benefit of the regional center is the indirect job creation.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>their own shop, hire their own employment own employees, and would qualify or not qualify for the right visa.</li> <li>Q. Was there a monetary amount they had to meet?</li> <li>A. Under the E-2 visa it's not really black and white.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It's on a case-by-case basis. But I've seen them as low as \$50,000.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So were any of the dairies through EB-5?</li> <li>A. Yes. There were some on the EB-5. And then, you know, you can use EB-5 without a regional center as well when you have to invest a million dollars. But if you wanted to use that's not that's not quite true.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. You know, there's different multipliers. And this is this program is in flux. So initially we never anticipated how the program developed the way it did. So initially there was a direct and indirect. We used RIMS II job creation where for each job you created on the farm you would get so many indirect jobs. So let's say you've created four jobs and your multiplier for agriculture I believe was in the 2.4 some region, 4 times 2.4, and a little bit past that threshold of 10, and you would, therefore, be able to qualify with less direct jobs. And that's the real benefit of the regional center is the indirect job creation.</li> <li>Q. What is the purpose of that?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>their own shop, hire their own employment own employees, and would qualify or not qualify for the right visa.</li> <li>Q. Was there a monetary amount they had to meet?</li> <li>A. Under the E-2 visa it's not really black and white.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It's on a case-by-case basis. But I've seen them as low as \$50,000.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So were any of the dairies through EB-5?</li> <li>A. Yes. There were some on the EB-5. And then, you know, you can use EB-5 without a regional center as well when you have to invest a million dollars. But if you wanted to use that's not that's not quite true. You can use the benefit of using the regional</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. You know, there's different multipliers. And this is this program is in flux. So initially we never anticipated how the program developed the way it did. So initially there was a direct and indirect. We used RIMS II job creation where for each job you created on the farm you would get so many indirect jobs. So let's say you've created four jobs and your multiplier for agriculture I believe was in the 2.4 some region, 4 times 2.4, and a little bit past that threshold of 10, and you would, therefore, be able to qualify with less direct jobs. And that's the real benefit of the regional center is the indirect job creation.</li> <li>Q. What is the purpose of that?</li> <li>A. The purpose of that is that I believe the and I'm</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>their own shop, hire their own employment own employees, and would qualify or not qualify for the right visa.</li> <li>Q. Was there a monetary amount they had to meet?</li> <li>A. Under the E-2 visa it's not really black and white.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It's on a case-by-case basis. But I've seen them as low as \$50,000.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So were any of the dairies through EB-5?</li> <li>A. Yes. There were some on the EB-5. And then, you know, you can use EB-5 without a regional center as well when you have to invest a million dollars. But if you wanted to use that's not that's not quite true. You can use the benefit of using the regional center you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. You know, there's different multipliers. And this is this program is in flux. So initially we never anticipated how the program developed the way it did. So initially there was a direct and indirect. We used RIMS II job creation where for each job you created on the farm you would get so many indirect jobs. So let's say you've created four jobs and your multiplier for agriculture I believe was in the 2.4 some region, 4 times 2.4, and a little bit past that threshold of 10, and you would, therefore, be able to qualify with less direct jobs. And that's the real benefit of the regional center is the indirect job creation.</li> <li>Q. What is the purpose of that?</li> <li>A. The purpose of that is that I believe the and I'm speculating, but it wasn't very effective based on 10 job</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>their own shop, hire their own employment own employees, and would qualify or not qualify for the right visa.</li> <li>Q. Was there a monetary amount they had to meet?</li> <li>A. Under the E-2 visa it's not really black and white.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It's on a case-by-case basis. But I've seen them as low as \$50,000.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So were any of the dairies through EB-5?</li> <li>A. Yes. There were some on the EB-5. And then, you know, you can use EB-5 without a regional center as well when you have to invest a million dollars. But if you wanted to use that's not that's not quite true. You can use the benefit of using the regional center really had to do with the job creation where you needed you needed to create 10 jobs.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. You know, there's different multipliers. And this is this program is in flux. So initially we never anticipated how the program developed the way it did. So initially there was a direct and indirect. We used RIMS II job creation where for each job you created on the farm you would get so many indirect jobs. So let's say you've created four jobs and your multiplier for agriculture I believe was in the 2.4 some region, 4 times 2.4, and a little bit past that threshold of 10, and you would, therefore, be able to qualify with less direct jobs. And that's the real benefit of the regional center is the indirect job creation.</li> <li>Q. What is the purpose of that?</li> <li>A. The purpose of that is that I believe the and I'm speculating, but it wasn't very effective based on 10 job creation.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>their own shop, hire their own employment own employees, and would qualify or not qualify for the right visa.</li> <li>Q. Was there a monetary amount they had to meet?</li> <li>A. Under the E-2 visa it's not really black and white.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It's on a case-by-case basis. But I've seen them as low as \$50,000.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So were any of the dairies through EB-5?</li> <li>A. Yes. There were some on the EB-5. And then, you know, you can use EB-5 without a regional center as well when you have to invest a million dollars. But if you wanted to use that's not that's not quite true. You can use the benefit of using the regional center really had to do with the job creation where you needed you needed to create 10 jobs. Initially in the purest form were when these Dutch</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. You know, there's different multipliers. And this is this program is in flux. So initially we never anticipated how the program developed the way it did. So initially there was a direct and indirect. We used RIMS II job creation where for each job you created on the farm you would get so many indirect jobs. So let's say you've created four jobs and your multiplier for agriculture I believe was in the 2.4 some region, 4 times 2.4, and a little bit past that threshold of 10, and you would, therefore, be able to qualify with less direct jobs. And that's the real benefit of the regional center is the indirect job creation.</li> <li>Q. What is the purpose of that?</li> <li>A. The purpose of that is that I believe the and I'm speculating, but it wasn't very effective based on 10 job creation.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>their own shop, hire their own employment own employees, and would qualify or not qualify for the right visa.</li> <li>Q. Was there a monetary amount they had to meet?</li> <li>A. Under the E-2 visa it's not really black and white.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It's on a case-by-case basis. But I've seen them as low as \$50,000.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So were any of the dairies through EB-5?</li> <li>A. Yes. There were some on the EB-5. And then, you know, you can use EB-5 without a regional center as well when you have to invest a million dollars. But if you wanted to use that's not that's not quite true.</li> <li>You can use the benefit of using the regional center really had to do with the job creation where you needed you needed to create 10 jobs.</li> <li>Initially in the purest form were when these Dutch farmers would come here, set up their own shop, if they</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. You know, there's different multipliers. And this is this program is in flux. So initially we never anticipated how the program developed the way it did. So initially there was a direct and indirect. We used RIMS II job creation where for each job you created on the farm you would get so many indirect jobs. So let's say you've created four jobs and your multiplier for agriculture I believe was in the 2.4 some region, 4 times 2.4, and a little bit past that threshold of 10, and you would, therefore, be able to qualify with less direct jobs. And that's the real benefit of the regional center is the indirect job creation.</li> <li>Q. What is the purpose of that?</li> <li>A. The purpose of that is that I believe the and I'm speculating, but it wasn't very effective based on 10 job creation.</li> <li>Other governments have similar programs, Canada, New Zealand, et cetera, and they were just much more</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>their own shop, hire their own employment own employees, and would qualify or not qualify for the right visa.</li> <li>Q. Was there a monetary amount they had to meet?</li> <li>A. Under the E-2 visa it's not really black and white.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It's on a case-by-case basis. But I've seen them as low as \$50,000.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So were any of the dairies through EB-5?</li> <li>A. Yes. There were some on the EB-5. And then, you know, you can use EB-5 without a regional center as well when you have to invest a million dollars. But if you wanted to use that's not that's not quite true.</li> <li>You can use the benefit of using the regional center really had to do with the job creation where you needed you needed to create 10 jobs.</li> <li>Initially in the purest form were when these Dutch farmers would come here, set up their own shop, if they wanted the EB-5 program, then they would have to make</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. You know, there's different multipliers. And this is this program is in flux. So initially we never anticipated how the program developed the way it did. So initially there was a direct and indirect. We used RIMS II job creation where for each job you created on the farm you would get so many indirect jobs. So let's say you've created four jobs and your multiplier for agriculture I believe was in the 2.4 some region, 4 times 2.4, and a little bit past that threshold of 10, and you would, therefore, be able to qualify with less direct jobs. And that's the real benefit of the regional center is the indirect job creation.</li> <li>Q. What is the purpose of that?</li> <li>A. The purpose of that is that I believe the and I'm speculating, but it wasn't very effective based on 10 job creation.</li> <li>O ther governments have similar programs, Canada, New Zealand, et cetera, and they were just much more competitive than the U.S. system. So the U.S. had to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>their own shop, hire their own employment own employees, and would qualify or not qualify for the right visa.</li> <li>Q. Was there a monetary amount they had to meet?</li> <li>A. Under the E-2 visa it's not really black and white.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It's on a case-by-case basis. But I've seen them as low as \$50,000.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So were any of the dairies through EB-5?</li> <li>A. Yes. There were some on the EB-5. And then, you know, you can use EB-5 without a regional center as well when you have to invest a million dollars. But if you wanted to use that's not that's not quite true.</li> <li>You can use the benefit of using the regional center really had to do with the job creation where you needed you needed to create 10 jobs.</li> <li>Initially in the purest form were when these Dutch farmers would come here, set up their own shop, if they wanted the EB-5 program, then they would have to make sure that they hired 10 people for they would qualify for</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. You know, there's different multipliers. And this is this program is in flux. So initially we never anticipated how the program developed the way it did. So initially there was a direct and indirect. We used RIMS II job creation where for each job you created on the farm you would get so many indirect jobs. So let's say you've created four jobs and your multiplier for agriculture I believe was in the 2.4 some region, 4 times 2.4, and a little bit past that threshold of 10, and you would, therefore, be able to qualify with less direct jobs. And that's the real benefit of the regional center is the indirect job creation.</li> <li>Q. What is the purpose of that?</li> <li>A. The purpose of that is that I believe the and I'm speculating, but it wasn't very effective based on 10 job creation.</li> <li>Other governments have similar programs, Canada, New Zealand, et cetera, and they were just much more competitive than the U.S. system. So the U.S. had to adjust in order to become competitive with other</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>their own shop, hire their own employment own employees, and would qualify or not qualify for the right visa.</li> <li>Q. Was there a monetary amount they had to meet?</li> <li>A. Under the E-2 visa it's not really black and white.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It's on a case-by-case basis. But I've seen them as low as \$50,000.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So were any of the dairies through EB-5?</li> <li>A. Yes. There were some on the EB-5. And then, you know, you can use EB-5 without a regional center as well when you have to invest a million dollars. But if you wanted to use that's not that's not quite true.</li> <li>You can use the benefit of using the regional center really had to do with the job creation where you needed you needed to create 10 jobs.</li> <li>Initially in the purest form were when these Dutch farmers would come here, set up their own shop, if they wanted the EB-5 program, then they would qualify for the EB-5 program. If they wouldn't qualify, then they</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. You know, there's different multipliers. And this is this program is in flux. So initially we never anticipated how the program developed the way it did. So initially there was a direct and indirect. We used RIMS II job creation where for each job you created on the farm you would get so many indirect jobs. So let's say you've created four jobs and your multiplier for agriculture I believe was in the 2.4 some region, 4 times 2.4, and a little bit past that threshold of 10, and you would, therefore, be able to qualify with less direct jobs. And that's the real benefit of the regional center is the indirect job creation.</li> <li>Q. What is the purpose of that?</li> <li>A. The purpose of that is that I believe the and I'm speculating, but it wasn't very effective based on 10 job creation.</li> <li>Other governments have similar programs, Canada, New Zealand, et cetera, and they were just much more competitive than the U.S. system. So the U.S. had to adjust in order to become competitive with other countries.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>their own shop, hire their own employment own employees, and would qualify or not qualify for the right visa.</li> <li>Q. Was there a monetary amount they had to meet?</li> <li>A. Under the E-2 visa it's not really black and white.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It's on a case-by-case basis. But I've seen them as low as \$50,000.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So were any of the dairies through EB-5?</li> <li>A. Yes. There were some on the EB-5. And then, you know, you can use EB-5 without a regional center as well when you have to invest a million dollars. But if you wanted to use that's not that's not quite true.</li> <li>You can use the benefit of using the regional center really had to do with the job creation where you needed you needed to create 10 jobs.</li> <li>Initially in the purest form were when these Dutch farmers would come here, set up their own shop, if they wanted the EB-5 program, then they would have to make sure that they hired 10 people for they would qualify for the EB-5 program. If they wouldn't qualify, then they would remain on the E-2 visa.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. You know, there's different multipliers. And this is this program is in flux. So initially we never anticipated how the program developed the way it did. So initially there was a direct and indirect. We used RIMS II job creation where for each job you created on the farm you would get so many indirect jobs. So let's say you've created four jobs and your multiplier for agriculture I believe was in the 2.4 some region, 4 times 2.4, and a little bit past that threshold of 10, and you would, therefore, be able to qualify with less direct jobs. And that's the real benefit of the regional center is the indirect job creation.</li> <li>Q. What is the purpose of that?</li> <li>A. The purpose of that is that I believe the and I'm speculating, but it wasn't very effective based on 10 job creation.</li> <li>D Other governments have similar programs, Canada, New Zealand, et cetera, and they were just much more competitive than the U.S. system. So the U.S. had to adjust in order to become competitive with other countries.</li> <li>Q. So under RIMS II how did you choose RIMS II?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>their own shop, hire their own employment own employees, and would qualify or not qualify for the right visa.</li> <li>Q. Was there a monetary amount they had to meet?</li> <li>A. Under the E-2 visa it's not really black and white.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It's on a case-by-case basis. But I've seen them as low as \$50,000.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So were any of the dairies through EB-5?</li> <li>A. Yes. There were some on the EB-5. And then, you know, you can use EB-5 without a regional center as well when you have to invest a million dollars. But if you wanted to use that's not that's not quite true.</li> <li>You can use the benefit of using the regional center really had to do with the job creation where you needed you needed to create 10 jobs.</li> <li>Initially in the purest form were when these Dutch farmers would come here, set up their own shop, if they wanted the EB-5 program, then they would have to make sure that they hired 10 people for they would qualify for the EB-5 program. If they wouldn't qualify, then they would remain on the E-2 visa.</li> <li>Q. And so they would have to invest \$500,000 into their</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. You know, there's different multipliers. And this is this program is in flux. So initially we never anticipated how the program developed the way it did. So initially there was a direct and indirect. We used RIMS II job creation where for each job you created on the farm you would get so many indirect jobs. So let's say you've created four jobs and your multiplier for agriculture I believe was in the 2.4 some region, 4 times 2.4, and a little bit past that threshold of 10, and you would, therefore, be able to qualify with less direct jobs. And that's the real benefit of the regional center is the indirect job creation.</li> <li>Q. What is the purpose of that?</li> <li>A. The purpose of that is that I believe the and I'm speculating, but it wasn't very effective based on 10 job creation.</li> <li>D Other governments have similar programs, Canada, New Zealand, et cetera, and they were just much more competitive than the U.S. system. So the U.S. had to adjust in order to become competitive with other countries.</li> <li>Q. So under RIMS II how did you choose RIMS II?</li> <li>A. Morrie.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>their own shop, hire their own employment own employees, and would qualify or not qualify for the right visa.</li> <li>Q. Was there a monetary amount they had to meet?</li> <li>A. Under the E-2 visa it's not really black and white.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It's on a case-by-case basis. But I've seen them as low as \$50,000.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So were any of the dairies through EB-5?</li> <li>A. Yes. There were some on the EB-5. And then, you know, you can use EB-5 without a regional center as well when you have to invest a million dollars. But if you wanted to use that's not that's not quite true.</li> <li>You can use the benefit of using the regional center really had to do with the job creation where you needed you needed to create 10 jobs.</li> <li>Initially in the purest form were when these Dutch farmers would come here, set up their own shop, if they wanted the EB-5 program, then they would have to make sure that they hired 10 people for they would qualify for the EB-5 program. If they wouldn't qualify, then they would remain on the E-2 visa.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. You know, there's different multipliers. And this is this program is in flux. So initially we never anticipated how the program developed the way it did. So initially there was a direct and indirect. We used RIMS II job creation where for each job you created on the farm you would get so many indirect jobs. So let's say you've created four jobs and your multiplier for agriculture I believe was in the 2.4 some region, 4 times 2.4, and a little bit past that threshold of 10, and you would, therefore, be able to qualify with less direct jobs. And that's the real benefit of the regional center is the indirect job creation.</li> <li>Q. What is the purpose of that?</li> <li>A. The purpose of that is that I believe the and I'm speculating, but it wasn't very effective based on 10 job creation.</li> <li>D Other governments have similar programs, Canada, New Zealand, et cetera, and they were just much more competitive than the U.S. system. So the U.S. had to adjust in order to become competitive with other countries.</li> <li>Q. So under RIMS II how did you choose RIMS II?</li> </ul>

		Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	File	d 10/10/18 Page 13 of 61 PageID #: 2471 51
1	Q.	Did Morrie come up with the entire formula?	1	wanted to find a way in.
2	Α.	No. It's the U.S. Department of Commerce publishes	2	Q. Where were you getting these calls from? What
3	tho	se numbers.	3	countries?
4	Q.	Okay. So they published those numbers?	4	A. Mainly Korea. Korea, initially.
5	Α.	Right. They develop them.	5	Q. How did Korea find out about our regional center?
6	Q.	They develop them.	6	A. It's U.S. Department of Commerce publishes which
7	А.	The RIMS II.	7	are or who is approved as a regional center. So a
8	Q.	Okay. And there are different multipliers per	8	foreign immigration attorney is not hard to find out.
9	indu	ustry?	9	<b>Q</b> . Okay. Are there immigration attorneys that have
10	Α.	Correct.	10	been hired that are just searching all over the U.S
11	Q.	What so and you believe it's 2.4 for agriculture?	11	A. Yes.
12	Α.	I don't	12	Q for opportunities? Okay.
13	Q.	A guess but	13	You said yes; correct?
14	Α.	Somewhere in that range. Anywhere from 2 to 4,	14	A. Yes.
15	sha	ıll I say.	15	<b>Q</b> . Okay.
16	Q.	Okay. How do you know what industry goes with what	16	(Discussion off the record.)
17	mul	tiplier? Where do you go to get that information?	17	<b>Q.</b> So you're starting to get calls from Korea.
18	Α.	That's RIMS II. They go by industry setting.	18	A. Uh-huh.
19	Q.	Is it a publication that comes out?	19	Q. Who was calling? Were they investors? Immigrants?
20	Α.	Yes. The U.S. Department of Commerce publishes	20	A. Mainly attorneys.
21	tha	t.	21	Q. Mainly attorneys.
22	Q.	Okay. Yearly?	22	A. Uh-huh.
23	Α.	That, I don't know.	23	Q. Do you remember the names of those attorneys?
24	Q.	Okay. Is it updated, do you know, over time or	24	A. No. But Mr. James Park was one of the attorneys.
25	Α.	Yes. It has to be updated.	25	Q. Okay. Talk to me about how you got to know
		50		52
1	Q.	Okay.	1	James Park?
1 2	Q. A.	Okay. I know it's updated.	1 2	James Park? A. Okay. First when I got inundated I should
	A. Q.	Okay. I know it's updated. And an indirect job, I'm assuming, and correct me if		James Park? A. Okay. First when I got inundated I should backtrack a little.
2 3 4	<b>A</b> . <b>Q</b> . I'm	Okay. <b>I know it's updated.</b> And an indirect job, I'm assuming, and correct me if wrong, would say if somebody has to have a trucking	2 3 4	James Park? A. Okay. First when I got inundated I should backtrack a little. When these European dairy farmers were coming along
2 3 4 5	A. Q. I'm com	Okay. <b>I know it's updated.</b> And an indirect job, I'm assuming, and correct me if wrong, would say if somebody has to have a trucking apany haul milk out of the dairy	2 3 4 5	James Park? A. Okay. First when I got inundated I should backtrack a little. When these European dairy farmers were coming along the I sorry, I-90 corridor, initially they were
2 3 4 5 6	A. Q. I'm com A.	Okay. <b>I know it's updated.</b> And an indirect job, I'm assuming, and correct me if wrong, would say if somebody has to have a trucking mpany haul milk out of the dairy <b>Exactly.</b>	2 3 4 5 6	James Park? A. Okay. First when I got inundated I should backtrack a little. When these European dairy farmers were coming along the I sorry, I-90 corridor, initially they were received with open arms. But when there were some more
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Q. I'm com A. Q.	Okay. I know it's updated. And an indirect job, I'm assuming, and correct me if wrong, would say if somebody has to have a trucking mpany haul milk out of the dairy Exactly. they may have to hire a secretary	2 3 4 5 6 7	James Park? A. Okay. First when I got inundated I should backtrack a little. When these European dairy farmers were coming along the I sorry, I-90 corridor, initially they were received with open arms. But when there were some more coming there started to become resentment; local farmers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q. I'm com A. Q. A.	Okay. I know it's updated. And an indirect job, I'm assuming, and correct me if wrong, would say if somebody has to have a trucking apany haul milk out of the dairy Exactly. they may have to hire a secretary Exactly.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	James Park? A. Okay. First when I got inundated I should backtrack a little. When these European dairy farmers were coming along the I sorry, I-90 corridor, initially they were received with open arms. But when there were some more coming there started to become resentment; local farmers thinking these rich foreigners are buying up the land.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q. I'm com A. Q. A. Q.	Okay. I know it's updated. And an indirect job, I'm assuming, and correct me if wrong, would say if somebody has to have a trucking inpany haul milk out of the dairy Exactly. they may have to hire a secretary Exactly. to handle the calls, and that would be an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	James Park? A. Okay. First when I got inundated I should backtrack a little. When these European dairy farmers were coming along the I sorry, I-90 corridor, initially they were received with open arms. But when there were some more coming there started to become resentment; local farmers thinking these rich foreigners are buying up the land. So we had several and especially in the Brookings
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>I'm</li> <li>com</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>indi</li> </ul>	Okay. I know it's updated. And an indirect job, I'm assuming, and correct me if wrong, would say if somebody has to have a trucking apany haul milk out of the dairy Exactly. they may have to hire a secretary Exactly. to handle the calls, and that would be an rect job?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	James Park? A. Okay. First when I got inundated I should backtrack a little. When these European dairy farmers were coming along the I sorry, I-90 corridor, initially they were received with open arms. But when there were some more coming there started to become resentment; local farmers thinking these rich foreigners are buying up the land. So we had several and especially in the Brookings area there was friction started to develop. So I saw
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>I'm</li> <li>com</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>indi</li> <li>A.</li> </ul>	Okay. I know it's updated. And an indirect job, I'm assuming, and correct me if wrong, would say if somebody has to have a trucking apany haul milk out of the dairy Exactly. they may have to hire a secretary Exactly. to handle the calls, and that would be an rect job? That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	James Park? A. Okay. First when I got inundated I should backtrack a little. When these European dairy farmers were coming along the I sorry, I-90 corridor, initially they were received with open arms. But when there were some more coming there started to become resentment; local farmers thinking these rich foreigners are buying up the land. So we had several and especially in the Brookings area there was friction started to develop. So I saw that demand for foreign money that wanted to come here,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>I'm</li> <li>con</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>indi</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> </ul>	Okay. I know it's updated. And an indirect job, I'm assuming, and correct me if wrong, would say if somebody has to have a trucking mpany haul milk out of the dairy Exactly. they may have to hire a secretary Exactly. to handle the calls, and that would be an rect job? That's correct. Okay. Is there anything else about this indirect	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	James Park? A. Okay. First when I got inundated I should backtrack a little. When these European dairy farmers were coming along the I sorry, I-90 corridor, initially they were received with open arms. But when there were some more coming there started to become resentment; local farmers thinking these rich foreigners are buying up the land. So we had several and especially in the Brookings area there was friction started to develop. So I saw that demand for foreign money that wanted to come here, and I saw these local dairy farmers bitching about what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. I'm Con A. Q. A. Q. indi A. Q. job	Okay. I know it's updated. And an indirect job, I'm assuming, and correct me if wrong, would say if somebody has to have a trucking apany haul milk out of the dairy Exactly. they may have to hire a secretary Exactly. to handle the calls, and that would be an rect job? That's correct. Okay. Is there anything else about this indirect creation that we haven't covered?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	James Park? A. Okay. First when I got inundated I should backtrack a little. When these European dairy farmers were coming along the I sorry, I-90 corridor, initially they were received with open arms. But when there were some more coming there started to become resentment; local farmers thinking these rich foreigners are buying up the land. So we had several and especially in the Brookings area there was friction started to develop. So I saw that demand for foreign money that wanted to come here, and I saw these local dairy farmers bitching about what we were doing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. I'm con A. Q. A. Q. indi A. Q. job	Okay. I know it's updated. And an indirect job, I'm assuming, and correct me if wrong, would say if somebody has to have a trucking mpany haul milk out of the dairy Exactly. they may have to hire a secretary Exactly. to handle the calls, and that would be an rect job? That's correct. Okay. Is there anything else about this indirect creation that we haven't covered? I don't think so.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	James Park? A. Okay. First when I got inundated I should backtrack a little. When these European dairy farmers were coming along the I sorry, I-90 corridor, initially they were received with open arms. But when there were some more coming there started to become resentment; local farmers thinking these rich foreigners are buying up the land. So we had several and especially in the Brookings area there was friction started to develop. So I saw that demand for foreign money that wanted to come here, and I saw these local dairy farmers bitching about what we were doing. So I thought, okay, here it is. Here you have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. I'm con A. Q. indi A. Q. job A. Q.	Okay. I know it's updated. And an indirect job, I'm assuming, and correct me if wrong, would say if somebody has to have a trucking apany haul milk out of the dairy Exactly. they may have to hire a secretary Exactly. to handle the calls, and that would be an rect job? That's correct. Okay. Is there anything else about this indirect creation that we haven't covered? I don't think so. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	James Park? A. Okay. First when I got inundated I should backtrack a little. When these European dairy farmers were coming along the I sorry, I-90 corridor, initially they were received with open arms. But when there were some more coming there started to become resentment; local farmers thinking these rich foreigners are buying up the land. So we had several and especially in the Brookings area there was friction started to develop. So I saw that demand for foreign money that wanted to come here, and I saw these local dairy farmers bitching about what we were doing. So I thought, okay, here it is. Here you have money. Why don't you start a new dairy farm, and I will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>indi</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>job</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>A.<th>Okay. I know it's updated. And an indirect job, I'm assuming, and correct me if wrong, would say if somebody has to have a trucking apany haul milk out of the dairy Exactly.  they may have to hire a secretary Exactly.  to handle the calls, and that would be an rect job? That's correct. Okay. Is there anything else about this indirect creation that we haven't covered? I don't think so. Okay. I think what's important to realize, that the first</th><th>2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16</th><th>James Park? A. Okay. First when I got inundated I should backtrack a little. When these European dairy farmers were coming along the I sorry, I-90 corridor, initially they were received with open arms. But when there were some more coming there started to become resentment; local farmers thinking these rich foreigners are buying up the land. So we had several and especially in the Brookings area there was friction started to develop. So I saw that demand for foreign money that wanted to come here, and I saw these local dairy farmers bitching about what we were doing. So I thought, okay, here it is. Here you have money. Why don't you start a new dairy farm, and I will bring you a foreign investor that you can partner up</th></li></ul>	Okay. I know it's updated. And an indirect job, I'm assuming, and correct me if wrong, would say if somebody has to have a trucking apany haul milk out of the dairy Exactly. they may have to hire a secretary Exactly. to handle the calls, and that would be an rect job? That's correct. Okay. Is there anything else about this indirect creation that we haven't covered? I don't think so. Okay. I think what's important to realize, that the first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	James Park? A. Okay. First when I got inundated I should backtrack a little. When these European dairy farmers were coming along the I sorry, I-90 corridor, initially they were received with open arms. But when there were some more coming there started to become resentment; local farmers thinking these rich foreigners are buying up the land. So we had several and especially in the Brookings area there was friction started to develop. So I saw that demand for foreign money that wanted to come here, and I saw these local dairy farmers bitching about what we were doing. So I thought, okay, here it is. Here you have money. Why don't you start a new dairy farm, and I will bring you a foreign investor that you can partner up
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>I'm</li> <li>con</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>job</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>pro</li> </ul>	Okay. I know it's updated. And an indirect job, I'm assuming, and correct me if wrong, would say if somebody has to have a trucking inpany haul milk out of the dairy Exactly. they may have to hire a secretary Exactly. to handle the calls, and that would be an rect job? That's correct. Okay. Is there anything else about this indirect creation that we haven't covered? I don't think so. Okay. I think what's important to realize, that the first jects are partnerships that were set up all on their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	James Park? A. Okay. First when I got inundated I should backtrack a little. When these European dairy farmers were coming along the I sorry, I-90 corridor, initially they were received with open arms. But when there were some more coming there started to become resentment; local farmers thinking these rich foreigners are buying up the land. So we had several and especially in the Brookings area there was friction started to develop. So I saw that demand for foreign money that wanted to come here, and I saw these local dairy farmers bitching about what we were doing. So I thought, okay, here it is. Here you have money. Why don't you start a new dairy farm, and I will bring you a foreign investor that you can partner up with.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. I'm A. Q. A. Q. indi A. Q. job A. Q. A. Q. S. Pro ow	Okay. I know it's updated. And an indirect job, I'm assuming, and correct me if wrong, would say if somebody has to have a trucking apany haul milk out of the dairy Exactly. they may have to hire a secretary Exactly. to handle the calls, and that would be an rect job? That's correct. Okay. Is there anything else about this indirect creation that we haven't covered? I don't think so. Okay. I think what's important to realize, that the first jects are partnerships that were set up all on their merit, and they created the jobs themselves. There	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	James Park? A. Okay. First when I got inundated I should backtrack a little. When these European dairy farmers were coming along the I sorry, I-90 corridor, initially they were received with open arms. But when there were some more coming there started to become resentment; local farmers thinking these rich foreigners are buying up the land. So we had several and especially in the Brookings area there was friction started to develop. So I saw that demand for foreign money that wanted to come here, and I saw these local dairy farmers bitching about what we were doing. So I thought, okay, here it is. Here you have money. Why don't you start a new dairy farm, and I will bring you a foreign investor that you can partner up with. So that was a good way to hopefully quiet down the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. I'm Con A. Q. A. Q. indi A. Q. job A. Q. A. pro ow we	Okay. I know it's updated. And an indirect job, I'm assuming, and correct me if wrong, would say if somebody has to have a trucking mpany haul milk out of the dairy Exactly. they may have to hire a secretary Exactly. to handle the calls, and that would be an rect job? That's correct. Okay. Is there anything else about this indirect creation that we haven't covered? I don't think so. Okay. I think what's important to realize, that the first ijects are partnerships that were set up all on their merit, and they created the jobs themselves. There is no outside investors.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	James Park? A. Okay. First when I got inundated I should backtrack a little. When these European dairy farmers were coming along the I sorry, I-90 corridor, initially they were received with open arms. But when there were some more coming there started to become resentment; local farmers thinking these rich foreigners are buying up the land. So we had several and especially in the Brookings area there was friction started to develop. So I saw that demand for foreign money that wanted to come here, and I saw these local dairy farmers bitching about what we were doing. So I thought, okay, here it is. Here you have money. Why don't you start a new dairy farm, and I will bring you a foreign investor that you can partner up with. So that was a good way to hopefully quiet down the turmoil in South Dakota because you can say if you want
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. I'm A. Q. A. Q. indi A. Q. job A. Q. A. Pro ow we Q.	Okay. I know it's updated. And an indirect job, I'm assuming, and correct me if wrong, would say if somebody has to have a trucking inpany haul milk out of the dairy Exactly. they may have to hire a secretary Exactly. to handle the calls, and that would be an rect job? That's correct. Okay. Is there anything else about this indirect creation that we haven't covered? I don't think so. Okay. I think what's important to realize, that the first jects are partnerships that were set up all on their in merit, and they created the jobs themselves. There is no outside investors. Okay. When did that change?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	James Park? A. Okay. First when I got inundated I should backtrack a little. When these European dairy farmers were coming along the I sorry, I-90 corridor, initially they were received with open arms. But when there were some more coming there started to become resentment; local farmers thinking these rich foreigners are buying up the land. So we had several and especially in the Brookings area there was friction started to develop. So I saw that demand for foreign money that wanted to come here, and I saw these local dairy farmers bitching about what we were doing. So I thought, okay, here it is. Here you have money. Why don't you start a new dairy farm, and I will bring you a foreign investor that you can partner up with. So that was a good way to hopefully quiet down the turmoil in South Dakota because you can say if you want to build a large farm and you want to get away from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>I'm</li> <li>control</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>product</li> <li>own</li> <li>weit</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>product</li> <li>a.</li> <li>a.</li> <li>b.</li> <li>b.</li> <li>b.</li> <li>control</li> <li>con</li></ul>	Okay. I know it's updated. And an indirect job, I'm assuming, and correct me if wrong, would say if somebody has to have a trucking inpany haul milk out of the dairy Exactly. they may have to hire a secretary Exactly. to handle the calls, and that would be an rect job? That's correct. Okay. Is there anything else about this indirect creation that we haven't covered? I don't think so. Okay. I think what's important to realize, that the first jects are partnerships that were set up all on their merit, and they created the jobs themselves. There re no outside investors. Okay. When did that change? When I obtained the regional center status for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	James Park? A. Okay. First when I got inundated I should backtrack a little. When these European dairy farmers were coming along the I sorry, I-90 corridor, initially they were received with open arms. But when there were some more coming there started to become resentment; local farmers thinking these rich foreigners are buying up the land. So we had several and especially in the Brookings area there was friction started to develop. So I saw that demand for foreign money that wanted to come here, and I saw these local dairy farmers bitching about what we were doing. So I thought, okay, here it is. Here you have money. Why don't you start a new dairy farm, and I will bring you a foreign investor that you can partner up with. So that was a good way to hopefully quiet down the turmoil in South Dakota because you can say if you want to build a large farm and you want to get away from the small family farm, here's your opportunity for funding
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. I'm A. Q. A. Q. indi A. Q. job A. Q. A. pro ow we Q. A. Sou	Okay. I know it's updated. And an indirect job, I'm assuming, and correct me if wrong, would say if somebody has to have a trucking inpany haul milk out of the dairy Exactly. they may have to hire a secretary Exactly. to handle the calls, and that would be an rect job? That's correct. Okay. Is there anything else about this indirect creation that we haven't covered? I don't think so. Okay. I think what's important to realize, that the first jects are partnerships that were set up all on their in merit, and they created the jobs themselves. There re no outside investors. Okay. When did that change? When I obtained the regional center status for att Dakota I started getting inundated with calls from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	James Park? A. Okay. First when I got inundated I should backtrack a little. When these European dairy farmers were coming along the I sorry, I-90 corridor, initially they were received with open arms. But when there were some more coming there started to become resentment; local farmers thinking these rich foreigners are buying up the land. So we had several and especially in the Brookings area there was friction started to develop. So I saw that demand for foreign money that wanted to come here, and I saw these local dairy farmers bitching about what we were doing. So I thought, okay, here it is. Here you have money. Why don't you start a new dairy farm, and I will bring you a foreign investor that you can partner up with. So that was a good way to hopefully quiet down the turmoil in South Dakota because you can say if you want to build a large farm and you want to get away from the small family farm, here's your opportunity for funding it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. I'm Corr A. Q. A. Q. Job A. Q. A. Q. A. Pro ow Wei Q. A. Sou A. Sou A.	Okay. I know it's updated. And an indirect job, I'm assuming, and correct me if wrong, would say if somebody has to have a trucking inpany haul milk out of the dairy Exactly. they may have to hire a secretary Exactly. to handle the calls, and that would be an rect job? That's correct. Okay. Is there anything else about this indirect creation that we haven't covered? I don't think so. Okay. I think what's important to realize, that the first ijects are partnerships that were set up all on their merit, and they created the jobs themselves. There re no outside investors. Okay. When did that change? When I obtained the regional center status for th Dakota I started getting inundated with calls from to ad.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	James Park? A. Okay. First when I got inundated I should backtrack a little. When these European dairy farmers were coming along the I sorry, I-90 corridor, initially they were received with open arms. But when there were some more coming there started to become resentment; local farmers thinking these rich foreigners are buying up the land. So we had several and especially in the Brookings area there was friction started to develop. So I saw that demand for foreign money that wanted to come here, and I saw these local dairy farmers bitching about what we were doing. So I thought, okay, here it is. Here you have money. Why don't you start a new dairy farm, and I will bring you a foreign investor that you can partner up with. So that was a good way to hopefully quiet down the turmoil in South Dakota because you can say if you want to build a large farm and you want to get away from the small family farm, here's your opportunity for funding it. Q. Did you receive positive feedback from that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. I'm A. Q. A. Q. indi A. Q. job A. Q. A. pro ow we Q. A. Sou	Okay. I know it's updated. And an indirect job, I'm assuming, and correct me if wrong, would say if somebody has to have a trucking inpany haul milk out of the dairy Exactly. they may have to hire a secretary Exactly. to handle the calls, and that would be an rect job? That's correct. Okay. Is there anything else about this indirect creation that we haven't covered? I don't think so. Okay. I think what's important to realize, that the first jects are partnerships that were set up all on their in merit, and they created the jobs themselves. There re no outside investors. Okay. When did that change? When I obtained the regional center status for att Dakota I started getting inundated with calls from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	James Park? A. Okay. First when I got inundated I should backtrack a little. When these European dairy farmers were coming along the I sorry, I-90 corridor, initially they were received with open arms. But when there were some more coming there started to become resentment; local farmers thinking these rich foreigners are buying up the land. So we had several and especially in the Brookings area there was friction started to develop. So I saw that demand for foreign money that wanted to come here, and I saw these local dairy farmers bitching about what we were doing. So I thought, okay, here it is. Here you have money. Why don't you start a new dairy farm, and I will bring you a foreign investor that you can partner up with. So that was a good way to hopefully quiet down the turmoil in South Dakota because you can say if you want to build a large farm and you want to get away from the small family farm, here's your opportunity for funding it.

	Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	File	d 10/10/18 Page 14 of 61 PageID #: 2472
4			5 55
1	A. Yes.	1	<b>Q.</b> 2004. Was it still Pennsylvania, California, and
2	<b>Q.</b> Okay. Where where were the investors coming from	2	South Dakota?
3	for the dairies?	3	A. At that time, yes.
4	A. Initially it was mainly I went to Korea because		Q. Okay.
5	that's where I received most of the calls, and that is where I mentioned Mr. Park. We did a seminar at I	5 6	A. That I recall, yes.
6		_	<b>Q.</b> Why the interest in South Dakota? Do you know? Did he ever tell you why he was just interested in
7	believe it was the the foreign FSA's office for the	7 8	South Dakota?
8	U.S. Government. And that is where I met Mr. Park, and I	9	A. There wasn't there was no alternative. We were
10	introduced the opportunity of maybe investing with South Dakota dairy farmers.	10	the only pretty much the only game in town.
11	<b>Q.</b> Okay. And James is licensed in California; correct?	11	<b>Q.</b> Okay. So how did your relationship with him
12	A. Yes. I believe so.	12	develop?
13	Q. So there's I know that I'm a little there's a	13	A. You know, I met with a lot of immigration attorneys,
14	Hanul Law Firm.	14	but I didn't feel very comfortable with most. And with
15	A. That's a Korean law firm, yes.	15	James he nurtured it in a way, understanding the
16	Q. And there's Hanul Korea. Is there also was at	16	predicament that I was in at Northern. Everybody wants
17	that time there also a Hanul U.S.A.?	17	licenses signing.
18	A. At that time I don't think so, but I'm not sure.	18	And I advised to him that as a State employee it's
19	Q. Okay.	19	very difficult for me to get certain approvals, so I can
20	A. From what I recollect, it was pretty much Seoul.	20	never enter into an official working relationship with
21	Q. Was Mr. Park in Seoul?	21	you. And if you're willing to work under those
22	A. Yes.	22	circumstances, that we just go on a good-faith basis,
23	<b>Q.</b> Okay. And you flew over to visit him?	23	then I think there's an opportunity where we can benefit
24	A. Uh-huh.	24	each other. That's how it
25	<b>Q</b> . Tell me about that.	25	And then most other attorneys, they want some kind
		-	
	54		56
1		1	
1		1	of an agreement signed. That would be difficult for me
-	A. I didn't flew over to visit him. There was just		
-	A. I didn't flew over to visit him. There was just tremendous interest for from Korean investment. So I	2	of an agreement signed. That would be difficult for me to get accomplished in a bureaucratic setting.
-	A. I didn't flew over to visit him. There was just tremendous interest for from Korean investment. So I thought it would be good to go to Korea, and during my	2 3	of an agreement signed. That would be difficult for me to get accomplished in a bureaucratic setting. What I was doing, I was really doing entrepreneurial
2 3 4	A. I didn't flew over to visit him. There was just tremendous interest for from Korean investment. So I thought it would be good to go to Korea, and during my seminar Mr. Park came up to me.	2 3 4	of an agreement signed. That would be difficult for me to get accomplished in a bureaucratic setting. What I was doing, I was really doing entrepreneurial stuff
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. I didn't flew over to visit him. There was just tremendous interest for from Korean investment. So I thought it would be good to go to Korea, and during my seminar Mr. Park came up to me.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And how what happened?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	of an agreement signed. That would be difficult for me to get accomplished in a bureaucratic setting. What I was doing, I was really doing entrepreneurial stuff Q. Sure.
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. I didn't flew over to visit him. There was just tremendous interest for from Korean investment. So I thought it would be good to go to Korea, and during my seminar Mr. Park came up to me.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And how what happened?</li> <li>A. Well, he just latched on to the regional center</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	of an agreement signed. That would be difficult for me to get accomplished in a bureaucratic setting. What I was doing, I was really doing entrepreneurial stuff Q. Sure. A in a very bureaucratic setting.
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. I didn't flew over to visit him. There was just tremendous interest for from Korean investment. So I thought it would be good to go to Korea, and during my seminar Mr. Park came up to me.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And how what happened?</li> <li>A. Well, he just latched on to the regional center concept and believed that this would be a good</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	of an agreement signed. That would be difficult for me to get accomplished in a bureaucratic setting. What I was doing, I was really doing entrepreneurial stuff Q. Sure. A in a very bureaucratic setting. Q. Uh-huh.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. I didn't flew over to visit him. There was just tremendous interest for from Korean investment. So I thought it would be good to go to Korea, and during my seminar Mr. Park came up to me.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And how what happened?</li> <li>A. Well, he just latched on to the regional center concept and believed that this would be a good opportunity for his firm to build a business. And from</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of an agreement signed. That would be difficult for me to get accomplished in a bureaucratic setting. What I was doing, I was really doing entrepreneurial stuff Q. Sure. A in a very bureaucratic setting. Q. Uh-huh. A. And that's that's kind of already a cultural
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. I didn't flew over to visit him. There was just tremendous interest for from Korean investment. So I thought it would be good to go to Korea, and during my seminar Mr. Park came up to me.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And how what happened?</li> <li>A. Well, he just latched on to the regional center concept and believed that this would be a good opportunity for his firm to build a business. And from my perspective it makes sense to align yourself with an</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of an agreement signed. That would be difficult for me to get accomplished in a bureaucratic setting. What I was doing, I was really doing entrepreneurial stuff Q. Sure. A in a very bureaucratic setting. Q. Uh-huh. A. And that's that's kind of already a cultural conflict. But I had to manipulate the system in such a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. I didn't flew over to visit him. There was just tremendous interest for from Korean investment. So I thought it would be good to go to Korea, and during my seminar Mr. Park came up to me.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And how what happened?</li> <li>A. Well, he just latched on to the regional center concept and believed that this would be a good opportunity for his firm to build a business. And from my perspective it makes sense to align yourself with an immigration attorney that you feel comfortable with.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of an agreement signed. That would be difficult for me to get accomplished in a bureaucratic setting. What I was doing, I was really doing entrepreneurial stuff Q. Sure. A in a very bureaucratic setting. Q. Uh-huh. A. And that's that's kind of already a cultural conflict. But I had to manipulate the system in such a way that it would benefit South Dakota.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. I didn't flew over to visit him. There was just tremendous interest for from Korean investment. So I thought it would be good to go to Korea, and during my seminar Mr. Park came up to me.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And how what happened?</li> <li>A. Well, he just latched on to the regional center concept and believed that this would be a good opportunity for his firm to build a business. And from my perspective it makes sense to align yourself with an immigration attorney that you feel comfortable with.</li> <li>Q. Was Mr. Park had he been involved with EB-5</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of an agreement signed. That would be difficult for me to get accomplished in a bureaucratic setting. What I was doing, I was really doing entrepreneurial stuff Q. Sure. A in a very bureaucratic setting. Q. Uh-huh. A. And that's that's kind of already a cultural conflict. But I had to manipulate the system in such a way that it would benefit South Dakota. Q. Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. I didn't flew over to visit him. There was just tremendous interest for from Korean investment. So I thought it would be good to go to Korea, and during my seminar Mr. Park came up to me.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And how what happened?</li> <li>A. Well, he just latched on to the regional center concept and believed that this would be a good opportunity for his firm to build a business. And from my perspective it makes sense to align yourself with an immigration attorney that you feel comfortable with.</li> <li>Q. Was Mr. Park had he been involved with EB-5 before that?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of an agreement signed. That would be difficult for me to get accomplished in a bureaucratic setting. What I was doing, I was really doing entrepreneurial stuff Q. Sure. A in a very bureaucratic setting. Q. Uh-huh. A. And that's that's kind of already a cultural conflict. But I had to manipulate the system in such a way that it would benefit South Dakota. Q. Sure. MS. OLIVIER: Okay. I see our court reporter's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. I didn't flew over to visit him. There was just tremendous interest for from Korean investment. So I thought it would be good to go to Korea, and during my seminar Mr. Park came up to me.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And how what happened?</li> <li>A. Well, he just latched on to the regional center concept and believed that this would be a good opportunity for his firm to build a business. And from my perspective it makes sense to align yourself with an immigration attorney that you feel comfortable with.</li> <li>Q. Was Mr. Park had he been involved with EB-5 before that?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of an agreement signed. That would be difficult for me to get accomplished in a bureaucratic setting. What I was doing, I was really doing entrepreneurial stuff Q. Sure. A in a very bureaucratic setting. Q. Uh-huh. A. And that's that's kind of already a cultural conflict. But I had to manipulate the system in such a way that it would benefit South Dakota. Q. Sure. MS. OLIVIER: Okay. I see our court reporter's hands are cramping a little bit, so let's take a break
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. I didn't flew over to visit him. There was just tremendous interest for from Korean investment. So I thought it would be good to go to Korea, and during my seminar Mr. Park came up to me.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And how what happened?</li> <li>A. Well, he just latched on to the regional center concept and believed that this would be a good opportunity for his firm to build a business. And from my perspective it makes sense to align yourself with an immigration attorney that you feel comfortable with.</li> <li>Q. Was Mr. Park had he been involved with EB-5 before that?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. So this was new to him, and he</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of an agreement signed. That would be difficult for me to get accomplished in a bureaucratic setting. What I was doing, I was really doing entrepreneurial stuff Q. Sure. A in a very bureaucratic setting. Q. Uh-huh. A. And that's that's kind of already a cultural conflict. But I had to manipulate the system in such a way that it would benefit South Dakota. Q. Sure. MS. OLIVIER: Okay. I see our court reporter's hands are cramping a little bit, so let's take a break and give her a second to put her fingers to rest.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. I didn't flew over to visit him. There was just tremendous interest for from Korean investment. So I thought it would be good to go to Korea, and during my seminar Mr. Park came up to me.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And how what happened?</li> <li>A. Well, he just latched on to the regional center concept and believed that this would be a good opportunity for his firm to build a business. And from my perspective it makes sense to align yourself with an immigration attorney that you feel comfortable with.</li> <li>Q. Was Mr. Park had he been involved with EB-5 before that?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. So this was new to him, and he</li> <li>A. Actually, no. His firm was involved</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of an agreement signed. That would be difficult for me to get accomplished in a bureaucratic setting. What I was doing, I was really doing entrepreneurial stuff Q. Sure. A in a very bureaucratic setting. Q. Uh-huh. A. And that's that's kind of already a cultural conflict. But I had to manipulate the system in such a way that it would benefit South Dakota. Q. Sure. MS. OLIVIER: Okay. I see our court reporter's hands are cramping a little bit, so let's take a break and give her a second to put her fingers to rest. It is 11:40.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. I didn't flew over to visit him. There was just tremendous interest for from Korean investment. So I thought it would be good to go to Korea, and during my seminar Mr. Park came up to me.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And how what happened?</li> <li>A. Well, he just latched on to the regional center concept and believed that this would be a good opportunity for his firm to build a business. And from my perspective it makes sense to align yourself with an immigration attorney that you feel comfortable with.</li> <li>Q. Was Mr. Park had he been involved with EB-5 before that?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. So this was new to him, and he</li> <li>A. Actually, no. His firm was involved</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of an agreement signed. That would be difficult for me to get accomplished in a bureaucratic setting. What I was doing, I was really doing entrepreneurial stuff Q. Sure. A in a very bureaucratic setting. Q. Uh-huh. A. And that's that's kind of already a cultural conflict. But I had to manipulate the system in such a way that it would benefit South Dakota. Q. Sure. MS. OLIVIER: Okay. I see our court reporter's hands are cramping a little bit, so let's take a break and give her a second to put her fingers to rest. It is 11:40. (A lunch recess is taken.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. I didn't flew over to visit him. There was just tremendous interest for from Korean investment. So I thought it would be good to go to Korea, and during my seminar Mr. Park came up to me.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And how what happened?</li> <li>A. Well, he just latched on to the regional center concept and believed that this would be a good opportunity for his firm to build a business. And from my perspective it makes sense to align yourself with an immigration attorney that you feel comfortable with.</li> <li>Q. Was Mr. Park had he been involved with EB-5 before that?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. So this was new to him, and he</li> <li>A. Actually, no. His firm was involved</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of an agreement signed. That would be difficult for me to get accomplished in a bureaucratic setting. What I was doing, I was really doing entrepreneurial stuff Q. Sure. A in a very bureaucratic setting. Q. Uh-huh. A. And that's that's kind of already a cultural conflict. But I had to manipulate the system in such a way that it would benefit South Dakota. Q. Sure. MS. OLIVIER: Okay. I see our court reporter's hands are cramping a little bit, so let's take a break and give her a second to put her fingers to rest. It is 11:40. (A lunch recess is taken.) MS. OLIVIER: We are restarting our afternoon
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. I didn't flew over to visit him. There was just tremendous interest for from Korean investment. So I thought it would be good to go to Korea, and during my seminar Mr. Park came up to me.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And how what happened?</li> <li>A. Well, he just latched on to the regional center concept and believed that this would be a good opportunity for his firm to build a business. And from my perspective it makes sense to align yourself with an immigration attorney that you feel comfortable with.</li> <li>Q. Was Mr. Park had he been involved with EB-5 before that?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. So this was new to him, and he</li> <li>A. Actually, no. His firm was involved</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A with somebody that was promoting I don't know if it was I think it was Pennsylvania.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of an agreement signed. That would be difficult for me to get accomplished in a bureaucratic setting. What I was doing, I was really doing entrepreneurial stuff Q. Sure. A in a very bureaucratic setting. Q. Uh-huh. A. And that's that's kind of already a cultural conflict. But I had to manipulate the system in such a way that it would benefit South Dakota. Q. Sure. MS. OLIVIER: Okay. I see our court reporter's hands are cramping a little bit, so let's take a break and give her a second to put her fingers to rest. It is 11:40. (A lunch recess is taken.) MS. OLIVIER: We are restarting our afternoon session after our lunch break. It is now 1:02 p.m.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. I didn't flew over to visit him. There was just tremendous interest for from Korean investment. So I thought it would be good to go to Korea, and during my seminar Mr. Park came up to me.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And how what happened?</li> <li>A. Well, he just latched on to the regional center concept and believed that this would be a good opportunity for his firm to build a business. And from my perspective it makes sense to align yourself with an immigration attorney that you feel comfortable with.</li> <li>Q. Was Mr. Park had he been involved with EB-5 before that?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. So this was new to him, and he</li> <li>A. Actually, no. His firm was involved</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A with somebody that was promoting I don't know if it was I think it was Pennsylvania.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of an agreement signed. That would be difficult for me to get accomplished in a bureaucratic setting. What I was doing, I was really doing entrepreneurial stuff Q. Sure. A in a very bureaucratic setting. Q. Uh-huh. A. And that's that's kind of already a cultural conflict. But I had to manipulate the system in such a way that it would benefit South Dakota. Q. Sure. MS. OLIVIER: Okay. I see our court reporter's hands are cramping a little bit, so let's take a break and give her a second to put her fingers to rest. It is 11:40. (A lunch recess is taken.) MS. OLIVIER: We are restarting our afternoon session after our lunch break. It is now 1:02 p.m. Could the court reporter read back just the last
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. I didn't flew over to visit him. There was just tremendous interest for from Korean investment. So I thought it would be good to go to Korea, and during my seminar Mr. Park came up to me.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And how what happened?</li> <li>A. Well, he just latched on to the regional center concept and believed that this would be a good opportunity for his firm to build a business. And from my perspective it makes sense to align yourself with an immigration attorney that you feel comfortable with.</li> <li>Q. Was Mr. Park had he been involved with EB-5 before that?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. So this was new to him, and he</li> <li>A. Actually, no. His firm was involved</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A with somebody that was promoting I don't know if it was I think it was Pennsylvania.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. But that gentleman, the attorney, left the firm and</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of an agreement signed. That would be difficult for me to get accomplished in a bureaucratic setting. What I was doing, I was really doing entrepreneurial stuff Q. Sure. A in a very bureaucratic setting. Q. Uh-huh. A. And that's that's kind of already a cultural conflict. But I had to manipulate the system in such a way that it would benefit South Dakota. Q. Sure. MS. OLIVIER: Okay. I see our court reporter's hands are cramping a little bit, so let's take a break and give her a second to put her fingers to rest. It is 11:40. (A lunch recess is taken.) MS. OLIVIER: We are restarting our afternoon session after our lunch break. It is now 1:02 p.m. Could the court reporter read back just the last question so we can see where we were at.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. I didn't flew over to visit him. There was just tremendous interest for from Korean investment. So I thought it would be good to go to Korea, and during my seminar Mr. Park came up to me.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And how what happened?</li> <li>A. Well, he just latched on to the regional center concept and believed that this would be a good opportunity for his firm to build a business. And from my perspective it makes sense to align yourself with an immigration attorney that you feel comfortable with.</li> <li>Q. Was Mr. Park had he been involved with EB-5 before that?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. So this was new to him, and he</li> <li>A. Actually, no. His firm was involved</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A with somebody that was promoting I don't know if it was I think it was Pennsylvania.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. But that gentleman, the attorney, left the firm and went on his own and left Hanul. So they were looking to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of an agreement signed. That would be difficult for me to get accomplished in a bureaucratic setting. What I was doing, I was really doing entrepreneurial stuff Q. Sure. A in a very bureaucratic setting. Q. Uh-huh. A. And that's that's kind of already a cultural conflict. But I had to manipulate the system in such a way that it would benefit South Dakota. Q. Sure. MS. OLIVIER: Okay. I see our court reporter's hands are cramping a little bit, so let's take a break and give her a second to put her fingers to rest. It is 11:40. (A lunch recess is taken.) MS. OLIVIER: We are restarting our afternoon session after our lunch break. It is now 1:02 p.m. Could the court reporter read back just the last question so we can see where we were at. (Discussion off the record.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. I didn't flew over to visit him. There was just tremendous interest for from Korean investment. So I thought it would be good to go to Korea, and during my seminar Mr. Park came up to me.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And how what happened?</li> <li>A. Well, he just latched on to the regional center concept and believed that this would be a good opportunity for his firm to build a business. And from my perspective it makes sense to align yourself with an immigration attorney that you feel comfortable with.</li> <li>Q. Was Mr. Park had he been involved with EB-5 before that?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. So this was new to him, and he</li> <li>A. Actually, no. His firm was involved</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A with somebody that was promoting I don't know if it was I think it was Pennsylvania.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. But that gentleman, the attorney, left the firm and went on his own and left Hanul. So they were looking to fill that void. That's how it</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of an agreement signed. That would be difficult for me to get accomplished in a bureaucratic setting. What I was doing, I was really doing entrepreneurial stuff Q. Sure. A in a very bureaucratic setting. Q. Uh-huh. A. And that's that's kind of already a cultural conflict. But I had to manipulate the system in such a way that it would benefit South Dakota. Q. Sure. Q. Sure. MS. OLIVIER: Okay. I see our court reporter's hands are cramping a little bit, so let's take a break and give her a second to put her fingers to rest. It is 11:40. (A lunch recess is taken.) MS. OLIVIER: We are restarting our afternoon session after our lunch break. It is now 1:02 p.m. Could the court reporter read back just the last question so we can see where we were at. (Discussion off the record.) Q. So, Joop, we were talking about your relationship

	Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	File	d 10/10/18 Page 15 of 61 PageID #: 2473 59
1	Do you remember that's where we left off?	1	were trying to fill that void. James Park's mission was
2	A. Uh-huh.	2	to fill that void, and he believed it was beneficial to
3	<b>Q.</b> I kind of want to go back a bit and clarify a point.	3	align himself with us.
4	So up until about 2007 with the dairy farms, they were	4	<b>Q.</b> And at that time it was still California,
5	direct investments. They weren't done through the	5	Pennsylvania, and South Dakota.
6	typical the newer form of model that you were looking	6	A. Correct.
7	for where investors would invest in an established	7	<b>Q.</b> Okay. So what did he believe he could help do?
8	business. Is that correct?	8	<ul> <li>A. At that time he could fulfill more than just the</li> </ul>
9	A. Not completely.	9	legal aspect of functioning as immigration attorney. He
10	Q. Okay.	10	also felt he could find the Korean investors for the
11	A. The initial dairy farms were a situation where a	11	dairy farms in South Dakota. So it was a dual function.
12	foreign family would come here, start up their own	12	Promotion as well as being the attorney.
13	business, run their own business, and would hire direct	13	Q. Was there ever any contract with him?
14	employees. That's mainly the start-up with the E-2 visa.	14	A. No.
15	<b>Q</b> . Yep.	15	Q. Why not?
16	A. Then we have the EB-5 program where they could do	16	A. There was not direct because the situation the
17	some of the same thing, and if they had enough job	17	only thing and I think you will get to that, there was
18	creation, they would be able to get an immigrant visa.	18	an MOU signed by him at some point.
19	Then once we had that opportunity with EB-5, that's	19	Q. Okay.
20	where we got inundated with inquiries from abroad.	20	A. But there was never a contract with Hanul or
21	That's why we started funneling that money and coupling	21	James Park because of the situation that I was
22	with local dairy farms with the hope of building larger	22	functioning under. It would be it would take a
23	dairy farms.	23	tremendous amount of delay, and that is one of the
24	<b>Q.</b> When you say that that's when you started funneling	24	reasons why I was willing to work with park; because he
25	the money to couple it with local dairy farms, would that	25	was under the understanding what I could do and what I
	58		
	50		60
1	be post-2007?	1	60 could not do. And he, therefore, was willing to accept a
1 2		1 2	
_	be post-2007?	-	could not do. And he, therefore, was willing to accept a
2	be post-2007? A. Can I look back?	2	could not do. And he, therefore, was willing to accept a relationship that was really based on faith without any
2	be post-2007? A. Can I look back? Q. Yeah.	2	could not do. And he, therefore, was willing to accept a relationship that was really based on faith without any contracts.
2 3 4	<ul> <li>be post-2007?</li> <li>A. Can I look back?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. I think it was 2006. So that sounds about right.</li> </ul>	2 3 4	<ul><li>could not do. And he, therefore, was willing to accept a relationship that was really based on faith without any contracts.</li><li>Q. Okay. And what was it that you could do? What was</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>be post-2007?</li> <li>A. Can I look back?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. I think it was 2006. So that sounds about right.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>could not do. And he, therefore, was willing to accept a relationship that was really based on faith without any contracts.</li><li>Q. Okay. And what was it that you could do? What was your role in that relationship?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>be post-2007?</li> <li>A. Can I look back?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. I think it was 2006. So that sounds about right.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. 2007 there's still Valley View, February 2007. So</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>could not do. And he, therefore, was willing to accept a relationship that was really based on faith without any contracts.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what was it that you could do? What was your role in that relationship?</li> <li>A. My role was that I was managing the regional center,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>be post-2007?</li> <li>A. Can I look back?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. I think it was 2006. So that sounds about right.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. 2007 there's still Valley View, February 2007. So it's a little bit after that even.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. When did I have to look at the NBP one because</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>could not do. And he, therefore, was willing to accept a relationship that was really based on faith without any contracts.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what was it that you could do? What was your role in that relationship?</li> <li>A. My role was that I was managing the regional center, and that was the tool his clients would like to take</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>be post-2007?</li> <li>A. Can I look back?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. I think it was 2006. So that sounds about right.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. 2007 there's still Valley View, February 2007. So it's a little bit after that even.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. When did I have to look at the NBP one because some of them there's a little bit of an overlap to.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>could not do. And he, therefore, was willing to accept a relationship that was really based on faith without any contracts.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what was it that you could do? What was your role in that relationship?</li> <li>A. My role was that I was managing the regional center, and that was the tool his clients would like to take advantage of.</li> <li>Q. Would you find projects?</li> <li>A. Yes. I would.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>be post-2007?</li> <li>A. Can I look back?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. I think it was 2006. So that sounds about right.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. 2007 there's still Valley View, February 2007. So it's a little bit after that even.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. When did I have to look at the NBP one because some of them there's a little bit of an overlap to.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it was about that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>could not do. And he, therefore, was willing to accept a relationship that was really based on faith without any contracts.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what was it that you could do? What was your role in that relationship?</li> <li>A. My role was that I was managing the regional center, and that was the tool his clients would like to take advantage of.</li> <li>Q. Would you find projects?</li> <li>A. Yes. I would.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it was your responsibility to find the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>be post-2007?</li> <li>A. Can I look back?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. I think it was 2006. So that sounds about right.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. 2007 there's still Valley View, February 2007. So it's a little bit after that even.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. When did I have to look at the NBP one because some of them there's a little bit of an overlap to.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it was about that</li> <li>A. About that time frame, yeah.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>could not do. And he, therefore, was willing to accept a relationship that was really based on faith without any contracts.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what was it that you could do? What was your role in that relationship?</li> <li>A. My role was that I was managing the regional center, and that was the tool his clients would like to take advantage of.</li> <li>Q. Would you find projects?</li> <li>A. Yes. I would.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it was your responsibility to find the South Dakota project; correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>be post-2007?</li> <li>A. Can I look back?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. I think it was 2006. So that sounds about right.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. 2007 there's still Valley View, February 2007. So it's a little bit after that even.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. When did I have to look at the NBP one because some of them there's a little bit of an overlap to.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it was about that</li> <li>A. About that time frame, yeah.</li> <li>Q. About that time frame.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>could not do. And he, therefore, was willing to accept a relationship that was really based on faith without any contracts.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what was it that you could do? What was your role in that relationship?</li> <li>A. My role was that I was managing the regional center, and that was the tool his clients would like to take advantage of.</li> <li>Q. Would you find projects?</li> <li>A. Yes. I would.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it was your responsibility to find the South Dakota project; correct?</li> <li>A. Pretty much, yes, and qualify them.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>be post-2007?</li> <li>A. Can I look back?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. I think it was 2006. So that sounds about right.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. 2007 there's still Valley View, February 2007. So it's a little bit after that even.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. When did I have to look at the NBP one because some of them there's a little bit of an overlap to.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it was about that</li> <li>A. About that time frame, yeah.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>could not do. And he, therefore, was willing to accept a relationship that was really based on faith without any contracts.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what was it that you could do? What was your role in that relationship?</li> <li>A. My role was that I was managing the regional center, and that was the tool his clients would like to take advantage of.</li> <li>Q. Would you find projects?</li> <li>A. Yes. I would.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it was your responsibility to find the South Dakota project; correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>be post-2007?</li> <li>A. Can I look back?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. I think it was 2006. So that sounds about right.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. 2007 there's still Valley View, February 2007. So it's a little bit after that even.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. When did I have to look at the NBP one because some of them there's a little bit of an overlap to.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it was about that</li> <li>A. About that time frame, yeah.</li> <li>Q. About that time frame.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>could not do. And he, therefore, was willing to accept a relationship that was really based on faith without any contracts.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what was it that you could do? What was your role in that relationship?</li> <li>A. My role was that I was managing the regional center, and that was the tool his clients would like to take advantage of.</li> <li>Q. Would you find projects?</li> <li>A. Yes. I would.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it was your responsibility to find the South Dakota project; correct?</li> <li>A. Pretty much, yes, and qualify them.</li> <li>Q. How would you do that? And qualify them?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>be post-2007?</li> <li>A. Can I look back?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. I think it was 2006. So that sounds about right.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. 2007 there's still Valley View, February 2007. So it's a little bit after that even.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. When did I have to look at the NBP one because some of them there's a little bit of an overlap to.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it was about that</li> <li>A. About that time frame, yeah.</li> <li>Q. About that time frame. So you're getting inundated with phone calls, and one of them is James Park?</li> <li>A. No. No.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>could not do. And he, therefore, was willing to accept a relationship that was really based on faith without any contracts.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what was it that you could do? What was your role in that relationship?</li> <li>A. My role was that I was managing the regional center, and that was the tool his clients would like to take advantage of.</li> <li>Q. Would you find projects?</li> <li>A. Yes. I would.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it was your responsibility to find the South Dakota project; correct?</li> <li>A. Pretty much, yes, and qualify them.</li> <li>Q. How would you do that? And qualify them?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So, first of all, how would you find the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>be post-2007?</li> <li>A. Can I look back?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. I think it was 2006. So that sounds about right.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. 2007 there's still Valley View, February 2007. So it's a little bit after that even.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. When did I have to look at the NBP one because some of them there's a little bit of an overlap to.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it was about that</li> <li>A. About that time frame, yeah.</li> <li>Q. About that time frame. So you're getting inundated with phone calls, and one of them is James Park?</li> <li>A. No. No. I got inundated with calls from Korea of people that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>could not do. And he, therefore, was willing to accept a relationship that was really based on faith without any contracts.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what was it that you could do? What was your role in that relationship?</li> <li>A. My role was that I was managing the regional center, and that was the tool his clients would like to take advantage of.</li> <li>Q. Would you find projects?</li> <li>A. Yes. I would.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it was your responsibility to find the South Dakota project; correct?</li> <li>A. Pretty much, yes, and qualify them.</li> <li>Q. How would you do that? And qualify them?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So, first of all, how would you find the project?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>be post-2007?</li> <li>A. Can I look back?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. I think it was 2006. So that sounds about right.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. 2007 there's still Valley View, February 2007. So it's a little bit after that even.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. When did I have to look at the NBP one because some of them there's a little bit of an overlap to.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it was about that</li> <li>A. About that time frame, yeah.</li> <li>Q. About that time frame. So you're getting inundated with phone calls, and one of them is James Park?</li> <li>A. No. No. I got inundated with calls from Korea of people that wanted to obtain the green card through investment in our</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>could not do. And he, therefore, was willing to accept a relationship that was really based on faith without any contracts.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what was it that you could do? What was your role in that relationship?</li> <li>A. My role was that I was managing the regional center, and that was the tool his clients would like to take advantage of.</li> <li>Q. Would you find projects?</li> <li>A. Yes. I would.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it was your responsibility to find the South Dakota project; correct?</li> <li>A. Pretty much, yes, and qualify them.</li> <li>Q. How would you do that? And qualify them?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So, first of all, how would you find the project?</li> <li>A. Well, with the dairy farms, as I mentioned, we</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>be post-2007?</li> <li>A. Can I look back?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. I think it was 2006. So that sounds about right.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. 2007 there's still Valley View, February 2007. So it's a little bit after that even.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. When did I have to look at the NBP one because some of them there's a little bit of an overlap to.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it was about that</li> <li>A. About that time frame, yeah.</li> <li>Q. About that time frame. So you're getting inundated with phone calls, and one of them is James Park?</li> <li>A. No. No. I got inundated with calls from Korea of people that wanted to obtain the green card through investment in our regional center, and that led me to go to Korea, hold one</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>could not do. And he, therefore, was willing to accept a relationship that was really based on faith without any contracts.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what was it that you could do? What was your role in that relationship?</li> <li>A. My role was that I was managing the regional center, and that was the tool his clients would like to take advantage of.</li> <li>Q. Would you find projects?</li> <li>A. Yes. I would.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it was your responsibility to find the South Dakota project; correct?</li> <li>A. Pretty much, yes, and qualify them.</li> <li>Q. How would you do that? And qualify them?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So, first of all, how would you find the project?</li> <li>A. Well, with the dairy farms, as I mentioned, we worked with GOED.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>be post-2007?</li> <li>A. Can I look back?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. I think it was 2006. So that sounds about right.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. 2007 there's still Valley View, February 2007. So it's a little bit after that even.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. When did I have to look at the NBP one because some of them there's a little bit of an overlap to.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it was about that</li> <li>A. About that time frame, yeah.</li> <li>Q. About that time frame. So you're getting inundated with phone calls, and one of them is James Park?</li> <li>A. No. No.</li> <li>I got inundated with calls from Korea of people that wanted to obtain the green card through investment in our regional center, and that led me to go to Korea, hold one of our seminars, and that's where I met James Park.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>could not do. And he, therefore, was willing to accept a relationship that was really based on faith without any contracts.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what was it that you could do? What was your role in that relationship?</li> <li>A. My role was that I was managing the regional center, and that was the tool his clients would like to take advantage of.</li> <li>Q. Would you find projects?</li> <li>A. Yes. I would.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it was your responsibility to find the South Dakota project; correct?</li> <li>A. Pretty much, yes, and qualify them.</li> <li>Q. How would you do that? And qualify them?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So, first of all, how would you find the project?</li> <li>A. Well, with the dairy farms, as I mentioned, we worked with the Department of Ag. We worked with GOED.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>be post-2007?</li> <li>A. Can I look back?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. I think it was 2006. So that sounds about right.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. 2007 there's still Valley View, February 2007. So it's a little bit after that even.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. When did I have to look at the NBP one because some of them there's a little bit of an overlap to.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it was about that</li> <li>A. About that time frame, yeah.</li> <li>Q. About that time frame. So you're getting inundated with phone calls, and one of them is James Park?</li> <li>A. No. No.</li> <li>I got inundated with calls from Korea of people that wanted to obtain the green card through investment in our regional center, and that led me to go to Korea, hold one of our seminars, and that's where I met James Park.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what did James tell you that he could do</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>could not do. And he, therefore, was willing to accept a relationship that was really based on faith without any contracts.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what was it that you could do? What was your role in that relationship?</li> <li>A. My role was that I was managing the regional center, and that was the tool his clients would like to take advantage of.</li> <li>Q. Would you find projects?</li> <li>A. Yes. I would.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it was your responsibility to find the South Dakota project; correct?</li> <li>A. Pretty much, yes, and qualify them.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So, first of all, how would you find the project?</li> <li>A. Well, with the dairy farms, as I mentioned, we worked with the Department of Ag. We worked with GOED. So they would be able to give me feedback too on who was interested in maybe coupling up with foreign investors</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>be post-2007?</li> <li>A. Can I look back?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. I think it was 2006. So that sounds about right.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. 2007 there's still Valley View, February 2007. So it's a little bit after that even.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. When did I have to look at the NBP one because some of them there's a little bit of an overlap to.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it was about that</li> <li>A. About that time frame, yeah.</li> <li>Q. About that time frame. So you're getting inundated with phone calls, and one of them is James Park?</li> <li>A. No. No. I got inundated with calls from Korea of people that wanted to obtain the green card through investment in our regional center, and that led me to go to Korea, hold one of our seminars, and that's where I met James Park.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what did James tell you that he could do for you? Because he was it's my understanding he was</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>could not do. And he, therefore, was willing to accept a relationship that was really based on faith without any contracts.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what was it that you could do? What was your role in that relationship?</li> <li>A. My role was that I was managing the regional center, and that was the tool his clients would like to take advantage of.</li> <li>Q. Would you find projects?</li> <li>A. Yes. I would.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it was your responsibility to find the South Dakota project; correct?</li> <li>A. Pretty much, yes, and qualify them.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So, first of all, how would you find the project?</li> <li>A. Well, with the dairy farms, as I mentioned, we worked with the Department of Ag. We worked with GOED. So they would be able to give me feedback too on who was interested in maybe coupling up with foreign investors and who was not.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>be post-2007?</li> <li>A. Can I look back?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. I think it was 2006. So that sounds about right.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. 2007 there's still Valley View, February 2007. So it's a little bit after that even.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. When did I have to look at the NBP one because some of them there's a little bit of an overlap to.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it was about that</li> <li>A. About that time frame, yeah.</li> <li>Q. About that time frame. So you're getting inundated with phone calls, and one of them is James Park?</li> <li>A. No. No.</li> <li>I got inundated with calls from Korea of people that wanted to obtain the green card through investment in our regional center, and that led me to go to Korea, hold one of our seminars, and that's where I met James Park.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what did James tell you that he could do for you? Because he was it's my understanding he was new to the EB-5 program.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>could not do. And he, therefore, was willing to accept a relationship that was really based on faith without any contracts.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what was it that you could do? What was your role in that relationship?</li> <li>A. My role was that I was managing the regional center, and that was the tool his clients would like to take advantage of.</li> <li>Q. Would you find projects?</li> <li>A. Yes. I would.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it was your responsibility to find the South Dakota project; correct?</li> <li>A. Pretty much, yes, and qualify them.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So, first of all, how would you find the project?</li> <li>A. Well, with the dairy farms, as I mentioned, we worked with the Department of Ag. We worked with GOED. So they would be able to give me feedback too on who was interested in maybe coupling up with foreign investors and who was not.</li> <li>Q. And then how would you qualify them?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>be post-2007?</li> <li>A. Can I look back?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. I think it was 2006. So that sounds about right.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. 2007 there's still Valley View, February 2007. So it's a little bit after that even.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. When did I have to look at the NBP one because some of them there's a little bit of an overlap to.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it was about that</li> <li>A. About that time frame, yeah.</li> <li>Q. About that time frame. So you're getting inundated with phone calls, and one of them is James Park?</li> <li>A. No. No. I got inundated with calls from Korea of people that wanted to obtain the green card through investment in our regional center, and that led me to go to Korea, hold one of our seminars, and that's where I met James Park.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what did James tell you that he could do for you? Because he was it's my understanding he was</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>could not do. And he, therefore, was willing to accept a relationship that was really based on faith without any contracts.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what was it that you could do? What was your role in that relationship?</li> <li>A. My role was that I was managing the regional center, and that was the tool his clients would like to take advantage of.</li> <li>Q. Would you find projects?</li> <li>A. Yes. I would.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it was your responsibility to find the South Dakota project; correct?</li> <li>A. Pretty much, yes, and qualify them.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So, first of all, how would you find the project?</li> <li>A. Well, with the dairy farms, as I mentioned, we worked with the Department of Ag. We worked with GOED. So they would be able to give me feedback too on who was interested in maybe coupling up with foreign investors and who was not.</li> </ul>

	Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	File	d 10/10/18 Page 16 of 61 PageID #: 2474 63
1	being in the right industry sector for which we are	1	A. I needed bigger projects. And dairy farms were no
2	approved.	2	longer cutting it. I knew Mike Rounds was very
3	<b>Q.</b> Would that making sure the business was viable?	3	interested in the certified beef program. And I also
4	A. No. Not at all.	4	knew that they are very keen in having a certified
5	<b>Q.</b> Who was in charge of making sure the business was	5	slaughterhouse built, and that is how I approached Dennis
6	viable?	6	Hellwig, through the state contact.
7	A. With those projects that was the beauty of those	7	Q. Who is Dennis Hellwig?
8	projects, it wasn't really my business at all, but these	8	A. Dennis Hellwig is the person who started the beef
9	projects would require bank financing. And a bank will	9	plant initially.
10	not lend money unless the project was viable. So all	10	<b>Q.</b> Was the beef plant the first EB-5 project that was
11	that due diligence was done by banks pretty much that	11	not a dairy?
12	were going to lend to these commercial enterprises.	12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Okay. So these commercial enterprises needed	13	Q. Okay. So explain how that project got going. You
14	funding so banks were vetting them?	14	approached Dennis Hellwig, and what happened from there?
15	A. Yes.	15	A. Had a meeting with Benda who was just became
16	<b>Q.</b> How did you choose which bank was going to vet them?	16	commissioner. So I met in Pierre, like I would normally
17	A. Was it First First National FNB. First	17	do when there was a new commissioner, explaining what
18	National Bank & Trust in Brookings had a keen interest,	18	SDIBI had been doing for the State.
19	and they did most of the financed most of the	19	And during that conversation it was very clear that
20	projects.	20	the State wanted this beef project, and at the same time
21	<b>Q</b> . Why not why weren't they just using the	21	it made sense because the way it was presented, the
22	investments from the foreign investors to finance the	22	FDA USDA would be financing part of the project. The
23	projects? Why bank financing them?	23	State would have their own money in it. So I saw an
24	A. Because the job creation is a limiting factor that	24	opportunity from, hey, this is a chance for us to become
25	there wouldn't be enough job creation on the farm to	25	competitive again.
	62		64
1	raise sufficient funds to have a project that can be	1	Q. So what happened next?
2	raise sufficient funds to have a project that can be fully financed with EB-5 monies.	2	<ul><li>Q. So what happened next?</li><li>A. Normally what happens in a situation like that is</li></ul>
2 3	<ul><li>raise sufficient funds to have a project that can be</li><li>fully financed with EB-5 monies.</li><li>Q. How much money are you talking about that they would</li></ul>	2 3	<ul> <li>Q. So what happened next?</li> <li>A. Normally what happens in a situation like that is that I discuss it with the agents. At that time it was</li> </ul>
2 3 4	<ul><li>raise sufficient funds to have a project that can be fully financed with EB-5 monies.</li><li>Q. How much money are you talking about that they would need?</li></ul>	2 3 4	<ul> <li>Q. So what happened next?</li> <li>A. Normally what happens in a situation like that is that I discuss it with the agents. At that time it was James Park mainly in Korea from, hey, what do you think?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>raise sufficient funds to have a project that can be fully financed with EB-5 monies.</li> <li>Q. How much money are you talking about that they would need?</li> <li>A. For a dairy farm?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. So what happened next?</li> <li>A. Normally what happens in a situation like that is that I discuss it with the agents. At that time it was James Park mainly in Korea from, hey, what do you think? Would this project work? And at that time I might have</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>raise sufficient funds to have a project that can be fully financed with EB-5 monies.</li> <li>Q. How much money are you talking about that they would need?</li> <li>A. For a dairy farm?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. So what happened next?</li> <li>A. Normally what happens in a situation like that is that I discuss it with the agents. At that time it was James Park mainly in Korea from, hey, what do you think? Would this project work? And at that time I might have communicated with some other agents too who were</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>raise sufficient funds to have a project that can be fully financed with EB-5 monies.</li> <li>Q. How much money are you talking about that they would need?</li> <li>A. For a dairy farm?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. There's a wide range. The total amount we did was</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. So what happened next?</li> <li>A. Normally what happens in a situation like that is that I discuss it with the agents. At that time it was James Park mainly in Korea from, hey, what do you think? Would this project work? And at that time I might have communicated with some other agents too who were interested. And they at that point were interested in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>raise sufficient funds to have a project that can be fully financed with EB-5 monies.</li> <li>Q. How much money are you talking about that they would need?</li> <li>A. For a dairy farm?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. There's a wide range. The total amount we did was about \$90 million worth of dairy farms up along the I-29</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. So what happened next?</li> <li>A. Normally what happens in a situation like that is that I discuss it with the agents. At that time it was James Park mainly in Korea from, hey, what do you think? Would this project work? And at that time I might have communicated with some other agents too who were interested. And they at that point were interested in doing this project, promoting this project.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>raise sufficient funds to have a project that can be fully financed with EB-5 monies.</li> <li>Q. How much money are you talking about that they would need?</li> <li>A. For a dairy farm?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. There's a wide range. The total amount we did was about \$90 million worth of dairy farms up along the I-29 corridor.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. So what happened next?</li> <li>A. Normally what happens in a situation like that is that I discuss it with the agents. At that time it was James Park mainly in Korea from, hey, what do you think? Would this project work? And at that time I might have communicated with some other agents too who were interested. And they at that point were interested in doing this project, promoting this project.</li> <li>Q. Where was this project promoted?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>raise sufficient funds to have a project that can be fully financed with EB-5 monies.</li> <li>Q. How much money are you talking about that they would need?</li> <li>A. For a dairy farm?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. There's a wide range. The total amount we did was about \$90 million worth of dairy farms up along the I-29 corridor.</li> <li>Q. When did you switch out of dairy farms?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. So what happened next?</li> <li>A. Normally what happens in a situation like that is that I discuss it with the agents. At that time it was James Park mainly in Korea from, hey, what do you think? Would this project work? And at that time I might have communicated with some other agents too who were interested. And they at that point were interested in doing this project, promoting this project.</li> <li>Q. Where was this project promoted?</li> <li>A. Initially in Korea, but it also went into China</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>raise sufficient funds to have a project that can be fully financed with EB-5 monies.</li> <li>Q. How much money are you talking about that they would need?</li> <li>A. For a dairy farm?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. There's a wide range. The total amount we did was about \$90 million worth of dairy farms up along the I-29 corridor.</li> <li>Q. When did you switch out of dairy farms?</li> <li>A. I switched out well, what happened with the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. So what happened next?</li> <li>A. Normally what happens in a situation like that is that I discuss it with the agents. At that time it was James Park mainly in Korea from, hey, what do you think? Would this project work? And at that time I might have communicated with some other agents too who were interested. And they at that point were interested in doing this project, promoting this project.</li> <li>Q. Where was this project promoted?</li> <li>A. Initially in Korea, but it also went into China pretty quickly.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>raise sufficient funds to have a project that can be fully financed with EB-5 monies.</li> <li>Q. How much money are you talking about that they would need?</li> <li>A. For a dairy farm?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. There's a wide range. The total amount we did was about \$90 million worth of dairy farms up along the I-29 corridor.</li> <li>Q. When did you switch out of dairy farms?</li> <li>A. I switched out well, what happened with the market it's not so much that I wanted to switch out,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. So what happened next?</li> <li>A. Normally what happens in a situation like that is that I discuss it with the agents. At that time it was James Park mainly in Korea from, hey, what do you think? Would this project work? And at that time I might have communicated with some other agents too who were interested. And they at that point were interested in doing this project, promoting this project.</li> <li>Q. Where was this project promoted?</li> <li>A. Initially in Korea, but it also went into China pretty quickly.</li> <li>Q. Was there a Confidential Offering Memorandum that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>raise sufficient funds to have a project that can be fully financed with EB-5 monies.</li> <li>Q. How much money are you talking about that they would need?</li> <li>A. For a dairy farm?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. There's a wide range. The total amount we did was about \$90 million worth of dairy farms up along the I-29 corridor.</li> <li>Q. When did you switch out of dairy farms?</li> <li>A. I switched out well, what happened with the market it's not so much that I wanted to switch out, but South Dakota was successful with the regional center.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. So what happened next?</li> <li>A. Normally what happens in a situation like that is that I discuss it with the agents. At that time it was James Park mainly in Korea from, hey, what do you think? Would this project work? And at that time I might have communicated with some other agents too who were interested. And they at that point were interested in doing this project, promoting this project.</li> <li>Q. Where was this project promoted?</li> <li>A. Initially in Korea, but it also went into China pretty quickly.</li> <li>Q. Was there a Confidential Offering Memorandum that was done for the beef plant?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>raise sufficient funds to have a project that can be fully financed with EB-5 monies.</li> <li>Q. How much money are you talking about that they would need?</li> <li>A. For a dairy farm?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. There's a wide range. The total amount we did was about \$90 million worth of dairy farms up along the I-29 corridor.</li> <li>Q. When did you switch out of dairy farms?</li> <li>A. I switched out well, what happened with the market it's not so much that I wanted to switch out, but South Dakota was successful with the regional center. It opened eyes in other states.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. So what happened next?</li> <li>A. Normally what happens in a situation like that is that I discuss it with the agents. At that time it was James Park mainly in Korea from, hey, what do you think? Would this project work? And at that time I might have communicated with some other agents too who were interested. And they at that point were interested in doing this project, promoting this project.</li> <li>Q. Where was this project promoted?</li> <li>A. Initially in Korea, but it also went into China pretty quickly.</li> <li>Q. Was there a Confidential Offering Memorandum that was done for the beef plant?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>raise sufficient funds to have a project that can be fully financed with EB-5 monies.</li> <li>Q. How much money are you talking about that they would need?</li> <li>A. For a dairy farm?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. There's a wide range. The total amount we did was about \$90 million worth of dairy farms up along the I-29 corridor.</li> <li>Q. When did you switch out of dairy farms?</li> <li>A. I switched out well, what happened with the market it's not so much that I wanted to switch out, but South Dakota was successful with the regional center. It opened eyes in other states.</li> <li>So when you had states like New York, Hawaii coming</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. So what happened next?</li> <li>A. Normally what happens in a situation like that is that I discuss it with the agents. At that time it was James Park mainly in Korea from, hey, what do you think? Would this project work? And at that time I might have communicated with some other agents too who were interested. And they at that point were interested in doing this project, promoting this project.</li> <li>Q. Where was this project promoted?</li> <li>A. Initially in Korea, but it also went into China pretty quickly.</li> <li>Q. Was there a Confidential Offering Memorandum that was done for the beef plant?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Explain when the investors actually saw the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>raise sufficient funds to have a project that can be fully financed with EB-5 monies.</li> <li>Q. How much money are you talking about that they would need?</li> <li>A. For a dairy farm?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. There's a wide range. The total amount we did was about \$90 million worth of dairy farms up along the I-29 corridor.</li> <li>Q. When did you switch out of dairy farms?</li> <li>A. I switched out well, what happened with the market it's not so much that I wanted to switch out, but South Dakota was successful with the regional center.</li> <li>It opened eyes in other states.</li> <li>So when you had states like New York, Hawaii coming in, South Dakota's dairy farms suddenly weren't as</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. So what happened next?</li> <li>A. Normally what happens in a situation like that is that I discuss it with the agents. At that time it was James Park mainly in Korea from, hey, what do you think? Would this project work? And at that time I might have communicated with some other agents too who were interested. And they at that point were interested in doing this project, promoting this project.</li> <li>Q. Where was this project promoted?</li> <li>A. Initially in Korea, but it also went into China pretty quickly.</li> <li>Q. Was there a Confidential Offering Memorandum that was done for the beef plant?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Explain when the investors actually saw the Offering Memorandum in the beef plant.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>raise sufficient funds to have a project that can be fully financed with EB-5 monies.</li> <li>Q. How much money are you talking about that they would need?</li> <li>A. For a dairy farm?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. There's a wide range. The total amount we did was about \$90 million worth of dairy farms up along the I-29 corridor.</li> <li>Q. When did you switch out of dairy farms?</li> <li>A. I switched out well, what happened with the market it's not so much that I wanted to switch out, but South Dakota was successful with the regional center. It opened eyes in other states.</li> <li>So when you had states like New York, Hawaii coming in, South Dakota's dairy farms suddenly weren't as attractive anymore to the foreign investors. They had</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. So what happened next?</li> <li>A. Normally what happens in a situation like that is that I discuss it with the agents. At that time it was James Park mainly in Korea from, hey, what do you think? Would this project work? And at that time I might have communicated with some other agents too who were interested. And they at that point were interested in doing this project, promoting this project.</li> <li>Q. Where was this project promoted?</li> <li>A. Initially in Korea, but it also went into China pretty quickly.</li> <li>Q. Was there a Confidential Offering Memorandum that was done for the beef plant?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Explain when the investors actually saw the Offering Memorandum in the beef plant.</li> <li>A. The way the Offering Memorandum was prepared, it was</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>raise sufficient funds to have a project that can be fully financed with EB-5 monies.</li> <li>Q. How much money are you talking about that they would need?</li> <li>A. For a dairy farm?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. There's a wide range. The total amount we did was about \$90 million worth of dairy farms up along the I-29 corridor.</li> <li>Q. When did you switch out of dairy farms?</li> <li>A. I switched out well, what happened with the market it's not so much that I wanted to switch out, but South Dakota was successful with the regional center.</li> <li>It opened eyes in other states.</li> <li>So when you had states like New York, Hawaii coming in, South Dakota's dairy farms suddenly weren't as attractive anymore to the foreign investors. They had alternatives now which they didn't have before.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. So what happened next?</li> <li>A. Normally what happens in a situation like that is that I discuss it with the agents. At that time it was James Park mainly in Korea from, hey, what do you think? Would this project work? And at that time I might have communicated with some other agents too who were interested. And they at that point were interested in doing this project, promoting this project.</li> <li>Q. Where was this project promoted?</li> <li>A. Initially in Korea, but it also went into China pretty quickly.</li> <li>Q. Was there a Confidential Offering Memorandum that was done for the beef plant?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Explain when the investors actually saw the Offering Memorandum in the beef plant.</li> <li>A. The way the Offering Memorandum was prepared, it was just, again, we saw ourselves as we created this soccer</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>raise sufficient funds to have a project that can be fully financed with EB-5 monies.</li> <li>Q. How much money are you talking about that they would need?</li> <li>A. For a dairy farm?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. There's a wide range. The total amount we did was about \$90 million worth of dairy farms up along the I-29 corridor.</li> <li>Q. When did you switch out of dairy farms?</li> <li>A. I switched out well, what happened with the market it's not so much that I wanted to switch out, but South Dakota was successful with the regional center. It opened eyes in other states.</li> <li>So when you had states like New York, Hawaii coming in, South Dakota's dairy farms suddenly weren't as attractive anymore to the foreign investors. They had alternatives now which they didn't have before.</li> <li>You know, when we put the project out that was</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. So what happened next?</li> <li>A. Normally what happens in a situation like that is that I discuss it with the agents. At that time it was James Park mainly in Korea from, hey, what do you think? Would this project work? And at that time I might have communicated with some other agents too who were interested. And they at that point were interested in doing this project, promoting this project.</li> <li>Q. Where was this project promoted?</li> <li>A. Initially in Korea, but it also went into China pretty quickly.</li> <li>Q. Was there a Confidential Offering Memorandum that was done for the beef plant?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Explain when the investors actually saw the Offering Memorandum in the beef plant.</li> <li>A. The way the Offering Memorandum was prepared, it was just, again, we saw ourselves as we created this soccer field that people are allowed to play soccer, the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>raise sufficient funds to have a project that can be fully financed with EB-5 monies.</li> <li>Q. How much money are you talking about that they would need?</li> <li>A. For a dairy farm?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. There's a wide range. The total amount we did was about \$90 million worth of dairy farms up along the I-29 corridor.</li> <li>Q. When did you switch out of dairy farms?</li> <li>A. I switched out well, what happened with the market it's not so much that I wanted to switch out, but South Dakota was successful with the regional center.</li> <li>It opened eyes in other states.</li> <li>So when you had states like New York, Hawaii coming in, South Dakota's dairy farms suddenly weren't as attractive anymore to the foreign investors. They had alternatives now which they didn't have before.</li> <li>You know, when we put the project out that was pretty much it besides Pennsylvania and California. But</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. So what happened next?</li> <li>A. Normally what happens in a situation like that is that I discuss it with the agents. At that time it was James Park mainly in Korea from, hey, what do you think? Would this project work? And at that time I might have communicated with some other agents too who were interested. And they at that point were interested in doing this project, promoting this project.</li> <li>Q. Where was this project promoted?</li> <li>A. Initially in Korea, but it also went into China pretty quickly.</li> <li>Q. Was there a Confidential Offering Memorandum that was done for the beef plant?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Explain when the investors actually saw the Offering Memorandum in the beef plant.</li> <li>A. The way the Offering Memorandum was prepared, it was just, again, we saw ourselves as we created this soccer field that people are allowed to play soccer, the investors and the local people are allowed to take</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	raise sufficient funds to have a project that can be fully financed with EB-5 monies. Q. How much money are you talking about that they would need? A. For a dairy farm? Q. Yeah. A. There's a wide range. The total amount we did was about \$90 million worth of dairy farms up along the I-29 corridor. Q. When did you switch out of dairy farms? A. I switched out well, what happened with the market it's not so much that I wanted to switch out, but South Dakota was successful with the regional center. It opened eyes in other states. So when you had states like New York, Hawaii coming in, South Dakota's dairy farms suddenly weren't as attractive anymore to the foreign investors. They had alternatives now which they didn't have before. You know, when we put the project out that was pretty much it besides Pennsylvania and California. But when the EB-5 program started developing and other states	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. So what happened next?</li> <li>A. Normally what happens in a situation like that is that I discuss it with the agents. At that time it was James Park mainly in Korea from, hey, what do you think? Would this project work? And at that time I might have communicated with some other agents too who were interested. And they at that point were interested in doing this project, promoting this project.</li> <li>Q. Where was this project promoted?</li> <li>A. Initially in Korea, but it also went into China pretty quickly.</li> <li>Q. Was there a Confidential Offering Memorandum that was done for the beef plant?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Explain when the investors actually saw the Offering Memorandum in the beef plant.</li> <li>A. The way the Offering Memorandum was prepared, it was just, again, we saw ourselves as we created this soccer field that people are allowed to play soccer, the investors and the local people are allowed to take advantage of that economic development tool, and my job</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	raise sufficient funds to have a project that can be fully financed with EB-5 monies. Q. How much money are you talking about that they would need? A. For a dairy farm? Q. Yeah. A. There's a wide range. The total amount we did was about \$90 million worth of dairy farms up along the I-29 corridor. Q. When did you switch out of dairy farms? A. I switched out well, what happened with the market it's not so much that I wanted to switch out, but South Dakota was successful with the regional center. It opened eyes in other states. So when you had states like New York, Hawaii coming in, South Dakota's dairy farms suddenly weren't as attractive anymore to the foreign investors. They had alternatives now which they didn't have before. You know, when we put the project out that was pretty much it besides Pennsylvania and California. But when the EB-5 program started developing and other states started to take advantage of it, private entities in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. So what happened next?</li> <li>A. Normally what happens in a situation like that is that I discuss it with the agents. At that time it was James Park mainly in Korea from, hey, what do you think? Would this project work? And at that time I might have communicated with some other agents too who were interested. And they at that point were interested in doing this project, promoting this project.</li> <li>Q. Where was this project promoted?</li> <li>A. Initially in Korea, but it also went into China pretty quickly.</li> <li>Q. Was there a Confidential Offering Memorandum that was done for the beef plant?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Explain when the investors actually saw the Offering Memorandum in the beef plant.</li> <li>A. The way the Offering Memorandum was prepared, it was just, again, we saw ourselves as we created this soccer field that people are allowed to play soccer, the investors and the local people are allowed to take advantage of that economic development tool, and my job was to introduce the project to Hanul in this case and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	raise sufficient funds to have a project that can be fully financed with EB-5 monies. Q. How much money are you talking about that they would need? A. For a dairy farm? Q. Yeah. A. There's a wide range. The total amount we did was about \$90 million worth of dairy farms up along the I-29 corridor. Q. When did you switch out of dairy farms? A. I switched out well, what happened with the market it's not so much that I wanted to switch out, but South Dakota was successful with the regional center. It opened eyes in other states. So when you had states like New York, Hawaii coming in, South Dakota's dairy farms suddenly weren't as attractive anymore to the foreign investors. They had alternatives now which they didn't have before. You know, when we put the project out that was pretty much it besides Pennsylvania and California. But when the EB-5 program started developing and other states started to take advantage of it, private entities in other states such as New York and Hawaii, we found	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. So what happened next?</li> <li>A. Normally what happens in a situation like that is that I discuss it with the agents. At that time it was James Park mainly in Korea from, hey, what do you think? Would this project work? And at that time I might have communicated with some other agents too who were interested. And they at that point were interested in doing this project, promoting this project.</li> <li>Q. Where was this project promoted?</li> <li>A. Initially in Korea, but it also went into China pretty quickly.</li> <li>Q. Was there a Confidential Offering Memorandum that was done for the beef plant?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Explain when the investors actually saw the Offering Memorandum in the beef plant.</li> <li>A. The way the Offering Memorandum was prepared, it was just, again, we saw ourselves as we created this soccer field that people are allowed to play soccer, the investors and the local people are allowed to take advantage of that economic development tool, and my job was to introduce the project to Hanul in this case and just in every case.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	raise sufficient funds to have a project that can be fully financed with EB-5 monies. Q. How much money are you talking about that they would need? A. For a dairy farm? Q. Yeah. A. There's a wide range. The total amount we did was about \$90 million worth of dairy farms up along the I-29 corridor. Q. When did you switch out of dairy farms? A. I switched out well, what happened with the market it's not so much that I wanted to switch out, but South Dakota was successful with the regional center. It opened eyes in other states. So when you had states like New York, Hawaii coming in, South Dakota's dairy farms suddenly weren't as attractive anymore to the foreign investors. They had alternatives now which they didn't have before. You know, when we put the project out that was pretty much it besides Pennsylvania and California. But when the EB-5 program started developing and other states started to take advantage of it, private entities in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. So what happened next?</li> <li>A. Normally what happens in a situation like that is that I discuss it with the agents. At that time it was James Park mainly in Korea from, hey, what do you think? Would this project work? And at that time I might have communicated with some other agents too who were interested. And they at that point were interested in doing this project, promoting this project.</li> <li>Q. Where was this project promoted?</li> <li>A. Initially in Korea, but it also went into China pretty quickly.</li> <li>Q. Was there a Confidential Offering Memorandum that was done for the beef plant?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Explain when the investors actually saw the Offering Memorandum in the beef plant.</li> <li>A. The way the Offering Memorandum was prepared, it was just, again, we saw ourselves as we created this soccer field that people are allowed to play soccer, the investors and the local people are allowed to take advantage of that economic development tool, and my job was to introduce the project to Hanul in this case and</li> </ul>

	Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	File	d 10/10/18 Page 17 of 61 PageID #: 2475 67
1	put that project together, the Offering Memorandum	1	at an academic conference from Northern University in
2	together.	2	Rapid City. They have the annual international
3	<b>Q.</b> How much was invested into the beef plant?	3	conferences. It was at one of these conferences where he
4	A. In the end, or in the beginning? Because it went	4	also was a keynote speaker.
5	through different stages.	5	<b>Q</b> . Did he offer a document of some sort at this
6	<b>Q</b> . Walk me through the stages.	6	conference that explained how to structure the loan
7	A. The initial was for about 70 investor, and I think	7	model?
8	69 actually became part of it.	8	A. No. Not at the conference. We discussed it,
9	<b>Q</b> . And so first stage of investment was how much?	9	though.
10	A. About 69 investors, each 500,000, so that is	10	Q. When did you discuss it?
11	34.5 million.	11	A. At the conference.
12	<b>Q.</b> What was the next set of investors?	12	<b>Q.</b> Okay. So how did you figure out how to structure a
13	A. For that project or because	13	loan model transaction to comply with EB-5?
14	Q. For the beef plant.	14	A. Based on the information Morrie provided me. I just
15	A. We just focusing on the beef plant.	15	followed his directions.
16	<b>Q</b> . Just the beef plant.	16	<b>Q</b> . How often would you talk to him about it?
17	A. That was SDIF LP6, and that was for about	17	A. You know, regularly. I had regular contact with
18	35 million as well. I don't know for sure if there if	18	him.
19	there was 68. I think only 68 actually ended up doing	19	<b>Q</b> . Okay. When did you form SDRC, Inc.?
20	the project.	20	A. SDRC, Inc. was formed in January of 2008.
21	<b>Q.</b> Okay. Of the first 69 investors who invested	21	Q. So about that time you formed SDRC, Inc.
22	500 million about oh, was it 500,000? I wrote million	22	A. Right. Yes.
23	down.	23	Q. Was that before or after
24	Each investing 500,000. Did they have personal	24	A. No. That and, therefore, the model was just
25	guarantees signed?	25	before 2008. Because SDRC the development of SDRC,
	66		68
1	66 A. They were equity investors so	1	-
1 2		1 2	68
-	A. They were equity investors so		68 Inc. was in response to Morrie.
2	<ul> <li>A. They were equity investors so</li> <li>Q. So no personal guarantees</li> </ul>	2	68 Inc. was in response to Morrie. Q. Okay. So SDRC, Inc. was in response to Morrie
2 3	<ul> <li>A. They were equity investors so</li> <li>Q. So no personal guarantees</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>	2	68 Inc. was in response to Morrie. Q. Okay. So SDRC, Inc. was in response to Morrie saying
2 3 4	<ul> <li>A. They were equity investors so</li> <li>Q. So no personal guarantees</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q in that?</li> </ul>	2 3 4	68 Inc. was in response to Morrie. Q. Okay. So SDRC, Inc. was in response to Morrie saying A. Loan model, yes.
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. They were equity investors so</li> <li>Q. So no personal guarantees</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q in that?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	68 Inc. was in response to Morrie. Q. Okay. So SDRC, Inc. was in response to Morrie saying A. Loan model, yes. Q we can do a loan model.
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. They were equity investors so</li> <li>Q. So no personal guarantees</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q in that?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. So when did things change between being an equity</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	68 Inc. was in response to Morrie. Q. Okay. So SDRC, Inc. was in response to Morrie saying A. Loan model, yes. Q we can do a loan model. Okay. Why did you need SDRC, Inc.?
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. They were equity investors so</li> <li>Q. So no personal guarantees</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q in that?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. So when did things change between being an equity investor to I'm guessing what you would call the loan</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>68</li> <li>Inc. was in response to Morrie.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So SDRC, Inc. was in response to Morrie saying</li> <li>A. Loan model, yes.</li> <li>Q we can do a loan model. Okay. Why did you need SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. As I mentioned, these projects early on had bank</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. They were equity investors so</li> <li>Q. So no personal guarantees</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q in that?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. So when did things change between being an equity investor to I'm guessing what you would call the loan model?</li> <li>A. Again, as the EB-5 program was developing, I felt that the intent of Congress was a direct investment in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>68</li> <li>Inc. was in response to Morrie.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So SDRC, Inc. was in response to Morrie saying</li> <li>A. Loan model, yes.</li> <li>Q we can do a loan model. Okay. Why did you need SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. As I mentioned, these projects early on had bank financing, so there was somebody that vetted these</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. They were equity investors so</li> <li>Q. So no personal guarantees</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q in that?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. So when did things change between being an equity investor to I'm guessing what you would call the loan model?</li> <li>A. Again, as the EB-5 program was developing, I felt</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>68</li> <li>Inc. was in response to Morrie.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So SDRC, Inc. was in response to Morrie saying</li> <li>A. Loan model, yes.</li> <li>Q we can do a loan model. Okay. Why did you need SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. As I mentioned, these projects early on had bank financing, so there was somebody that vetted these projects. We had a situation with a project, the tilapia project, where we were just switching to the loan model. And I always relied on the all the parties to do the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. They were equity investors so</li> <li>Q. So no personal guarantees</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q in that?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. So when did things change between being an equity investor to I'm guessing what you would call the loan model?</li> <li>A. Again, as the EB-5 program was developing, I felt that the intent of Congress was a direct investment in the business. But the USCIS loosened that tightened it up, and Morrie Berez came to South Dakota, had a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>68</li> <li>Inc. was in response to Morrie.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So SDRC, Inc. was in response to Morrie saying</li> <li>A. Loan model, yes.</li> <li>Q we can do a loan model. Okay. Why did you need SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. As I mentioned, these projects early on had bank financing, so there was somebody that vetted these projects. We had a situation with a project, the tilapia project, where we were just switching to the loan model. And I always relied on the all the parties to do the due diligence.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. They were equity investors so</li> <li>Q. So no personal guarantees</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q in that?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. So when did things change between being an equity investor to I'm guessing what you would call the loan model?</li> <li>A. Again, as the EB-5 program was developing, I felt that the intent of Congress was a direct investment in the business. But the USCIS loosened that tightened it up, and Morrie Berez came to South Dakota, had a meeting with me, and he said, look, we are going more</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>68</li> <li>Inc. was in response to Morrie.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So SDRC, Inc. was in response to Morrie saying</li> <li>A. Loan model, yes.</li> <li>Q we can do a loan model. Okay. Why did you need SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. As I mentioned, these projects early on had bank financing, so there was somebody that vetted these projects. We had a situation with a project, the tilapia project, where we were just switching to the loan model. And I always relied on the all the parties to do the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. They were equity investors so</li> <li>Q. So no personal guarantees</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q in that?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. So when did things change between being an equity investor to I'm guessing what you would call the loan model?</li> <li>A. Again, as the EB-5 program was developing, I felt that the intent of Congress was a direct investment in the business. But the USCIS loosened that tightened it up, and Morrie Berez came to South Dakota, had a meeting with me, and he said, look, we are going more towards a loan model.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>68</li> <li>Inc. was in response to Morrie.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So SDRC, Inc. was in response to Morrie saying</li> <li>A. Loan model, yes.</li> <li>Q we can do a loan model. Okay. Why did you need SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. As I mentioned, these projects early on had bank financing, so there was somebody that vetted these projects. We had a situation with a project, the tilapia project, where we were just switching to the loan model. And I always relied on the all the parties to do the due diligence.</li> <li>But once we started working on the tilapia project and brought it I believe it was to Korea, I was</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. They were equity investors so</li> <li>Q. So no personal guarantees</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q in that?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. So when did things change between being an equity investor to I'm guessing what you would call the loan model?</li> <li>A. Again, as the EB-5 program was developing, I felt that the intent of Congress was a direct investment in the business. But the USCIS loosened that tightened it up, and Morrie Berez came to South Dakota, had a meeting with me, and he said, look, we are going more</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>68</li> <li>Inc. was in response to Morrie.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So SDRC, Inc. was in response to Morrie saying</li> <li>A. Loan model, yes.</li> <li>Q we can do a loan model. Okay. Why did you need SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. As I mentioned, these projects early on had bank financing, so there was somebody that vetted these projects. We had a situation with a project, the tilapia project, where we were just switching to the loan model.</li> <li>And I always relied on the all the parties to do the due diligence.</li> <li>But once we started working on the tilapia project</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. They were equity investors so</li> <li>Q. So no personal guarantees</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q in that?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. So when did things change between being an equity investor to I'm guessing what you would call the loan model?</li> <li>A. Again, as the EB-5 program was developing, I felt that the intent of Congress was a direct investment in the business. But the USCIS loosened that tightened it up, and Morrie Berez came to South Dakota, had a meeting with me, and he said, look, we are going more towards a loan model.</li> <li>And the reasons for the loan model were pretty persuasive, and it had to do with exit strategy. If</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>68</li> <li>Inc. was in response to Morrie.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So SDRC, Inc. was in response to Morrie saying</li> <li>A. Loan model, yes.</li> <li>Q we can do a loan model. Okay. Why did you need SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. As I mentioned, these projects early on had bank financing, so there was somebody that vetted these projects. We had a situation with a project, the tilapia project, where we were just switching to the loan model.</li> <li>And I always relied on the all the parties to do the due diligence.</li> <li>But once we started working on the tilapia project and brought it I believe it was to Korea, I was listening to the presentation of these of the program, and I realized that since there was no more bank</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. They were equity investors so</li> <li>Q. So no personal guarantees</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q in that?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. So when did things change between being an equity investor to I'm guessing what you would call the loan model?</li> <li>A. Again, as the EB-5 program was developing, I felt that the intent of Congress was a direct investment in the business. But the USCIS loosened that tightened it up, and Morrie Berez came to South Dakota, had a meeting with me, and he said, look, we are going more towards a loan model.</li> <li>And the reasons for the loan model were pretty persuasive, and it had to do with exit strategy. If you're an equity investor and after five years having a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>68</li> <li>Inc. was in response to Morrie.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So SDRC, Inc. was in response to Morrie saying</li> <li>A. Loan model, yes.</li> <li>Q we can do a loan model. Okay. Why did you need SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. As I mentioned, these projects early on had bank financing, so there was somebody that vetted these projects. We had a situation with a project, the tilapia project, where we were just switching to the loan model. And I always relied on the all the parties to do the due diligence.</li> <li>But once we started working on the tilapia project and brought it I believe it was to Korea, I was listening to the presentation of these of the program, and I realized that since there was no more bank financing needed in that particular that project, that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. They were equity investors so</li> <li>Q. So no personal guarantees</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q in that?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. So when did things change between being an equity investor to I'm guessing what you would call the loan model?</li> <li>A. Again, as the EB-5 program was developing, I felt that the intent of Congress was a direct investment in the business. But the USCIS loosened that tightened it up, and Morrie Berez came to South Dakota, had a meeting with me, and he said, look, we are going more towards a loan model.</li> <li>Mnd the reasons for the loan model were pretty persuasive, and it had to do with exit strategy. If you're an equity investor and after five years having a permanent green card, it's a little harder to get out of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>68</li> <li>Inc. was in response to Morrie.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So SDRC, Inc. was in response to Morrie saying</li> <li>A. Loan model, yes.</li> <li>Q we can do a loan model. Okay. Why did you need SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. As I mentioned, these projects early on had bank financing, so there was somebody that vetted these projects. We had a situation with a project, the tilapia project, where we were just switching to the loan model. And I always relied on the all the parties to do the due diligence.</li> <li>But once we started working on the tilapia project and brought it I believe it was to Korea, I was listening to the presentation of these of the program, and I realized that since there was no more bank financing needed in that particular that project, that the vetting was not done. And I pulled the plug on that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. They were equity investors so</li> <li>Q. So no personal guarantees</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q in that?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. So when did things change between being an equity investor to I'm guessing what you would call the loan model?</li> <li>A. Again, as the EB-5 program was developing, I felt that the intent of Congress was a direct investment in the business. But the USCIS loosened that tightened it up, and Morrie Berez came to South Dakota, had a meeting with me, and he said, look, we are going more towards a loan model.</li> <li>M. And the reasons for the loan model were pretty persuasive, and it had to do with exit strategy. If you're an equity investor and after five years having a permanent green card, it's a little harder to get out of a business than it would be with a loan that is coming</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>68</li> <li>Inc. was in response to Morrie.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So SDRC, Inc. was in response to Morrie saying</li> <li>A. Loan model, yes.</li> <li>Q we can do a loan model. Okay. Why did you need SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. As I mentioned, these projects early on had bank financing, so there was somebody that vetted these projects. We had a situation with a project, the tilapia project, where we were just switching to the loan model. And I always relied on the all the parties to do the due diligence.</li> <li>But once we started working on the tilapia project and brought it I believe it was to Korea, I was listening to the presentation of these of the program, and I realized that since there was no more bank financing needed in that particular that project, that the vetting was not done. And I pulled the plug on that project because I was very worried that we were going to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. They were equity investors so</li> <li>Q. So no personal guarantees</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q in that?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. So when did things change between being an equity investor to I'm guessing what you would call the loan model?</li> <li>A. Again, as the EB-5 program was developing, I felt that the intent of Congress was a direct investment in the business. But the USCIS loosened that tightened it up, and Morrie Berez came to South Dakota, had a meeting with me, and he said, look, we are going more towards a loan model.</li> <li>M. And the reasons for the loan model were pretty persuasive, and it had to do with exit strategy. If you're an equity investor and after five years having a permanent green card, it's a little harder to get out of a business than it would be with a loan that is coming due.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>68</li> <li>Inc. was in response to Morrie.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So SDRC, Inc. was in response to Morrie saying</li> <li>A. Loan model, yes.</li> <li>Q we can do a loan model. Okay. Why did you need SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. As I mentioned, these projects early on had bank financing, so there was somebody that vetted these projects. We had a situation with a project, the tilapia project, where we were just switching to the loan model. And I always relied on the all the parties to do the due diligence.</li> <li>But once we started working on the tilapia project and brought it I believe it was to Korea, I was listening to the presentation of these of the program, and I realized that since there was no more bank financing needed in that particular that project, that the vetting was not done. And I pulled the plug on that project because I was very worried that we were going to guide limited partners in a project that wasn't going to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. They were equity investors so</li> <li>Q. So no personal guarantees</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q in that?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. So when did things change between being an equity investor to I'm guessing what you would call the loan model?</li> <li>A. Again, as the EB-5 program was developing, I felt that the intent of Congress was a direct investment in the business. But the USCIS loosened that tightened it up, and Morrie Berez came to South Dakota, had a meeting with me, and he said, look, we are going more towards a loan model.</li> <li>And the reasons for the loan model were pretty persuasive, and it had to do with exit strategy. If you're an equity investor and after five years having a permanent green card, it's a little harder to get out of a business than it would be with a loan that is coming due.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>68</li> <li>Inc. was in response to Morrie.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So SDRC, Inc. was in response to Morrie saying</li> <li>A. Loan model, yes.</li> <li>Q we can do a loan model. Okay. Why did you need SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. As I mentioned, these projects early on had bank financing, so there was somebody that vetted these projects. We had a situation with a project, the tilapia project, where we were just switching to the loan model. And I always relied on the all the parties to do the due diligence.</li> <li>But once we started working on the tilapia project and brought it I believe it was to Korea, I was listening to the presentation of these of the program, and I realized that since there was no more bank financing needed in that particular that project, that the vetting was not done. And I pulled the plug on that project because I was very worried that we were going to guide limited partners in a project that wasn't going to succeed.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. They were equity investors so</li> <li>Q. So no personal guarantees</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q in that?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. So when did things change between being an equity investor to I'm guessing what you would call the loan model?</li> <li>A. Again, as the EB-5 program was developing, I felt that the intent of Congress was a direct investment in the business. But the USCIS loosened that tightened it up, and Morrie Berez came to South Dakota, had a meeting with me, and he said, look, we are going more towards a loan model.</li> <li>And the reasons for the loan model were pretty persuasive, and it had to do with exit strategy. If you're an equity investor and after five years having a permanent green card, it's a little harder to get out of a business than it would be with a loan that is coming due.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And because the writing was on the wall, Morrie</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>68</li> <li>Inc. was in response to Morrie.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So SDRC, Inc. was in response to Morrie saying</li> <li>A. Loan model, yes.</li> <li>Q we can do a loan model. Okay. Why did you need SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. As I mentioned, these projects early on had bank financing, so there was somebody that vetted these projects. We had a situation with a project, the tilapia project, where we were just switching to the loan model. And I always relied on the all the parties to do the due diligence.</li> <li>But once we started working on the tilapia project and brought it I believe it was to Korea, I was listening to the presentation of these of the program, and I realized that since there was no more bank financing needed in that particular that project, that the vetting was not done. And I pulled the plug on that project because I was very worried that we were going to guide limited partners in a project that wasn't going to succeed.</li> <li>Q. If it wasn't up to you to vet and that was supposed</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. They were equity investors so</li> <li>Q. So no personal guarantees</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q in that?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. So when did things change between being an equity investor to I'm guessing what you would call the loan model?</li> <li>A. Again, as the EB-5 program was developing, I felt that the intent of Congress was a direct investment in the business. But the USCIS loosened that tightened it up, and Morrie Berez came to South Dakota, had a meeting with me, and he said, look, we are going more towards a loan model.</li> <li>M. And the reasons for the loan model were pretty persuasive, and it had to do with exit strategy. If you're an equity investor and after five years having a permanent green card, it's a little harder to get out of a business than it would be with a loan that is coming due.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And because the writing was on the wall, Morrie persuaded me to to go that route.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>68</li> <li>Inc. was in response to Morrie.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So SDRC, Inc. was in response to Morrie saying</li> <li>A. Loan model, yes.</li> <li>Q we can do a loan model. Okay. Why did you need SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. As I mentioned, these projects early on had bank financing, so there was somebody that vetted these projects. We had a situation with a project, the tilapia project, where we were just switching to the loan model. And I always relied on the all the parties to do the due diligence.</li> <li>But once we started working on the tilapia project and brought it I believe it was to Korea, I was listening to the presentation of these of the program, and I realized that since there was no more bank financing needed in that particular that project, that the vetting was not done. And I pulled the plug on that project because I was very worried that we were going to guide limited partners in a project that wasn't going to succeed.</li> <li>Q. If it wasn't up to you to vet and that was supposed to be done between everybody else, why did you pull the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. They were equity investors so</li> <li>Q. So no personal guarantees</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q in that?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. So when did things change between being an equity investor to I'm guessing what you would call the loan model?</li> <li>A. Again, as the EB-5 program was developing, I felt that the intent of Congress was a direct investment in the business. But the USCIS loosened that tightened it up, and Morrie Berez came to South Dakota, had a meeting with me, and he said, look, we are going more towards a loan model.</li> <li>And the reasons for the loan model were pretty persuasive, and it had to do with exit strategy. If you're an equity investor and after five years having a permanent green card, it's a little harder to get out of a business than it would be with a loan that is coming due.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And because the writing was on the wall, Morrie</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>68</li> <li>Inc. was in response to Morrie.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So SDRC, Inc. was in response to Morrie saying</li> <li>A. Loan model, yes.</li> <li>Q we can do a loan model. Okay. Why did you need SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. As I mentioned, these projects early on had bank financing, so there was somebody that vetted these projects. We had a situation with a project, the tilapia project, where we were just switching to the loan model. And I always relied on the all the parties to do the due diligence.</li> <li>But once we started working on the tilapia project and brought it I believe it was to Korea, I was listening to the presentation of these of the program, and I realized that since there was no more bank financing needed in that particular that project, that the vetting was not done. And I pulled the plug on that project because I was very worried that we were going to guide limited partners in a project that wasn't going to succeed.</li> <li>Q. If it wasn't up to you to vet and that was supposed</li> </ul>

	Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	File	d 10/10/18 Page 18 of 61 PageID #: 2476 71
1	the other projects you had banks; right? So when the	1	A. Okay. Uh-huh.
2	banks were vetting these projects it instilled a comfort	2	<b>Q.</b> These are incorporation documents, and I want to
3	level with me that the projects were economically	3	walk through these with you.
4	feasible.	4	A. Okay.
5	When we switched over to the debt project, because	5	<b>Q.</b> If you turn to Tab 1
6	of the job creation there was sufficient there was no	6	A. Uh-huh.
7	longer a bank needed. And I realized suddenly from, wow,	7	Q and you take a look, this is the Certificate of
8	we are losing this bank that is doing the vetting for us,	8	Incorporation for SDRC, Inc. filed on January 10, 2008;
9	and we are entering very dangerous territory. And	9	is that correct?
10	tilapia kind of confirmed that.	10	A. Yes.
11	So SDRC needed to be set up for several reasons.	11	MR. HEIDEPRIEM: Would you identify the document
12	One was, for example, to hire people to vet the project,	12	by Bates stamp.
13	which First National Bank in Brookings did for us in the	13	MS. OLIVIER: Yep. This would be Joop Depo 2014
14	initial project.	14	to 2014-019.
15	Also because we were becoming more involved, there	15	A. Are we talking about Exhibit 6?
16	had to be contracts signed. We already did a corporation	16	<b>Q.</b> It is. These are the documents that were previously
17	for SDIBI called Northern Plains International. That was	17	marked from your deposition in the <u>Darley</u>
18	set up to do things that were difficult to do in a	18	A. Okay.
19	bureaucratic setting and generated funds for Northern.	19	<b>Q.</b> litigation. So it would be Exhibit 6 under
20	So the whole purpose of SDRC, Inc. was exactly the	20	Tab 1.
21	same thing, except generating funds for Northern from a	21	A. The letter. Okay.
22	foreign direct investment perspective.	22	<b>Q.</b> Yeah. So why then if you flip to the very last
23	Q. Why not go through Northern Plains International?	23	page in these documents, the Articles of Incorporation
24	A. Because a complete separation of you know, I told	24	A. Uh-huh.
25	you before there's normally two aspects. One is really	25	<ul><li>Q. Explain then how on the same day that you did you</li></ul>
	70		79
1	70	1	72 incorporated SDRC. Inc. you also created SD Investment
1	export. The other one is foreign investment.	1	incorporated SDRC, Inc. you also created SD Investment
2	<ul><li>export. The other one is foreign investment.</li><li>Q. So after the beef plant came tilapia?</li></ul>	2	incorporated SDRC, Inc. you also created SD Investment Fund, LLC 1.
23	<ul><li>export. The other one is foreign investment.</li><li>Q. So after the beef plant came tilapia?</li><li>A. After the equity portion of the beef plant came</li></ul>	2 3	incorporated SDRC, Inc. you also created SD Investment Fund, LLC 1. A. Because that's the turkey plant. We started the
2 3 4	<ul><li>export. The other one is foreign investment.</li><li>Q. So after the beef plant came tilapia?</li><li>A. After the equity portion of the beef plant came tilapia, and that project bombed.</li></ul>	2 3 4	incorporated SDRC, Inc. you also created SD Investment Fund, LLC 1. A. Because that's the turkey plant. We started the turkey plant. The talk of the turkey plant must have
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>export. The other one is foreign investment.</li> <li>Q. So after the beef plant came tilapia?</li> <li>A. After the equity portion of the beef plant came tilapia, and that project bombed.</li> <li>Q. Were there personal guarantees in the tilapia plant?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>incorporated SDRC, Inc. you also created SD Investment</li> <li>Fund, LLC 1.</li> <li>A. Because that's the turkey plant. We started the</li> <li>turkey plant. The talk of the turkey plant must have</li> <li>been somewhere in 2007, and we knew there was a project</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>export. The other one is foreign investment.</li> <li>Q. So after the beef plant came tilapia?</li> <li>A. After the equity portion of the beef plant came tilapia, and that project bombed.</li> <li>Q. Were there personal guarantees in the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. I believe there were. But it didn't matter. The</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>incorporated SDRC, Inc. you also created SD Investment</li> <li>Fund, LLC 1.</li> <li>A. Because that's the turkey plant. We started the</li> <li>turkey plant. The talk of the turkey plant must have</li> <li>been somewhere in 2007, and we knew there was a project</li> <li>that was coming up that would be done under the new loan</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>export. The other one is foreign investment.</li> <li>Q. So after the beef plant came tilapia?</li> <li>A. After the equity portion of the beef plant came tilapia, and that project bombed.</li> <li>Q. Were there personal guarantees in the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. I believe there were. But it didn't matter. The project was just too dangerous.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	incorporated SDRC, Inc. you also created SD Investment Fund, LLC 1. A. Because that's the turkey plant. We started the turkey plant. The talk of the turkey plant must have been somewhere in 2007, and we knew there was a project that was coming up that would be done under the new loan model.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>export. The other one is foreign investment.</li> <li>Q. So after the beef plant came tilapia?</li> <li>A. After the equity portion of the beef plant came tilapia, and that project bombed.</li> <li>Q. Were there personal guarantees in the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. I believe there were. But it didn't matter. The project was just too dangerous.</li> <li>Q. So they were never signed.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>incorporated SDRC, Inc. you also created SD Investment</li> <li>Fund, LLC 1.</li> <li>A. Because that's the turkey plant. We started the</li> <li>turkey plant. The talk of the turkey plant must have</li> <li>been somewhere in 2007, and we knew there was a project</li> <li>that was coming up that would be done under the new loan</li> <li>model.</li> <li>Q. Was that before or after the tilapia plant?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>export. The other one is foreign investment.</li> <li>Q. So after the beef plant came tilapia?</li> <li>A. After the equity portion of the beef plant came tilapia, and that project bombed.</li> <li>Q. Were there personal guarantees in the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. I believe there were. But it didn't matter. The project was just too dangerous.</li> <li>Q. So they were never signed. Would they have been contained in a Confidential</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>incorporated SDRC, Inc. you also created SD Investment</li> <li>Fund, LLC 1.</li> <li>A. Because that's the turkey plant. We started the</li> <li>turkey plant. The talk of the turkey plant must have</li> <li>been somewhere in 2007, and we knew there was a project</li> <li>that was coming up that would be done under the new loan</li> <li>model.</li> <li>Q. Was that before or after the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. The turkey plant you know, a lot of these</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>export. The other one is foreign investment.</li> <li>Q. So after the beef plant came tilapia?</li> <li>A. After the equity portion of the beef plant came tilapia, and that project bombed.</li> <li>Q. Were there personal guarantees in the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. I believe there were. But it didn't matter. The project was just too dangerous.</li> <li>Q. So they were never signed. Would they have been contained in a Confidential Offering Memorandum?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>incorporated SDRC, Inc. you also created SD Investment</li> <li>Fund, LLC 1.</li> <li>A. Because that's the turkey plant. We started the</li> <li>turkey plant. The talk of the turkey plant must have</li> <li>been somewhere in 2007, and we knew there was a project</li> <li>that was coming up that would be done under the new loan</li> <li>model.</li> <li>Q. Was that before or after the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. The turkey plant you know, a lot of these</li> <li>projects has overlap in the negotiating stage. But</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>export. The other one is foreign investment.</li> <li>Q. So after the beef plant came tilapia?</li> <li>A. After the equity portion of the beef plant came tilapia, and that project bombed.</li> <li>Q. Were there personal guarantees in the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. I believe there were. But it didn't matter. The project was just too dangerous.</li> <li>Q. So they were never signed. Would they have been contained in a Confidential</li> <li>Offering Memorandum?</li> <li>A. If there was a personal guarantee required, it would</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>incorporated SDRC, Inc. you also created SD Investment</li> <li>Fund, LLC 1.</li> <li>A. Because that's the turkey plant. We started the</li> <li>turkey plant. The talk of the turkey plant must have</li> <li>been somewhere in 2007, and we knew there was a project</li> <li>that was coming up that would be done under the new loan</li> <li>model.</li> <li>Q. Was that before or after the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. The turkey plant you know, a lot of these</li> <li>projects has overlap in the negotiating stage. But</li> <li>the what I remember, the tilapia plant bombed before</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>export. The other one is foreign investment.</li> <li>Q. So after the beef plant came tilapia?</li> <li>A. After the equity portion of the beef plant came tilapia, and that project bombed.</li> <li>Q. Were there personal guarantees in the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. I believe there were. But it didn't matter. The project was just too dangerous.</li> <li>Q. So they were never signed. Would they have been contained in a Confidential</li> <li>Offering Memorandum?</li> <li>A. If there was a personal guarantee required, it would have been in that particular Offering Memorandum. But,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>incorporated SDRC, Inc. you also created SD Investment</li> <li>Fund, LLC 1.</li> <li>A. Because that's the turkey plant. We started the turkey plant. The talk of the turkey plant must have been somewhere in 2007, and we knew there was a project that was coming up that would be done under the new loan model.</li> <li>Q. Was that before or after the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. The turkey plant you know, a lot of these projects has overlap in the negotiating stage. But the what I remember, the tilapia plant bombed before we went on with the turkey plant.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>export. The other one is foreign investment.</li> <li>Q. So after the beef plant came tilapia?</li> <li>A. After the equity portion of the beef plant came tilapia, and that project bombed.</li> <li>Q. Were there personal guarantees in the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. I believe there were. But it didn't matter. The project was just too dangerous.</li> <li>Q. So they were never signed. Would they have been contained in a Confidential</li> <li>Offering Memorandum?</li> <li>A. If there was a personal guarantee required, it would have been in that particular Offering Memorandum. But, again, we just didn't recruit any funds for that. We</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>incorporated SDRC, Inc. you also created SD Investment</li> <li>Fund, LLC 1.</li> <li>A. Because that's the turkey plant. We started the</li> <li>turkey plant. The talk of the turkey plant must have</li> <li>been somewhere in 2007, and we knew there was a project</li> <li>that was coming up that would be done under the new loan</li> <li>model.</li> <li>Q. Was that before or after the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. The turkey plant you know, a lot of these</li> <li>projects has overlap in the negotiating stage. But</li> <li>the what I remember, the tilapia plant bombed before</li> <li>we went on with the turkey plant.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Turn to the next tab. That's Tab 2. This</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>export. The other one is foreign investment.</li> <li>Q. So after the beef plant came tilapia?</li> <li>A. After the equity portion of the beef plant came tilapia, and that project bombed.</li> <li>Q. Were there personal guarantees in the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. I believe there were. But it didn't matter. The project was just too dangerous.</li> <li>Q. So they were never signed. Would they have been contained in a Confidential</li> <li>Offering Memorandum?</li> <li>A. If there was a personal guarantee required, it would have been in that particular Offering Memorandum. But, again, we just didn't recruit any funds for that. We just I pulled the plug when I came back from Asia.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>incorporated SDRC, Inc. you also created SD Investment Fund, LLC 1.</li> <li>A. Because that's the turkey plant. We started the turkey plant. The talk of the turkey plant must have been somewhere in 2007, and we knew there was a project that was coming up that would be done under the new loan model.</li> <li>Q. Was that before or after the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. The turkey plant you know, a lot of these projects has overlap in the negotiating stage. But the what I remember, the tilapia plant bombed before we went on with the turkey plant.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Turn to the next tab. That's Tab 2. This would be marked as Exhibit 7 to your prior deposition.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>export. The other one is foreign investment.</li> <li>Q. So after the beef plant came tilapia?</li> <li>A. After the equity portion of the beef plant came tilapia, and that project bombed.</li> <li>Q. Were there personal guarantees in the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. I believe there were. But it didn't matter. The project was just too dangerous.</li> <li>Q. So they were never signed. Would they have been contained in a Confidential</li> <li>Offering Memorandum?</li> <li>A. If there was a personal guarantee required, it would have been in that particular Offering Memorandum. But, again, we just didn't recruit any funds for that. We just I pulled the plug when I came back from Asia.</li> <li>Q. Was the Offering Memorandum drafted for the tilapia</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>incorporated SDRC, Inc. you also created SD Investment Fund, LLC 1.</li> <li>A. Because that's the turkey plant. We started the turkey plant. The talk of the turkey plant must have been somewhere in 2007, and we knew there was a project that was coming up that would be done under the new loan model.</li> <li>Q. Was that before or after the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. The turkey plant you know, a lot of these projects has overlap in the negotiating stage. But the what I remember, the tilapia plant bombed before we went on with the turkey plant.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Turn to the next tab. That's Tab 2. This would be marked as Exhibit 7 to your prior deposition. This is an authorization between the South Dakota</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>export. The other one is foreign investment.</li> <li>Q. So after the beef plant came tilapia?</li> <li>A. After the equity portion of the beef plant came tilapia, and that project bombed.</li> <li>Q. Were there personal guarantees in the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. I believe there were. But it didn't matter. The project was just too dangerous.</li> <li>Q. So they were never signed. Would they have been contained in a Confidential</li> <li>Offering Memorandum?</li> <li>A. If there was a personal guarantee required, it would have been in that particular Offering Memorandum. But, again, we just didn't recruit any funds for that. We just I pulled the plug when I came back from Asia.</li> <li>Q. Was the Offering Memorandum drafted for the tilapia plant?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>incorporated SDRC, Inc. you also created SD Investment Fund, LLC 1.</li> <li>A. Because that's the turkey plant. We started the turkey plant. The talk of the turkey plant must have been somewhere in 2007, and we knew there was a project that was coming up that would be done under the new loan model.</li> <li>Q. Was that before or after the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. The turkey plant you know, a lot of these projects has overlap in the negotiating stage. But the what I remember, the tilapia plant bombed before we went on with the turkey plant.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Turn to the next tab. That's Tab 2. This would be marked as Exhibit 7 to your prior deposition.</li> <li>This is an authorization between the South Dakota International Business Institute</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>export. The other one is foreign investment.</li> <li>Q. So after the beef plant came tilapia?</li> <li>A. After the equity portion of the beef plant came tilapia, and that project bombed.</li> <li>Q. Were there personal guarantees in the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. I believe there were. But it didn't matter. The project was just too dangerous.</li> <li>Q. So they were never signed. <ul> <li>Would they have been contained in a Confidential</li> </ul> </li> <li>Offering Memorandum?</li> <li>A. If there was a personal guarantee required, it would have been in that particular Offering Memorandum. But, again, we just didn't recruit any funds for that. We just I pulled the plug when I came back from Asia.</li> <li>Q. Was the Offering Memorandum drafted for the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. It had to be drafted. I'm not sure, but, yeah. I</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>incorporated SDRC, Inc. you also created SD Investment Fund, LLC 1.</li> <li>A. Because that's the turkey plant. We started the turkey plant. The talk of the turkey plant must have been somewhere in 2007, and we knew there was a project that was coming up that would be done under the new loan model.</li> <li>Q. Was that before or after the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. The turkey plant you know, a lot of these projects has overlap in the negotiating stage. But the what I remember, the tilapia plant bombed before we went on with the turkey plant.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Turn to the next tab. That's Tab 2. This would be marked as Exhibit 7 to your prior deposition.</li> <li>This is an authorization between the South Dakota International Business Institute</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>export. The other one is foreign investment.</li> <li>Q. So after the beef plant came tilapia?</li> <li>A. After the equity portion of the beef plant came tilapia, and that project bombed.</li> <li>Q. Were there personal guarantees in the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. I believe there were. But it didn't matter. The project was just too dangerous.</li> <li>Q. So they were never signed. <ul> <li>Would they have been contained in a Confidential</li> </ul> </li> <li>Offering Memorandum?</li> <li>A. If there was a personal guarantee required, it would have been in that particular Offering Memorandum. But, again, we just didn't recruit any funds for that. We just I pulled the plug when I came back from Asia.</li> <li>Q. Was the Offering Memorandum drafted for the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. It had to be drafted. I'm not sure, but, yeah. I would think there might have been a rough draft.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>incorporated SDRC, Inc. you also created SD Investment Fund, LLC 1.</li> <li>A. Because that's the turkey plant. We started the turkey plant. The talk of the turkey plant must have been somewhere in 2007, and we knew there was a project that was coming up that would be done under the new loan model.</li> <li>Q. Was that before or after the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. The turkey plant you know, a lot of these projects has overlap in the negotiating stage. But the what I remember, the tilapia plant bombed before we went on with the turkey plant.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Turn to the next tab. That's Tab 2. This would be marked as Exhibit 7 to your prior deposition.</li> <li>This is an authorization between the South Dakota</li> <li>International Business Institute</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. And Jing Hong.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>export. The other one is foreign investment.</li> <li>Q. So after the beef plant came tilapia?</li> <li>A. After the equity portion of the beef plant came tilapia, and that project bombed.</li> <li>Q. Were there personal guarantees in the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. I believe there were. But it didn't matter. The project was just too dangerous.</li> <li>Q. So they were never signed. Would they have been contained in a Confidential</li> <li>Offering Memorandum?</li> <li>A. If there was a personal guarantee required, it would have been in that particular Offering Memorandum. But, again, we just didn't recruit any funds for that. We just I pulled the plug when I came back from Asia.</li> <li>Q. Was the Offering Memorandum drafted for the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. It had to be drafted. I'm not sure, but, yeah. I would think there might have been a rough draft.</li> <li>Q. Who would have a copy of that?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>incorporated SDRC, Inc. you also created SD Investment Fund, LLC 1.</li> <li>A. Because that's the turkey plant. We started the turkey plant. The talk of the turkey plant must have been somewhere in 2007, and we knew there was a project that was coming up that would be done under the new loan model.</li> <li>Q. Was that before or after the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. The turkey plant you know, a lot of these projects has overlap in the negotiating stage. But the what I remember, the tilapia plant bombed before we went on with the turkey plant.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Turn to the next tab. That's Tab 2. This would be marked as Exhibit 7 to your prior deposition.</li> <li>This is an authorization between the South Dakota</li> <li>International Business Institute</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. And Jing Hong.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>export. The other one is foreign investment.</li> <li>Q. So after the beef plant came tilapia?</li> <li>A. After the equity portion of the beef plant came tilapia, and that project bombed.</li> <li>Q. Were there personal guarantees in the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. I believe there were. But it didn't matter. The project was just too dangerous.</li> <li>Q. So they were never signed. <ul> <li>Would they have been contained in a Confidential</li> </ul> </li> <li>Offering Memorandum?</li> <li>A. If there was a personal guarantee required, it would have been in that particular Offering Memorandum. But, again, we just didn't recruit any funds for that. We just I pulled the plug when I came back from Asia.</li> <li>Q. Was the Offering Memorandum drafted for the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. It had to be drafted. I'm not sure, but, yeah. I would think there might have been a rough draft.</li> <li>Q. Who would have a copy of that?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>incorporated SDRC, Inc. you also created SD Investment Fund, LLC 1.</li> <li>A. Because that's the turkey plant. We started the turkey plant. The talk of the turkey plant must have been somewhere in 2007, and we knew there was a project that was coming up that would be done under the new loan model.</li> <li>Q. Was that before or after the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. The turkey plant you know, a lot of these projects has overlap in the negotiating stage. But the what I remember, the tilapia plant bombed before we went on with the turkey plant.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Turn to the next tab. That's Tab 2. This would be marked as Exhibit 7 to your prior deposition.</li> <li>This is an authorization between the South Dakota International Business Institute</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. And Jing Hong.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Why was this document created?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>export. The other one is foreign investment.</li> <li>Q. So after the beef plant came tilapia?</li> <li>A. After the equity portion of the beef plant came tilapia, and that project bombed.</li> <li>Q. Were there personal guarantees in the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. I believe there were. But it didn't matter. The project was just too dangerous.</li> <li>Q. So they were never signed. Would they have been contained in a Confidential</li> <li>Offering Memorandum?</li> <li>A. If there was a personal guarantee required, it would have been in that particular Offering Memorandum. But, again, we just didn't recruit any funds for that. We just I pulled the plug when I came back from Asia.</li> <li>Q. Was the Offering Memorandum drafted for the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. It had to be drafted. I'm not sure, but, yeah. I would think there might have been a rough draft.</li> <li>Q. Who would have a copy of that?</li> <li>A. Hanul. They prepared all of our offering memorandums.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>incorporated SDRC, Inc. you also created SD Investment Fund, LLC 1.</li> <li>A. Because that's the turkey plant. We started the turkey plant. The talk of the turkey plant must have been somewhere in 2007, and we knew there was a project that was coming up that would be done under the new loan model.</li> <li>Q. Was that before or after the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. The turkey plant you know, a lot of these projects has overlap in the negotiating stage. But the what I remember, the tilapia plant bombed before we went on with the turkey plant.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Turn to the next tab. That's Tab 2. This would be marked as Exhibit 7 to your prior deposition.</li> <li>This is an authorization between the South Dakota International Business Institute</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. And Jing Hong.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Why was this document created?</li> <li>A. It is the agent overseas that wants to show to their</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>export. The other one is foreign investment.</li> <li>Q. So after the beef plant came tilapia?</li> <li>A. After the equity portion of the beef plant came tilapia, and that project bombed.</li> <li>Q. Were there personal guarantees in the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. I believe there were. But it didn't matter. The project was just too dangerous.</li> <li>Q. So they were never signed. Would they have been contained in a Confidential</li> <li>Offering Memorandum?</li> <li>A. If there was a personal guarantee required, it would have been in that particular Offering Memorandum. But, again, we just didn't recruit any funds for that. We just I pulled the plug when I came back from Asia.</li> <li>Q. Was the Offering Memorandum drafted for the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. It had to be drafted. I'm not sure, but, yeah. I would think there might have been a rough draft.</li> <li>Q. Who would have a copy of that?</li> <li>A. Hanul. They prepared all of our offering memorandums.</li> <li>Q. So Hanul prepared all of the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>incorporated SDRC, Inc. you also created SD Investment Fund, LLC 1.</li> <li>A. Because that's the turkey plant. We started the turkey plant. The talk of the turkey plant must have been somewhere in 2007, and we knew there was a project that was coming up that would be done under the new loan model.</li> <li>Q. Was that before or after the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. The turkey plant you know, a lot of these projects has overlap in the negotiating stage. But the what I remember, the tilapia plant bombed before we went on with the turkey plant.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Turn to the next tab. That's Tab 2. This would be marked as Exhibit 7 to your prior deposition.</li> <li>This is an authorization between the South Dakota International Business Institute</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. And Jing Hong.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Why was this document created?</li> <li>A. It is the agent overseas that wants to show to their clients that they have credibility, so they just</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>export. The other one is foreign investment.</li> <li>Q. So after the beef plant came tilapia?</li> <li>A. After the equity portion of the beef plant came tilapia, and that project bombed.</li> <li>Q. Were there personal guarantees in the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. I believe there were. But it didn't matter. The project was just too dangerous.</li> <li>Q. So they were never signed. Would they have been contained in a Confidential</li> <li>Offering Memorandum?</li> <li>A. If there was a personal guarantee required, it would have been in that particular Offering Memorandum. But, again, we just didn't recruit any funds for that. We just I pulled the plug when I came back from Asia.</li> <li>Q. Was the Offering Memorandum drafted for the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. It had to be drafted. I'm not sure, but, yeah. I would think there might have been a rough draft.</li> <li>Q. Who would have a copy of that?</li> <li>A. Hanul. They prepared all of our offering memorandums.</li> <li>Q. So Hanul prepared all of the</li> <li>A. All. Yeah.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>incorporated SDRC, Inc. you also created SD Investment Fund, LLC 1.</li> <li>A. Because that's the turkey plant. We started the turkey plant. The talk of the turkey plant must have been somewhere in 2007, and we knew there was a project that was coming up that would be done under the new loan model.</li> <li>Q. Was that before or after the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. The turkey plant you know, a lot of these projects has overlap in the negotiating stage. But the what I remember, the tilapia plant bombed before we went on with the turkey plant.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Turn to the next tab. That's Tab 2. This would be marked as Exhibit 7 to your prior deposition.</li> <li>This is an authorization between the South Dakota International Business Institute</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. And Jing Hong.</li> <li>A. It is the agent overseas that wants to show to their clients that they have credibility, so they just requested that I put something like this together so they</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>export. The other one is foreign investment.</li> <li>Q. So after the beef plant came tilapia?</li> <li>A. After the equity portion of the beef plant came tilapia, and that project bombed.</li> <li>Q. Were there personal guarantees in the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. I believe there were. But it didn't matter. The project was just too dangerous.</li> <li>Q. So they were never signed. Would they have been contained in a Confidential</li> <li>Offering Memorandum?</li> <li>A. If there was a personal guarantee required, it would have been in that particular Offering Memorandum. But, again, we just didn't recruit any funds for that. We just I pulled the plug when I came back from Asia.</li> <li>Q. Was the Offering Memorandum drafted for the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. It had to be drafted. I'm not sure, but, yeah. I would think there might have been a rough draft.</li> <li>Q. Who would have a copy of that?</li> <li>A. Hanul. They prepared all of our offering memorandums.</li> <li>Q. So Hanul prepared all of the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>incorporated SDRC, Inc. you also created SD Investment Fund, LLC 1.</li> <li>A. Because that's the turkey plant. We started the turkey plant. The talk of the turkey plant must have been somewhere in 2007, and we knew there was a project that was coming up that would be done under the new loan model.</li> <li>Q. Was that before or after the tilapia plant?</li> <li>A. The turkey plant you know, a lot of these projects has overlap in the negotiating stage. But the what I remember, the tilapia plant bombed before we went on with the turkey plant.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Turn to the next tab. That's Tab 2. This would be marked as Exhibit 7 to your prior deposition.</li> <li>This is an authorization between the South Dakota International Business Institute</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. And Jing Hong.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Why was this document created?</li> <li>A. It is the agent overseas that wants to show to their clients that they have credibility, so they just</li> </ul>

	Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	File	d 10/10/18 Page 19 of 61 PageID #: 2477 75
1	<b>Q</b> . So they created they wanted this isn't this	1	be I cannot pronounce it. Can you pronounce
2	an authorization a contract? I thought that you didn't	2	A. No. Zehjiang Foreign.
3	have the authority to create contracts through SDIBI.	3	MS. OLIVIER: Okay. It's Z-E-H-J-I-A-N-G
4	A. I did not consider this a contract. There's no	4	Foreign Service Co.
5	exchange of value or any of that stuff.	5	<b>Q.</b> So this how did you find out about this
6	So from my it was just, look. In China they want	6	recruiting company?
7	something to show there is a relationship in order to	7	A. Whenever I went abroad you I was being contacted
8	enhance their credibility. And this to me was not	8	by agents all the time who wanted to represent us.
9	something that I needed to get permission for from	9	<b>Q.</b> Okay. Was this one of Linda He's companies?
10	Northern.	10	A. Not that I recall.
11	Q. Okay.	11	<b>Q.</b> Okay. Is there a reason that you had signed the
12	A. They knew that I was working with these agents, and	12	Jing Hong authorization and did not actually physically
13	they never questioned any of these things. So they were	13	sign this
14	fully aware what I was doing.	14	A. No. I would have signed the others too.
15	<b>Q.</b> Okay. So you didn't get permission to enter into	15	<b>Q</b> . Okay. The next one looks like it is with Suz Houca?
16	this, but it says it's an agreement with Jing Hong.	16	Suz Houca?
17	A. Again, the purpose was just to give credibility to	17	A. Yeah.
18	the agent. We under NPII we had a board that consisted	18	Q. S-U-Z H-O-U-C-A, Visa Migration Consultant Co.
19	of all the higher ups at Northern, including John Meyer,	19	A. Uh-huh.
20	the legal counsel of Northern.	20	<b>Q.</b> Is this one of Linda He's companies?
21	They knew we discussed some of these issues, so	21	A. Not no. I don't think so.
22	they knew I never considered this to be out of my	22	<b>Q.</b> Did they market South Dakota EB-5 projects?
23	realm, that I could not do it.	23	A. There were quite a few cases, quite a few companies
24	Q. Okay.	24	that we signed up that never produced anything so I would
25	A. It was to enhance the credibility of the Chinese	25	have to go to my records. But I do not recall this as
	74		76
			10
1	agent in China. It was not something here in the	1	Linda's company, and I don't know how much productivity
1 2		1 2	
_	agent in China. It was not something here in the	-	Linda's company, and I don't know how much productivity
2	agent in China. It was not something here in the United States. It was in China, and I thought it was	2	Linda's company, and I don't know how much productivity they generated.
2 3	agent in China. It was not something here in the United States. It was in China, and I thought it was okay.	2 3	Linda's company, and I don't know how much productivity they generated. Q. Did you recall them marketing any of the
2 3 4	agent in China. It was not something here in the United States. It was in China, and I thought it was okay. Q. Okay. So irregardless, though, it's an agreement	2 3 4	<ul><li>Linda's company, and I don't know how much productivity they generated.</li><li>Q. Did you recall them marketing any of the South Dakota EB-5 programs?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>agent in China. It was not something here in the</li> <li>United States. It was in China, and I thought it was</li> <li>okay.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So irregardless, though, it's an agreement</li> <li>between you and between SDIBI and Jing Hong to market</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Linda's company, and I don't know how much productivity they generated.</li> <li>Q. Did you recall them marketing any of the South Dakota EB-5 programs?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't have I wouldn't have provided this</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>agent in China. It was not something here in the</li> <li>United States. It was in China, and I thought it was</li> <li>okay.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So irregardless, though, it's an agreement</li> <li>between you and between SDIBI and Jing Hong to market</li> <li>South Dakota's EB-5 program in Shanghai; correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Linda's company, and I don't know how much productivity they generated.</li> <li>Q. Did you recall them marketing any of the South Dakota EB-5 programs?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't have I wouldn't have provided this document if they wouldn't have</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>agent in China. It was not something here in the</li> <li>United States. It was in China, and I thought it was</li> <li>okay.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So irregardless, though, it's an agreement</li> <li>between you and between SDIBI and Jing Hong to market</li> <li>South Dakota's EB-5 program in Shanghai; correct?</li> <li>A. It does say that, yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Linda's company, and I don't know how much productivity they generated.</li> <li>Q. Did you recall them marketing any of the South Dakota EB-5 programs?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't have I wouldn't have provided this document if they wouldn't have</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>agent in China. It was not something here in the United States. It was in China, and I thought it was okay.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So irregardless, though, it's an agreement between you and between SDIBI and Jing Hong to market South Dakota's EB-5 program in Shanghai; correct?</li> <li>A. It does say that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And was that all South Dakota EB-5 projects</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Linda's company, and I don't know how much productivity they generated.</li> <li>Q. Did you recall them marketing any of the South Dakota EB-5 programs?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't have I wouldn't have provided this document if they wouldn't have</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A attempted to promote us.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>agent in China. It was not something here in the</li> <li>United States. It was in China, and I thought it was</li> <li>okay.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So irregardless, though, it's an agreement</li> <li>between you and between SDIBI and Jing Hong to market</li> <li>South Dakota's EB-5 program in Shanghai; correct?</li> <li>A. It does say that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And was that all South Dakota EB-5 projects</li> <li>that they had the right to market?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Linda's company, and I don't know how much productivity they generated.</li> <li>Q. Did you recall them marketing any of the South Dakota EB-5 programs?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't have I wouldn't have provided this document if they wouldn't have</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A attempted to promote us.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So the next one we have Shanghai.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>agent in China. It was not something here in the United States. It was in China, and I thought it was okay.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So irregardless, though, it's an agreement between you and between SDIBI and Jing Hong to market South Dakota's EB-5 program in Shanghai; correct?</li> <li>A. It does say that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And was that all South Dakota EB-5 projects that they had the right to market?</li> <li>A. It doesn't limit it to one project.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So</li> <li>A. And they did do all the projects too. They</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Linda's company, and I don't know how much productivity they generated.</li> <li>Q. Did you recall them marketing any of the South Dakota EB-5 programs?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't have I wouldn't have provided this document if they wouldn't have</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A attempted to promote us.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So the next one we have Shanghai.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>agent in China. It was not something here in the United States. It was in China, and I thought it was okay.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So irregardless, though, it's an agreement between you and between SDIBI and Jing Hong to market South Dakota's EB-5 program in Shanghai; correct?</li> <li>A. It does say that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And was that all South Dakota EB-5 projects that they had the right to market?</li> <li>A. It doesn't limit it to one project.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Linda's company, and I don't know how much productivity they generated.</li> <li>Q. Did you recall them marketing any of the South Dakota EB-5 programs?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't have I wouldn't have provided this document if they wouldn't have</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A attempted to promote us.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So the next one we have Shanghai.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Q-I-A-N-J-I-N Exit Entry Service Company. Is that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>agent in China. It was not something here in the United States. It was in China, and I thought it was okay.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So irregardless, though, it's an agreement between you and between SDIBI and Jing Hong to market South Dakota's EB-5 program in Shanghai; correct?</li> <li>A. It does say that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And was that all South Dakota EB-5 projects that they had the right to market?</li> <li>A. It doesn't limit it to one project.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So</li> <li>A. And they did do all the projects too. They</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Linda's company, and I don't know how much productivity they generated.</li> <li>Q. Did you recall them marketing any of the South Dakota EB-5 programs?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't have I wouldn't have provided this document if they wouldn't have</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A attempted to promote us.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So the next one we have Shanghai.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Q-I-A-N-J-I-N Exit Entry Service Company. Is that one of Linda He's companies?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so. Because it's Shanghai.</li> <li>Q. The next document is Beijing Dragon Horse China and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>agent in China. It was not something here in the United States. It was in China, and I thought it was okay.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So irregardless, though, it's an agreement between you and between SDIBI and Jing Hong to market South Dakota's EB-5 program in Shanghai; correct?</li> <li>A. It does say that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And was that all South Dakota EB-5 projects that they had the right to market?</li> <li>A. It doesn't limit it to one project.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So</li> <li>A. And they did do all the projects too. They recruited for all</li> <li>Q. Jing Hong recruited for all of them.</li> <li>A. It's actually Jing Hong and Wailian are owned by</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Linda's company, and I don't know how much productivity they generated.</li> <li>Q. Did you recall them marketing any of the South Dakota EB-5 programs?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't have I wouldn't have provided this document if they wouldn't have</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A attempted to promote us.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So the next one we have Shanghai.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Q-I-A-N-J-I-N Exit Entry Service Company. Is that one of Linda He's companies?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so. Because it's Shanghai.</li> <li>Q. The next document is Beijing Dragon Horse China and Foreign Enterprise Service Company. Is that one of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>agent in China. It was not something here in the United States. It was in China, and I thought it was okay.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So irregardless, though, it's an agreement between you and between SDIBI and Jing Hong to market South Dakota's EB-5 program in Shanghai; correct?</li> <li>A. It does say that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And was that all South Dakota EB-5 projects that they had the right to market?</li> <li>A. It doesn't limit it to one project.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So</li> <li>A. And they did do all the projects too. They recruited for all</li> <li>Q. Jing Hong recruited for all of them.</li> <li>A. It's actually Jing Hong and Wailian are owned by Linda He. So it's kind of unclear which entity she</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Linda's company, and I don't know how much productivity they generated.</li> <li>Q. Did you recall them marketing any of the South Dakota EB-5 programs?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't have I wouldn't have provided this document if they wouldn't have</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A attempted to promote us.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So the next one we have Shanghai.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Q-I-A-N-J-I-N Exit Entry Service Company. Is that one of Linda He's companies?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so. Because it's Shanghai.</li> <li>Q. The next document is Beijing Dragon Horse China and Foreign Enterprise Service Company. Is that one of Linda He's companies?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>agent in China. It was not something here in the United States. It was in China, and I thought it was okay.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So irregardless, though, it's an agreement between you and between SDIBI and Jing Hong to market South Dakota's EB-5 program in Shanghai; correct?</li> <li>A. It does say that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And was that all South Dakota EB-5 projects that they had the right to market?</li> <li>A. It doesn't limit it to one project.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So</li> <li>A. And they did do all the projects too. They recruited for all</li> <li>Q. Jing Hong recruited for all of them.</li> <li>A. It's actually Jing Hong and Wailian are owned by Linda He. So it's kind of unclear which entity she sometimes would recruit her investors through.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Linda's company, and I don't know how much productivity they generated.</li> <li>Q. Did you recall them marketing any of the South Dakota EB-5 programs?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't have I wouldn't have provided this document if they wouldn't have</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A attempted to promote us.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So the next one we have Shanghai.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Q-I-A-N-J-I-N Exit Entry Service Company. Is that one of Linda He's companies?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so. Because it's Shanghai.</li> <li>Q. The next document is Beijing Dragon Horse China and Foreign Enterprise Service Company. Is that one of Linda He's companies?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>agent in China. It was not something here in the United States. It was in China, and I thought it was okay.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So irregardless, though, it's an agreement between you and between SDIBI and Jing Hong to market South Dakota's EB-5 program in Shanghai; correct?</li> <li>A. It does say that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And was that all South Dakota EB-5 projects that they had the right to market?</li> <li>A. It doesn't limit it to one project.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So</li> <li>A. And they did do all the projects too. They recruited for all</li> <li>Q. Jing Hong recruited for all of them.</li> <li>A. It's actually Jing Hong and Wailian are owned by Linda He. So it's kind of unclear which entity she sometimes would recruit her investors through.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Linda's company, and I don't know how much productivity they generated.</li> <li>Q. Did you recall them marketing any of the South Dakota EB-5 programs?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't have I wouldn't have provided this document if they wouldn't have</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A attempted to promote us.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So the next one we have Shanghai.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Q-I-A-N-J-I-N Exit Entry Service Company. Is that one of Linda He's companies?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so. Because it's Shanghai.</li> <li>Q. The next document is Beijing Dragon Horse China and Foreign Enterprise Service Company. Is that one of Linda He's companies?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so.</li> <li>Q. Why does she have so many companies?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>agent in China. It was not something here in the United States. It was in China, and I thought it was okay.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So irregardless, though, it's an agreement between you and between SDIBI and Jing Hong to market South Dakota's EB-5 program in Shanghai; correct?</li> <li>A. It does say that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And was that all South Dakota EB-5 projects that they had the right to market?</li> <li>A. It doesn't limit it to one project.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So</li> <li>A. And they did do all the projects too. They recruited for all</li> <li>Q. Jing Hong recruited for all of them.</li> <li>A. It's actually Jing Hong and Wailian are owned by Linda He. So it's kind of unclear which entity she sometimes would recruit her investors through.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Linda's company, and I don't know how much productivity they generated.</li> <li>Q. Did you recall them marketing any of the South Dakota EB-5 programs?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't have I wouldn't have provided this document if they wouldn't have</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A attempted to promote us.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So the next one we have Shanghai.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Q-I-A-N-J-I-N Exit Entry Service Company. Is that one of Linda He's companies?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so. Because it's Shanghai.</li> <li>Q. The next document is Beijing Dragon Horse China and Foreign Enterprise Service Company. Is that one of Linda He's companies?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so.</li> <li>Q. Why does she have so many companies?</li> <li>A. You have to ask her.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>agent in China. It was not something here in the United States. It was in China, and I thought it was okay.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So irregardless, though, it's an agreement between you and between SDIBI and Jing Hong to market South Dakota's EB-5 program in Shanghai; correct?</li> <li>A. It does say that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And was that all South Dakota EB-5 projects that they had the right to market?</li> <li>A. It doesn't limit it to one project.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So</li> <li>A. And they did do all the projects too. They recruited for all</li> <li>Q. Jing Hong recruited for all of them.</li> <li>A. It's actually Jing Hong and Wailian are owned by Linda He. So it's kind of unclear which entity she sometimes would recruit her investors through.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Wailian is W-A-I-L-I-A-N. And Linda is normal. And then He is H-E.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Linda's company, and I don't know how much productivity they generated.</li> <li>Q. Did you recall them marketing any of the South Dakota EB-5 programs?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't have I wouldn't have provided this document if they wouldn't have</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A attempted to promote us.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So the next one we have Shanghai.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Q-I-A-N-J-I-N Exit Entry Service Company. Is that one of Linda He's companies?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so. Because it's Shanghai.</li> <li>Q. The next document is Beijing Dragon Horse China and Foreign Enterprise Service Company. Is that one of Linda He's companies?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so.</li> <li>Q. Why does she have so many companies?</li> <li>A. You have to ask her.</li> <li>Q. What is your understanding of why she has so many</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>agent in China. It was not something here in the United States. It was in China, and I thought it was okay.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So irregardless, though, it's an agreement between you and between SDIBI and Jing Hong to market South Dakota's EB-5 program in Shanghai; correct?</li> <li>A. It does say that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And was that all South Dakota EB-5 projects that they had the right to market?</li> <li>A. It doesn't limit it to one project.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So</li> <li>A. And they did do all the projects too. They recruited for all</li> <li>Q. Jing Hong recruited for all of them.</li> <li>A. It's actually Jing Hong and Wailian are owned by Linda He. So it's kind of unclear which entity she sometimes would recruit her investors through.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Wailian is W-A-I-L-I-A-N. And</li> <li>Linda is normal. And then He is H-E.</li> <li>Q. So Linda He owned both Wailian and Jing Hong?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Linda's company, and I don't know how much productivity they generated.</li> <li>Q. Did you recall them marketing any of the South Dakota EB-5 programs?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't have I wouldn't have provided this document if they wouldn't have</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A attempted to promote us.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So the next one we have Shanghai.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Q-I-A-N-J-I-N Exit Entry Service Company. Is that one of Linda He's companies?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so. Because it's Shanghai.</li> <li>Q. The next document is Beijing Dragon Horse China and Foreign Enterprise Service Company. Is that one of Linda He's companies?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so.</li> <li>Q. Why does she have so many companies?</li> <li>A. You have to ask her.</li> <li>Q. What is your understanding of why she has so many companies?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>agent in China. It was not something here in the United States. It was in China, and I thought it was okay.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So irregardless, though, it's an agreement between you and between SDIBI and Jing Hong to market South Dakota's EB-5 program in Shanghai; correct?</li> <li>A. It does say that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And was that all South Dakota EB-5 projects that they had the right to market?</li> <li>A. It doesn't limit it to one project.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So</li> <li>A. And they did do all the projects too. They recruited for all</li> <li>Q. Jing Hong recruited for all of them.</li> <li>A. It's actually Jing Hong and Wailian are owned by Linda He. So it's kind of unclear which entity she sometimes would recruit her investors through.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Wailian is W-A-I-L-I-A-N. And Linda is normal. And then He is H-E.</li> <li>Q. So Linda He owned both Wailian and Jing Hong?</li> <li>A. Amongst many other agencies.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Linda's company, and I don't know how much productivity they generated.</li> <li>Q. Did you recall them marketing any of the South Dakota EB-5 programs?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't have I wouldn't have provided this document if they wouldn't have</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A attempted to promote us.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So the next one we have Shanghai.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Q-I-A-N-J-I-N Exit Entry Service Company. Is that one of Linda He's companies?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so. Because it's Shanghai.</li> <li>Q. The next document is Beijing Dragon Horse China and Foreign Enterprise Service Company. Is that one of Linda He's companies?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so.</li> <li>Q. Why does she have so many companies?</li> <li>A. You have to ask her.</li> <li>Q. What is your understanding of why she has so many companies?</li> <li>A. Probably to try to promote different regional</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>agent in China. It was not something here in the United States. It was in China, and I thought it was okay.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So irregardless, though, it's an agreement between you and between SDIBI and Jing Hong to market South Dakota's EB-5 program in Shanghai; correct?</li> <li>A. It does say that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And was that all South Dakota EB-5 projects that they had the right to market?</li> <li>A. It doesn't limit it to one project.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So</li> <li>A. And they did do all the projects too. They recruited for all</li> <li>Q. Jing Hong recruited for all of them.</li> <li>A. It's actually Jing Hong and Wailian are owned by Linda He. So it's kind of unclear which entity she sometimes would recruit her investors through.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Wailian is W-A-I-L-I-A-N. And Linda is normal. And then He is H-E.</li> <li>Q. So Linda He owned both Wailian and Jing Hong?</li> <li>A. Amongst many other agencies.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So she owns lots of agencies?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Linda's company, and I don't know how much productivity they generated.</li> <li>Q. Did you recall them marketing any of the South Dakota EB-5 programs?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't have I wouldn't have provided this document if they wouldn't have</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A attempted to promote us.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So the next one we have Shanghai.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Q-I-A-N-J-I-N Exit Entry Service Company. Is that one of Linda He's companies?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so. Because it's Shanghai.</li> <li>Q. The next document is Beijing Dragon Horse China and Foreign Enterprise Service Company. Is that one of Linda He's companies?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so.</li> <li>Q. Why does she have so many companies?</li> <li>A. You have to ask her.</li> <li>Q. What is your understanding of why she has so many companies?</li> <li>A. Probably to try to promote different regional centers under different projects. It's hard to get an</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>agent in China. It was not something here in the United States. It was in China, and I thought it was okay.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So irregardless, though, it's an agreement between you and between SDIBI and Jing Hong to market South Dakota's EB-5 program in Shanghai; correct?</li> <li>A. It does say that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And was that all South Dakota EB-5 projects that they had the right to market?</li> <li>A. It doesn't limit it to one project.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So</li> <li>A. And they did do all the projects too. They recruited for all</li> <li>Q. Jing Hong recruited for all of them.</li> <li>A. It's actually Jing Hong and Wailian are owned by Linda He. So it's kind of unclear which entity she sometimes would recruit her investors through.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Wailian is W-A-I-L-I-A-N. And Linda is normal. And then He is H-E.</li> <li>Q. So Linda He owned both Wailian and Jing Hong?</li> <li>A. Amongst many other agencies.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Linda's company, and I don't know how much productivity they generated.</li> <li>Q. Did you recall them marketing any of the South Dakota EB-5 programs?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't have I wouldn't have provided this document if they wouldn't have</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A attempted to promote us.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So the next one we have Shanghai.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Q-I-A-N-J-I-N Exit Entry Service Company. Is that one of Linda He's companies?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so. Because it's Shanghai.</li> <li>Q. The next document is Beijing Dragon Horse China and Foreign Enterprise Service Company. Is that one of Linda He's companies?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so.</li> <li>Q. Why does she have so many companies?</li> <li>A. You have to ask her.</li> <li>Q. What is your understanding of why she has so many companies?</li> <li>A. Probably to try to promote different regional</li> </ul>

	Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	File	d 10/10/18 Page 20 of 61 PageID #: 2478 70
1		1	19
1	with a different regional center.	2	or seen this document.
2	<b>Q.</b> Okay. So she would have different entity but why not just use Wailian or Wailian or Jing Hong? Why not	3	THE WITNESS: Yeah. Me too. MS. OLIVIER: Right. But he can tell me that,
4	use one	4	Julie, please. That's not a valid objection.
5	A. Because maybe I would have complained from, look, if	5	A. Yeah. For me this is new too. I don't recall that
6	you want to do something with us, you should promote us	6	this is an entity that we never did anything with. I
7	and not Pennsylvania. So for her it might make sense to	7	definitely don't recall ever doing any business with this
8	set up something else and work with Pennsylvania, work	8	entity.
9	with Washington, work with whoever.	9	<b>Q.</b> Well, it can't be new to you because you signed it.
10	<b>Q.</b> Okay. If you could turn to tab 4, this is a	10	A. Yeah. But it's also how long ago? 10 years ago?
11	Memorandum of Understanding between SDIBI and SDIF, LLC.	11	Q. And James Park was the director.
12	What is SDIF, LLC?	12	<ul> <li>A. What happens a lot of times with projects, you know,</li> </ul>
13	MR. KERKVLEIT: What's the Bates No. on that?	13	it's not you hit the bull's-eye. It's you kind of
14	MS. OLIVIER: This is Exhibit 10 from Joop	14	stumble into the right direction of how you want to end
15	Bollen's Deposition 2014-074.	15	up. I never recall doing anything from a activity
16	A. You know, I don't recall ever having a business	16	standpoint under SDIF, LLC.
17	entity formed as the LLC.	17	If you would have asked me before showing the
18	But it might have been.	18	document, I would have said it doesn't exist.
19	<b>Q</b> . Well, if you scroll to the last page	19	<b>Q</b> . So it says SDIF. On page 2 it says, "SDIF, LLC is
20	A. Yeah.	20	organized for the purpose of creating an EB-5 alien
21	<b>Q.</b> page 5 of 5, your signature is on there.	21	entrepreneur investment project with SDIBI/DEDR and
22	A. Right. But, you know, SDIF, LLC is not a functional	22	managing and operating it in connection with the
23	entity.	23	Immigrant Investor Pilot Program Law."
24	Q. Then why would you have a Memorandum of	24	A. Okay. So that's what I said. It was probably just
25	Understanding where	25	to advise the USCIS there is a new player that is
	78		80
1	78 A. Right. I know why I think this was done. SDIF,	1	80 involved with the management, but we never did anything
1 2		1 2	
_	A. Right. I know why I think this was done. SDIF,		involved with the management, but we never did anything
2	A. Right. I know why I think this was done. SDIF, LLC. I thought it was one on SDRC.	2	involved with the management, but we never did anything with this SDIF, LLC.
2	<ul> <li>A. Right. I know why I think this was done. SDIF,</li> <li>LLC. I thought it was one on SDRC.</li> <li>But the regulation with the EB-5 program was that</li> </ul>	2 3	<ul><li>involved with the management, but we never did anything with this SDIF, LLC.</li><li>Q. And this is a contract that you're signing on behalf</li></ul>
2 3 4	<ul> <li>A. Right. I know why I think this was done. SDIF,</li> <li>LLC. I thought it was one on SDRC.</li> <li>But the regulation with the EB-5 program was that</li> <li>whenever there's a new entity coming into play you have</li> </ul>	2 3 4	<ul> <li>involved with the management, but we never did anything with this SDIF, LLC.</li> <li>Q. And this is a contract that you're signing on behalf of the business institute.</li> <li>MS. DVORAK: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. Right. I know why I think this was done. SDIF,</li> <li>LLC. I thought it was one on SDRC.</li> <li>But the regulation with the EB-5 program was that</li> <li>whenever there's a new entity coming into play you have</li> <li>to advise the USCIS.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>involved with the management, but we never did anything with this SDIF, LLC.</li> <li>Q. And this is a contract that you're signing on behalf of the business institute.</li> <li>MS. DVORAK: Objection. Calls for a legal</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. Right. I know why I think this was done. SDIF,</li> <li>LLC. I thought it was one on SDRC. But the regulation with the EB-5 program was that</li> <li>whenever there's a new entity coming into play you have</li> <li>to advise the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And that was why we put together an MOU is just</li> <li>merely to advise the USCIS.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>involved with the management, but we never did anything with this SDIF, LLC.</li> <li>Q. And this is a contract that you're signing on behalf of the business institute.</li> <li>MS. DVORAK: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.</li> <li>Q. It states there, "In consideration of the mutual agreements, representations, and covenants contained</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Right. I know why I think this was done. SDIF,</li> <li>LLC. I thought it was one on SDRC. But the regulation with the EB-5 program was that whenever there's a new entity coming into play you have to advise the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And that was why we put together an MOU is just merely to advise the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Advise them of what?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>involved with the management, but we never did anything with this SDIF, LLC.</li> <li>Q. And this is a contract that you're signing on behalf of the business institute.</li> <li>MS. DVORAK: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.</li> <li>Q. It states there, "In consideration of the mutual agreements, representations, and covenants contained herein, the parties agree as follows:" On page 2 of 5.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Right. I know why I think this was done. SDIF,</li> <li>LLC. I thought it was one on SDRC. But the regulation with the EB-5 program was that</li> <li>whenever there's a new entity coming into play you have</li> <li>to advise the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And that was why we put together an MOU is just</li> <li>merely to advise the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Advise them of what?</li> <li>A. That a new entity is participating in management of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>involved with the management, but we never did anything with this SDIF, LLC.</li> <li>Q. And this is a contract that you're signing on behalf of the business institute.</li> <li>MS. DVORAK: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.</li> <li>Q. It states there, "In consideration of the mutual agreements, representations, and covenants contained herein, the parties agree as follows:" On page 2 of 5. Right in the middle. It says, "Now, therefore, in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Right. I know why I think this was done. SDIF,</li> <li>LLC. I thought it was one on SDRC. But the regulation with the EB-5 program was that</li> <li>whenever there's a new entity coming into play you have</li> <li>to advise the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And that was why we put together an MOU is just</li> <li>merely to advise the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Advise them of what?</li> <li>A. That a new entity is participating in management of</li> <li>the regional center.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>involved with the management, but we never did anything with this SDIF, LLC.</li> <li>Q. And this is a contract that you're signing on behalf of the business institute. <ul> <li>MS. DVORAK: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. It states there, "In consideration of the mutual agreements, representations, and covenants contained herein, the parties agree as follows:" On page 2 of 5. Right in the middle. It says, "Now, therefore, in consideration of the mutual agreements, representation,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Right. I know why I think this was done. SDIF,</li> <li>LLC. I thought it was one on SDRC. But the regulation with the EB-5 program was that</li> <li>whenever there's a new entity coming into play you have</li> <li>to advise the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And that was why we put together an MOU is just</li> <li>merely to advise the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Advise them of what?</li> <li>A. That a new entity is participating in management of</li> <li>the regional center.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So SDIF, LLC</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>involved with the management, but we never did anything with this SDIF, LLC.</li> <li>Q. And this is a contract that you're signing on behalf of the business institute.</li> <li>MS. DVORAK: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.</li> <li>Q. It states there, "In consideration of the mutual agreements, representations, and covenants contained herein, the parties agree as follows:" On page 2 of 5.</li> <li>Right in the middle. It says, "Now, therefore, in consideration of the mutual agreements, representation, and covenants contained herein, the parties contained herein, the parties agree as follows:" On page 2 of 5.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Right. I know why I think this was done. SDIF,</li> <li>LLC. I thought it was one on SDRC. But the regulation with the EB-5 program was that whenever there's a new entity coming into play you have to advise the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And that was why we put together an MOU is just merely to advise the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Advise them of what?</li> <li>A. That a new entity is participating in management of the regional center.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So SDIF, LLC</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>involved with the management, but we never did anything with this SDIF, LLC.</li> <li>Q. And this is a contract that you're signing on behalf of the business institute.</li> <li>MS. DVORAK: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.</li> <li>Q. It states there, "In consideration of the mutual agreements, representations, and covenants contained herein, the parties agree as follows:" On page 2 of 5.</li> <li>Right in the middle. It says, "Now, therefore, in consideration of the mutual agreements, representation, and covenants contained herein, the parties contained herein, the parties agree as follows:"</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Right. I know why I think this was done. SDIF,</li> <li>LLC. I thought it was one on SDRC. But the regulation with the EB-5 program was that</li> <li>whenever there's a new entity coming into play you have to advise the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And that was why we put together an MOU is just merely to advise the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Advise them of what?</li> <li>A. That a new entity is participating in management of the regional center.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So SDIF, LLC</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q was participating in the management of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>involved with the management, but we never did anything with this SDIF, LLC.</li> <li>Q. And this is a contract that you're signing on behalf of the business institute. <ul> <li>MS. DVORAK: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. It states there, "In consideration of the mutual agreements, representations, and covenants contained herein, the parties agree as follows:" On page 2 of 5. Right in the middle. It says, "Now, therefore, in consideration of the mutual agreements, representation, and covenants contained herein, the parties agree as follows:" A. I think what this SDI based on what I'm seeing,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Right. I know why I think this was done. SDIF,</li> <li>LLC. I thought it was one on SDRC. But the regulation with the EB-5 program was that whenever there's a new entity coming into play you have to advise the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And that was why we put together an MOU is just merely to advise the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Advise them of what?</li> <li>A. That a new entity is participating in management of the regional center.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So SDIF, LLC</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q was participating in the management of South Dakota's regional center?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>involved with the management, but we never did anything with this SDIF, LLC.</li> <li>Q. And this is a contract that you're signing on behalf of the business institute. <ul> <li>MS. DVORAK: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. It states there, "In consideration of the mutual agreements, representations, and covenants contained herein, the parties agree as follows:" On page 2 of 5. Right in the middle. It says, "Now, therefore, in consideration of the mutual agreements, representation, and covenants contained herein, the parties contained herein, the parties agree as follows:" A. I think what this SDI based on what I'm seeing, it's SDRC, Inc. really replaced this thing. Instead of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Right. I know why I think this was done. SDIF,</li> <li>LLC. I thought it was one on SDRC. But the regulation with the EB-5 program was that</li> <li>whenever there's a new entity coming into play you have to advise the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And that was why we put together an MOU is just merely to advise the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Advise them of what?</li> <li>A. That a new entity is participating in management of the regional center.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So SDIF, LLC</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q was participating in the management of South Dakota's regional center?</li> <li>A. Not in practicality. Not that I don't think so.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>involved with the management, but we never did anything with this SDIF, LLC.</li> <li>Q. And this is a contract that you're signing on behalf of the business institute. <ul> <li>MS. DVORAK: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. It states there, "In consideration of the mutual agreements, representations, and covenants contained herein, the parties agree as follows:" On page 2 of 5. Right in the middle. It says, "Now, therefore, in consideration of the mutual agreements, representation, and covenants contained herein, the parties agree as follows:"</li> <li>A. I think what this SDI based on what I'm seeing, it's SDRC, Inc. really replaced this thing. Instead of SDIF, LLC.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Right. I know why I think this was done. SDIF,</li> <li>LLC. I thought it was one on SDRC. But the regulation with the EB-5 program was that whenever there's a new entity coming into play you have to advise the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And that was why we put together an MOU is just merely to advise the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Advise them of what?</li> <li>A. That a new entity is participating in management of the regional center.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So SDIF, LLC</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q was participating in the management of South Dakota's regional center?</li> <li>A. Not in practicality. Not that I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Well, then why why have this formed and why send</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>involved with the management, but we never did anything with this SDIF, LLC.</li> <li>Q. And this is a contract that you're signing on behalf of the business institute.</li> <li>MS. DVORAK: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.</li> <li>Q. It states there, "In consideration of the mutual agreements, representations, and covenants contained herein, the parties agree as follows:" On page 2 of 5. Right in the middle. It says, "Now, therefore, in consideration of the mutual agreements, representation, and covenants contained herein, the parties agree as follows:"</li> <li>A. I think what this SDI based on what I'm seeing, it's SDRC, Inc. really replaced this thing. Instead of SDIF, LLC.</li> <li>This thing, from what I know, never came into being</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Right. I know why I think this was done. SDIF,</li> <li>LLC. I thought it was one on SDRC. But the regulation with the EB-5 program was that whenever there's a new entity coming into play you have to advise the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And that was why we put together an MOU is just merely to advise the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Advise them of what?</li> <li>A. That a new entity is participating in management of the regional center.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So SDIF, LLC</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q was participating in the management of South Dakota's regional center?</li> <li>A. Not in practicality. Not that I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Well, then why why have this formed and why send it to USCIS?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>involved with the management, but we never did anything with this SDIF, LLC.</li> <li>Q. And this is a contract that you're signing on behalf of the business institute.</li> <li>MS. DVORAK: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.</li> <li>Q. It states there, "In consideration of the mutual agreements, representations, and covenants contained herein, the parties agree as follows:" On page 2 of 5.</li> <li>Right in the middle. It says, "Now, therefore, in consideration of the mutual agreements, representation, and covenants contained herein, the parties agree as follows:"</li> <li>A. I think what this SDI based on what I'm seeing, it's SDRC, Inc. really replaced this thing. Instead of SDIF, LLC.</li> <li>This thing, from what I know, never came into being and never was active at all.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. Right. I know why I think this was done. SDIF,</li> <li>LLC. I thought it was one on SDRC. But the regulation with the EB-5 program was that whenever there's a new entity coming into play you have to advise the USCIS. Q. Okay. A. And that was why we put together an MOU is just merely to advise the USCIS. Q. Advise them of what? A. That a new entity is participating in management of the regional center. Q. Okay. So SDIF, LLC A. Uh-huh. Q was participating in the management of South Dakota's regional center? A. Not in practicality. Not that I don't think so. Q. Well, then why why have this formed and why send it to USCIS? A. Because it probably was the intent at that point,</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>involved with the management, but we never did anything with this SDIF, LLC.</li> <li>Q. And this is a contract that you're signing on behalf of the business institute.</li> <li>MS. DVORAK: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.</li> <li>Q. It states there, "In consideration of the mutual agreements, representations, and covenants contained herein, the parties agree as follows:" On page 2 of 5.</li> <li>Right in the middle. It says, "Now, therefore, in consideration of the mutual agreements, representation, and covenants contained herein, the parties agree destroated herein, the parties agree as follows:"</li> <li>A. I think what this SDI based on what I'm seeing, it's SDRC, Inc. really replaced this thing. Instead of SDIF, LLC.</li> <li>This thing, from what I know, never came into being and never was active at all.</li> <li>Q. Who drafted this document?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Right. I know why I think this was done. SDIF,</li> <li>LLC. I thought it was one on SDRC. But the regulation with the EB-5 program was that whenever there's a new entity coming into play you have to advise the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And that was why we put together an MOU is just merely to advise the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Advise them of what?</li> <li>A. That a new entity is participating in management of the regional center.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So SDIF, LLC</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q was participating in the management of South Dakota's regional center?</li> <li>A. Not in practicality. Not that I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Well, then why why have this formed and why send it to USCIS?</li> <li>A. Because it probably was the intent at that point, but it never became active.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>involved with the management, but we never did anything with this SDIF, LLC.</li> <li>Q. And this is a contract that you're signing on behalf of the business institute.</li> <li>MS. DVORAK: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.</li> <li>Q. It states there, "In consideration of the mutual agreements, representations, and covenants contained herein, the parties agree as follows:" On page 2 of 5.</li> <li>Right in the middle. It says, "Now, therefore, in consideration of the mutual agreements, representations, and covenants contained herein, the parties contained herein, the parties agree as follows:"</li> <li>A. I think what this SDI based on what I'm seeing, it's SDRC, Inc. really replaced this thing. Instead of SDIF, LLC.</li> <li>This thing, from what I know, never came into being and never was active at all.</li> <li>Q. Who drafted this document?</li> <li>A. Hanul. Hanul would have drafted that.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Right. I know why I think this was done. SDIF, LLC. I thought it was one on SDRC. But the regulation with the EB-5 program was that whenever there's a new entity coming into play you have to advise the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And that was why we put together an MOU is just merely to advise the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Advise them of what?</li> <li>A. That a new entity is participating in management of the regional center.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So SDIF, LLC</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q was participating in the management of South Dakota's regional center?</li> <li>A. Not in practicality. Not that I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Well, then why why have this formed and why send it to USCIS?</li> <li>A. Because it probably was the intent at that point, but it never became active.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>involved with the management, but we never did anything with this SDIF, LLC.</li> <li>Q. And this is a contract that you're signing on behalf of the business institute.</li> <li>MS. DVORAK: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.</li> <li>Q. It states there, "In consideration of the mutual agreements, representations, and covenants contained herein, the parties agree as follows:" On page 2 of 5.</li> <li>Right in the middle. It says, "Now, therefore, in consideration of the mutual agreements, representation, and covenants contained herein, the parties agree as follows:"</li> <li>A. I think what this SDI based on what I'm seeing, it's SDRC, Inc. really replaced this thing. Instead of SDIF, LLC.</li> <li>This thing, from what I know, never came into being and never was active at all.</li> <li>Q. Who drafted this document?</li> <li>A. Hanul. Hanul would have drafted that.</li> <li>Q. Why is Hanul's name not on here?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Right. I know why I think this was done. SDIF, LLC. I thought it was one on SDRC. But the regulation with the EB-5 program was that whenever there's a new entity coming into play you have to advise the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And that was why we put together an MOU is just merely to advise the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Advise them of what?</li> <li>A. That a new entity is participating in management of the regional center.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So SDIF, LLC</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q was participating in the management of South Dakota's regional center?</li> <li>A. Not in practicality. Not that I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Well, then why why have this formed and why send it to USCIS?</li> <li>A. Because it probably was the intent at that point, but it never became active.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. I think that there's a similar agreement with SDRC,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>involved with the management, but we never did anything with this SDIF, LLC.</li> <li>Q. And this is a contract that you're signing on behalf of the business institute.</li> <li>MS. DVORAK: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.</li> <li>Q. It states there, "In consideration of the mutual agreements, representations, and covenants contained herein, the parties agree as follows:" On page 2 of 5.</li> <li>Right in the middle. It says, "Now, therefore, in consideration of the mutual agreements, representation, and covenants contained herein, the parties agree as follows:"</li> <li>A. I think what this SDI based on what I'm seeing, it's SDRC, Inc. really replaced this thing. Instead of SDIF, LLC.</li> <li>This thing, from what I know, never came into being and never was active at all.</li> <li>Q. Who drafted this document?</li> <li>A. Hanul. Hanul would have drafted that.</li> <li>Q. Why is Hanul's name not on here?</li> <li>A. South Dakota International Business Institute.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Right. I know why I think this was done. SDIF,</li> <li>LLC. I thought it was one on SDRC. But the regulation with the EB-5 program was that</li> <li>whenever there's a new entity coming into play you have to advise the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And that was why we put together an MOU is just merely to advise the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Advise them of what?</li> <li>A. That a new entity is participating in management of the regional center.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So SDIF, LLC</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q was participating in the management of South Dakota's regional center?</li> <li>A. Not in practicality. Not that I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Well, then why why have this formed and why send it to USCIS?</li> <li>A. Because it probably was the intent at that point, but it never became active.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. I think that there's a similar agreement with SDRC, Inc. I don't remember seeing this document, to be</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>involved with the management, but we never did anything with this SDIF, LLC.</li> <li>Q. And this is a contract that you're signing on behalf of the business institute. <ul> <li>MS. DVORAK: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. It states there, "In consideration of the mutual agreements, representations, and covenants contained herein, the parties agree as follows:" On page 2 of 5.</li> <li>Right in the middle. It says, "Now, therefore, in consideration of the mutual agreements, representation, and covenants contained herein, the parties ontained herein, the parties agree as follows:" A. I think what this SDI based on what I'm seeing, it's SDRC, Inc. really replaced this thing. Instead of SDIF, LLC.</li> <li>This thing, from what I know, never came into being and never was active at all.</li> <li>Q. Who drafted this document?</li> <li>A. Hanul. Hanul would have drafted that.</li> <li>Q. Why is Hanul's name not on here?</li> <li>A. South Dakota International Business Institute.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Right. I know why I think this was done. SDIF, LLC. I thought it was one on SDRC. But the regulation with the EB-5 program was that whenever there's a new entity coming into play you have to advise the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And that was why we put together an MOU is just merely to advise the USCIS.</li> <li>Q. Advise them of what?</li> <li>A. That a new entity is participating in management of the regional center.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So SDIF, LLC</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q was participating in the management of South Dakota's regional center?</li> <li>A. Not in practicality. Not that I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. Well, then why why have this formed and why send it to USCIS?</li> <li>A. Because it probably was the intent at that point, but it never became active.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. I think that there's a similar agreement with SDRC,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>involved with the management, but we never did anything with this SDIF, LLC.</li> <li>Q. And this is a contract that you're signing on behalf of the business institute.</li> <li>MS. DVORAK: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.</li> <li>Q. It states there, "In consideration of the mutual agreements, representations, and covenants contained herein, the parties agree as follows:" On page 2 of 5.</li> <li>Right in the middle. It says, "Now, therefore, in consideration of the mutual agreements, representation, and covenants contained herein, the parties agree as follows:"</li> <li>A. I think what this SDI based on what I'm seeing, it's SDRC, Inc. really replaced this thing. Instead of SDIF, LLC.</li> <li>This thing, from what I know, never came into being and never was active at all.</li> <li>Q. Who drafted this document?</li> <li>A. Hanul. Hanul would have drafted that.</li> <li>Q. Why is Hanul's name not on here?</li> <li>A. South Dakota International Business Institute.</li> </ul>

	Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	File	d 10/10/18 Page 21 of 61 PageID #: 2479 83
1	SDIF, LLC. So this was drafted after that.	1	aside and never became active.
2	A. Say that again. Say that again, please.	2	Q. Why are the Memorandums of Understanding for SDIF,
3	<b>Q.</b> So it says, James Park is entering into this as the	3	LLC and SDRC, Inc. identical?
4	director of SDIF, LLC. And you are entering into this as	4	A. Say that again.
5	SDIBI.	5	Q. Why are the Memorandums of Understanding identical
6	A. You know, again, what what I suspect what	6	between them?
7	makes more sense to me now is that instead of SDI, LLC,	7	A. I think the whole the exact purpose for
8	this is the prelude to the SDIF LP1, SDIF LP2,	8	whenever you develop set up a new
9	SDIF LP3. But this thing was never used.	9	The whole purpose of this was whenever you set up a
10	<b>Q.</b> What do you mean it was the prelude to it?	10	new business entity that was involved in the management
11	A. Well, as I mentioned, we never did anything with	11	of the regional center, you had the advice to use USCIS.
12	this entity. And, again, if you wouldn't have shown it	12	So we knew that there would be some partnerships, SDRC,
13	to me today, I wouldn't think it ever existed.	13	Inc., and I suspect we just copied the same thing, but we
14	So what I can only speculate in this case is that we	14	fulfilled our requirement from we reported to the USCIS.
15	were looking for an outside entity to manage to vet	15	Q. I want to talk about the formation of SDRC, Inc.
16	the projects and then have the different partnerships for	16	A. Okay.
17	the investors to invest in.	17	<b>Q</b> . It was formed while you were at the University.
18	<b>Q.</b> I want you to flip to the next tab.	18	A. Northern State, yes.
19	A. Okay.	19	Q. And I believe you've represented that you formed it
20	<b>Q.</b> This is the Memorandum of Understanding between	20	so that you could enter into contracts
21	SDIBI and SDRC, Inc. Why were they signed on the same	21	A. Initially it was, yes.
22	day?	22	<b>Q.</b> If you turn to Tab 15, we have the incorporation
23	A. As I just mentioned to you, we needed SD and it	23	documents for SDRC, Inc.
24	becomes clearer to me too now, but we had SDRC, Inc. that	24	Why if this was if you're still an employee of
25	needed to vet the project and perform certain functions	25	the University, does the University not start SDRC, Inc.?
	82		84
1	that would be very difficult to perform without them,	1	A. It could have been done through the University.
2	that would be very difficult to perform without them, such as paying in a State environment, such as paying	2	A. It could have been done through the University. Again, what I tried to do is just mirror NPII with SDRC.
2 3	that would be very difficult to perform without them, such as paying in a State environment, such as paying banks for vetting, hiring attorneys, and signing agents.	2 3	<ul> <li>A. It could have been done through the University.</li> <li>Again, what I tried to do is just mirror NPII with SDRC.</li> <li>It already was done under NPII, so for the export</li> </ul>
2 3 4	that would be very difficult to perform without them, such as paying in a State environment, such as paying banks for vetting, hiring attorneys, and signing agents. So you needed that SDRC. And that's why I said this	2 3 4	<ul> <li>A. It could have been done through the University.</li> <li>Again, what I tried to do is just mirror NPII with SDRC.</li> <li>It already was done under NPII, so for the export promotion-related services.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	that would be very difficult to perform without them, such as paying in a State environment, such as paying banks for vetting, hiring attorneys, and signing agents. So you needed that SDRC. And that's why I said this was probably a prelude to the partnerships, SDIF LP1,	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. It could have been done through the University.</li> <li>Again, what I tried to do is just mirror NPII with SDRC.</li> <li>It already was done under NPII, so for the export promotion-related services.</li> <li>SDRC, Inc., the purpose was, as I mentioned, for the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	that would be very difficult to perform without them, such as paying in a State environment, such as paying banks for vetting, hiring attorneys, and signing agents. So you needed that SDRC. And that's why I said this was probably a prelude to the partnerships, SDIF LP1, because you still need something for the investors to put	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. It could have been done through the University.</li> <li>Again, what I tried to do is just mirror NPII with SDRC.</li> <li>It already was done under NPII, so for the export promotion-related services.</li> <li>SDRC, Inc., the purpose was, as I mentioned, for the foreign direct investment-related purposes. Before</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	that would be very difficult to perform without them, such as paying in a State environment, such as paying banks for vetting, hiring attorneys, and signing agents. So you needed that SDRC. And that's why I said this was probably a prelude to the partnerships, SDIF LP1, because you still need something for the investors to put their money into and borrow that money to a project.	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. It could have been done through the University.</li> <li>Again, what I tried to do is just mirror NPII with SDRC.</li> <li>It already was done under NPII, so for the export promotion-related services.</li> <li>SDRC, Inc., the purpose was, as I mentioned, for the foreign direct investment-related purposes. Before James Park was able, through Hanul, to put this together</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>that would be very difficult to perform without them,</li> <li>such as paying in a State environment, such as paying</li> <li>banks for vetting, hiring attorneys, and signing agents.</li> <li>So you needed that SDRC. And that's why I said this</li> <li>was probably a prelude to the partnerships, SDIF LP1,</li> <li>because you still need something for the investors to put</li> <li>their money into and borrow that money to a project.</li> <li>Q. But that's not what it says it's doing. In this</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. It could have been done through the University.</li> <li>Again, what I tried to do is just mirror NPII with SDRC.</li> <li>It already was done under NPII, so for the export promotion-related services.</li> <li>SDRC, Inc., the purpose was, as I mentioned, for the foreign direct investment-related purposes. Before</li> <li>James Park was able, through Hanul, to put this together for me it makes sense that we needed the entities to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>that would be very difficult to perform without them,</li> <li>such as paying in a State environment, such as paying</li> <li>banks for vetting, hiring attorneys, and signing agents.</li> <li>So you needed that SDRC. And that's why I said this</li> <li>was probably a prelude to the partnerships, SDIF LP1,</li> <li>because you still need something for the investors to put</li> <li>their money into and borrow that money to a project.</li> <li>Q. But that's not what it says it's doing. In this</li> <li>prior one, SDIF, LLC, it says that it is to manage SDIBI.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. It could have been done through the University.</li> <li>Again, what I tried to do is just mirror NPII with SDRC.</li> <li>It already was done under NPII, so for the export promotion-related services.</li> <li>SDRC, Inc., the purpose was, as I mentioned, for the foreign direct investment-related purposes. Before</li> <li>James Park was able, through Hanul, to put this together for me it makes sense that we needed the entities to come into being he volunteered to do it through Hanul.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>that would be very difficult to perform without them,</li> <li>such as paying in a State environment, such as paying</li> <li>banks for vetting, hiring attorneys, and signing agents.</li> <li>So you needed that SDRC. And that's why I said this</li> <li>was probably a prelude to the partnerships, SDIF LP1,</li> <li>because you still need something for the investors to put</li> <li>their money into and borrow that money to a project.</li> <li>Q. But that's not what it says it's doing. In this</li> <li>prior one, SDIF, LLC, it says that it is to manage SDIBI.</li> <li>A. Where does it can you repeat that?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. It could have been done through the University.</li> <li>Again, what I tried to do is just mirror NPII with SDRC.</li> <li>It already was done under NPII, so for the export promotion-related services.</li> <li>SDRC, Inc., the purpose was, as I mentioned, for the foreign direct investment-related purposes. Before</li> <li>James Park was able, through Hanul, to put this together for me it makes sense that we needed the entities to come into being he volunteered to do it through Hanul.</li> <li>So I said, you know, it's free legal counsel. It speeds</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>that would be very difficult to perform without them,</li> <li>such as paying in a State environment, such as paying</li> <li>banks for vetting, hiring attorneys, and signing agents.</li> <li>So you needed that SDRC. And that's why I said this</li> <li>was probably a prelude to the partnerships, SDIF LP1,</li> <li>because you still need something for the investors to put</li> <li>their money into and borrow that money to a project.</li> <li>Q. But that's not what it says it's doing. In this</li> <li>prior one, SDIF, LLC, it says that it is to manage SDIBI.</li> <li>A. Where does it can you repeat that?</li> <li>Q. Go to the second one. "SDIF, LLC is organized for</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. It could have been done through the University.</li> <li>Again, what I tried to do is just mirror NPII with SDRC.</li> <li>It already was done under NPII, so for the export promotion-related services.</li> <li>SDRC, Inc., the purpose was, as I mentioned, for the foreign direct investment-related purposes. Before James Park was able, through Hanul, to put this together for me it makes sense that we needed the entities to come into being he volunteered to do it through Hanul. So I said, you know, it's free legal counsel. It speeds the process up. Let's do it.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>that would be very difficult to perform without them,</li> <li>such as paying in a State environment, such as paying</li> <li>banks for vetting, hiring attorneys, and signing agents.</li> <li>So you needed that SDRC. And that's why I said this</li> <li>was probably a prelude to the partnerships, SDIF LP1,</li> <li>because you still need something for the investors to put</li> <li>their money into and borrow that money to a project.</li> <li>Q. But that's not what it says it's doing. In this</li> <li>prior one, SDIF, LLC, it says that it is to manage SDIBI.</li> <li>A. Where does it can you repeat that?</li> <li>Q. Go to the second one. "SDIF, LLC is organized for</li> <li>the purpose of creating an EB-5 alien entrepreneur</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. It could have been done through the University.</li> <li>Again, what I tried to do is just mirror NPII with SDRC.</li> <li>It already was done under NPII, so for the export promotion-related services.</li> <li>SDRC, Inc., the purpose was, as I mentioned, for the foreign direct investment-related purposes. Before</li> <li>James Park was able, through Hanul, to put this together for me it makes sense that we needed the entities to come into being he volunteered to do it through Hanul.</li> <li>So I said, you know, it's free legal counsel. It speeds the process up. Let's do it.</li> <li>Q. Couldn't the University's legal counsel have drafted</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>that would be very difficult to perform without them, such as paying in a State environment, such as paying banks for vetting, hiring attorneys, and signing agents.</li> <li>So you needed that SDRC. And that's why I said this was probably a prelude to the partnerships, SDIF LP1, because you still need something for the investors to put their money into and borrow that money to a project.</li> <li>Q. But that's not what it says it's doing. In this prior one, SDIF, LLC, it says that it is to manage SDIBI.</li> <li>A. Where does it can you repeat that?</li> <li>Q. Go to the second one. "SDIF, LLC is organized for the purpose of creating an EB-5 alien entrepreneur investment project."</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. It could have been done through the University.</li> <li>Again, what I tried to do is just mirror NPII with SDRC.</li> <li>It already was done under NPII, so for the export promotion-related services.</li> <li>SDRC, Inc., the purpose was, as I mentioned, for the foreign direct investment-related purposes. Before James Park was able, through Hanul, to put this together for me it makes sense that we needed the entities to come into being he volunteered to do it through Hanul.</li> <li>So I said, you know, it's free legal counsel. It speeds the process up. Let's do it.</li> <li>Q. Couldn't the University's legal counsel have drafted it?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>that would be very difficult to perform without them,</li> <li>such as paying in a State environment, such as paying</li> <li>banks for vetting, hiring attorneys, and signing agents.</li> <li>So you needed that SDRC. And that's why I said this</li> <li>was probably a prelude to the partnerships, SDIF LP1,</li> <li>because you still need something for the investors to put</li> <li>their money into and borrow that money to a project.</li> <li>Q. But that's not what it says it's doing. In this</li> <li>prior one, SDIF, LLC, it says that it is to manage SDIBI.</li> <li>A. Where does it can you repeat that?</li> <li>Q. Go to the second one. "SDIF, LLC is organized for</li> <li>the purpose of creating an EB-5 alien entrepreneur</li> <li>investment project."</li> <li>A. Right. So that makes sense.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. It could have been done through the University.</li> <li>Again, what I tried to do is just mirror NPII with SDRC.</li> <li>It already was done under NPII, so for the export promotion-related services.</li> <li>SDRC, Inc., the purpose was, as I mentioned, for the foreign direct investment-related purposes. Before James Park was able, through Hanul, to put this together for me it makes sense that we needed the entities to come into being he volunteered to do it through Hanul.</li> <li>So I said, you know, it's free legal counsel. It speeds the process up. Let's do it.</li> <li>Q. Couldn't the University's legal counsel have drafted it?</li> <li>A. Could have, but it would have slowed down everything</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>that would be very difficult to perform without them,</li> <li>such as paying in a State environment, such as paying</li> <li>banks for vetting, hiring attorneys, and signing agents.</li> <li>So you needed that SDRC. And that's why I said this</li> <li>was probably a prelude to the partnerships, SDIF LP1,</li> <li>because you still need something for the investors to put</li> <li>their money into and borrow that money to a project.</li> <li>Q. But that's not what it says it's doing. In this</li> <li>prior one, SDIF, LLC, it says that it is to manage SDIBI.</li> <li>A. Where does it can you repeat that?</li> <li>Q. Go to the second one. "SDIF, LLC is organized for</li> <li>the purpose of creating an EB-5 alien entrepreneur</li> <li>investment project."</li> <li>A. Right. So that makes sense.</li> <li>Q. "And managing and operating it in connection with</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. It could have been done through the University.</li> <li>Again, what I tried to do is just mirror NPII with SDRC.</li> <li>It already was done under NPII, so for the export promotion-related services.</li> <li>SDRC, Inc., the purpose was, as I mentioned, for the foreign direct investment-related purposes. Before</li> <li>James Park was able, through Hanul, to put this together for me it makes sense that we needed the entities to come into being he volunteered to do it through Hanul.</li> <li>So I said, you know, it's free legal counsel. It speeds the process up. Let's do it.</li> <li>Q. Couldn't the University's legal counsel have drafted it?</li> <li>A. Could have, but it would have slowed down everything tremendously.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>that would be very difficult to perform without them, such as paying in a State environment, such as paying banks for vetting, hiring attorneys, and signing agents.</li> <li>So you needed that SDRC. And that's why I said this was probably a prelude to the partnerships, SDIF LP1, because you still need something for the investors to put their money into and borrow that money to a project.</li> <li>Q. But that's not what it says it's doing. In this prior one, SDIF, LLC, it says that it is to manage SDIBI.</li> <li>A. Where does it can you repeat that?</li> <li>Q. Go to the second one. "SDIF, LLC is organized for the purpose of creating an EB-5 alien entrepreneur investment project."</li> <li>A. Right. So that makes sense.</li> <li>Q. "And managing and operating it in connection with the investor pilot program."</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. It could have been done through the University.</li> <li>Again, what I tried to do is just mirror NPII with SDRC.</li> <li>It already was done under NPII, so for the export promotion-related services.</li> <li>SDRC, Inc., the purpose was, as I mentioned, for the foreign direct investment-related purposes. Before James Park was able, through Hanul, to put this together for me it makes sense that we needed the entities to come into being he volunteered to do it through Hanul.</li> <li>So I said, you know, it's free legal counsel. It speeds the process up. Let's do it.</li> <li>Q. Couldn't the University's legal counsel have drafted it?</li> <li>A. Could have, but it would have slowed down everything tremendously.</li> <li>Q. Why?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>that would be very difficult to perform without them,</li> <li>such as paying in a State environment, such as paying</li> <li>banks for vetting, hiring attorneys, and signing agents.</li> <li>So you needed that SDRC. And that's why I said this</li> <li>was probably a prelude to the partnerships, SDIF LP1,</li> <li>because you still need something for the investors to put</li> <li>their money into and borrow that money to a project.</li> <li>Q. But that's not what it says it's doing. In this</li> <li>prior one, SDIF, LLC, it says that it is to manage SDIBI.</li> <li>A. Where does it can you repeat that?</li> <li>Q. Go to the second one. "SDIF, LLC is organized for</li> <li>the purpose of creating an EB-5 alien entrepreneur</li> <li>investment project."</li> <li>A. Right. So that makes sense.</li> <li>Q. "And managing and operating it in connection with</li> <li>the investor pilot program."</li> <li>A. That's exactly what the SDIF LP do. Right?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. It could have been done through the University.</li> <li>Again, what I tried to do is just mirror NPII with SDRC.</li> <li>It already was done under NPII, so for the export promotion-related services.</li> <li>SDRC, Inc., the purpose was, as I mentioned, for the foreign direct investment-related purposes. Before</li> <li>James Park was able, through Hanul, to put this together for me it makes sense that we needed the entities to come into being he volunteered to do it through Hanul.</li> <li>So I said, you know, it's free legal counsel. It speeds the process up. Let's do it.</li> <li>Q. Couldn't the University's legal counsel have drafted it?</li> <li>A. Could have, but it would have slowed down everything tremendously.</li> <li>Q. Why?</li> <li>A. And the whole intent for SDRC was to be owned by the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>that would be very difficult to perform without them, such as paying in a State environment, such as paying banks for vetting, hiring attorneys, and signing agents.</li> <li>So you needed that SDRC. And that's why I said this was probably a prelude to the partnerships, SDIF LP1, because you still need something for the investors to put their money into and borrow that money to a project.</li> <li>Q. But that's not what it says it's doing. In this prior one, SDIF, LLC, it says that it is to manage SDIBI.</li> <li>A. Where does it can you repeat that?</li> <li>Q. Go to the second one. "SDIF, LLC is organized for the purpose of creating an EB-5 alien entrepreneur investment project."</li> <li>A. Right. So that makes sense.</li> <li>Q. "And managing and operating it in connection with the investor pilot program."</li> <li>A. That's exactly what the SDIF LP do. Right?</li> <li>Q. They manage it?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. It could have been done through the University.</li> <li>Again, what I tried to do is just mirror NPII with SDRC.</li> <li>It already was done under NPII, so for the export promotion-related services.</li> <li>SDRC, Inc., the purpose was, as I mentioned, for the foreign direct investment-related purposes. Before James Park was able, through Hanul, to put this together for me it makes sense that we needed the entities to come into being he volunteered to do it through Hanul.</li> <li>So I said, you know, it's free legal counsel. It speeds the process up. Let's do it.</li> <li>Q. Couldn't the University's legal counsel have drafted it?</li> <li>A. Could have, but it would have slowed down everything tremendously.</li> <li>Q. Why?</li> <li>A. And the whole intent for SDRC was to be owned by the University. It was only until about 2009 that the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>that would be very difficult to perform without them, such as paying in a State environment, such as paying banks for vetting, hiring attorneys, and signing agents.</li> <li>So you needed that SDRC. And that's why I said this was probably a prelude to the partnerships, SDIF LP1, because you still need something for the investors to put their money into and borrow that money to a project.</li> <li>Q. But that's not what it says it's doing. In this prior one, SDIF, LLC, it says that it is to manage SDIBI.</li> <li>A. Where does it can you repeat that?</li> <li>Q. Go to the second one. "SDIF, LLC is organized for the purpose of creating an EB-5 alien entrepreneur investment project."</li> <li>A. Right. So that makes sense.</li> <li>Q. "And managing and operating it in connection with the investor pilot program."</li> <li>A. That's exactly what the SDIF LP do. Right?</li> <li>Q. They manage it?</li> <li>A. Yes. The partnership gets the investors the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. It could have been done through the University.</li> <li>Again, what I tried to do is just mirror NPII with SDRC.</li> <li>It already was done under NPII, so for the export promotion-related services.</li> <li>SDRC, Inc., the purpose was, as I mentioned, for the foreign direct investment-related purposes. Before James Park was able, through Hanul, to put this together for me it makes sense that we needed the entities to come into being he volunteered to do it through Hanul.</li> <li>So I said, you know, it's free legal counsel. It speeds the process up. Let's do it.</li> <li>Q. Couldn't the University's legal counsel have drafted it?</li> <li>A. Could have, but it would have slowed down everything tremendously.</li> <li>Q. Why?</li> <li>A. And the whole intent for SDRC was to be owned by the University. It was only until about 2009 that the University said we don't want the SDRC.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>that would be very difficult to perform without them, such as paying in a State environment, such as paying banks for vetting, hiring attorneys, and signing agents.</li> <li>So you needed that SDRC. And that's why I said this was probably a prelude to the partnerships, SDIF LP1, because you still need something for the investors to put their money into and borrow that money to a project.</li> <li>Q. But that's not what it says it's doing. In this prior one, SDIF, LLC, it says that it is to manage SDIBI.</li> <li>A. Where does it can you repeat that?</li> <li>Q. Go to the second one. "SDIF, LLC is organized for the purpose of creating an EB-5 alien entrepreneur investment project."</li> <li>A. Right. So that makes sense.</li> <li>Q. "And managing and operating it in connection with the investor pilot program."</li> <li>A. That's exactly what the SDIF LP do. Right?</li> <li>Q. They manage it?</li> <li>A. Yes. The partnership gets the investors the investment in. Then the GP, which is an LLC, manages it</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. It could have been done through the University.</li> <li>Again, what I tried to do is just mirror NPII with SDRC.</li> <li>It already was done under NPII, so for the export promotion-related services.</li> <li>SDRC, Inc., the purpose was, as I mentioned, for the foreign direct investment-related purposes. Before James Park was able, through Hanul, to put this together for me it makes sense that we needed the entities to come into being he volunteered to do it through Hanul.</li> <li>So I said, you know, it's free legal counsel. It speeds the process up. Let's do it.</li> <li>Q. Couldn't the University's legal counsel have drafted it?</li> <li>A. Could have, but it would have slowed down everything tremendously.</li> <li>Q. Why?</li> <li>A. And the whole intent for SDRC was to be owned by the University said we don't want the SDRC.</li> <li>Q. If they if it was supposed to be owned by the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>that would be very difficult to perform without them, such as paying in a State environment, such as paying banks for vetting, hiring attorneys, and signing agents.</li> <li>So you needed that SDRC. And that's why I said this was probably a prelude to the partnerships, SDIF LP1, because you still need something for the investors to put their money into and borrow that money to a project.</li> <li>Q. But that's not what it says it's doing. In this prior one, SDIF, LLC, it says that it is to manage SDIBI.</li> <li>A. Where does it can you repeat that?</li> <li>Q. Go to the second one. "SDIF, LLC is organized for the purpose of creating an EB-5 alien entrepreneur investment project."</li> <li>A. Right. So that makes sense.</li> <li>Q. "And managing and operating it in connection with the investor pilot program."</li> <li>A. That's exactly what the SDIF LP do. Right?</li> <li>Q. They manage it?</li> <li>A. Yes. The partnership gets the investors the investment in. Then the GP, which is an LLC, manages it on behalf of the partnership.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. It could have been done through the University.</li> <li>Again, what I tried to do is just mirror NPII with SDRC.</li> <li>It already was done under NPII, so for the export promotion-related services.</li> <li>SDRC, Inc., the purpose was, as I mentioned, for the foreign direct investment-related purposes. Before James Park was able, through Hanul, to put this together for me it makes sense that we needed the entities to come into being he volunteered to do it through Hanul.</li> <li>So I said, you know, it's free legal counsel. It speeds the process up. Let's do it.</li> <li>Q. Couldn't the University's legal counsel have drafted it?</li> <li>A. Could have, but it would have slowed down everything tremendously.</li> <li>Q. Why?</li> <li>A. And the whole intent for SDRC was to be owned by the University said we don't want the SDRC.</li> <li>Q. If they if it was supposed to be owned by the University then, I mean, wasn't it wasn't James Park</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>that would be very difficult to perform without them, such as paying in a State environment, such as paying banks for vetting, hiring attorneys, and signing agents.</li> <li>So you needed that SDRC. And that's why I said this was probably a prelude to the partnerships, SDIF LP1, because you still need something for the investors to put their money into and borrow that money to a project.</li> <li>Q. But that's not what it says it's doing. In this prior one, SDIF, LLC, it says that it is to manage SDIBI.</li> <li>A. Where does it can you repeat that?</li> <li>Q. Go to the second one. "SDIF, LLC is organized for the purpose of creating an EB-5 alien entrepreneur investment project."</li> <li>A. Right. So that makes sense.</li> <li>Q. "And managing and operating it in connection with the investor pilot program."</li> <li>A. That's exactly what the SDIF LP do. Right?</li> <li>Q. They manage it?</li> <li>A. Yes. The partnership gets the investors the investment in. Then the GP, which is an LLC, manages it on behalf of the partnership.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. It could have been done through the University.</li> <li>Again, what I tried to do is just mirror NPII with SDRC.</li> <li>It already was done under NPII, so for the export promotion-related services.</li> <li>SDRC, Inc., the purpose was, as I mentioned, for the foreign direct investment-related purposes. Before James Park was able, through Hanul, to put this together for me it makes sense that we needed the entities to come into being he volunteered to do it through Hanul.</li> <li>So I said, you know, it's free legal counsel. It speeds the process up. Let's do it.</li> <li>Q. Couldn't the University's legal counsel have drafted it?</li> <li>A. Could have, but it would have slowed down everything tremendously.</li> <li>Q. Why?</li> <li>A. And the whole intent for SDRC was to be owned by the University said we don't want the SDRC.</li> <li>Q. If they if it was supposed to be owned by the University then, I mean, wasn't it wasn't James Park</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>that would be very difficult to perform without them, such as paying in a State environment, such as paying banks for vetting, hiring attorneys, and signing agents.</li> <li>So you needed that SDRC. And that's why I said this was probably a prelude to the partnerships, SDIF LP1, because you still need something for the investors to put their money into and borrow that money to a project.</li> <li>Q. But that's not what it says it's doing. In this prior one, SDIF, LLC, it says that it is to manage SDIBI.</li> <li>A. Where does it can you repeat that?</li> <li>Q. Go to the second one. "SDIF, LLC is organized for the purpose of creating an EB-5 alien entrepreneur investment project."</li> <li>A. Right. So that makes sense.</li> <li>Q. "And managing and operating it in connection with the investor pilot program."</li> <li>A. That's exactly what the SDIF LP do. Right?</li> <li>Q. They manage it?</li> <li>A. Yes. The partnership gets the investors the investment in. Then the GP, which is an LLC, manages it on behalf of the partnership.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. It could have been done through the University.</li> <li>Again, what I tried to do is just mirror NPII with SDRC.</li> <li>It already was done under NPII, so for the export promotion-related services.</li> <li>SDRC, Inc., the purpose was, as I mentioned, for the foreign direct investment-related purposes. Before James Park was able, through Hanul, to put this together for me it makes sense that we needed the entities to come into being he volunteered to do it through Hanul.</li> <li>So I said, you know, it's free legal counsel. It speeds the process up. Let's do it.</li> <li>Q. Couldn't the University's legal counsel have drafted it?</li> <li>A. Could have, but it would have slowed down everything tremendously.</li> <li>Q. Why?</li> <li>A. And the whole intent for SDRC was to be owned by the University. It was only until about 2009 that the University then, I mean, wasn't it wasn't James Park the authorized person signing the incorporation documents?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>that would be very difficult to perform without them, such as paying in a State environment, such as paying banks for vetting, hiring attorneys, and signing agents.</li> <li>So you needed that SDRC. And that's why I said this was probably a prelude to the partnerships, SDIF LP1, because you still need something for the investors to put their money into and borrow that money to a project.</li> <li>Q. But that's not what it says it's doing. In this prior one, SDIF, LLC, it says that it is to manage SDIBI.</li> <li>A. Where does it can you repeat that?</li> <li>Q. Go to the second one. "SDIF, LLC is organized for the purpose of creating an EB-5 alien entrepreneur investment project."</li> <li>A. Right. So that makes sense.</li> <li>Q. "And managing and operating it in connection with the investor pilot program."</li> <li>A. That's exactly what the SDIF LP do. Right?</li> <li>Q. They manage it?</li> <li>A. Yes. The partnership gets the investors the investment in. Then the GP, which is an LLC, manages it on behalf of the partnership.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. It could have been done through the University.</li> <li>Again, what I tried to do is just mirror NPII with SDRC.</li> <li>It already was done under NPII, so for the export promotion-related services.</li> <li>SDRC, Inc., the purpose was, as I mentioned, for the foreign direct investment-related purposes. Before James Park was able, through Hanul, to put this together for me it makes sense that we needed the entities to come into being he volunteered to do it through Hanul.</li> <li>So I said, you know, it's free legal counsel. It speeds the process up. Let's do it.</li> <li>Q. Couldn't the University's legal counsel have drafted it?</li> <li>A. Could have, but it would have slowed down everything tremendously.</li> <li>Q. Why?</li> <li>A. And the whole intent for SDRC was to be owned by the University said we don't want the SDRC.</li> <li>Q. If they if it was supposed to be owned by the University then, I mean, wasn't it wasn't James Park the authorized person signing the incorporation documents?</li> </ul>

	Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	File	d 10/10/18 Page 22 of 61 PageID #: 2480 87
1	What happened, everything was going very smoothly at	1	A. It was from the beginning the intent was for
2	Northern. Export promotion was grandiose. Foreign	2	Pyush Patel and myself to own the corporation. As you
3	investment was grandiose. Everybody was just clapping	3	know, I have a long background with Patel so there's kind
4	their hands and felt really comfortable. Until there was	4	of this relationship that we do everything pretty much
5	a lawsuit from <u>Darley</u> . And when <u>Darley</u> started that	5	more than 70, 80 percent of our stuff 50/50. It's an
6	lawsuit all the bureaucrats just came to a shrieking halt	6	automatic.
7	and became scared.	7	Q. Okay. Well, let's go back to that because you said
8	That's why that's why the Board of Regents became	8	you had had a falling-out with him, but you don't
9	involved. An attorney by the name of Shekleton became	9	remember what it was.
10	involved. And we already recruited the money for the	10	A. The initial, no. I don't remember the initial
11	turkey plant. That was coming in.	11	falling out. You know, we are he's kind of he's
12	I was there's a lot of e-mails that you can find	12	very close friend with me. He also partially funded my
13	at Northern State where I was asking for direction from	13	graduate studies, so there's a loyalty that is probably
14	Northern. What are we going to do with SDRC, Inc.? What	14	unusual.
15	are you going to do with it? Give me directions. And it	15	<b>Q.</b> Okay. So he helped pay for your schooling?
16	all stopped because of that lawsuit.	16	A. The graduate study, yeah.
17	That was where things went haywire. And John Meyer	17	Q. Have you paid him back for that?
18	came into the office and said you need to distance	18	A. I didn't have to.
19	yourself from SDRC, Inc. And that's why James Park for a	19	Q. Why not?
20	very short time period was involved in SDRC. He just	20	A. Because he just gave it to me.
21	took over while there was no activity for a couple of	21	Q. Okay. And so then you were supposed to go work with
22	months or so until the turmoil was settled and the Board	22	him.
23	of Regents decided whether they were going to maintain	23	A. Uh-huh.
24	SDRC or they were going to spin it off.	24	Q. Why would that fall apart? I mean, you're business
25	<b>Q.</b> So SDRC, Inc. is a corporation?	25	partners now. You have to know what happened.
	86		88
1	A. Yes.	1	A. You know, it's kind of like
2	<b>Q.</b> What type of corporation?	2	MS. DVORAK: Objection. Asked and answered.
3	A. Are you talking about an S corp or not?	3	MS. OLIVIER: It hasn't been answered, but go
4	<b>Q.</b> Yep.	4	- h
5	A. I would I don't know if it ever was registered as		ahead.
		5	anead. MS. DVORAK: He said I don't know. That's an
6	an S corp or not, if there were filings done with the	5 6	
	an S corp or not, if there were filings done with the Federal Government or not. I don't remember.	_	MS. DVORAK: He said I don't know. That's an
7		6	MS. DVORAK: He said I don't know. That's an answer.
7 8	Federal Government or not. I don't remember.	6 7	MS. DVORAK: He said I don't know. That's an answer. Q. Go ahead and answer.
7 8 9	Federal Government or not. I don't remember. Q. Okay. Are there shareholders in SDRC, Inc.?	6 7 8	MS. DVORAK: He said I don't know. That's an answer. Q. Go ahead and answer. A. She said it. I don't know exactly what the dilemma
7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Federal Government or not. I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are there shareholders in SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. Initially there were none. It was just set up.</li> <li>Q. How does that work?</li> <li>A. Just file the papers. Nobody there were no</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9	MS. DVORAK: He said I don't know. That's an answer. Q. Go ahead and answer. A. She said it. I don't know exactly what the dilemma was. I get fights with my wife too at times. You know, you forget, and you move on. Q. Here's the here's the thing. One of the rules,
7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Federal Government or not. I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are there shareholders in SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. Initially there were none. It was just set up.</li> <li>Q. How does that work?</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10	MS. DVORAK: He said I don't know. That's an answer. Q. Go ahead and answer. A. She said it. I don't know exactly what the dilemma was. I get fights with my wife too at times. You know, you forget, and you move on.
7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Federal Government or not. I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are there shareholders in SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. Initially there were none. It was just set up.</li> <li>Q. How does that work?</li> <li>A. Just file the papers. Nobody there were no</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. DVORAK: He said I don't know. That's an answer. Q. Go ahead and answer. A. She said it. I don't know exactly what the dilemma was. I get fights with my wife too at times. You know, you forget, and you move on. Q. Here's the here's the thing. One of the rules,
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Federal Government or not. I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are there shareholders in SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. Initially there were none. It was just set up.</li> <li>Q. How does that work?</li> <li>A. Just file the papers. Nobody there were no shareholders. Just left blank because it was just a</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. DVORAK: He said I don't know. That's an answer. Q. Go ahead and answer. A. She said it. I don't know exactly what the dilemma was. I get fights with my wife too at times. You know, you forget, and you move on. Q. Here's the here's the thing. One of the rules, she can object. Unless she tells you
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Federal Government or not. I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are there shareholders in SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. Initially there were none. It was just set up.</li> <li>Q. How does that work?</li> <li>A. Just file the papers. Nobody there were no shareholders. Just left blank because it was just a shell. It wasn't active.</li> <li>Q. Who are the shareholders now?</li> <li>A. Patel. Pyush Patel and myself.</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>MS. DVORAK: He said I don't know. That's an answer.</li> <li>Q. Go ahead and answer.</li> <li>A. She said it. I don't know exactly what the dilemma was. I get fights with my wife too at times. You know, you forget, and you move on.</li> <li>Q. Here's the here's the thing. One of the rules, she can object. Unless she tells you</li> <li>A. But I answered you, though.</li> <li>Q. I know. Then just wait. Unless she says you can't answer, you need to answer the question.</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Federal Government or not. I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are there shareholders in SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. Initially there were none. It was just set up.</li> <li>Q. How does that work?</li> <li>A. Just file the papers. Nobody there were no shareholders. Just left blank because it was just a shell. It wasn't active.</li> <li>Q. Who are the shareholders now?</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>MS. DVORAK: He said I don't know. That's an answer.</li> <li>Q. Go ahead and answer.</li> <li>A. She said it. I don't know exactly what the dilemma was. I get fights with my wife too at times. You know, you forget, and you move on.</li> <li>Q. Here's the here's the thing. One of the rules, she can object. Unless she tells you</li> <li>A. But I answered you, though.</li> <li>Q. I know. Then just wait. Unless she says you can't</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Federal Government or not. I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are there shareholders in SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. Initially there were none. It was just set up.</li> <li>Q. How does that work?</li> <li>A. Just file the papers. Nobody there were no shareholders. Just left blank because it was just a shell. It wasn't active.</li> <li>Q. Who are the shareholders now?</li> <li>A. Patel. Pyush Patel and myself.</li> <li>Q. When did you become a shareholder?</li> <li>A. I think sometime in 2009. The law firm of Siegel,</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>MS. DVORAK: He said I don't know. That's an answer.</li> <li>Q. Go ahead and answer.</li> <li>A. She said it. I don't know exactly what the dilemma was. I get fights with my wife too at times. You know, you forget, and you move on.</li> <li>Q. Here's the here's the thing. One of the rules, she can object. Unless she tells you</li> <li>A. But I answered you, though.</li> <li>Q. I know. Then just wait. Unless she says you can't answer, you need to answer the question.</li> <li>A. Okay. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So you have a falling-out.</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Federal Government or not. I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are there shareholders in SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. Initially there were none. It was just set up.</li> <li>Q. How does that work?</li> <li>A. Just file the papers. Nobody there were no shareholders. Just left blank because it was just a shell. It wasn't active.</li> <li>Q. Who are the shareholders now?</li> <li>A. Patel. Pyush Patel and myself.</li> <li>Q. When did you become a shareholder?</li> <li>A. I think sometime in 2009. The law firm of Siegel, Barnett &amp; Schutz took care of that portion of it.</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>MS. DVORAK: He said I don't know. That's an answer.</li> <li>Q. Go ahead and answer.</li> <li>A. She said it. I don't know exactly what the dilemma was. I get fights with my wife too at times. You know, you forget, and you move on.</li> <li>Q. Here's the here's the thing. One of the rules, she can object. Unless she tells you</li> <li>A. But I answered you, though.</li> <li>Q. I know. Then just wait. Unless she says you can't answer, you need to answer the question.</li> <li>A. Okay. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So you have a falling-out.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Federal Government or not. I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are there shareholders in SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. Initially there were none. It was just set up.</li> <li>Q. How does that work?</li> <li>A. Just file the papers. Nobody there were no shareholders. Just left blank because it was just a shell. It wasn't active.</li> <li>Q. Who are the shareholders now?</li> <li>A. Patel. Pyush Patel and myself.</li> <li>Q. When did you become a shareholder?</li> <li>A. I think sometime in 2009. The law firm of Siegel, Barnett &amp; Schutz took care of that portion of it.</li> <li>Q. Who did you buy the shares from?</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>MS. DVORAK: He said I don't know. That's an answer.</li> <li>Q. Go ahead and answer.</li> <li>A. She said it. I don't know exactly what the dilemma was. I get fights with my wife too at times. You know, you forget, and you move on.</li> <li>Q. Here's the here's the thing. One of the rules, she can object. Unless she tells you</li> <li>A. But I answered you, though.</li> <li>Q. I know. Then just wait. Unless she says you can't answer, you need to answer the question.</li> <li>A. Okay. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So you have a falling-out.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. And then now you're friends again.</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Federal Government or not. I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are there shareholders in SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. Initially there were none. It was just set up.</li> <li>Q. How does that work?</li> <li>A. Just file the papers. Nobody there were no shareholders. Just left blank because it was just a shell. It wasn't active.</li> <li>Q. Who are the shareholders now?</li> <li>A. Patel. Pyush Patel and myself.</li> <li>Q. When did you become a shareholder?</li> <li>A. I think sometime in 2009. The law firm of Siegel, Barnett &amp; Schutz took care of that portion of it.</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>MS. DVORAK: He said I don't know. That's an answer.</li> <li>Q. Go ahead and answer.</li> <li>A. She said it. I don't know exactly what the dilemma was. I get fights with my wife too at times. You know, you forget, and you move on.</li> <li>Q. Here's the here's the thing. One of the rules, she can object. Unless she tells you</li> <li>A. But I answered you, though.</li> <li>Q. I know. Then just wait. Unless she says you can't answer, you need to answer the question.</li> <li>A. Okay. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So you have a falling-out.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Federal Government or not. I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are there shareholders in SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. Initially there were none. It was just set up.</li> <li>Q. How does that work?</li> <li>A. Just file the papers. Nobody there were no shareholders. Just left blank because it was just a shell. It wasn't active.</li> <li>Q. Who are the shareholders now?</li> <li>A. Patel. Pyush Patel and myself.</li> <li>Q. When did you become a shareholder?</li> <li>A. I think sometime in 2009. The law firm of Siegel, Barnett &amp; Schutz took care of that portion of it.</li> <li>Q. Who did you buy the shares from?</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>MS. DVORAK: He said I don't know. That's an answer.</li> <li>Q. Go ahead and answer.</li> <li>A. She said it. I don't know exactly what the dilemma was. I get fights with my wife too at times. You know, you forget, and you move on.</li> <li>Q. Here's the here's the thing. One of the rules, she can object. Unless she tells you</li> <li>A. But I answered you, though.</li> <li>Q. I know. Then just wait. Unless she says you can't answer, you need to answer the question.</li> <li>A. Okay. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So you have a falling-out.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. And then now you're friends again.</li> <li>A. Yep.</li> <li>Q. And you say you do 50 percent of all your business</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Federal Government or not. I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are there shareholders in SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. Initially there were none. It was just set up.</li> <li>Q. How does that work?</li> <li>A. Just file the papers. Nobody there were no shareholders. Just left blank because it was just a shell. It wasn't active.</li> <li>Q. Who are the shareholders now?</li> <li>A. Patel. Pyush Patel and myself.</li> <li>Q. When did you become a shareholder?</li> <li>A. I think sometime in 2009. The law firm of Siegel, Barnett &amp; Schutz took care of that portion of it.</li> <li>Q. Who did you buy the shares from?</li> <li>A. Who did I buy the shares? I didn't buy any shares.</li> <li>Q. Just issued shares?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>MS. DVORAK: He said I don't know. That's an answer.</li> <li>Q. Go ahead and answer.</li> <li>A. She said it. I don't know exactly what the dilemma was. I get fights with my wife too at times. You know, you forget, and you move on.</li> <li>Q. Here's the here's the thing. One of the rules, she can object. Unless she tells you</li> <li>A. But I answered you, though.</li> <li>Q. I know. Then just wait. Unless she says you can't answer, you need to answer the question.</li> <li>A. Okay. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So you have a falling-out.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. And then now you're friends again.</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Federal Government or not. I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are there shareholders in SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. Initially there were none. It was just set up.</li> <li>Q. How does that work?</li> <li>A. Just file the papers. Nobody there were no shareholders. Just left blank because it was just a shell. It wasn't active.</li> <li>Q. Who are the shareholders now?</li> <li>A. Patel. Pyush Patel and myself.</li> <li>Q. When did you become a shareholder?</li> <li>A. I think sometime in 2009. The law firm of Siegel, Barnett &amp; Schutz took care of that portion of it.</li> <li>Q. Who did you buy the shares? I didn't buy any shares.</li> <li>Q. Just issued shares?</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>MS. DVORAK: He said I don't know. That's an answer.</li> <li>Q. Go ahead and answer.</li> <li>A. She said it. I don't know exactly what the dilemma was. I get fights with my wife too at times. You know, you forget, and you move on.</li> <li>Q. Here's the here's the thing. One of the rules, she can object. Unless she tells you</li> <li>A. But I answered you, though.</li> <li>Q. I know. Then just wait. Unless she says you can't answer, you need to answer the question.</li> <li>A. Okay. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So you have a falling-out.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. And then now you're friends again.</li> <li>A. Yep.</li> <li>Q. And you say you do 50 percent of all your business</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Federal Government or not. I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are there shareholders in SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. Initially there were none. It was just set up.</li> <li>Q. How does that work?</li> <li>A. Just file the papers. Nobody there were no shareholders. Just left blank because it was just a shell. It wasn't active.</li> <li>Q. Who are the shareholders now?</li> <li>A. Patel. Pyush Patel and myself.</li> <li>Q. When did you become a shareholder?</li> <li>A. I think sometime in 2009. The law firm of Siegel, Barnett &amp; Schutz took care of that portion of it.</li> <li>Q. Who did you buy the shares from?</li> <li>A. Who did I buy the shares? I didn't buy any shares.</li> <li>Q. Just issued shares?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>MS. DVORAK: He said I don't know. That's an answer.</li> <li>Q. Go ahead and answer.</li> <li>A. She said it. I don't know exactly what the dilemma was. I get fights with my wife too at times. You know, you forget, and you move on.</li> <li>Q. Here's the here's the thing. One of the rules, she can object. Unless she tells you:</li> <li>A. But I answered you, though.</li> <li>Q. I know. Then just wait. Unless she says you can't answer, you need to answer the question.</li> <li>A. Okay. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So you have a falling-out.</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. And then now you're friends again.</li> <li>A. Yep.</li> <li>Q. And you say you do 50 percent of all your business together.</li> </ul>

	Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	File	d 10/10/18 Page 23 of 61 PageID #: 2481 91
1	Q. Go ahead and answer.	1	issued, it was clear that SDRC was going to be an entity
2	MS. DVORAK: Nor is it likely to lead to the	2	of the University, and there's a lot of communication
3	discovery of admissible evidence. I don't know what his	3	between the Board of Regents and Northern discussing
4	business other businesses he has outside of anything	4	this.
5	related to EB-5 has to do with this lawsuit.	5	John Meyer was very closely involved in what to do
6	MS. OLIVIER: The scope is discovery is very	6	with SDRC, Incorporated. And he's also the one who told
7	broad.	7	me to distance myself and put it in somebody else's name.
8	MS. DVORAK: It's not more than what is	8	And I suggested Park, and he said, well, go ahead. Just
9	reasonably going to lead to admissible evidence.	9	not you.
10	MS. OLIVIER: Are you instructing him not to	10	<b>Q.</b> Okay. So he said you can't have this business?
11	answer?	11	A. He told me to distance myself. Not can't have this
12	MS. DVORAK: Yes.	12	business. Just distance myself. Because it was
13	MS. OLIVIER: Okay. Would you certify that	13	everybody's idea it was going to be Northern's
14	question so we can move to compel.	14	corporation, just like NPII was.
15	Q. Okay. So you and Pyush Patel have this falling-out.	15	Q. Okay. So everybody's understanding was this was
16	He is now the part owner of SDRC, Inc.	16	Northern's business, and he said distance yourself from
17	A. Uh-huh.	17	it
18	Q. When did you when did you sell him shares in	18	A. John Meyer and the NPII board. John Meyer. You
19	SDRC, Inc.?	19	know, everybody's a broad word.
20	A. Never sold shares. We just started it.	20	Q. Okay. So John Meyer and the board, NPII board, said
21	Q. You just gave it to him?	21	you need to distance yourself from SDRC, Inc.?
22	A. From yeah. Automatically.	22	A. John Meyer gave me the specific he came to my
23	Q. When?	23	office and said, look, there's this turmoil. Let's
24	A. Around 2009. It's Siegel, Barnett & Schutz who	24	figure out what we're going to do, how the Board of
25	formalized that for us. I don't know exactly the date	25	Regents is going to respond. And based on their
	90		92
1	but when it was formalized, but it was from	1	situation, the turmoil we're in, there was an audit by I
	-	•	Situation, the turnion we're my there was an addit by I
2	automatic from the inception of the time that it was	2	believe it was GOAC, you know, who also came to look at
2 3	clear that Northern did not want SDRC, Inc. and that it	2 3	believe it was GOAC, you know, who also came to look at it and went through this whole situation, John Meyer said
3 4	clear that Northern did not want SDRC, Inc. and that it was clear that they wanted the whole shed the whole	2 3 4	believe it was GOAC, you know, who also came to look at it and went through this whole situation, John Meyer said it's best for you to take a step back.
3 4 5	clear that Northern did not want SDRC, Inc. and that it was clear that they wanted the whole shed the whole entity. And it was clear that GOED didn't want it. And	2 3 4 5	believe it was GOAC, you know, who also came to look at it and went through this whole situation, John Meyer said it's best for you to take a step back. And that's why I said, James, why don't you take it
3 4 5 6	clear that Northern did not want SDRC, Inc. and that it was clear that they wanted the whole shed the whole entity. And it was clear that GOED didn't want it. And they pretty much told me that I had to go private.	2 3 4 5 6	believe it was GOAC, you know, who also came to look at it and went through this whole situation, John Meyer said it's best for you to take a step back. And that's why I said, James, why don't you take it over, and let's see how this all falls into place.
3 4 5 6 7	clear that Northern did not want SDRC, Inc. and that it was clear that they wanted the whole shed the whole entity. And it was clear that GOED didn't want it. And they pretty much told me that I had to go private. That's how it played out.	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>believe it was GOAC, you know, who also came to look at it and went through this whole situation, John Meyer said it's best for you to take a step back.</li> <li>And that's why I said, James, why don't you take it over, and let's see how this all falls into place.</li> <li>Q. So when did you just issue yourself shares of it</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8	clear that Northern did not want SDRC, Inc. and that it was clear that they wanted the whole shed the whole entity. And it was clear that GOED didn't want it. And they pretty much told me that I had to go private. That's how it played out. Q. Okay. You said that SDRC, Inc. was a shell	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>believe it was GOAC, you know, who also came to look at it and went through this whole situation, John Meyer said it's best for you to take a step back.</li> <li>And that's why I said, James, why don't you take it over, and let's see how this all falls into place.</li> <li>Q. So when did you just issue yourself shares of it then?</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>clear that Northern did not want SDRC, Inc. and that it was clear that they wanted the whole shed the whole entity. And it was clear that GOED didn't want it. And they pretty much told me that I had to go private.</li> <li>That's how it played out.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You said that SDRC, Inc. was a shell corporation.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>believe it was GOAC, you know, who also came to look at it and went through this whole situation, John Meyer said it's best for you to take a step back.</li> <li>And that's why I said, James, why don't you take it over, and let's see how this all falls into place.</li> <li>Q. So when did you just issue yourself shares of it then?</li> <li>A. The issue of shares were after it was very clear</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>clear that Northern did not want SDRC, Inc. and that it was clear that they wanted the whole shed the whole entity. And it was clear that GOED didn't want it. And they pretty much told me that I had to go private.</li> <li>That's how it played out.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You said that SDRC, Inc. was a shell corporation.</li> <li>A. It was. Nothing really happened in terms of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>believe it was GOAC, you know, who also came to look at it and went through this whole situation, John Meyer said it's best for you to take a step back.</li> <li>And that's why I said, James, why don't you take it over, and let's see how this all falls into place.</li> <li>Q. So when did you just issue yourself shares of it then?</li> <li>A. The issue of shares were after it was very clear that an agreement was going to be signed with GOED for me</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>clear that Northern did not want SDRC, Inc. and that it was clear that they wanted the whole shed the whole entity. And it was clear that GOED didn't want it. And they pretty much told me that I had to go private.</li> <li>That's how it played out.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You said that SDRC, Inc. was a shell corporation.</li> <li>A. It was. Nothing really happened in terms of financial activity.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>believe it was GOAC, you know, who also came to look at it and went through this whole situation, John Meyer said it's best for you to take a step back.</li> <li>And that's why I said, James, why don't you take it over, and let's see how this all falls into place.</li> <li>Q. So when did you just issue yourself shares of it then?</li> <li>A. The issue of shares were after it was very clear that an agreement was going to be signed with GOED for me to take the entity private.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>clear that Northern did not want SDRC, Inc. and that it was clear that they wanted the whole shed the whole entity. And it was clear that GOED didn't want it. And they pretty much told me that I had to go private.</li> <li>That's how it played out.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You said that SDRC, Inc. was a shell corporation.</li> <li>A. It was. Nothing really happened in terms of financial activity.</li> <li>Q. Then why not just start a different corporation when</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>believe it was GOAC, you know, who also came to look at it and went through this whole situation, John Meyer said it's best for you to take a step back.</li> <li>And that's why I said, James, why don't you take it over, and let's see how this all falls into place.</li> <li>Q. So when did you just issue yourself shares of it then?</li> <li>A. The issue of shares were after it was very clear that an agreement was going to be signed with GOED for me to take the entity private.</li> <li>Q. Was the agreement signed?</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>clear that Northern did not want SDRC, Inc. and that it was clear that they wanted the whole shed the whole entity. And it was clear that GOED didn't want it. And they pretty much told me that I had to go private.</li> <li>That's how it played out.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You said that SDRC, Inc. was a shell corporation.</li> <li>A. It was. Nothing really happened in terms of financial activity.</li> <li>Q. Then why not just start a different corporation when you were distancing yourself? Why take SDRC, Inc.?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>believe it was GOAC, you know, who also came to look at it and went through this whole situation, John Meyer said it's best for you to take a step back.</li> <li>And that's why I said, James, why don't you take it over, and let's see how this all falls into place.</li> <li>Q. So when did you just issue yourself shares of it then?</li> <li>A. The issue of shares were after it was very clear that an agreement was going to be signed with GOED for me to take the entity private.</li> <li>Q. Was the agreement signed?</li> <li>A. Eventually with GOED it was signed.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>clear that Northern did not want SDRC, Inc. and that it was clear that they wanted the whole shed the whole entity. And it was clear that GOED didn't want it. And they pretty much told me that I had to go private.</li> <li>That's how it played out.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You said that SDRC, Inc. was a shell corporation.</li> <li>A. It was. Nothing really happened in terms of financial activity.</li> <li>Q. Then why not just start a different corporation when you were distancing yourself? Why take SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. I don't understand your question, the logic behind</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>believe it was GOAC, you know, who also came to look at it and went through this whole situation, John Meyer said it's best for you to take a step back.</li> <li>And that's why I said, James, why don't you take it over, and let's see how this all falls into place.</li> <li>Q. So when did you just issue yourself shares of it then?</li> <li>A. The issue of shares were after it was very clear that an agreement was going to be signed with GOED for me to take the entity private.</li> <li>Q. Was the agreement signed?</li> <li>A. Eventually with GOED it was signed.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a copy of that agreement?</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>clear that Northern did not want SDRC, Inc. and that it was clear that they wanted the whole shed the whole entity. And it was clear that GOED didn't want it. And they pretty much told me that I had to go private.</li> <li>That's how it played out.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You said that SDRC, Inc. was a shell corporation.</li> <li>A. It was. Nothing really happened in terms of financial activity.</li> <li>Q. Then why not just start a different corporation when you were distancing yourself? Why take SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. I don't understand your question, the logic behind your question.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>believe it was GOAC, you know, who also came to look at it and went through this whole situation, John Meyer said it's best for you to take a step back.</li> <li>And that's why I said, James, why don't you take it over, and let's see how this all falls into place.</li> <li>Q. So when did you just issue yourself shares of it then?</li> <li>A. The issue of shares were after it was very clear that an agreement was going to be signed with GOED for me to take the entity private.</li> <li>Q. Was the agreement signed?</li> <li>A. Eventually with GOED it was signed.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a copy of that agreement?</li> <li>A. I think you must have it too. I've seen it all in</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>clear that Northern did not want SDRC, Inc. and that it was clear that they wanted the whole shed the whole entity. And it was clear that GOED didn't want it. And they pretty much told me that I had to go private.</li> <li>That's how it played out.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You said that SDRC, Inc. was a shell corporation.</li> <li>A. It was. Nothing really happened in terms of financial activity.</li> <li>Q. Then why not just start a different corporation when you were distancing yourself? Why take SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. I don't understand your question, the logic behind your question.</li> <li>Q. Well, if SDRC, Inc. was just a shell corporation</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>believe it was GOAC, you know, who also came to look at it and went through this whole situation, John Meyer said it's best for you to take a step back.</li> <li>And that's why I said, James, why don't you take it over, and let's see how this all falls into place.</li> <li>Q. So when did you just issue yourself shares of it then?</li> <li>A. The issue of shares were after it was very clear that an agreement was going to be signed with GOED for me to take the entity private.</li> <li>Q. Was the agreement signed?</li> <li>A. Eventually with GOED it was signed.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a copy of that agreement?</li> <li>A. I think you must have it too. I've seen it all in the State stuff when I went through it yesterday.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>clear that Northern did not want SDRC, Inc. and that it was clear that they wanted the whole shed the whole entity. And it was clear that GOED didn't want it. And they pretty much told me that I had to go private.</li> <li>That's how it played out.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You said that SDRC, Inc. was a shell corporation.</li> <li>A. It was. Nothing really happened in terms of financial activity.</li> <li>Q. Then why not just start a different corporation when you were distancing yourself? Why take SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. I don't understand your question, the logic behind your question.</li> <li>Q. Well, if SDRC, Inc. was just a shell corporation</li> <li>A. Eventually going into business. Right? It just</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>believe it was GOAC, you know, who also came to look at it and went through this whole situation, John Meyer said it's best for you to take a step back.</li> <li>And that's why I said, James, why don't you take it over, and let's see how this all falls into place.</li> <li>Q. So when did you just issue yourself shares of it then?</li> <li>A. The issue of shares were after it was very clear that an agreement was going to be signed with GOED for me to take the entity private.</li> <li>Q. Was the agreement signed?</li> <li>A. Eventually with GOED it was signed.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a copy of that agreement?</li> <li>A. I think you must have it too. I've seen it all in the State stuff when I went through it yesterday.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you assigned they assigned the rights</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>clear that Northern did not want SDRC, Inc. and that it was clear that they wanted the whole shed the whole entity. And it was clear that GOED didn't want it. And they pretty much told me that I had to go private.</li> <li>That's how it played out.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You said that SDRC, Inc. was a shell corporation.</li> <li>A. It was. Nothing really happened in terms of financial activity.</li> <li>Q. Then why not just start a different corporation when you were distancing yourself? Why take SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. I don't understand your question, the logic behind your question.</li> <li>Q. Well, if SDRC, Inc. was just a shell corporation</li> <li>A. Eventually going into business. Right? It just took a long time for these cases to materialize before</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>believe it was GOAC, you know, who also came to look at it and went through this whole situation, John Meyer said it's best for you to take a step back.</li> <li>And that's why I said, James, why don't you take it over, and let's see how this all falls into place.</li> <li>Q. So when did you just issue yourself shares of it then?</li> <li>A. The issue of shares were after it was very clear that an agreement was going to be signed with GOED for me to take the entity private.</li> <li>Q. Was the agreement signed?</li> <li>A. Eventually with GOED it was signed.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a copy of that agreement?</li> <li>A. I think you must have it too. I've seen it all in the State stuff when I went through it yesterday.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you assigned they assigned the rights to SDRC, Inc. to you?</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>clear that Northern did not want SDRC, Inc. and that it was clear that they wanted the whole shed the whole entity. And it was clear that GOED didn't want it. And they pretty much told me that I had to go private.</li> <li>That's how it played out.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You said that SDRC, Inc. was a shell corporation.</li> <li>A. It was. Nothing really happened in terms of financial activity.</li> <li>Q. Then why not just start a different corporation when you were distancing yourself? Why take SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. I don't understand your question, the logic behind your question.</li> <li>Q. Well, if SDRC, Inc. was just a shell corporation</li> <li>A. Eventually going into business. Right? It just took a long time for these cases to materialize before money was coming in. But that money was intended for</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>believe it was GOAC, you know, who also came to look at it and went through this whole situation, John Meyer said it's best for you to take a step back.</li> <li>And that's why I said, James, why don't you take it over, and let's see how this all falls into place.</li> <li>Q. So when did you just issue yourself shares of it then?</li> <li>A. The issue of shares were after it was very clear that an agreement was going to be signed with GOED for me to take the entity private.</li> <li>Q. Was the agreement signed?</li> <li>A. Eventually with GOED it was signed.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a copy of that agreement?</li> <li>A. I think you must have it too. I've seen it all in the State stuff when I went through it yesterday.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you assigned they assigned the rights to SDRC, Inc. to you?</li> <li>A. GOED signed the contract with SDRC, Inc. and I was a</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>clear that Northern did not want SDRC, Inc. and that it was clear that they wanted the whole shed the whole entity. And it was clear that GOED didn't want it. And they pretty much told me that I had to go private.</li> <li>That's how it played out.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You said that SDRC, Inc. was a shell corporation.</li> <li>A. It was. Nothing really happened in terms of financial activity.</li> <li>Q. Then why not just start a different corporation when you were distancing yourself? Why take SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. I don't understand your question, the logic behind your question.</li> <li>Q. Well, if SDRC, Inc. was just a shell corporation</li> <li>A. Eventually going into business. Right? It just took a long time for these cases to materialize before money was coming in. But that money was intended for Northern State University.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>believe it was GOAC, you know, who also came to look at it and went through this whole situation, John Meyer said it's best for you to take a step back.</li> <li>And that's why I said, James, why don't you take it over, and let's see how this all falls into place.</li> <li>Q. So when did you just issue yourself shares of it then?</li> <li>A. The issue of shares were after it was very clear that an agreement was going to be signed with GOED for me to take the entity private.</li> <li>Q. Was the agreement signed?</li> <li>A. Eventually with GOED it was signed.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a copy of that agreement?</li> <li>A. I think you must have it too. I've seen it all in the State stuff when I went through it yesterday.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you assigned they assigned the rights to SDRC, Inc. to you?</li> <li>A. GOED signed the contract with SDRC, Inc. and I was a signator and nobody objected. GOED knew what was</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>clear that Northern did not want SDRC, Inc. and that it was clear that they wanted the whole shed the whole entity. And it was clear that GOED didn't want it. And they pretty much told me that I had to go private.</li> <li>That's how it played out.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You said that SDRC, Inc. was a shell corporation.</li> <li>A. It was. Nothing really happened in terms of financial activity.</li> <li>Q. Then why not just start a different corporation when you were distancing yourself? Why take SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. I don't understand your question, the logic behind your question.</li> <li>Q. Well, if SDRC, Inc. was just a shell corporation</li> <li>A. Eventually going into business. Right? It just took a long time for these cases to materialize before money was coming in. But that money was intended for Northern State University.</li> <li>Q. So this business was intended for Northern State</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>believe it was GOAC, you know, who also came to look at it and went through this whole situation, John Meyer said it's best for you to take a step back.</li> <li>And that's why I said, James, why don't you take it over, and let's see how this all falls into place.</li> <li>Q. So when did you just issue yourself shares of it then?</li> <li>A. The issue of shares were after it was very clear that an agreement was going to be signed with GOED for me to take the entity private.</li> <li>Q. Was the agreement signed?</li> <li>A. Eventually with GOED it was signed.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a copy of that agreement?</li> <li>A. I think you must have it too. I've seen it all in the State stuff when I went through it yesterday.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you assigned they assigned the rights to SDRC, Inc. to you?</li> <li>A. GOED signed the contract with SDRC, Inc. and I was a signator and nobody objected. GOED knew what was happening. Northern knew what was happening. Board of</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>clear that Northern did not want SDRC, Inc. and that it was clear that they wanted the whole shed the whole entity. And it was clear that GOED didn't want it. And they pretty much told me that I had to go private.</li> <li>That's how it played out.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You said that SDRC, Inc. was a shell corporation.</li> <li>A. It was. Nothing really happened in terms of financial activity.</li> <li>Q. Then why not just start a different corporation when you were distancing yourself? Why take SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. I don't understand your question, the logic behind your question.</li> <li>Q. Well, if SDRC, Inc. was just a shell corporation</li> <li>A. Eventually going into business. Right? It just took a long time for these cases to materialize before money was coming in. But that money was intended for Northern State University.</li> <li>Q. So this business was intended for Northern State University?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>believe it was GOAC, you know, who also came to look at it and went through this whole situation, John Meyer said it's best for you to take a step back.</li> <li>And that's why I said, James, why don't you take it over, and let's see how this all falls into place.</li> <li>Q. So when did you just issue yourself shares of it then?</li> <li>A. The issue of shares were after it was very clear that an agreement was going to be signed with GOED for me to take the entity private.</li> <li>Q. Was the agreement signed?</li> <li>A. Eventually with GOED it was signed.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a copy of that agreement?</li> <li>A. I think you must have it too. I've seen it all in the State stuff when I went through it yesterday.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you assigned they assigned the rights to SDRC, Inc. to you?</li> <li>A. GOED signed the contract with SDRC, Inc. and I was a signator and nobody objected. GOED knew what was happening. Board of Regents knew what was happening. That was their</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>clear that Northern did not want SDRC, Inc. and that it was clear that they wanted the whole shed the whole entity. And it was clear that GOED didn't want it. And they pretty much told me that I had to go private.</li> <li>That's how it played out.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You said that SDRC, Inc. was a shell corporation.</li> <li>A. It was. Nothing really happened in terms of financial activity.</li> <li>Q. Then why not just start a different corporation when you were distancing yourself? Why take SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. I don't understand your question, the logic behind your question.</li> <li>Q. Well, if SDRC, Inc. was just a shell corporation</li> <li>A. Eventually going into business. Right? It just took a long time for these cases to materialize before money was coming in. But that money was intended for Northern State University.</li> <li>Q. So this business was intended for Northern State University?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>believe it was GOAC, you know, who also came to look at it and went through this whole situation, John Meyer said it's best for you to take a step back.</li> <li>And that's why I said, James, why don't you take it over, and let's see how this all falls into place.</li> <li>Q. So when did you just issue yourself shares of it then?</li> <li>A. The issue of shares were after it was very clear that an agreement was going to be signed with GOED for me to take the entity private.</li> <li>Q. Was the agreement signed?</li> <li>A. Eventually with GOED it was signed.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a copy of that agreement?</li> <li>A. I think you must have it too. I've seen it all in the State stuff when I went through it yesterday.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you assigned they assigned the rights to SDRC, Inc. to you?</li> <li>A. GOED signed the contract with SDRC, Inc. and I was a signator and nobody objected. GOED knew what was happening. Northern knew what was happening. Board of Regents knew what was happening. That was their decision.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>clear that Northern did not want SDRC, Inc. and that it was clear that they wanted the whole shed the whole entity. And it was clear that GOED didn't want it. And they pretty much told me that I had to go private.</li> <li>That's how it played out.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You said that SDRC, Inc. was a shell corporation.</li> <li>A. It was. Nothing really happened in terms of financial activity.</li> <li>Q. Then why not just start a different corporation when you were distancing yourself? Why take SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. I don't understand your question, the logic behind your question.</li> <li>Q. Well, if SDRC, Inc. was just a shell corporation</li> <li>A. Eventually going into business. Right? It just took a long time for these cases to materialize before money was coming in. But that money was intended for Northern State University.</li> <li>Q. So this business was intended for Northern State University?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>believe it was GOAC, you know, who also came to look at it and went through this whole situation, John Meyer said it's best for you to take a step back.</li> <li>And that's why I said, James, why don't you take it over, and let's see how this all falls into place.</li> <li>Q. So when did you just issue yourself shares of it then?</li> <li>A. The issue of shares were after it was very clear that an agreement was going to be signed with GOED for me to take the entity private.</li> <li>Q. Was the agreement signed?</li> <li>A. Eventually with GOED it was signed.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a copy of that agreement?</li> <li>A. I think you must have it too. I've seen it all in the State stuff when I went through it yesterday.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you assigned they assigned the rights to SDRC, Inc. to you?</li> <li>A. GOED signed the contract with SDRC, Inc. and I was a signator and nobody objected. GOED knew what was happening. Board of Regents knew what was happening. That was their</li> </ul>

	Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	File	d 10/10/18 Page 24 of 61 PageID #: 2482 95
1	are the benefits, what are the costs. They're	1	A. And then you have a regional center, which are
2	bureaucrats. They're there to eliminate risk, without	2	complete separate things. They're not the same thing.
3	regards to the benefits.	3	Q. Right. They're not the same thing, but you call it
4	And that's why I told you earlier we were working in	4	South Dakota Regional Center, Incorporated?
5	this very bureaucratic setting doing very entrepreneurial	5	MS. DVORAK: Objection.
6	thing, and that culture clash came to a point where Board	6	A. Which one? The business?
7	of Regents said we are no longer on a mission of	7	Q. Yes.
8	educating students. We need to get this out of our	8	MS. DVORAK: It's just continuing to misstate
9	system.	9	the evidence. We there is no South Dakota Regional
10	<b>Q.</b> So they saw SDRC, Inc. as a threat to South Dakota	10	Center, Incorporated. There's SDRC, Inc.
11	Regional Center?	11	A. Oh, okay. Okay. I get it.
12	A. It was too much money. The project that was going	12	Yeah. There's only SDRC, Incorporated. There is no
13	in, they didn't expect how it was going to explode.	13	such thing as a South Dakota Regional Center. It doesn't
14	Because before, like NPII, they had, when I left, about	14	exist.
15	\$250,000 that I had in an account for Northern.	15	Q. Right.
16	Here they were also thinking it was a fabulous	16	A. It's just a name, I guess.
17	thing, until they saw there was like \$50 million coming	17	<b>Q.</b> It's just a name. But you chose the name because in
18	and there was a lawsuit. And when there's a lawsuit in a	18	your mind it represented South Dakota Regional Center,
19	bureaucratic environment everybody starts pointing	19	Incorporated?
20	fingers at each other, and they stop like deer in the	20	A. No. No. They're complete different things in my
21	headlines of a car. That's what occurred.	21	mind. SDRC, Inc. is a legal entity. South Dakota
22	And there's tons if you ask for the e-mails at	22	Regional Center is just a name. Just like SDIBI. It's
23	Northern, there's tons of e-mail clearly showing that	23	not a legal it's just something I came up with.
24	everybody higher up knew what was going on. And if you	24	<b>Q.</b> Right. And they're very similar in their names.
25	check with the Board of Regents, I'm also very confident	25	A. One is South Dakota Regional Center, which is a long
	94		96
			90
1	you'll see that there's discussions what to do with SDRC	1	word, and the other are four acronyms.
2	you'll see that there's discussions what to do with SDRC and NPII both.	2	<ul><li>word, and the other are four acronyms.</li><li>Q. You call it SDRC, don't you, for short?</li></ul>
	<ul><li>you'll see that there's discussions what to do with SDRC and NPII both.</li><li>Q. Why name SDRC, Inc. the name it has? You'll agree</li></ul>	2 3	<ul><li>word, and the other are four acronyms.</li><li>Q. You call it SDRC, don't you, for short?</li><li>A. SDRC, Incorporated.</li></ul>
2 3 4	<ul><li>you'll see that there's discussions what to do with SDRC and NPII both.</li><li>Q. Why name SDRC, Inc. the name it has? You'll agree it's very confusing that the regional center is called</li></ul>	2 3 4	<ul> <li>word, and the other are four acronyms.</li> <li>Q. You call it SDRC, don't you, for short?</li> <li>A. SDRC, Incorporated.</li> <li>Q. But you call the regional center for short</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>you'll see that there's discussions what to do with SDRC and NPII both.</li><li>Q. Why name SDRC, Inc. the name it has? You'll agree it's very confusing that the regional center is called SDRC.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>word, and the other are four acronyms.</li> <li>Q. You call it SDRC, don't you, for short?</li> <li>A. SDRC, Incorporated.</li> <li>Q. But you call the regional center for short</li> <li>A. I would call it the regional center. South Dakota</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>you'll see that there's discussions what to do with SDRC and NPII both.</li> <li>Q. Why name SDRC, Inc. the name it has? You'll agree it's very confusing that the regional center is called SDRC.</li> <li>A. Yeah. It's maybe confusing, but it also makes it</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>word, and the other are four acronyms.</li> <li>Q. You call it SDRC, don't you, for short?</li> <li>A. SDRC, Incorporated.</li> <li>Q. But you call the regional center for short</li> <li>A. I would call it the regional center. South Dakota</li> <li>Regional Center. I would say it in a long version. And,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>you'll see that there's discussions what to do with SDRC and NPII both.</li> <li>Q. Why name SDRC, Inc. the name it has? You'll agree it's very confusing that the regional center is called SDRC.</li> <li>A. Yeah. It's maybe confusing, but it also makes it easier, I think, South Dakota Regional Center, South</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>word, and the other are four acronyms.</li> <li>Q. You call it SDRC, don't you, for short?</li> <li>A. SDRC, Incorporated.</li> <li>Q. But you call the regional center for short</li> <li>A. I would call it the regional center. South Dakota</li> <li>Regional Center. I would say it in a long version. And, again, the South Dakota Regional Center belongs to GOED.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>you'll see that there's discussions what to do with SDRC and NPII both.</li> <li>Q. Why name SDRC, Inc. the name it has? You'll agree it's very confusing that the regional center is called SDRC.</li> <li>A. Yeah. It's maybe confusing, but it also makes it easier, I think, South Dakota Regional Center, South Dakota Regional Center, Incorporated. It seems like</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>word, and the other are four acronyms.</li> <li>Q. You call it SDRC, don't you, for short?</li> <li>A. SDRC, Incorporated.</li> <li>Q. But you call the regional center for short</li> <li>A. I would call it the regional center. South Dakota</li> <li>Regional Center. I would say it in a long version. And, again, the South Dakota Regional Center belongs to GOED.</li> <li>Q. Why was James Park the successor registered agent of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>you'll see that there's discussions what to do with SDRC and NPII both.</li> <li>Q. Why name SDRC, Inc. the name it has? You'll agree it's very confusing that the regional center is called SDRC.</li> <li>A. Yeah. It's maybe confusing, but it also makes it easier, I think, South Dakota Regional Center, South Dakota Regional Center, Incorporated. It seems like Yeah. I can see how it's confusing. We could have</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>word, and the other are four acronyms.</li> <li>Q. You call it SDRC, don't you, for short?</li> <li>A. SDRC, Incorporated.</li> <li>Q. But you call the regional center for short</li> <li>A. I would call it the regional center. South Dakota Regional Center. I would say it in a long version. And, again, the South Dakota Regional Center belongs to GOED.</li> <li>Q. Why was James Park the successor registered agent of SDRC, Inc.?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>you'll see that there's discussions what to do with SDRC and NPII both.</li> <li>Q. Why name SDRC, Inc. the name it has? You'll agree it's very confusing that the regional center is called SDRC.</li> <li>A. Yeah. It's maybe confusing, but it also makes it easier, I think, South Dakota Regional Center, South Dakota Regional Center, Incorporated. It seems like Yeah. I can see how it's confusing. We could have named it something else.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>word, and the other are four acronyms.</li> <li>Q. You call it SDRC, don't you, for short?</li> <li>A. SDRC, Incorporated.</li> <li>Q. But you call the regional center for short</li> <li>A. I would call it the regional center. South Dakota</li> <li>Regional Center. I would say it in a long version. And, again, the South Dakota Regional Center belongs to GOED.</li> <li>Q. Why was James Park the successor registered agent of SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. Didn't I just explain that? Because of the turmoil</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>you'll see that there's discussions what to do with SDRC and NPII both.</li> <li>Q. Why name SDRC, Inc. the name it has? You'll agree it's very confusing that the regional center is called SDRC.</li> <li>A. Yeah. It's maybe confusing, but it also makes it easier, I think, South Dakota Regional Center, South Dakota Regional Center, Incorporated. It seems like Yeah. I can see how it's confusing. We could have named it something else.</li> <li>Q. So South Dakota Regional Center, Incorporated</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>word, and the other are four acronyms.</li> <li>Q. You call it SDRC, don't you, for short?</li> <li>A. SDRC, Incorporated.</li> <li>Q. But you call the regional center for short</li> <li>A. I would call it the regional center. South Dakota Regional Center. I would say it in a long version. And, again, the South Dakota Regional Center belongs to GOED.</li> <li>Q. Why was James Park the successor registered agent of SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. Didn't I just explain that? Because of the turmoil at the Northern State University.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>you'll see that there's discussions what to do with SDRC and NPII both.</li> <li>Q. Why name SDRC, Inc. the name it has? You'll agree it's very confusing that the regional center is called SDRC.</li> <li>A. Yeah. It's maybe confusing, but it also makes it easier, I think, South Dakota Regional Center, South Dakota Regional Center, South Dakota Regional Center, Incorporated. It seems like Yeah. I can see how it's confusing. We could have named it something else.</li> <li>Q. So South Dakota Regional Center, Incorporated actually was not the regional center.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>word, and the other are four acronyms.</li> <li>Q. You call it SDRC, don't you, for short?</li> <li>A. SDRC, Incorporated.</li> <li>Q. But you call the regional center for short</li> <li>A. I would call it the regional center. South Dakota Regional Center. I would say it in a long version. And, again, the South Dakota Regional Center belongs to GOED.</li> <li>Q. Why was James Park the successor registered agent of SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. Didn't I just explain that? Because of the turmoil at the Northern State University.</li> <li>Q. But why James Park?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>you'll see that there's discussions what to do with SDRC and NPII both.</li> <li>Q. Why name SDRC, Inc. the name it has? You'll agree it's very confusing that the regional center is called SDRC.</li> <li>A. Yeah. It's maybe confusing, but it also makes it easier, I think, South Dakota Regional Center, South Dakota Regional Center, Incorporated. It seems likeYeah. I can see how it's confusing. We could have named it something else.</li> <li>Q. So South Dakota Regional Center, Incorporated actually was not the regional center.</li> <li>A. Say that again. No. No. No. No. The regional</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>word, and the other are four acronyms.</li> <li>Q. You call it SDRC, don't you, for short?</li> <li>A. SDRC, Incorporated.</li> <li>Q. But you call the regional center for short</li> <li>A. I would call it the regional center. South Dakota Regional Center. I would say it in a long version. And, again, the South Dakota Regional Center belongs to GOED.</li> <li>Q. Why was James Park the successor registered agent of SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. Didn't I just explain that? Because of the turmoil at the Northern State University.</li> <li>Q. But why James Park?</li> <li>A. Because I felt comfortable with him. And I and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>you'll see that there's discussions what to do with SDRC and NPII both.</li> <li>Q. Why name SDRC, Inc. the name it has? You'll agree it's very confusing that the regional center is called SDRC.</li> <li>A. Yeah. It's maybe confusing, but it also makes it easier, I think, South Dakota Regional Center, South Dakota Regional Center, Incorporated. It seems like Yeah. I can see how it's confusing. We could have named it something else.</li> <li>Q. So South Dakota Regional Center, Incorporated actually was not the regional center.</li> <li>A. Say that again. No. No. No. No. The regional center is there is no legal entity that owns the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>word, and the other are four acronyms.</li> <li>Q. You call it SDRC, don't you, for short?</li> <li>A. SDRC, Incorporated.</li> <li>Q. But you call the regional center for short</li> <li>A. I would call it the regional center. South Dakota Regional Center. I would say it in a long version. And, again, the South Dakota Regional Center belongs to GOED.</li> <li>Q. Why was James Park the successor registered agent of SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. Didn't I just explain that? Because of the turmoil at the Northern State University.</li> <li>Q. But why James Park?</li> <li>A. Because I felt comfortable with him. And I and we he really, until that point, never gave me a reason</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>you'll see that there's discussions what to do with SDRC and NPII both.</li> <li>Q. Why name SDRC, Inc. the name it has? You'll agree it's very confusing that the regional center is called SDRC.</li> <li>A. Yeah. It's maybe confusing, but it also makes it easier, I think, South Dakota Regional Center, South Dakota Regional Center, South Dakota Regional Center, Incorporated. It seems like Yeah. I can see how it's confusing. We could have named it something else.</li> <li>Q. So South Dakota Regional Center, Incorporated actually was not the regional center.</li> <li>A. Say that again. No. No. No. No. The regional center is there is no legal entity that owns the regional center is a certification given to the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>word, and the other are four acronyms.</li> <li>Q. You call it SDRC, don't you, for short?</li> <li>A. SDRC, Incorporated.</li> <li>Q. But you call the regional center for short</li> <li>A. I would call it the regional center. South Dakota</li> <li>Regional Center. I would say it in a long version. And, again, the South Dakota Regional Center belongs to GOED.</li> <li>Q. Why was James Park the successor registered agent of SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. Didn't I just explain that? Because of the turmoil at the Northern State University.</li> <li>Q. But why James Park?</li> <li>A. Because I felt comfortable with him. And I and we he really, until that point, never gave me a reason to doubt his integrity.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>you'll see that there's discussions what to do with SDRC and NPII both.</li> <li>Q. Why name SDRC, Inc. the name it has? You'll agree it's very confusing that the regional center is called SDRC.</li> <li>A. Yeah. It's maybe confusing, but it also makes it easier, I think, South Dakota Regional Center, South Dakota Regional Center, Incorporated. It seems likeYeah. I can see how it's confusing. We could have named it something else.</li> <li>Q. So South Dakota Regional Center, Incorporated actually was not the regional center.</li> <li>A. Say that again. No. No. No. No. The regional center is there is no legal entity that owns the regional center is a certification given to the Governor's Office of Economic Development.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>word, and the other are four acronyms.</li> <li>Q. You call it SDRC, don't you, for short?</li> <li>A. SDRC, Incorporated.</li> <li>Q. But you call the regional center for short</li> <li>A. I would call it the regional center. South Dakota Regional Center. I would say it in a long version. And, again, the South Dakota Regional Center belongs to GOED.</li> <li>Q. Why was James Park the successor registered agent of SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. Didn't I just explain that? Because of the turmoil at the Northern State University.</li> <li>Q. But why James Park?</li> <li>A. Because I felt comfortable with him. And I and we he really, until that point, never gave me a reason to doubt his integrity.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You said until that time. Has he ever given</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>you'll see that there's discussions what to do with SDRC and NPII both.</li> <li>Q. Why name SDRC, Inc. the name it has? You'll agree it's very confusing that the regional center is called SDRC.</li> <li>A. Yeah. It's maybe confusing, but it also makes it easier, I think, South Dakota Regional Center, South Dakota Regional Center, South Dakota Regional Center, Incorporated. It seems like Yeah. I can see how it's confusing. We could have named it something else.</li> <li>Q. So South Dakota Regional Center, Incorporated actually was not the regional center.</li> <li>A. Say that again. No. No. No. No. The regional center is there is no legal entity that owns the regional center is a certification given to the Governor's Office of Economic Development.</li> <li>Q. Right. So you can see why it would seem like it's</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>word, and the other are four acronyms.</li> <li>Q. You call it SDRC, don't you, for short?</li> <li>A. SDRC, Incorporated.</li> <li>Q. But you call the regional center for short</li> <li>A. I would call it the regional center. South Dakota Regional Center. I would say it in a long version. And, again, the South Dakota Regional Center belongs to GOED.</li> <li>Q. Why was James Park the successor registered agent of SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. Didn't I just explain that? Because of the turmoil at the Northern State University.</li> <li>Q. But why James Park?</li> <li>A. Because I felt comfortable with him. And I and we he really, until that point, never gave me a reason to doubt his integrity.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You said until that time. Has he ever given you a reason to doubt his integrity?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>you'll see that there's discussions what to do with SDRC and NPII both.</li> <li>Q. Why name SDRC, Inc. the name it has? You'll agree it's very confusing that the regional center is called SDRC.</li> <li>A. Yeah. It's maybe confusing, but it also makes it easier, I think, South Dakota Regional Center, South Dakota Regional Center, Incorporated. It seems likeYeah. I can see how it's confusing. We could have named it something else.</li> <li>Q. So South Dakota Regional Center, Incorporated actually was not the regional center.</li> <li>A. Say that again. No. No. No. No. The regional center is there is no legal entity that owns the regional center is a certification given to the Governor's Office of Economic Development.</li> <li>Q. Right. So you can see why it would seem like it's misleading to name it South Dakota Regional Center,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>word, and the other are four acronyms.</li> <li>Q. You call it SDRC, don't you, for short?</li> <li>A. SDRC, Incorporated.</li> <li>Q. But you call the regional center for short</li> <li>A. I would call it the regional center. South Dakota Regional Center. I would say it in a long version. And, again, the South Dakota Regional Center belongs to GOED.</li> <li>Q. Why was James Park the successor registered agent of SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. Didn't I just explain that? Because of the turmoil at the Northern State University.</li> <li>Q. But why James Park?</li> <li>A. Because I felt comfortable with him. And I and we he really, until that point, never gave me a reason to doubt his integrity.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You said until that time. Has he ever given you a reason to doubt his integrity?</li> <li>A. Not James directly, but some of Hanul's actions have</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>you'll see that there's discussions what to do with SDRC and NPII both.</li> <li>Q. Why name SDRC, Inc. the name it has? You'll agree it's very confusing that the regional center is called SDRC.</li> <li>A. Yeah. It's maybe confusing, but it also makes it easier, I think, South Dakota Regional Center, South Dakota Regional Center, South Dakota Regional Center, Incorporated. It seems like Yeah. I can see how it's confusing. We could have named it something else.</li> <li>Q. So South Dakota Regional Center, Incorporated actually was not the regional center.</li> <li>A. Say that again. No. No. No. No. The regional center is there is no legal entity that owns the regional center is a certification given to the Governor's Office of Economic Development.</li> <li>Q. Right. So you can see why it would seem like it's misleading to name it South Dakota Regional Center, Incorporated.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>word, and the other are four acronyms.</li> <li>Q. You call it SDRC, don't you, for short?</li> <li>A. SDRC, Incorporated.</li> <li>Q. But you call the regional center for short</li> <li>A. I would call it the regional center. South Dakota Regional Center. I would say it in a long version. And, again, the South Dakota Regional Center belongs to GOED.</li> <li>Q. Why was James Park the successor registered agent of SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. Didn't I just explain that? Because of the turmoil at the Northern State University.</li> <li>Q. But why James Park?</li> <li>A. Because I felt comfortable with him. And I and we he really, until that point, never gave me a reason to doubt his integrity.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You said until that time. Has he ever given you a reason to doubt his integrity?</li> <li>A. Not James directly, but some of Hanul's actions have surprised me.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>you'll see that there's discussions what to do with SDRC and NPII both.</li> <li>Q. Why name SDRC, Inc. the name it has? You'll agree it's very confusing that the regional center is called SDRC.</li> <li>A. Yeah. It's maybe confusing, but it also makes it easier, I think, South Dakota Regional Center, South Dakota Regional Center, South Dakota Regional Center, Incorporated. It seems like</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>word, and the other are four acronyms.</li> <li>Q. You call it SDRC, don't you, for short?</li> <li>A. SDRC, Incorporated.</li> <li>Q. But you call the regional center for short</li> <li>A. I would call it the regional center. South Dakota Regional Center. I would say it in a long version. And, again, the South Dakota Regional Center belongs to GOED.</li> <li>Q. Why was James Park the successor registered agent of SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. Didn't I just explain that? Because of the turmoil at the Northern State University.</li> <li>Q. But why James Park?</li> <li>A. Because I felt comfortable with him. And I and we he really, until that point, never gave me a reason to doubt his integrity.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You said until that time. Has he ever given you a reason to doubt his integrity?</li> <li>A. Not James directly, but some of Hanul's actions have surprised me.</li> <li>Q. Explain that.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>you'll see that there's discussions what to do with SDRC and NPII both.</li> <li>Q. Why name SDRC, Inc. the name it has? You'll agree it's very confusing that the regional center is called SDRC.</li> <li>A. Yeah. It's maybe confusing, but it also makes it easier, I think, South Dakota Regional Center, South Dakota Regional Center, South Dakota Regional Center, Incorporated. It seems like Yeah. I can see how it's confusing. We could have named it something else.</li> <li>Q. So South Dakota Regional Center, Incorporated actually was not the regional center.</li> <li>A. Say that again. No. No. No. No. The regional center is there is no legal entity that owns the regional center is a certification given to the Governor's Office of Economic Development.</li> <li>Q. Right. So you can see why it would seem like it's misleading to name it South Dakota Regional Center, Incorporated.</li> <li>MS. DVORAK: Objection. Misstates the evidence. That's not the name.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>word, and the other are four acronyms.</li> <li>Q. You call it SDRC, don't you, for short?</li> <li>A. SDRC, Incorporated.</li> <li>Q. But you call the regional center for short</li> <li>A. I would call it the regional center. South Dakota Regional Center. I would say it in a long version. And, again, the South Dakota Regional Center belongs to GOED.</li> <li>Q. Why was James Park the successor registered agent of SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. Didn't I just explain that? Because of the turmoil at the Northern State University.</li> <li>Q. But why James Park?</li> <li>A. Because I felt comfortable with him. And I and we he really, until that point, never gave me a reason to doubt his integrity.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You said until that time. Has he ever given you a reason to doubt his integrity?</li> <li>A. Not James directly, but some of Hanul's actions have surprised me.</li> <li>Q. Explain that.</li> <li>A. The lawsuit with Darley</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>you'll see that there's discussions what to do with SDRC and NPII both.</li> <li>Q. Why name SDRC, Inc. the name it has? You'll agree it's very confusing that the regional center is called SDRC.</li> <li>A. Yeah. It's maybe confusing, but it also makes it easier, I think, South Dakota Regional Center, South Dakota Regional Center, South Dakota Regional Center, Incorporated. It seems like Yeah. I can see how it's confusing. We could have named it something else.</li> <li>Q. So South Dakota Regional Center, Incorporated actually was not the regional center.</li> <li>A. Say that again. No. No. No. No. The regional center is there is no legal entity that owns the regional center is a certification given to the Governor's Office of Economic Development.</li> <li>Q. Right. So you can see why it would seem like it's misleading to name it South Dakota Regional Center, Incorporated.</li> <li>MS. DVORAK: Objection. Misstates the evidence.</li> <li>That's not the name.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Well, you just said it was.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>word, and the other are four acronyms.</li> <li>Q. You call it SDRC, don't you, for short?</li> <li>A. SDRC, Incorporated.</li> <li>Q. But you call the regional center for short</li> <li>A. I would call it the regional center. South Dakota Regional Center. I would say it in a long version. And, again, the South Dakota Regional Center belongs to GOED.</li> <li>Q. Why was James Park the successor registered agent of SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. Didn't I just explain that? Because of the turmoil at the Northern State University.</li> <li>Q. But why James Park?</li> <li>A. Because I felt comfortable with him. And I and we he really, until that point, never gave me a reason to doubt his integrity.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You said until that time. Has he ever given you a reason to doubt his integrity?</li> <li>A. Not James directly, but some of Hanul's actions have surprised me.</li> <li>Q. Explain that.</li> <li>A. The lawsuit with Darley</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>you'll see that there's discussions what to do with SDRC and NPII both.</li> <li>Q. Why name SDRC, Inc. the name it has? You'll agree it's very confusing that the regional center is called SDRC.</li> <li>A. Yeah. It's maybe confusing, but it also makes it easier, I think, South Dakota Regional Center, South Dakota Regional Center, Incorporated. It seems like Yeah. I can see how it's confusing. We could have named it something else.</li> <li>Q. So South Dakota Regional Center, Incorporated actually was not the regional center.</li> <li>A. Say that again. No. No. No. No. The regional center is there is no legal entity that owns the regional center is a certification given to the Governor's Office of Economic Development.</li> <li>Q. Right. So you can see why it would seem like it's misleading to name it South Dakota Regional Center, Incorporated.</li> <li>MS. DVORAK: Objection. Misstates the evidence. That's not the name. MS. OLIVIER: Well, you just said it was.</li> <li>A. No. It's South Dakota Regional Center,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>word, and the other are four acronyms.</li> <li>Q. You call it SDRC, don't you, for short?</li> <li>A. SDRC, Incorporated.</li> <li>Q. But you call the regional center for short</li> <li>A. I would call it the regional center. South Dakota Regional Center. I would say it in a long version. And, again, the South Dakota Regional Center belongs to GOED.</li> <li>Q. Why was James Park the successor registered agent of SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. Didn't I just explain that? Because of the turmoil at the Northern State University.</li> <li>Q. But why James Park?</li> <li>A. Because I felt comfortable with him. And I and we he really, until that point, never gave me a reason to doubt his integrity.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You said until that time. Has he ever given you a reason to doubt his integrity?</li> <li>A. Not James directly, but some of Hanul's actions have surprised me.</li> <li>Q. Explain that.</li> <li>A. The lawsuit with Darley</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A was a surprise, where I was not aware there was a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>you'll see that there's discussions what to do with SDRC and NPII both.</li> <li>Q. Why name SDRC, Inc. the name it has? You'll agree it's very confusing that the regional center is called SDRC.</li> <li>A. Yeah. It's maybe confusing, but it also makes it easier, I think, South Dakota Regional Center, South Dakota Regional Center, South Dakota Regional Center, Incorporated. It seems like Yeah. I can see how it's confusing. We could have named it something else.</li> <li>Q. So South Dakota Regional Center, Incorporated actually was not the regional center.</li> <li>A. Say that again. No. No. No. No. The regional center is there is no legal entity that owns the regional center is a certification given to the Governor's Office of Economic Development.</li> <li>Q. Right. So you can see why it would seem like it's misleading to name it South Dakota Regional Center, Incorporated.</li> <li>MS. DVORAK: Objection. Misstates the evidence.</li> <li>That's not the name.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Well, you just said it was.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>word, and the other are four acronyms.</li> <li>Q. You call it SDRC, don't you, for short?</li> <li>A. SDRC, Incorporated.</li> <li>Q. But you call the regional center for short</li> <li>A. I would call it the regional center. South Dakota Regional Center. I would say it in a long version. And, again, the South Dakota Regional Center belongs to GOED.</li> <li>Q. Why was James Park the successor registered agent of SDRC, Inc.?</li> <li>A. Didn't I just explain that? Because of the turmoil at the Northern State University.</li> <li>Q. But why James Park?</li> <li>A. Because I felt comfortable with him. And I and we he really, until that point, never gave me a reason to doubt his integrity.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You said until that time. Has he ever given you a reason to doubt his integrity?</li> <li>A. Not James directly, but some of Hanul's actions have surprised me.</li> <li>Q. Explain that.</li> <li>A. The lawsuit with Darley</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>

## Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1 99 1 1 Economic Development. can take care of this issue, it's better than ringing the 2 2 alarm bell at Northern because all these bureaucrats are Α. But then you have the same problems with signing 3 3 going to get scared crapless if there is a lawsuit. So contracts and all of that other stuff. It would be such 4 if you think it is easy to get take care -- taken care 4 a bureaucratic mess you would never get through it. 5 of, just take care of it. 5 But wasn't the whole point of the regional center Q. 6 And then I found out that it wasn't, and that is 6 just to be the soccer field, as you described earlier? 7 what brought the whole Darley suit in the open. 7 Α. Initially it was. But at a later point it 8 What else --0 8 transitioned to a loan model where, as I mentioned to 9 9 So at some point I realized that there was a you, the vetting no longer was done properly, and an Α. 10 lawsuit. But before, you know, you can say I'm naive. 10 entity had to be created to fill the new model that now 11 11 Whatever it was, I never realized there was a lawsuit. I was required to operate the regional center. 12 thought it was all taken care of. 12 Q. And SDRC, Inc. is a for-profit entity? 13 And it was -- had to do with a contract that I was 13 Α. Now it is, yeah. 14 not even a signator to, so I didn't see how I could be 14 Q. And when you say "now it is," when did it become 15 15 profitable? tied to a contract that I never read and never signed. 16 16 Q. What else has Hanul done that might make you Α. At the time when Northern State -- pretty much at 17 auestion their --17 the time where GOED signed the contract with SDRC for me 18 Α. That's -- that's -- that's the big one. The Darley 18 to take it over privately. 19 19 lawsuit. Q. Okay. And then you made it into a for-profit 20 20 entity? Q. Is there anything else? 21 21 Α. No. Not really. Yes. Α. 22 Okay. Not really. 22 Explain -- let me see. Q. Q 23 Α. None. No. 23 So once SDRC, Inc. became a for-profit entity, was 24 Q. Okay. Was there a point -- tell me how SDRC, Inc. 24 it at the same time SDRC was formed that you started 25 25 was assigned the right to manage the regional center. forming SDI -- the limited partnerships and the LLCs? 98 100 1 A. At the end of this GOED signed the contract with 1 Well, this one that you showed me before, that's why Α. 2 SDRC, Incorporated. So it's the Governor's Office, I think that's a prelude, but it never was activated. 3 3 Secretary Benda, signing, giving the right to SDRC, Inc. Q. Okay. 4 Q. How did that come up? Why was the regional center's 4 Α. At some point -- and I don't know the exact dates, 5 management authority given to SDRC, Inc.? 5 but SDI LP1, LP2, all of them were -- came into being 6 Α. What happened is, as I mentioned, there was so much 6 with the State. 7 turmoil at Northern after the Darley lawsuit that 7 Q. Okay. So they came into existence. 8 everybody froze. You know, you had a GOAC audit. Nobody 8 I don't know the dates exactly. Α. 9 dared making decisions anymore. The Board of Regents 9 Q. Explain the business model. What is the point of 10 10 became involved. the investment fund LLC, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6? 11 11 But the money for the turkey plant was supposed to Α. It's LP1, 2, 3, 4. The LLC is the GP of the LP. 12 be coming. So there is tons of correspondence with 12 It's a different structure. 13 Northern's upper level. Give me directions. What are we 13 Q. Okay. So the LLC is the general partner --14 going to do? This money's coming. We need to start 14 Α. Yes. 15 taking action. What are you going to do? 15 Q. -- of the limited partnership? 16 And eventually Northern decided -- or the Board of 16 Yes. That's correct. Α. 17 17 Regents, huh-uh. Then Benda, he, in my estimation, Q. And who is in charge of the general -- the LLC that 18 wanted to take it but also realized that GOED couldn't 18 is the general partner? 19 effectively manage. They were scared of it too. 19 Α. SDRC, Inc. 20 20 So it was a meeting between the president of Q. SDRC, Inc. 21 Northern and Richard Benda. They decided that I should 21 Uh-huh. Α. 22 go private and do it under contract with the Governor's 22 Q. Which is owned by you and Pyush Patel? 23 23 Office. Α. Yes. 24 24 Okay. How are profits by SDRC, Inc. split and paid Why do you have to be private to do it? You could Q. Q. 25 have gone and worked for the Governor's Office of 25 out to you and Mr. Patel?

	Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	File	d 10/10/18 Page 26 of 61 PageID #: 2484 103
1	A. Distribution to the stockholders.	1	sorry. I can get that for you on the next break. Yeah.
2	Q. You do distributions. Yearly?	2	I'll get them for you on the next break.
3	A. It depends. We decide together, as the	3	(Discussion off the record.)
4	shareholders, when the time is appropriate to take a	4	<b>Q.</b> So what is what are these marketing agreements,
5	draw.	5	and when were they done?
6	<b>Q.</b> Okay. So SDRC, Inc., this for-profit entity,	6	A. Well, this one looks like it's done in 2008. These
7	becomes the general partner of the limited partnerships.	7	are agents overseas that want to promote the project
8	A. What? No. Say that again. You didn't say that	8	or a project, such as SD the project for SDIF LP1,
9	right.	9	LP2, LP3, LP4, et cetera.
10	Q. SDRC, Inc	10	I don't know if this is Linda He or not. I cannot
11	A. Uh-huh.	11	recognize the signature.
12	<b>Q.</b> becomes the general partner of the LLC, which	12	Q. Okay. Was there an agreement similar to this signed
13	is	13	with Linda He's companies?
14	A. No.	14	A. Yes. With many companies. And a lot of them didn't
15	Q. Okay. Go ahead. Of	15	ever turn into productivity.
16	A. No. The LLC is the general partner. The only	16	<b>Q.</b> If you go to Tab 24, this is the overseas marketing
17	member of the LLC is SDRC, Inc.	17	agreement with Hanul.
18	Q. Okay. There we go.	18	A. Okay.
19	Why not just have SDRC, Inc. be the general partner	19	MR. OBERG: What's the Bates, Kasey?
20	of the limited partnership?	20	MS. OLIVIER: This one is 298.
21	A. Well, I think you have a lot of different projects,	21	A. Okay.
22	and we always knew that the money was very risky, had to	22	<b>Q.</b> Why was this document before or after the <u>Darley</u>
23	be at risk. So you just and you had different	23	lawsuit?
24	projects so you don't want to couple them all together.	24	A. What's the date on it? 2008-7-9. I don't I
25	It just makes sense to have different projects and keep	25	would think I think the <u>Darley</u> still was going on
	102	4	104
1	them separated.	1	during this time frame, but I'm not sure.
2	<ul><li>them separated.</li><li>Q. So of all the states that do regional centers, are</li></ul>	2	<ul><li>during this time frame, but I'm not sure.</li><li>Q. If you turn to the next page, this looks like this</li></ul>
2 3	<ul><li>them separated.</li><li>Q. So of all the states that do regional centers, are they structured the same way?</li></ul>	2 3	<ul><li>during this time frame, but I'm not sure.</li><li>Q. If you turn to the next page, this looks like this is Linda He's company; correct? Or the next tab of</li></ul>
2 3 4	<ul> <li>them separated.</li> <li>Q. So of all the states that do regional centers, are they structured the same way?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so.</li> </ul>	2 3 4	<ul> <li>during this time frame, but I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q. If you turn to the next page, this looks like this is Linda He's company; correct? Or the next tab of</li> <li>A. 25?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>them separated.</li> <li>Q. So of all the states that do regional centers, are they structured the same way?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so.</li> <li>Q. But you don't know?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>during this time frame, but I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q. If you turn to the next page, this looks like this is Linda He's company; correct? Or the next tab of</li> <li>A. 25?</li> <li>Q. Yeah. 322.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>them separated.</li> <li>Q. So of all the states that do regional centers, are they structured the same way?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so.</li> <li>Q. But you don't know?</li> <li>A. No. I don't know.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>during this time frame, but I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q. If you turn to the next page, this looks like this is Linda He's company; correct? Or the next tab of</li> <li>A. 25?</li> <li>Q. Yeah. 322.</li> <li>A. Sorry?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>them separated.</li> <li>Q. So of all the states that do regional centers, are they structured the same way?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so.</li> <li>Q. But you don't know?</li> <li>A. No. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever looked into it?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>during this time frame, but I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q. If you turn to the next page, this looks like this is Linda He's company; correct? Or the next tab of</li> <li>A. 25?</li> <li>Q. Yeah. 322.</li> <li>A. Sorry?</li> <li>Q. Bates 322.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>them separated.</li> <li>Q. So of all the states that do regional centers, are they structured the same way?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so.</li> <li>Q. But you don't know?</li> <li>A. No. I don't know.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>during this time frame, but I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q. If you turn to the next page, this looks like this is Linda He's company; correct? Or the next tab of</li> <li>A. 25?</li> <li>Q. Yeah. 322.</li> <li>A. Sorry?</li> <li>Q. Bates 322.</li> <li>A. What tab?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>them separated.</li> <li>Q. So of all the states that do regional centers, are they structured the same way?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so.</li> <li>Q. But you don't know?</li> <li>A. No. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever looked into it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>during this time frame, but I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q. If you turn to the next page, this looks like this is Linda He's company; correct? Or the next tab of</li> <li>A. 25?</li> <li>Q. Yeah. 322.</li> <li>A. Sorry?</li> <li>Q. Bates 322.</li> <li>A. What tab?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>them separated.</li> <li>Q. So of all the states that do regional centers, are they structured the same way?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so.</li> <li>Q. But you don't know?</li> <li>A. No. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever looked into it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I'd like to go back and have you turn to Tab 22.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>during this time frame, but I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q. If you turn to the next page, this looks like this is Linda He's company; correct? Or the next tab of</li> <li>A. 25?</li> <li>Q. Yeah. 322.</li> <li>A. Sorry?</li> <li>Q. Bates 322.</li> <li>A. What tab?</li> <li>Q. Oh, it's just the very next one?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>them separated.</li> <li>Q. So of all the states that do regional centers, are they structured the same way?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so.</li> <li>Q. But you don't know?</li> <li>A. No. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever looked into it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I'd like to go back and have you turn to Tab 22.</li> <li>These are the marketing agreements with I believe this</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>during this time frame, but I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q. If you turn to the next page, this looks like this is Linda He's company; correct? Or the next tab of</li> <li>A. 25?</li> <li>Q. Yeah. 322.</li> <li>A. Sorry?</li> <li>Q. Bates 322.</li> <li>A. What tab?</li> <li>Q. Oh, it's just the very next one?</li> <li>A. Which tab?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>them separated.</li> <li>Q. So of all the states that do regional centers, are they structured the same way?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so.</li> <li>Q. But you don't know?</li> <li>A. No. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever looked into it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I'd like to go back and have you turn to Tab 22.</li> <li>These are the marketing agreements with I believe this would be I'm guessing Linda He's company?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>during this time frame, but I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q. If you turn to the next page, this looks like this is Linda He's company; correct? Or the next tab of</li> <li>A. 25?</li> <li>Q. Yeah. 322.</li> <li>A. Sorry?</li> <li>Q. Bates 322.</li> <li>A. What tab?</li> <li>Q. Oh, it's just the very next one?</li> <li>A. Which tab?</li> <li>Q. 26.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>them separated.</li> <li>Q. So of all the states that do regional centers, are they structured the same way?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so.</li> <li>Q. But you don't know?</li> <li>A. No. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever looked into it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I'd like to go back and have you turn to Tab 22.</li> <li>These are the marketing agreements with I believe this would be I'm guessing Linda He's company? Is that what these are?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>during this time frame, but I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q. If you turn to the next page, this looks like this is Linda He's company; correct? Or the next tab of</li> <li>A. 25?</li> <li>Q. Yeah. 322.</li> <li>A. Sorry?</li> <li>Q. Bates 322.</li> <li>A. What tab?</li> <li>Q. Oh, it's just the very next one?</li> <li>A. Which tab?</li> <li>Q. 26.</li> <li>A. Okay. Overseas marketing agreement. Yeah. This</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>them separated.</li> <li>Q. So of all the states that do regional centers, are they structured the same way?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so.</li> <li>Q. But you don't know?</li> <li>A. No. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever looked into it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I'd like to go back and have you turn to Tab 22.</li> <li>These are the marketing agreements with I believe this would be I'm guessing Linda He's company? Is that what these are?</li> <li>A. I have to look at who signed it. Who signed it?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>during this time frame, but I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q. If you turn to the next page, this looks like this is Linda He's company; correct? Or the next tab of</li> <li>A. 25?</li> <li>Q. Yeah. 322.</li> <li>A. Sorry?</li> <li>Q. Bates 322.</li> <li>A. What tab?</li> <li>Q. Oh, it's just the very next one?</li> <li>A. Which tab?</li> <li>Q. 26.</li> <li>A. Okay. Overseas marketing agreement. Yeah. This would be one of Linda's companies.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>them separated.</li> <li>Q. So of all the states that do regional centers, are</li> <li>they structured the same way?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so.</li> <li>Q. But you don't know?</li> <li>A. No. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever looked into it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I'd like to go back and have you turn to Tab 22.</li> <li>These are the marketing agreements with I believe this</li> <li>would be I'm guessing Linda He's company? Is that what these are?</li> <li>A. I have to look at who signed it. Who signed it?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>during this time frame, but I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q. If you turn to the next page, this looks like this is Linda He's company; correct? Or the next tab of</li> <li>A. 25?</li> <li>Q. Yeah. 322.</li> <li>A. Sorry?</li> <li>Q. Bates 322.</li> <li>A. What tab?</li> <li>Q. Oh, it's just the very next one?</li> <li>A. Which tab?</li> <li>Q. 26.</li> <li>A. Okay. Overseas marketing agreement. Yeah. This would be one of Linda's companies.</li> <li>There's been some revisions too over time. So this</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>them separated.</li> <li>Q. So of all the states that do regional centers, are they structured the same way?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so.</li> <li>Q. But you don't know?</li> <li>A. No. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever looked into it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I'd like to go back and have you turn to Tab 22.</li> <li>These are the marketing agreements with I believe this would be I'm guessing Linda He's company? Is that what these are?</li> <li>A. I have to look at who signed it. Who signed it?</li> <li>Beijing I don't think is Linda.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: It's Exhibit 50. It would be the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>during this time frame, but I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q. If you turn to the next page, this looks like this is Linda He's company; correct? Or the next tab of</li> <li>A. 25?</li> <li>Q. Yeah. 322.</li> <li>A. Sorry?</li> <li>Q. Bates 322.</li> <li>A. What tab?</li> <li>Q. Oh, it's just the very next one?</li> <li>A. Which tab?</li> <li>Q. 26.</li> <li>A. Okay. Overseas marketing agreement. Yeah. This would be one of Linda's companies.</li> <li>There's been some revisions too over time. So this is one of them. We signed multiple ones with her.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>them separated.</li> <li>Q. So of all the states that do regional centers, are they structured the same way?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so.</li> <li>Q. But you don't know?</li> <li>A. No. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever looked into it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I'd like to go back and have you turn to Tab 22.</li> <li>These are the marketing agreements with I believe this would be I'm guessing Linda He's company? Is that what these are?</li> <li>A. I have to look at who signed it. Who signed it?</li> <li>Beijing I don't think is Linda. MS. OLIVIER: It's Exhibit 50. It would be the Joop Bollen Depo 2014-277.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>during this time frame, but I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q. If you turn to the next page, this looks like this is Linda He's company; correct? Or the next tab of</li> <li>A. 25?</li> <li>Q. Yeah. 322.</li> <li>A. Sorry?</li> <li>Q. Bates 322.</li> <li>A. What tab?</li> <li>Q. Oh, it's just the very next one?</li> <li>A. Which tab?</li> <li>Q. 26.</li> <li>A. Okay. Overseas marketing agreement. Yeah. This would be one of Linda's companies.</li> <li>There's been some revisions too over time. So this is one of them. We signed multiple ones with her.</li> <li>M. OLIVIER: Julie, have you provided those</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>them separated.</li> <li>Q. So of all the states that do regional centers, are they structured the same way?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so.</li> <li>Q. But you don't know?</li> <li>A. No. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever looked into it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I'd like to go back and have you turn to Tab 22.</li> <li>These are the marketing agreements with I believe this would be I'm guessing Linda He's company? Is that what these are?</li> <li>A. I have to look at who signed it. Who signed it?</li> <li>Beijing I don't think is Linda. MS. OLIVIER: It's Exhibit 50. It would be the Joop Bollen Depo 2014-277. MR. KERKVLEIT: Are those documents that you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>during this time frame, but I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q. If you turn to the next page, this looks like this is Linda He's company; correct? Or the next tab of</li> <li>A. 25?</li> <li>Q. Yeah. 322.</li> <li>A. Sorry?</li> <li>Q. Bates 322.</li> <li>A. What tab?</li> <li>Q. Oh, it's just the very next one?</li> <li>A. Which tab?</li> <li>Q. 26.</li> <li>A. Okay. Overseas marketing agreement. Yeah. This would be one of Linda's companies.</li> <li>There's been some revisions too over time. So this is one of them. We signed multiple ones with her.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Julie, have you provided those consulting agreements with Linda's company?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>them separated.</li> <li>Q. So of all the states that do regional centers, are they structured the same way?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so.</li> <li>Q. But you don't know?</li> <li>A. No. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever looked into it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I'd like to go back and have you turn to Tab 22.</li> <li>These are the marketing agreements with I believe this would be I'm guessing Linda He's company? Is that what these are?</li> <li>A. I have to look at who signed it. Who signed it?</li> <li>Beijing I don't think is Linda.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: It's Exhibit 50. It would be the Joop Bollen Depo 2014-277. MR. KERKVLEIT: Are those documents that you guys produced?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>during this time frame, but I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q. If you turn to the next page, this looks like this is Linda He's company; correct? Or the next tab of</li> <li>A. 25?</li> <li>Q. Yeah. 322.</li> <li>A. Sorry?</li> <li>Q. Bates 322.</li> <li>A. What tab?</li> <li>Q. Oh, it's just the very next one?</li> <li>A. Which tab?</li> <li>Q. 26.</li> <li>A. Okay. Overseas marketing agreement. Yeah. This would be one of Linda's companies.</li> <li>There's been some revisions too over time. So this is one of them. We signed multiple ones with her.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Julie, have you provided those consulting agreements with Linda's company?</li> <li>MS. DVORAK: I don't know if I have or not.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>them separated.</li> <li>Q. So of all the states that do regional centers, are they structured the same way?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so.</li> <li>Q. But you don't know?</li> <li>A. No. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever looked into it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I'd like to go back and have you turn to Tab 22.</li> <li>These are the marketing agreements with I believe this would be I'm guessing Linda He's company? Is that what these are?</li> <li>A. I have to look at who signed it. Who signed it?</li> <li>Beijing I don't think is Linda.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: It's Exhibit 50. It would be the Joop Bollen Depo 2014-277.</li> <li>MR. KERKVLEIT: Are those documents that you guys produced?</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: No. We found them online. So I can I'll get you a copy as soon as we're done.</li> <li>They're just they're the exhibits to his prior</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>during this time frame, but I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q. If you turn to the next page, this looks like this is Linda He's company; correct? Or the next tab of</li> <li>A. 25?</li> <li>Q. Yeah. 322.</li> <li>A. Sorry?</li> <li>Q. Bates 322.</li> <li>A. What tab?</li> <li>Q. Oh, it's just the very next one?</li> <li>A. Which tab?</li> <li>Q. 26.</li> <li>A. Okay. Overseas marketing agreement. Yeah. This would be one of Linda's companies.</li> <li>There's been some revisions too over time. So this is one of them. We signed multiple ones with her.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Julie, have you provided those consulting agreements with Linda's company?</li> <li>MS. DVORAK: I don't know if I have or not.</li> <li>They're public information.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Okay. I'm asking if he has copies and if you've produced them.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>them separated.</li> <li>Q. So of all the states that do regional centers, are they structured the same way?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so.</li> <li>Q. But you don't know?</li> <li>A. No. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever looked into it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I'd like to go back and have you turn to Tab 22.</li> <li>These are the marketing agreements with I believe this would be I'm guessing Linda He's company? Is that what these are?</li> <li>A. I have to look at who signed it. Who signed it?</li> <li>Beijing I don't think is Linda. MS. OLIVIER: It's Exhibit 50. It would be the Joop Bollen Depo 2014-277. MR. KERKVLEIT: Are those documents that you guys produced? MS. OLIVIER: No. We found them online. So I can I'll get you a copy as soon as we're done.</li> <li>They're just they're the exhibits to his prior deposition so I'll get it for you.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>during this time frame, but I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q. If you turn to the next page, this looks like this is Linda He's company; correct? Or the next tab of</li> <li>A. 25?</li> <li>Q. Yeah. 322.</li> <li>A. Sorry?</li> <li>Q. Bates 322.</li> <li>A. What tab?</li> <li>Q. Oh, it's just the very next one?</li> <li>A. Which tab?</li> <li>Q. 26.</li> <li>A. Okay. Overseas marketing agreement. Yeah. This would be one of Linda's companies.</li> <li>There's been some revisions too over time. So this is one of them. We signed multiple ones with her.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Julie, have you provided those consulting agreements with Linda's company?</li> <li>MS. DVORAK: I don't know if I have or not.</li> <li>They're public information.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Okay. I'm asking if he has copies and if you've produced them.</li> <li>MS. DVORAK: I don't know.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>them separated.</li> <li>Q. So of all the states that do regional centers, are they structured the same way?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so.</li> <li>Q. But you don't know?</li> <li>A. No. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever looked into it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I'd like to go back and have you turn to Tab 22.</li> <li>These are the marketing agreements with I believe this would be I'm guessing Linda He's company? Is that what these are?</li> <li>A. I have to look at who signed it. Who signed it?</li> <li>Beijing I don't think is Linda.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: It's Exhibit 50. It would be the Joop Bollen Depo 2014-277.</li> <li>MR. KERKVLEIT: Are those documents that you guys produced?</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: No. We found them online. So I can I'll get you a copy as soon as we're done.</li> <li>They're just they're the exhibits to his prior deposition so I'll get it for you.</li> <li>A. I don't recall if this is Linda or not. Oh, here's</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>during this time frame, but I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q. If you turn to the next page, this looks like this is Linda He's company; correct? Or the next tab of</li> <li>A. 25?</li> <li>Q. Yeah. 322.</li> <li>A. Sorry?</li> <li>Q. Bates 322.</li> <li>A. What tab?</li> <li>Q. Oh, it's just the very next one?</li> <li>A. Which tab?</li> <li>Q. 26.</li> <li>A. Okay. Overseas marketing agreement. Yeah. This would be one of Linda's companies.</li> <li>There's been some revisions too over time. So this is one of them. We signed multiple ones with her.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Julie, have you provided those consulting agreements with Linda's company?</li> <li>MS. DLIVIER: I don't know if I have or not.</li> <li>They're public information.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Okay. I'm asking if he has copies and if you've produced them.</li> <li>MS. DLIVIER: I don't know.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Okay. Would you check? I mean,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>them separated.</li> <li>Q. So of all the states that do regional centers, are they structured the same way?</li> <li>A. I would suspect so.</li> <li>Q. But you don't know?</li> <li>A. No. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever looked into it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I'd like to go back and have you turn to Tab 22.</li> <li>These are the marketing agreements with I believe this would be I'm guessing Linda He's company? Is that what these are?</li> <li>A. I have to look at who signed it. Who signed it?</li> <li>Beijing I don't think is Linda. MS. OLIVIER: It's Exhibit 50. It would be the Joop Bollen Depo 2014-277. MR. KERKVLEIT: Are those documents that you guys produced? MS. OLIVIER: No. We found them online. So I can I'll get you a copy as soon as we're done.</li> <li>They're just they're the exhibits to his prior deposition so I'll get it for you.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>during this time frame, but I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q. If you turn to the next page, this looks like this is Linda He's company; correct? Or the next tab of</li> <li>A. 25?</li> <li>Q. Yeah. 322.</li> <li>A. Sorry?</li> <li>Q. Bates 322.</li> <li>A. What tab?</li> <li>Q. Oh, it's just the very next one?</li> <li>A. Which tab?</li> <li>Q. 26.</li> <li>A. Okay. Overseas marketing agreement. Yeah. This would be one of Linda's companies.</li> <li>There's been some revisions too over time. So this is one of them. We signed multiple ones with her.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Julie, have you provided those consulting agreements with Linda's company?</li> <li>MS. DVORAK: I don't know if I have or not.</li> <li>They're public information.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Okay. I'm asking if he has copies and if you've produced them.</li> <li>MS. DVORAK: I don't know.</li> </ul>

	Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	File	d 10/10/18 Page 27 of 61 PageID #: 2485 107
1	come from your production.	1	A. From what I recall is Ron Wheeler contacted me. He
2	So if he has copies of these, he needs to turn	2	has connections in Pierre, and he found out that this
3	them over.	3	problem this program was available, and he contacted
4	MS. DVORAK: Sure.	4	me.
5	<b>Q.</b> Okay. What recruiter marketed the Tentexkota EB-5	5	<b>Q.</b> Were was SDIC or SDRC, Inc. still part of the
6	project?	6	University at that point in time?
7	A. Wailian wanted to do the project wholly themselves,	7	A. I believe so, yes. Because the negotiation was done
8	but and that was the initial intent. But it turned	8	while all of it was done while I was a State employee.
9	out that they really slowed down. They weren't able to	9	<b>Q</b> . All the negotiations were while you were
10	fill the project and, therefore, some other recruiters	10	A. Right.
11	jumped into and finished the project off.	11	<b>Q.</b> working for the University?
12	<b>Q.</b> Who were the other recruiters?	12	A. Right.
13	A. I do not recall offhand. I would have to I don't	13	<b>Q.</b> When you say all the negotiations what do you mean?
14	know offhand which other recruiters has some partners	14	A. That the project was already being promoted, and the
15	into LP2.	15	details of the negotiations were already hammered out,
16	<b>Q.</b> Do you still have contact with those recruiters?	16	that everybody was on the same page with how to move
17	A. They are limited partners, but I don't offhand know	17	forward with the project.
18	how many were recruited by agents other than Linda.	18	<b>Q.</b> And were you doing some of the negotiations through
19	Q. Does Linda represent a majority?	19	SDRC, Inc. at that point in time?
20	A. Yes.	20	A. I think you can say that.
21	<b>Q.</b> How many does she represent?	21	<b>Q.</b> And at that point in time SDRC, Inc. was part of the
22	A. I don't know the exact number, but the majority.	22	University?
23	<b>Q.</b> What is your best guess of majority?	23	A. Yes. Well, actually I don't think you can say that.
24	A. I would my guess would be about 90 percent.	24	It should be as part of SDI LP2 when the negotiation was
25	Q. About 90 percent?	25	done. Not SDRC, Inc.
	106		108
1	106 A. 80, 90 percent.	1	108 Q. Explain that.
1 2		1 2	
	A. 80, 90 percent.	-	Q. Explain that.
2 3 4	A. 80, 90 percent. MR. HEIDEPRIEM: Kasey, can we stop?	2	<ul> <li>Q. Explain that.</li> <li>A. SDI LP2 is the entity that to which the money</li> </ul>
2 3	<ul> <li>A. 80, 90 percent.</li> <li>MR. HEIDEPRIEM: Kasey, can we stop?</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Yeah.</li> </ul>	2	<ul> <li>Q. Explain that.</li> <li>A. SDI LP2 is the entity that to which the money came into and the entity that lent money to Tentexkota.</li> </ul>
2 3 4	<ul> <li>A. 80, 90 percent.</li> <li>MR. HEIDEPRIEM: Kasey, can we stop?</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Yeah.</li> <li>(Discussion off the record.)</li> <li>(Exhibit 3 is marked for identification.)</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: We're back on the record, after a</li> </ul>	2 3 4	<ul> <li>Q. Explain that.</li> <li>A. SDI LP2 is the entity that to which the money came into and the entity that lent money to Tentexkota.</li> <li>Q. Correct. And</li> <li>A. So the negotiation was really the GP the LLC doing it on behalf of SDI, LP2. Not SDRC.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. 80, 90 percent.</li> <li>MR. HEIDEPRIEM: Kasey, can we stop?</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Yeah.</li> <li>(Discussion off the record.)</li> <li>(Exhibit 3 is marked for identification.)</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. Explain that.</li> <li>A. SDI LP2 is the entity that to which the money came into and the entity that lent money to Tentexkota.</li> <li>Q. Correct. And</li> <li>A. So the negotiation was really the GP the LLC</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. 80, 90 percent.</li> <li>MR. HEIDEPRIEM: Kasey, can we stop?</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Yeah.</li> <li>(Discussion off the record.)</li> <li>(Exhibit 3 is marked for identification.)</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: We're back on the record, after a</li> <li>short commercial break, at 2:16.</li> <li>A. Can I mention one thing? That if you want to know</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. Explain that.</li> <li>A. SDI LP2 is the entity that to which the money came into and the entity that lent money to Tentexkota.</li> <li>Q. Correct. And</li> <li>A. So the negotiation was really the GP the LLC doing it on behalf of SDI, LP2. Not SDRC.</li> <li>Q. But at that point in time SDRC, Inc. was</li> <li>A. In existence, yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. 80, 90 percent.</li> <li>MR. HEIDEPRIEM: Kasey, can we stop?</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Yeah.</li> <li>(Discussion off the record.)</li> <li>(Exhibit 3 is marked for identification.)</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: We're back on the record, after a short commercial break, at 2:16.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Explain that.</li> <li>A. SDI LP2 is the entity that to which the money came into and the entity that lent money to Tentexkota.</li> <li>Q. Correct. And</li> <li>A. So the negotiation was really the GP the LLC doing it on behalf of SDI, LP2. Not SDRC.</li> <li>Q. But at that point in time SDRC, Inc. was</li> <li>A. In existence, yes.</li> <li>Q. In existence. Was it the general partner?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. 80, 90 percent.</li> <li>MR. HEIDEPRIEM: Kasey, can we stop?</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Yeah.</li> <li>(Discussion off the record.)</li> <li>(Exhibit 3 is marked for identification.)</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: We're back on the record, after a</li> <li>short commercial break, at 2:16.</li> <li>A. Can I mention one thing? That if you want to know</li> <li>my other business entities with Patel, I don't have a</li> <li>problem.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Explain that.</li> <li>A. SDI LP2 is the entity that to which the money came into and the entity that lent money to Tentexkota.</li> <li>Q. Correct. And</li> <li>A. So the negotiation was really the GP the LLC doing it on behalf of SDI, LP2. Not SDRC.</li> <li>Q. But at that point in time SDRC, Inc. was</li> <li>A. In existence, yes.</li> <li>Q. In existence. Was it the general partner?</li> <li>A. No. The LLC was, from what I recall.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. 80, 90 percent.</li> <li>MR. HEIDEPRIEM: Kasey, can we stop?</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Yeah.</li> <li>(Discussion off the record.)</li> <li>(Exhibit 3 is marked for identification.)</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: We're back on the record, after a</li> <li>short commercial break, at 2:16.</li> <li>A. Can I mention one thing? That if you want to know</li> <li>my other business entities with Patel, I don't have a</li> <li>problem.</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Explain that.</li> <li>A. SDI LP2 is the entity that to which the money came into and the entity that lent money to Tentexkota.</li> <li>Q. Correct. And</li> <li>A. So the negotiation was really the GP the LLC doing it on behalf of SDI, LP2. Not SDRC.</li> <li>Q. But at that point in time SDRC, Inc. was</li> <li>A. In existence, yes.</li> <li>Q. In existence. Was it the general partner?</li> <li>A. No. The LLC was, from what I recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it was the sole member of the LLC?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. 80, 90 percent.</li> <li>MR. HEIDEPRIEM: Kasey, can we stop?</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Yeah.</li> <li>(Discussion off the record.)</li> <li>(Exhibit 3 is marked for identification.)</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: We're back on the record, after a</li> <li>short commercial break, at 2:16.</li> <li>A. Can I mention one thing? That if you want to know</li> <li>my other business entities with Patel, I don't have a</li> <li>problem.</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. We have a variety of apartment rentals, gas</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Explain that.</li> <li>A. SDI LP2 is the entity that to which the money came into and the entity that lent money to Tentexkota.</li> <li>Q. Correct. And</li> <li>A. So the negotiation was really the GP the LLC doing it on behalf of SDI, LP2. Not SDRC.</li> <li>Q. But at that point in time SDRC, Inc. was</li> <li>A. In existence, yes.</li> <li>Q. In existence. Was it the general partner?</li> <li>A. No. The LLC was, from what I recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it was the sole member of the LLC?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. 80, 90 percent.</li> <li>MR. HEIDEPRIEM: Kasey, can we stop?</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Yeah.</li> <li>(Discussion off the record.)</li> <li>(Exhibit 3 is marked for identification.)</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: We're back on the record, after a</li> <li>short commercial break, at 2:16.</li> <li>A. Can I mention one thing? That if you want to know</li> <li>my other business entities with Patel, I don't have a</li> <li>problem.</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. We have a variety of apartment rentals, gas</li> <li>convenience stores in Atlanta. There's a series of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Explain that.</li> <li>A. SDI LP2 is the entity that to which the money came into and the entity that lent money to Tentexkota.</li> <li>Q. Correct. And</li> <li>A. So the negotiation was really the GP the LLC doing it on behalf of SDI, LP2. Not SDRC.</li> <li>Q. But at that point in time SDRC, Inc. was</li> <li>A. In existence, yes.</li> <li>Q. In existence. Was it the general partner?</li> <li>A. No. The LLC was, from what I recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it was the sole member of the LLC?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So the State of South Dakota at that time was a sole</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. 80, 90 percent.</li> <li>MR. HEIDEPRIEM: Kasey, can we stop?</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Yeah.</li> <li>(Discussion off the record.)</li> <li>(Exhibit 3 is marked for identification.)</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: We're back on the record, after a</li> <li>short commercial break, at 2:16.</li> <li>A. Can I mention one thing? That if you want to know</li> <li>my other business entities with Patel, I don't have a</li> <li>problem.</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. We have a variety of apartment rentals, gas</li> <li>convenience stores in Atlanta. There's a series of</li> <li>convenience stores in Atlanta, apartment entities.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Explain that.</li> <li>A. SDI LP2 is the entity that to which the money came into and the entity that lent money to Tentexkota.</li> <li>Q. Correct. And</li> <li>A. So the negotiation was really the GP the LLC doing it on behalf of SDI, LP2. Not SDRC.</li> <li>Q. But at that point in time SDRC, Inc. was</li> <li>A. In existence, yes.</li> <li>Q. In existence. Was it the general partner?</li> <li>A. No. The LLC was, from what I recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it was the sole member of the LLC?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So the State of South Dakota at that time was a sole member of the LLC?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. 80, 90 percent.</li> <li>MR. HEIDEPRIEM: Kasey, can we stop? MS. OLIVIER: Yeah. (Discussion off the record.) (Exhibit 3 is marked for identification.) MS. OLIVIER: We're back on the record, after a short commercial break, at 2:16.</li> <li>A. Can I mention one thing? That if you want to know my other business entities with Patel, I don't have a problem.</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. We have a variety of apartment rentals, gas convenience stores in Atlanta. There's a series of convenience stores in Atlanta, apartment entities.</li> <li>There's some dollar loan title loan outfits, and we</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Explain that.</li> <li>A. SDI LP2 is the entity that to which the money came into and the entity that lent money to Tentexkota.</li> <li>Q. Correct. And</li> <li>A. So the negotiation was really the GP the LLC doing it on behalf of SDI, LP2. Not SDRC.</li> <li>Q. But at that point in time SDRC, Inc. was</li> <li>A. In existence, yes.</li> <li>Q. In existence. Was it the general partner?</li> <li>A. No. The LLC was, from what I recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it was the sole member of the LLC?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So the State of South Dakota at that time was a sole member of the LLC?</li> <li>A. Yeah. Until they spun it off and decided they</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. 80, 90 percent.</li> <li>MR. HEIDEPRIEM: Kasey, can we stop? MS. OLIVIER: Yeah. (Discussion off the record.) (Exhibit 3 is marked for identification.) MS. OLIVIER: We're back on the record, after a short commercial break, at 2:16.</li> <li>A. Can I mention one thing? That if you want to know my other business entities with Patel, I don't have a problem.</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. We have a variety of apartment rentals, gas convenience stores in Atlanta. There's a series of convenience stores in Atlanta, apartment entities.</li> <li>There's some dollar loan title loan outfits, and we have some commercial property that we rent out.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Explain that.</li> <li>A. SDI LP2 is the entity that to which the money came into and the entity that lent money to Tentexkota.</li> <li>Q. Correct. And</li> <li>A. So the negotiation was really the GP the LLC doing it on behalf of SDI, LP2. Not SDRC.</li> <li>Q. But at that point in time SDRC, Inc. was</li> <li>A. In existence, yes.</li> <li>Q. In existence. Was it the general partner?</li> <li>A. No. The LLC was, from what I recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it was the sole member of the LLC?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So the State of South Dakota at that time was a sole member of the LLC?</li> <li>A. Yeah. Until they spun it off and decided they didn't want it. I don't know if you can say the State.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. 80, 90 percent.</li> <li>MR. HEIDEPRIEM: Kasey, can we stop? MS. OLIVIER: Yeah. (Discussion off the record.) (Exhibit 3 is marked for identification.) MS. OLIVIER: We're back on the record, after a short commercial break, at 2:16.</li> <li>A. Can I mention one thing? That if you want to know my other business entities with Patel, I don't have a problem.</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. We have a variety of apartment rentals, gas convenience stores in Atlanta. There's a series of convenience stores in Atlanta, apartment entities.</li> <li>There's some dollar loan title loan outfits, and we have some commercial property that we rent out. MS. OLIVIER: Should we wait until Julie comes</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Explain that.</li> <li>A. SDI LP2 is the entity that to which the money came into and the entity that lent money to Tentexkota.</li> <li>Q. Correct. And</li> <li>A. So the negotiation was really the GP the LLC doing it on behalf of SDI, LP2. Not SDRC.</li> <li>Q. But at that point in time SDRC, Inc. was</li> <li>A. In existence, yes.</li> <li>Q. In existence. Was it the general partner?</li> <li>A. No. The LLC was, from what I recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it was the sole member of the LLC?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So the State of South Dakota at that time was a sole member of the LLC?</li> <li>A. Yeah. Until they spun it off and decided they didn't want it. I don't know if you can say the State. It's still SDRC, Inc.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. 80, 90 percent.</li> <li>MR. HEIDEPRIEM: Kasey, can we stop? MS. OLIVIER: Yeah. (Discussion off the record.) (Exhibit 3 is marked for identification.) MS. OLIVIER: We're back on the record, after a short commercial break, at 2:16.</li> <li>A. Can I mention one thing? That if you want to know my other business entities with Patel, I don't have a problem.</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. We have a variety of apartment rentals, gas convenience stores in Atlanta. There's a series of convenience stores in Atlanta, apartment entities.</li> <li>There's some dollar loan title loan outfits, and we have some commercial property that we rent out. MS. OLIVIER: Should we wait until Julie comes back? Yeah.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Explain that.</li> <li>A. SDI LP2 is the entity that to which the money came into and the entity that lent money to Tentexkota.</li> <li>Q. Correct. And</li> <li>A. So the negotiation was really the GP the LLC doing it on behalf of SDI, LP2. Not SDRC.</li> <li>Q. But at that point in time SDRC, Inc. was</li> <li>A. In existence, yes.</li> <li>Q. In existence. Was it the general partner?</li> <li>A. No. The LLC was, from what I recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it was the sole member of the LLC?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So the State of South Dakota at that time was a sole member of the LLC?</li> <li>A. Yeah. Until they spun it off and decided they didn't want it. I don't know if you can say the State.</li> <li>It's still SDRC, Inc.</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. 80, 90 percent.</li> <li>MR. HEIDEPRIEM: Kasey, can we stop? MS. OLIVIER: Yeah. (Discussion off the record.) (Exhibit 3 is marked for identification.) MS. OLIVIER: We're back on the record, after a short commercial break, at 2:16.</li> <li>A. Can I mention one thing? That if you want to know my other business entities with Patel, I don't have a problem.</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. We have a variety of apartment rentals, gas convenience stores in Atlanta. There's a series of convenience stores in Atlanta. There's a series of convenience stores in Atlanta, apartment entities.</li> <li>There's some dollar loan title loan outfits, and we have some commercial property that we rent out. MS. OLIVIER: Should we wait until Julie comes back? Yeah.</li> <li>THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want me to stop?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. Explain that.</li> <li>A. SDI LP2 is the entity that to which the money came into and the entity that lent money to Tentexkota.</li> <li>Q. Correct. And</li> <li>A. So the negotiation was really the GP the LLC doing it on behalf of SDI, LP2. Not SDRC.</li> <li>Q. But at that point in time SDRC, Inc. was</li> <li>A. In existence, yes.</li> <li>Q. In existence. Was it the general partner?</li> <li>A. No. The LLC was, from what I recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it was the sole member of the LLC?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So the State of South Dakota at that time was a sole member of the LLC?</li> <li>A. Yeah. Until they spun it off and decided they didn't want it. I don't know if you can say the State.</li> <li>It's still SDRC, Inc.</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. 80, 90 percent.</li> <li>MR. HEIDEPRIEM: Kasey, can we stop?</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Yeah.</li> <li>(Discussion off the record.)</li> <li>(Exhibit 3 is marked for identification.)</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: We're back on the record, after a</li> <li>short commercial break, at 2:16.</li> <li>A. Can I mention one thing? That if you want to know</li> <li>my other business entities with Patel, I don't have a</li> <li>problem.</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. We have a variety of apartment rentals, gas</li> <li>convenience stores in Atlanta. There's a series of</li> <li>convenience stores in Atlanta, apartment entities.</li> <li>There's some dollar loan title loan outfits, and we</li> <li>have some commercial property that we rent out.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Should we wait until Julie comes</li> <li>back? Yeah.</li> <li>THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want me to stop?</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Sure. At 2:16.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Explain that.</li> <li>A. SDI LP2 is the entity that to which the money came into and the entity that lent money to Tentexkota.</li> <li>Q. Correct. And</li> <li>A. So the negotiation was really the GP the LLC doing it on behalf of SDI, LP2. Not SDRC.</li> <li>Q. But at that point in time SDRC, Inc. was</li> <li>A. In existence, yes.</li> <li>Q. In existence. Was it the general partner?</li> <li>A. No. The LLC was, from what I recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it was the sole member of the LLC?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So the State of South Dakota at that time was a sole member of the LLC?</li> <li>A. Yeah. Until they spun it off and decided they didn't want it. I don't know if you can say the State.</li> <li>It's still SDRC, Inc.</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. An entity that at that point in time</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. 80, 90 percent.</li> <li>MR. HEIDEPRIEM: Kasey, can we stop?</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Yeah.</li> <li>(Discussion off the record.)</li> <li>(Exhibit 3 is marked for identification.)</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: We're back on the record, after a</li> <li>short commercial break, at 2:16.</li> <li>A. Can I mention one thing? That if you want to know</li> <li>my other business entities with Patel, I don't have a</li> <li>problem.</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. We have a variety of apartment rentals, gas</li> <li>convenience stores in Atlanta. There's a series of</li> <li>convenience stores in Atlanta, apartment entities.</li> <li>There's some dollar loan title loan outfits, and we</li> <li>have some commercial property that we rent out.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Should we wait until Julie comes</li> <li>back? Yeah.</li> <li>THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want me to stop?</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Sure. At 2:16.</li> <li>(Discussion off the record.)</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Explain that.</li> <li>A. SDI LP2 is the entity that to which the money came into and the entity that lent money to Tentexkota.</li> <li>Q. Correct. And</li> <li>A. So the negotiation was really the GP the LLC doing it on behalf of SDI, LP2. Not SDRC.</li> <li>Q. But at that point in time SDRC, Inc. was</li> <li>A. In existence, yes.</li> <li>Q. In existence. Was it the general partner?</li> <li>A. No. The LLC was, from what I recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it was the sole member of the LLC?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So the State of South Dakota at that time was a sole member of the LLC?</li> <li>A. Yeah. Until they spun it off and decided they didn't want it. I don't know if you can say the State.</li> <li>It's still SDRC, Inc.</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. An entity that at that point in time</li> <li>A. Was destined to be owned by the State of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. 80, 90 percent.</li> <li>MR. HEIDEPRIEM: Kasey, can we stop?</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Yeah.</li> <li>(Discussion off the record.)</li> <li>(Exhibit 3 is marked for identification.)</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: We're back on the record, after a short commercial break, at 2:16.</li> <li>A. Can I mention one thing? That if you want to know my other business entities with Patel, I don't have a problem.</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. We have a variety of apartment rentals, gas convenience stores in Atlanta. There's a series of convenience stores in Atlanta, apartment entities.</li> <li>There's some dollar loan title loan outfits, and we have some commercial property that we rent out.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Should we wait until Julie comes back? Yeah.</li> <li>THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want me to stop?</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Sure. At 2:16.</li> <li>(Discussion off the record.)</li> <li>THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Explain that.</li> <li>A. SDI LP2 is the entity that to which the money came into and the entity that lent money to Tentexkota.</li> <li>Q. Correct. And</li> <li>A. So the negotiation was really the GP the LLC doing it on behalf of SDI, LP2. Not SDRC.</li> <li>Q. But at that point in time SDRC, Inc. was</li> <li>A. In existence, yes.</li> <li>Q. In existence. Was it the general partner?</li> <li>A. No. The LLC was, from what I recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it was the sole member of the LLC?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So the State of South Dakota at that time was a sole member of the LLC?</li> <li>A. Yeah. Until they spun it off and decided they didn't want it. I don't know if you can say the State.</li> <li>It's still SDRC, Inc.</li> <li>Q. An entity that at that point in time</li> <li>A. Was destined to be owned by the State of South Dakota, Northern State University.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. 80, 90 percent.</li> <li>MR. HEIDEPRIEM: Kasey, can we stop?</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Yeah.</li> <li>(Discussion off the record.)</li> <li>(Exhibit 3 is marked for identification.)</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: We're back on the record, after a short commercial break, at 2:16.</li> <li>A. Can I mention one thing? That if you want to know my other business entities with Patel, I don't have a problem.</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. We have a variety of apartment rentals, gas convenience stores in Atlanta. There's a series of convenience stores in Atlanta, apartment entities.</li> <li>There's some dollar loan title loan outfits, and we have some commercial property that we rent out.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Should we wait until Julie comes back? Yeah.</li> <li>THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want me to stop?</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Sure. At 2:16.</li> <li>(Discussion off the record.)</li> <li>THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Back on at 2:17.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Explain that.</li> <li>A. SDI LP2 is the entity that to which the money came into and the entity that lent money to Tentexkota.</li> <li>Q. Correct. And</li> <li>A. So the negotiation was really the GP the LLC doing it on behalf of SDI, LP2. Not SDRC.</li> <li>Q. But at that point in time SDRC, Inc. was</li> <li>A. In existence, yes.</li> <li>Q. In existence. Was it the general partner?</li> <li>A. No. The LLC was, from what I recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it was the sole member of the LLC?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So the State of South Dakota at that time was a sole member of the LLC?</li> <li>A. Yeah. Until they spun it off and decided they didn't want it. I don't know if you can say the State.</li> <li>It's still SDRC, Inc.</li> <li>Q. An entity that at that point in time</li> <li>A. Was destined to be owned by the State of South Dakota, Northern State University.</li> <li>Q. And now it's a for-profit company?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. 80, 90 percent.</li> <li>MR. HEIDEPRIEM: Kasey, can we stop?</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Yeah.</li> <li>(Discussion off the record.)</li> <li>(Exhibit 3 is marked for identification.)</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: We're back on the record, after a short commercial break, at 2:16.</li> <li>A. Can I mention one thing? That if you want to know my other business entities with Patel, I don't have a problem.</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. We have a variety of apartment rentals, gas convenience stores in Atlanta. There's a series of convenience stores in Atlanta, apartment entities.</li> <li>There's some dollar loan title loan outfits, and we have some commercial property that we rent out.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Should we wait until Julie comes back? Yeah.</li> <li>THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want me to stop?</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Sure. At 2:16.</li> <li>(Discussion off the record.)</li> <li>THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Explain that.</li> <li>A. SDI LP2 is the entity that to which the money came into and the entity that lent money to Tentexkota.</li> <li>Q. Correct. And</li> <li>A. So the negotiation was really the GP the LLC doing it on behalf of SDI, LP2. Not SDRC.</li> <li>Q. But at that point in time SDRC, Inc. was</li> <li>A. In existence, yes.</li> <li>Q. In existence. Was it the general partner?</li> <li>A. No. The LLC was, from what I recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it was the sole member of the LLC?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So the State of South Dakota at that time was a sole member of the LLC?</li> <li>A. Yeah. Until they spun it off and decided they didn't want it. I don't know if you can say the State.</li> <li>It's still SDRC, Inc.</li> <li>Q. An entity that at that point in time</li> <li>A. Was destined to be owned by the State of South Dakota, Northern State University.</li> </ul>

	Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	File	d 10/10/18 Page 28 of 61 PageID #: 2486 111
1	A. If the shareholders decide to do so, yes.	1	promote it.
2	<b>Q.</b> So it's profitable.	2	Then I go back to Ron Wheeler. I said, Ron, the
3	A. Not anymore.	3	only way you're going to get this project off the ground
4	<b>Q.</b> It has been profitable?	4	is if you're willing to provide guarantees. And even if
5	A. It was profitable, but it's very unprofitable now.	5	you're going to get conventional financing, do you think
6	<b>Q.</b> So if the State had retained SDRC, Inc., those	6	you're going to get this kind of financing from any bank
7	profits would have gone to the State?	7	without guarantees? No bank will ever do it.
8	A. That is yes. That's correct.	8	So he understood that and agreed with that
9	<b>Q.</b> Okay. So Ron Wheeler contacts you.	9	philosophy and was willing to provide the guarantees.
10	A. From what I recall, yes.	10	<b>Q</b> . So the guarantees were your idea?
11	<b>Q.</b> And you're still at the University.	11	A. You know, I wouldn't say it's kind of like a ping
12	A. Yes.	12	pong negotiation. It goes back and forward until we find
13	<b>Q.</b> And what happens next?	13	a formula that works for everybody.
14	A. Ron Wheeler indicates that they're looking for	14	<b>Q</b> . Okay.
15	funding for a project. I like what I hear. I like	15	A. This worked for Tentexkota. It worked for the
16	Ron Wheeler's past connection within Pierre. He is a man	16	Chinese agent. And, therefore, if it works for the
17	with a solid reputation. He advised that he has a group	17	Chinese agent, it works for Tentexkota, then I'm okay
18	of investors that are very strong business people.	18	with it.
19	I forward the information to Hanul. Not I	19	Q. So this would have been the first project you had
20	don't the basic information to Hanul. Hanul also	20	ever done with EB-5 with personal guarantees?
21	thought together with agents, after discussions with	21	A. Well, I tried to do it with tilapia, but the tilapia
22	agents, that this could be a marketable project if there	22	project bombed. That is how I recall it.
23	were personal guarantees.	23	<b>Q.</b> So this was the first project that
24	And at that point I connected Ron Wheeler with	24	A. That actually yes. That is correct.
25	Hanul to provide the information needed to put the	25	Q. Northern Beef had was done in different sections.
	110		
			112
1	OM together.	1	112 The first was the past model that we talked about.
1 2		1 2	
	<ul><li>OM together.</li><li>Q. I want to go back to what you said. You said this could be profitable as long as there were personal</li></ul>		The first was the past model that we talked about.
2	<ul><li>OM together.</li><li>Q. I want to go back to what you said. You said this</li></ul>	2	The first was the past model that we talked about. A. Right.
23	<ul><li>OM together.</li><li>Q. I want to go back to what you said. You said this could be profitable as long as there were personal</li></ul>	2 3	<ul><li>The first was the past model that we talked about.</li><li>A. Right.</li><li>Q. And then they moved into the new loan model.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>OM together.</li><li>Q. I want to go back to what you said. You said this could be profitable as long as there were personal guarantees.</li></ul>	2 3 4	<ul> <li>The first was the past model that we talked about.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. And then they moved into the new loan model.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>OM together.</li> <li>Q. I want to go back to what you said. You said this could be profitable as long as there were personal guarantees.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>The first was the past model that we talked about.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. And then they moved into the new loan model.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Are there personal guarantees for the beef</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>OM together.</li> <li>Q. I want to go back to what you said. You said this could be profitable as long as there were personal guarantees.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Explain that. MR. OBERG: Marketable, I think.</li> <li>A. Marketable. Not profitable.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>The first was the past model that we talked about.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. And then they moved into the new loan model.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Are there personal guarantees for the beef</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. Well, I think, first of all, when we started</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>OM together.</li> <li>Q. I want to go back to what you said. You said this could be profitable as long as there were personal guarantees.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Explain that. <ul> <li>MR. OBERG: Marketable, I think.</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Marketable. Not profitable.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I thought you said</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>The first was the past model that we talked about.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. And then they moved into the new loan model.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Are there personal guarantees for the beef</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. Well, I think, first of all, when we started promoting Northern, Northern Beef, we were still somewhat</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>OM together.</li> <li>Q. I want to go back to what you said. You said this could be profitable as long as there were personal guarantees.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Explain that. <ul> <li>MR. OBERG: Marketable, I think.</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Marketable. Not profitable.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I thought you said</li> <li>A. No, no. Marketable. Well, everything by that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>The first was the past model that we talked about.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. And then they moved into the new loan model.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Are there personal guarantees for the beef</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. Well, I think, first of all, when we started promoting Northern, Northern Beef, we were still somewhat the only game in town. Not quite anymore, but it was</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>OM together.</li> <li>Q. I want to go back to what you said. You said this could be profitable as long as there were personal guarantees.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Explain that. MR. OBERG: Marketable, I think.</li> <li>A. Marketable. Not profitable.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I thought you said</li> <li>A. No, no. Marketable. Well, everything by that there's more and more regional centers coming</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>The first was the past model that we talked about.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. And then they moved into the new loan model.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Are there personal guarantees for the beef</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. Well, I think, first of all, when we started</li> <li>promoting Northern, Northern Beef, we were still somewhat the only game in town. Not quite anymore, but it was attractive enough to be marketed. When we went to the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>OM together.</li> <li>Q. I want to go back to what you said. You said this could be profitable as long as there were personal guarantees.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Explain that. <ul> <li>MR. OBERG: Marketable, I think.</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Marketable. Not profitable.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I thought you said</li> <li>A. No, no. Marketable. Well, everything by that time there's more and more regional centers coming online. And these Chinese people look for whatever</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>The first was the past model that we talked about.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. And then they moved into the new loan model.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Are there personal guarantees for the beef</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. Well, I think, first of all, when we started</li> <li>promoting Northern, Northern Beef, we were still somewhat</li> <li>the only game in town. Not quite anymore, but it was attractive enough to be marketed. When we went to the second stage everybody already knew Northern Beef, so the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>OM together.</li> <li>Q. I want to go back to what you said. You said this could be profitable as long as there were personal guarantees.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Explain that. MR. OBERG: Marketable, I think.</li> <li>A. Marketable. Not profitable.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I thought you said</li> <li>A. No, no. Marketable. Well, everything by that time there's more and more regional centers coming online. And these Chinese people look for whatever project they feel most comfortable with.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>The first was the past model that we talked about.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. And then they moved into the new loan model.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Are there personal guarantees for the beef</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. Well, I think, first of all, when we started promoting Northern, Northern Beef, we were still somewhat the only game in town. Not quite anymore, but it was attractive enough to be marketed. When we went to the second stage everybody already knew Northern Beef, so the comfort level was a lot higher.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>OM together.</li> <li>Q. I want to go back to what you said. You said this could be profitable as long as there were personal guarantees.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Explain that. <ul> <li>MR. OBERG: Marketable, I think.</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Marketable. Not profitable.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I thought you said</li> <li>A. No, no. Marketable. Well, everything by that time there's more and more regional centers coming online. And these Chinese people look for whatever project they feel most comfortable with.</li> <li>This particular project was a brand new project. It</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>The first was the past model that we talked about.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. And then they moved into the new loan model.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Are there personal guarantees for the beef</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. Well, I think, first of all, when we started</li> <li>promoting Northern, Northern Beef, we were still somewhat the only game in town. Not quite anymore, but it was attractive enough to be marketed. When we went to the second stage everybody already knew Northern Beef, so the comfort level was a lot higher.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>OM together.</li> <li>Q. I want to go back to what you said. You said this could be profitable as long as there were personal guarantees.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Explain that. <ul> <li>MR. OBERG: Marketable, I think.</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Marketable. Not profitable.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I thought you said</li> <li>A. No, no. Marketable. Well, everything by that time there's more and more regional centers coming online. And these Chinese people look for whatever project they feel most comfortable with.</li> <li>This particular project was a brand new project. It had no history and, therefore, the perceived risk was</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	The first was the past model that we talked about. A. Right. Q. And then they moved into the new loan model. A. Right. Q. Are there personal guarantees for the beef A. No. Q. Why not? A. Well, I think, first of all, when we started promoting Northern, Northern Beef, we were still somewhat the only game in town. Not quite anymore, but it was attractive enough to be marketed. When we went to the second stage everybody already knew Northern Beef, so the comfort level was a lot higher. In addition to that, the State of South Dakota was willing to provide financing, as was the USDA. So the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>OM together.</li> <li>Q. I want to go back to what you said. You said this could be profitable as long as there were personal guarantees.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Explain that. <ul> <li>MR. OBERG: Marketable, I think.</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Marketable. Not profitable.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I thought you said</li> <li>A. No, no. Marketable. Well, everything by that time there's more and more regional centers coming online. And these Chinese people look for whatever project they feel most comfortable with.</li> <li>This particular project was a brand new project. It had no history and, therefore, the perceived risk was very high. So when I talked to the agents overseas</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>The first was the past model that we talked about.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. And then they moved into the new loan model.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Are there personal guarantees for the beef</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. Well, I think, first of all, when we started promoting Northern, Northern Beef, we were still somewhat the only game in town. Not quite anymore, but it was attractive enough to be marketed. When we went to the second stage everybody already knew Northern Beef, so the comfort level was a lot higher.</li> <li>In addition to that, the State of South Dakota was willing to provide financing, as was the USDA. So the perceived the perception of this Chinese audience was</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>OM together.</li> <li>Q. I want to go back to what you said. You said this could be profitable as long as there were personal guarantees.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Explain that. <ul> <li>MR. OBERG: Marketable, I think.</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Marketable. Not profitable.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I thought you said</li> <li>A. No, no. Marketable. Well, everything by that time there's more and more regional centers coming online. And these Chinese people look for whatever project they feel most comfortable with.</li> <li>This particular project was a brand new project. It had no history and, therefore, the perceived risk was very high. So when I talked to the agents overseas they're not going to touch it until I tell them but you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	The first was the past model that we talked about. A. Right. Q. And then they moved into the new loan model. A. Right. Q. Are there personal guarantees for the beef A. No. Q. Why not? A. Well, I think, first of all, when we started promoting Northern, Northern Beef, we were still somewhat the only game in town. Not quite anymore, but it was attractive enough to be marketed. When we went to the second stage everybody already knew Northern Beef, so the comfort level was a lot higher. In addition to that, the State of South Dakota was willing to provide financing, as was the USDA. So the perceived the perception of this Chinese audience was that this was a relatively solid project for them to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>OM together.</li> <li>Q. I want to go back to what you said. You said this could be profitable as long as there were personal guarantees.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Explain that. <ul> <li>MR. OBERG: Marketable, I think.</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Marketable. Not profitable.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I thought you said</li> <li>A. No, no. Marketable. Well, everything by that time there's more and more regional centers coming online. And these Chinese people look for whatever project they feel most comfortable with.</li> <li>This particular project was a brand new project. It had no history and, therefore, the perceived risk was very high. So when I talked to the agents overseas they're not going to touch it until I tell them but you have a Ron Wheeler who used to be the commissioner of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The first was the past model that we talked about. A. Right. Q. And then they moved into the new loan model. A. Right. Q. Are there personal guarantees for the beef A. No. Q. Why not? A. Well, I think, first of all, when we started promoting Northern, Northern Beef, we were still somewhat the only game in town. Not quite anymore, but it was attractive enough to be marketed. When we went to the second stage everybody already knew Northern Beef, so the comfort level was a lot higher. In addition to that, the State of South Dakota was willing to provide financing, as was the USDA. So the perceived the perception of this Chinese audience was that this was a relatively solid project for them to engage in.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	OM together. Q. I want to go back to what you said. You said this could be profitable as long as there were personal guarantees. A. Right. Q. Explain that. MR. OBERG: Marketable, I think. A. Marketable. Not profitable. Q. Okay. I thought you said A. No, no. Marketable. Well, everything by that time there's more and more regional centers coming online. And these Chinese people look for whatever project they feel most comfortable with. This particular project was a brand new project. It had no history and, therefore, the perceived risk was very high. So when I talked to the agents overseas they're not going to touch it until I tell them but you have a Ron Wheeler who used to be the commissioner of GOED. You have this Big and Rich outfit with Morris who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>The first was the past model that we talked about.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. And then they moved into the new loan model.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Are there personal guarantees for the beef</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. Well, I think, first of all, when we started promoting Northern, Northern Beef, we were still somewhat the only game in town. Not quite anymore, but it was attractive enough to be marketed. When we went to the second stage everybody already knew Northern Beef, so the comfort level was a lot higher.</li> <li>In addition to that, the State of South Dakota was willing to provide financing, as was the USDA. So the perceived the perception of this Chinese audience was that this was a relatively solid project for them to engage in.</li> <li>Q. Do any of the other projects that you have started</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	OM together. Q. I want to go back to what you said. You said this could be profitable as long as there were personal guarantees. A. Right. Q. Explain that. MR. OBERG: Marketable, I think. A. Marketable. Not profitable. Q. Okay. I thought you said A. No, no. Marketable. Well, everything by that time there's more and more regional centers coming online. And these Chinese people look for whatever project they feel most comfortable with. This particular project was a brand new project. It had no history and, therefore, the perceived risk was very high. So when I talked to the agents overseas they're not going to touch it until I tell them but you have a Ron Wheeler who used to be the commissioner of GOED. You have this Big and Rich outfit with Morris who is represents Alabama, and these guys are behind this.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>The first was the past model that we talked about.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. And then they moved into the new loan model.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Are there personal guarantees for the beef</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. Well, I think, first of all, when we started promoting Northern, Northern Beef, we were still somewhat the only game in town. Not quite anymore, but it was attractive enough to be marketed. When we went to the second stage everybody already knew Northern Beef, so the comfort level was a lot higher.</li> <li>In addition to that, the State of South Dakota was willing to provide financing, as was the USDA. So the perceived the perception of this Chinese audience was that this was a relatively solid project for them to engage in.</li> <li>Q. Do any of the other projects that you have started under the loan model beyond Tentexkota have personal</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	OM together. Q. I want to go back to what you said. You said this could be profitable as long as there were personal guarantees. A. Right. Q. Explain that. MR. OBERG: Marketable, I think. A. Marketable. Not profitable. Q. Okay. I thought you said A. No, no. Marketable. Well, everything by that time there's more and more regional centers coming online. And these Chinese people look for whatever project they feel most comfortable with. This particular project was a brand new project. It had no history and, therefore, the perceived risk was very high. So when I talked to the agents overseas they're not going to touch it until I tell them but you have a Ron Wheeler who used to be the commissioner of GOED. You have this Big and Rich outfit with Morris who is represents Alabama, and these guys are behind this. And then I don't know exactly how it evolved, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>The first was the past model that we talked about.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. And then they moved into the new loan model.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Are there personal guarantees for the beef</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. Well, I think, first of all, when we started promoting Northern, Northern Beef, we were still somewhat the only game in town. Not quite anymore, but it was attractive enough to be marketed. When we went to the second stage everybody already knew Northern Beef, so the comfort level was a lot higher.</li> <li>In addition to that, the State of South Dakota was willing to provide financing, as was the USDA. So the perceived the perception of this Chinese audience was that this was a relatively solid project for them to engage in.</li> <li>Q. Do any of the other projects that you have started under the loan model beyond Tentexkota have personal guarantees?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	OM together. Q. I want to go back to what you said. You said this could be profitable as long as there were personal guarantees. A. Right. Q. Explain that. MR. OBERG: Marketable, I think. A. Marketable. Not profitable. Q. Okay. I thought you said A. No, no. Marketable. Well, everything by that time there's more and more regional centers coming online. And these Chinese people look for whatever project they feel most comfortable with. This particular project was a brand new project. It had no history and, therefore, the perceived risk was very high. So when I talked to the agents overseas they're not going to touch it until I tell them but you have a Ron Wheeler who used to be the commissioner of GOED. You have this Big and Rich outfit with Morris who is represents Alabama, and these guys are behind this. And then I don't know exactly how it evolved, but then the response would be, well, if they are so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>The first was the past model that we talked about.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. And then they moved into the new loan model.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Are there personal guarantees for the beef</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. Well, I think, first of all, when we started promoting Northern, Northern Beef, we were still somewhat the only game in town. Not quite anymore, but it was attractive enough to be marketed. When we went to the second stage everybody already knew Northern Beef, so the comfort level was a lot higher.</li> <li>In addition to that, the State of South Dakota was willing to provide financing, as was the USDA. So the perceived the perception of this Chinese audience was that this was a relatively solid project for them to engage in.</li> <li>Q. Do any of the other projects that you have started under the loan model beyond Tentexkota have personal guarantees?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	OM together. Q. I want to go back to what you said. You said this could be profitable as long as there were personal guarantees. A. Right. Q. Explain that. MR. OBERG: Marketable, I think. A. Marketable. Not profitable. Q. Okay. I thought you said A. No, no. Marketable. Well, everything by that time there's more and more regional centers coming online. And these Chinese people look for whatever project they feel most comfortable with. This particular project was a brand new project. It had no history and, therefore, the perceived risk was very high. So when I talked to the agents overseas they're not going to touch it until I tell them but you have a Ron Wheeler who used to be the commissioner of GOED. You have this Big and Rich outfit with Morris who is represents Alabama, and these guys are behind this. And then I don't know exactly how it evolved, but then the response would be, well, if they are so powerful, why don't you have them give guarantee? And I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>The first was the past model that we talked about.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. And then they moved into the new loan model.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Are there personal guarantees for the beef</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. Well, I think, first of all, when we started promoting Northern, Northern Beef, we were still somewhat the only game in town. Not quite anymore, but it was attractive enough to be marketed. When we went to the second stage everybody already knew Northern Beef, so the comfort level was a lot higher.</li> <li>In addition to that, the State of South Dakota was willing to provide financing, as was the USDA. So the perceived the perception of this Chinese audience was that this was a relatively solid project for them to engage in.</li> <li>Q. Do any of the other projects that you have started under the loan model beyond Tentexkota have personal guarantees?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	OM together. Q. I want to go back to what you said. You said this could be profitable as long as there were personal guarantees. A. Right. Q. Explain that. MR. OBERG: Marketable, I think. A. Marketable. Not profitable. Q. Okay. I thought you said A. No, no. Marketable. Well, everything by that time there's more and more regional centers coming online. And these Chinese people look for whatever project they feel most comfortable with. This particular project was a brand new project. It had no history and, therefore, the perceived risk was very high. So when I talked to the agents overseas they're not going to touch it until I tell them but you have a Ron Wheeler who used to be the commissioner of GOED. You have this Big and Rich outfit with Morris who is represents Alabama, and these guys are behind this. And then I don't know exactly how it evolved, but then the response would be, well, if they are so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>The first was the past model that we talked about.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. And then they moved into the new loan model.</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Are there personal guarantees for the beef</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. Well, I think, first of all, when we started promoting Northern, Northern Beef, we were still somewhat the only game in town. Not quite anymore, but it was attractive enough to be marketed. When we went to the second stage everybody already knew Northern Beef, so the comfort level was a lot higher.</li> <li>In addition to that, the State of South Dakota was willing to provide financing, as was the USDA. So the perceived the perception of this Chinese audience was that this was a relatively solid project for them to engage in.</li> <li>Q. Do any of the other projects that you have started under the loan model beyond Tentexkota have personal guarantees?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>

	Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	File	d 10/10/18 Page 29 of 61 PageID #: 2487 115
1	said, look, you guys are putting your money in the bank	1	(Exhibit 4 is marked for identification.)
2	of China every day, and their credit rating is A minus.	2	A. What's the number? 14
3	If you look at Basin and if you look at FPL, they have	3	<b>Q.</b> Actually turn to 1427 to begin with, if you will.
4	the same credit rating. So if you feel comfortable	4	And just stay at 1427 for now.
5	putting your money with the bank of China, you should	5	A. Okay.
6	feel as comfortable putting it in these two entities.	6	<b>Q.</b> This is the Confidential Offering Memorandum
7	Plus you have an independent credit rating agency	7	provided by you in regard to this project.
8	that is looking at this. So this is not biased. This is	8	A. Uh-huh.
9	a very unbiased analysis.	9	<b>Q.</b> Who drafted this document?
10	And my sales pitch sold. People felt comfortable	10	A. Hanul.
11	with the Basin. They felt comfortable with the FPL	11	Q. Hanul?
12	without a guarantee. They felt comfortable with NBP.	12	A. Uh-huh.
13	But because this was a brand new small project that has	13	<b>Q.</b> If you turn to 1455, it talks about the security
14	no history, the guarantees were required in order to have	14	requirements.
15	a marketable project.	15	A. But I can tell you this is not the right Offering
16	<b>Q.</b> But isn't that kind of the point of the program is	16	Memorandum because the issuance expenses are not right.
17	to create business and to grow business that can be	17	So this is a draft of something to that effect.
18	risky?	18	<b>Q.</b> Okay. So this is a draft?
19	A. You know, that is that is what the USCIS likes,	19	A. This is not the correct this is not the final
20	but that's not what the investors want. The investors	20	Offering Memorandum.
21	want to place their money in the investment that has the	21	<b>Q.</b> Sure. But this is a draft of it at some point in
22	highest probability of returning that money.	22	time?
23	Q. They want to be able to get their full investment	23	A. Must have been. But I can see right away the
24	back?	24	issuance expenses are wrong. So this is not what was
25	A. They want to, yes. It's not guaranteed, but they	25	used for the promotion.
	114		116
1	114 want to. And the FPL and Basin gives them that comfort	1	116 Q. Okay. Turn to SDRC 1455, please.
1		1 2	
	want to. And the FPL and Basin gives them that comfort		Q. Okay. Turn to SDRC 1455, please.
2	want to. And the FPL and Basin gives them that comfort level. If you do that with a brand new casino project	2	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Turn to SDRC 1455, please.</li> <li>A. Okay. This is also wrong. 11.5 million. I think</li> </ul>
23	want to. And the FPL and Basin gives them that comfort level. If you do that with a brand new casino project with no history, you cannot. You just couldn't promote	2 3	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Turn to SDRC 1455, please.</li> <li>A. Okay. This is also wrong. 11.5 million. I think that's wrong too. It should be 32.5.</li> </ul>
2 3 4	want to. And the FPL and Basin gives them that comfort level. If you do that with a brand new casino project with no history, you cannot. You just couldn't promote it without the guarantees. That's it.	2 3 4	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Turn to SDRC 1455, please.</li> <li>A. Okay. This is also wrong. 11.5 million. I think that's wrong too. It should be 32.5.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to read what the security interest in this</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	want to. And the FPL and Basin gives them that comfort level. If you do that with a brand new casino project with no history, you cannot. You just couldn't promote it without the guarantees. That's it. You know and I don't care. If the Chinese agents	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Turn to SDRC 1455, please.</li> <li>A. Okay. This is also wrong. 11.5 million. I think that's wrong too. It should be 32.5.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to read what the security interest in this Offering Memorandum version says. It states, "Security.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	want to. And the FPL and Basin gives them that comfort level. If you do that with a brand new casino project with no history, you cannot. You just couldn't promote it without the guarantees. That's it. You know and I don't care. If the Chinese agents would have said we take this project without a guarantee,	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Turn to SDRC 1455, please.</li> <li>A. Okay. This is also wrong. 11.5 million. I think that's wrong too. It should be 32.5.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to read what the security interest in this Offering Memorandum version says. It states, "Security. The subscription proceeds will be secured by the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	want to. And the FPL and Basin gives them that comfort level. If you do that with a brand new casino project with no history, you cannot. You just couldn't promote it without the guarantees. That's it. You know and I don't care. If the Chinese agents would have said we take this project without a guarantee, I would have worried about, well, how solid do I believe	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Turn to SDRC 1455, please.</li> <li>A. Okay. This is also wrong. 11.5 million. I think that's wrong too. It should be 32.5.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to read what the security interest in this Offering Memorandum version says. It states, "Security. The subscription proceeds will be secured by the following:"</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	want to. And the FPL and Basin gives them that comfort level. If you do that with a brand new casino project with no history, you cannot. You just couldn't promote it without the guarantees. That's it. You know and I don't care. If the Chinese agents would have said we take this project without a guarantee, I would have worried about, well, how solid do I believe this project is? And I felt comfortable with these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Turn to SDRC 1455, please.</li> <li>A. Okay. This is also wrong. 11.5 million. I think that's wrong too. It should be 32.5.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to read what the security interest in this Offering Memorandum version says. It states, "Security. The subscription proceeds will be secured by the following:"</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	want to. And the FPL and Basin gives them that comfort level. If you do that with a brand new casino project with no history, you cannot. You just couldn't promote it without the guarantees. That's it. You know and I don't care. If the Chinese agents would have said we take this project without a guarantee, I would have worried about, well, how solid do I believe this project is? And I felt comfortable with these business people. And I would have been fine with no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Turn to SDRC 1455, please.</li> <li>A. Okay. This is also wrong. 11.5 million. I think that's wrong too. It should be 32.5.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to read what the security interest in this Offering Memorandum version says. It states, "Security. The subscription proceeds will be secured by the following:"</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. "On the initial 11.5 million the subscription</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	want to. And the FPL and Basin gives them that comfort level. If you do that with a brand new casino project with no history, you cannot. You just couldn't promote it without the guarantees. That's it. You know and I don't care. If the Chinese agents would have said we take this project without a guarantee, I would have worried about, well, how solid do I believe this project is? And I felt comfortable with these business people. And I would have been fine with no guarantees, but that is not what the market demanded.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Turn to SDRC 1455, please.</li> <li>A. Okay. This is also wrong. 11.5 million. I think that's wrong too. It should be 32.5.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to read what the security interest in this Offering Memorandum version says. It states, "Security. The subscription proceeds will be secured by the following:"</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. "On the initial 11.5 million the subscription proceeds will be security interest in the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	want to. And the FPL and Basin gives them that comfort level. If you do that with a brand new casino project with no history, you cannot. You just couldn't promote it without the guarantees. That's it. You know and I don't care. If the Chinese agents would have said we take this project without a guarantee, I would have worried about, well, how solid do I believe this project is? And I felt comfortable with these business people. And I would have been fine with no guarantees, but that is not what the market demanded. So I would have been wasting my time promoting a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Turn to SDRC 1455, please.</li> <li>A. Okay. This is also wrong. 11.5 million. I think that's wrong too. It should be 32.5.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to read what the security interest in this Offering Memorandum version says. It states, "Security. The subscription proceeds will be secured by the following:"</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. "On the initial 11.5 million the subscription proceeds will be security interest in the equipment purchased, as well as a corporate guarantee of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	want to. And the FPL and Basin gives them that comfort level. If you do that with a brand new casino project with no history, you cannot. You just couldn't promote it without the guarantees. That's it. You know and I don't care. If the Chinese agents would have said we take this project without a guarantee, I would have worried about, well, how solid do I believe this project is? And I felt comfortable with these business people. And I would have been fine with no guarantees, but that is not what the market demanded. So I would have been wasting my time promoting a project if there were no guarantees. We would never have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Turn to SDRC 1455, please.</li> <li>A. Okay. This is also wrong. 11.5 million. I think that's wrong too. It should be 32.5.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to read what the security interest in this Offering Memorandum version says. It states, "Security. The subscription proceeds will be secured by the following:"</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. "On the initial 11.5 million the subscription proceeds will be security interest in the equipment purchased, as well as a corporate guarantee of the funded business. The remaining subscription proceeds</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	want to. And the FPL and Basin gives them that comfort level. If you do that with a brand new casino project with no history, you cannot. You just couldn't promote it without the guarantees. That's it. You know and I don't care. If the Chinese agents would have said we take this project without a guarantee, I would have worried about, well, how solid do I believe this project is? And I felt comfortable with these business people. And I would have been fine with no guarantees, but that is not what the market demanded. So I would have been wasting my time promoting a project if there were no guarantees. We would never have filled it. Even with the guarantees, we had a tough time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Turn to SDRC 1455, please.</li> <li>A. Okay. This is also wrong. 11.5 million. I think that's wrong too. It should be 32.5.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to read what the security interest in this Offering Memorandum version says. It states, "Security. The subscription proceeds will be secured by the following:"</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. "On the initial 11.5 million the subscription proceeds will be secured by a security interest in the equipment purchased, as well as a corporate guarantee of the funded business. The remaining subscription proceeds will be secured by a first mortgage on the building and a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	want to. And the FPL and Basin gives them that comfort level. If you do that with a brand new casino project with no history, you cannot. You just couldn't promote it without the guarantees. That's it. You know and I don't care. If the Chinese agents would have said we take this project without a guarantee, I would have worried about, well, how solid do I believe this project is? And I felt comfortable with these business people. And I would have been fine with no guarantees, but that is not what the market demanded. So I would have been wasting my time promoting a project if there were no guarantees. We would never have filled it. Even with the guarantees, we had a tough time filling it at the end because there are more attractive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Turn to SDRC 1455, please.</li> <li>A. Okay. This is also wrong. 11.5 million. I think that's wrong too. It should be 32.5.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to read what the security interest in this Offering Memorandum version says. It states, "Security. The subscription proceeds will be secured by the following:"</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. "On the initial 11.5 million the subscription proceeds will be secured by a security interest in the equipment purchased, as well as a corporate guarantee of the funded business. The remaining subscription proceeds will be secured by a first mortgage on the building and a first security interest in the equipment."</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	want to. And the FPL and Basin gives them that comfort level. If you do that with a brand new casino project with no history, you cannot. You just couldn't promote it without the guarantees. That's it. You know and I don't care. If the Chinese agents would have said we take this project without a guarantee, I would have worried about, well, how solid do I believe this project is? And I felt comfortable with these business people. And I would have been fine with no guarantees, but that is not what the market demanded. So I would have been wasting my time promoting a project if there were no guarantees. We would never have filled it. Even with the guarantees, we had a tough time filling it at the end because there are more attractive alternatives in the marketplace.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Turn to SDRC 1455, please.</li> <li>A. Okay. This is also wrong. 11.5 million. I think that's wrong too. It should be 32.5.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to read what the security interest in this Offering Memorandum version says. It states, "Security. The subscription proceeds will be secured by the following:"</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. "On the initial 11.5 million the subscription proceeds will be secured by a security interest in the equipment purchased, as well as a corporate guarantee of the funded business. The remaining subscription proceeds will be secured by a first mortgage on the building and a first security interest in the equipment." Did I read that correctly?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>want to. And the FPL and Basin gives them that comfort level. If you do that with a brand new casino project with no history, you cannot. You just couldn't promote it without the guarantees. That's it.</li> <li>You know and I don't care. If the Chinese agents would have said we take this project without a guarantee, I would have worried about, well, how solid do I believe this project is? And I felt comfortable with these business people. And I would have been fine with no guarantees, but that is not what the market demanded.</li> <li>So I would have been wasting my time promoting a project if there were no guarantees. We would never have filled it. Even with the guarantees, we had a tough time filling it at the end because there are more attractive alternatives in the marketplace.</li> <li>Q. I want to turn to in the binder right there in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Turn to SDRC 1455, please.</li> <li>A. Okay. This is also wrong. 11.5 million. I think that's wrong too. It should be 32.5.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to read what the security interest in this Offering Memorandum version says. It states, "Security. The subscription proceeds will be secured by the following:"</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. "On the initial 11.5 million the subscription proceeds will be secured by a security interest in the equipment purchased, as well as a corporate guarantee of the funded business. The remaining subscription proceeds will be secured by a first mortgage on the building and a first security interest in the equipment." Did I read that correctly?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>want to. And the FPL and Basin gives them that comfort level. If you do that with a brand new casino project with no history, you cannot. You just couldn't promote it without the guarantees. That's it.</li> <li>You know and I don't care. If the Chinese agents would have said we take this project without a guarantee, I would have worried about, well, how solid do I believe this project is? And I felt comfortable with these business people. And I would have been fine with no guarantees, but that is not what the market demanded. So I would have been wasting my time promoting a project if there were no guarantees. We would never have filled it. Even with the guarantees, we had a tough time filling it at the end because there are more attractive alternatives in the marketplace.</li> <li>Q. I want to turn to in the binder right there in front of you marked Exhibit 3, Bates stamp SDRC 1455.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Turn to SDRC 1455, please.</li> <li>A. Okay. This is also wrong. 11.5 million. I think that's wrong too. It should be 32.5.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to read what the security interest in this Offering Memorandum version says. It states, "Security. The subscription proceeds will be secured by the following:"</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. "On the initial 11.5 million the subscription proceeds will be secured by a security interest in the equipment purchased, as well as a corporate guarantee of the funded business. The remaining subscription proceeds will be secured by a first mortgage on the building and a first security interest in the equipment." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. When who drafted that language, and when was that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>want to. And the FPL and Basin gives them that comfort level. If you do that with a brand new casino project with no history, you cannot. You just couldn't promote it without the guarantees. That's it.</li> <li>You know and I don't care. If the Chinese agents would have said we take this project without a guarantee, I would have worried about, well, how solid do I believe this project is? And I felt comfortable with these business people. And I would have been fine with no guarantees, but that is not what the market demanded. So I would have been wasting my time promoting a project if there were no guarantees. We would never have filled it. Even with the guarantees, we had a tough time filling it at the end because there are more attractive alternatives in the marketplace.</li> <li>Q. I want to turn to in the binder right there in front of you marked Exhibit 3, Bates stamp SDRC 1455.</li> <li>A. Exhibit 3. Which one? Are you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Turn to SDRC 1455, please.</li> <li>A. Okay. This is also wrong. 11.5 million. I think that's wrong too. It should be 32.5.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to read what the security interest in this Offering Memorandum version says. It states, "Security. The subscription proceeds will be secured by the following:"</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. "On the initial 11.5 million the subscription proceeds will be secured by a security interest in the equipment purchased, as well as a corporate guarantee of the funded business. The remaining subscription proceeds will be secured by a first mortgage on the building and a first security interest in the equipment." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. When who drafted that language, and when was that language what version is this?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>want to. And the FPL and Basin gives them that comfort level. If you do that with a brand new casino project with no history, you cannot. You just couldn't promote it without the guarantees. That's it.</li> <li>You know and I don't care. If the Chinese agents would have said we take this project without a guarantee, I would have worried about, well, how solid do I believe this project is? And I felt comfortable with these business people. And I would have been fine with no guarantees, but that is not what the market demanded.</li> <li>So I would have been wasting my time promoting a project if there were no guarantees. We would never have filled it. Even with the guarantees, we had a tough time filling it at the end because there are more attractive alternatives in the marketplace.</li> <li>Q. I want to turn to in the binder right there in front of you marked Exhibit 3, Bates stamp SDRC 1455.</li> <li>A. Exhibit 3. Which one? Are you</li> <li>Q. Yep. You've got it in your hand.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Turn to SDRC 1455, please.</li> <li>A. Okay. This is also wrong. 11.5 million. I think that's wrong too. It should be 32.5.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to read what the security interest in this Offering Memorandum version says. It states, "Security. The subscription proceeds will be secured by the following:"</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. "On the initial 11.5 million the subscription proceeds will be secured by a security interest in the equipment purchased, as well as a corporate guarantee of the funded business. The remaining subscription proceeds will be secured by a first mortgage on the building and a first security interest in the equipment." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. When who drafted that language, and when was that language what version is this?</li> <li>A. Hanul would have corrected this. But, again, I</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>want to. And the FPL and Basin gives them that comfort level. If you do that with a brand new casino project with no history, you cannot. You just couldn't promote it without the guarantees. That's it.</li> <li>You know and I don't care. If the Chinese agents would have said we take this project without a guarantee, I would have worried about, well, how solid do I believe this project is? And I felt comfortable with these business people. And I would have been fine with no guarantees, but that is not what the market demanded. So I would have been wasting my time promoting a project if there were no guarantees. We would never have filled it. Even with the guarantees, we had a tough time filling it at the end because there are more attractive alternatives in the marketplace.</li> <li>Q. I want to turn to in the binder right there in front of you marked Exhibit 3, Bates stamp SDRC 1455.</li> <li>A. Exhibit 3. Which one? Are you</li> <li>Q. Yep. You've got it in your hand.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Turn to SDRC 1455, please.</li> <li>A. Okay. This is also wrong. 11.5 million. I think that's wrong too. It should be 32.5.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to read what the security interest in this Offering Memorandum version says. It states, "Security. The subscription proceeds will be secured by the following:"</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. "On the initial 11.5 million the subscription proceeds will be secured by a security interest in the equipment purchased, as well as a corporate guarantee of the funded business. The remaining subscription proceeds will be secured by a first mortgage on the building and a first security interest in the equipment." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. When who drafted that language, and when was that language what version is this?</li> <li>A. Hanul would have corrected this. But, again, I don't think this OM was ever used because it doesn't</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>want to. And the FPL and Basin gives them that comfort level. If you do that with a brand new casino project with no history, you cannot. You just couldn't promote it without the guarantees. That's it.</li> <li>You know and I don't care. If the Chinese agents would have said we take this project without a guarantee, I would have worried about, well, how solid do I believe this project is? And I felt comfortable with these business people. And I would have been fine with no guarantees, but that is not what the market demanded.</li> <li>So I would have been wasting my time promoting a project if there were no guarantees. We would never have filled it. Even with the guarantees, we had a tough time filling it at the end because there are more attractive alternatives in the marketplace.</li> <li>Q. I want to turn to in the binder right there in front of you marked Exhibit 3, Bates stamp SDRC 1455.</li> <li>A. Exhibit 3. Which one? Are you</li> <li>Q. Yep. You've got it nyour hand.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Turn to Bates stamp 1455.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Turn to SDRC 1455, please.</li> <li>A. Okay. This is also wrong. 11.5 million. I think that's wrong too. It should be 32.5.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to read what the security interest in this Offering Memorandum version says. It states, "Security. The subscription proceeds will be secured by the following:"</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. "On the initial 11.5 million the subscription proceeds will be secured by a security interest in the equipment purchased, as well as a corporate guarantee of the funded business. The remaining subscription proceeds will be secured by a first mortgage on the building and a first security interest in the equipment." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. When who drafted that language, and when was that language what version is this?</li> <li>A. Hanul would have corrected this. But, again, I don't think this OM was ever used because it doesn't speak about guarantees, and it doesn't it also has the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>want to. And the FPL and Basin gives them that comfort level. If you do that with a brand new casino project with no history, you cannot. You just couldn't promote it without the guarantees. That's it.</li> <li>You know and I don't care. If the Chinese agents would have said we take this project without a guarantee, I would have worried about, well, how solid do I believe this project is? And I felt comfortable with these business people. And I would have been fine with no guarantees, but that is not what the market demanded.</li> <li>So I would have been wasting my time promoting a project if there were no guarantees. We would never have filled it. Even with the guarantees, we had a tough time filling it at the end because there are more attractive alternatives in the marketplace.</li> <li>Q. I want to turn to in the binder right there in front of you marked Exhibit 3, Bates stamp SDRC 1455.</li> <li>A. Exhibit 3. Which one? Are you</li> <li>Q. Yep. You've got it in your hand.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Turn to Bates stamp 1455.</li> <li>A. 1455? How does this work? I don't see a 1455.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Turn to SDRC 1455, please.</li> <li>A. Okay. This is also wrong. 11.5 million. I think that's wrong too. It should be 32.5.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to read what the security interest in this Offering Memorandum version says. It states, "Security. The subscription proceeds will be secured by the following:"</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. "On the initial 11.5 million the subscription proceeds will be secured by a security interest in the equipment purchased, as well as a corporate guarantee of the funded business. The remaining subscription proceeds will be secured by a first mortgage on the building and a first security interest in the equipment." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. When who drafted that language, and when was that language what version is this?</li> <li>A. Hanul would have corrected this. But, again, I don't think this OM was ever used because it doesn't speak about guarantees, and it doesn't it also has the wrong subscription agreement description fees.</li> </ul>

	Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	File	<del>d 10</del>	/10/18 Page 30 of 61 PageID #: 2488 119
1	<b>Q.</b> Okay. So in the beginning at least we can say	1	pro	bably will find something small too.
2	before the final version there were no guarantees?	2	Q.	Did USCIS were they provided with the
3	A. This document does not mention guarantees based on	3	Con	idential Offering Memorandum?
4	this one page I'm looking at.	4		Should you know, again, all that that
5	<b>Q.</b> I'm going to hand you what is marked as Bates stamp	5		nigration stuff is not something I get involved with at
6	COM30.	6		That is all done by Hanul. So they submit the
7	(Exhibit 5 is marked for identification.)	7		26, the I-829. I don't get to see the final
8	<b>Q.</b> It's now been marked as Exhibit 5. If you look at	8		mission at all.
9	the top of COM30, there is a different security	9	Q.	Do you know what was submitted? Do you have any
10	agreement.	10		t in that?
11	A. Okay.	11	<b>A</b> .	I would suspect it's the OM that Hanul the latest
12	<b>Q</b> . Could you read that slowly for the court reporter	12		sion, but I cannot again, I do not submit anything
13	since it's in front of you?	13		he USCIS. That is all done straight by Hanul to the
14	A. "The subscription proceeds will be secured by the	14		fornia processing center.
15	following: The maximum of 32.5 million of the	15	Q.	When you say OM you mean Offering Memorandum?
16	subscription proceeds will be secured by a first mortgage	16	Α.	Yes.
17	on Deadwood Mountain Grand property, as well as a	17	Q.	I just want to make sure we're clear on the record.
18	personal guarantee issued by the partners of the funded	18		Did you have a chance to review the limited
19	business, a company with a net worth" that should	19	part	nership agreement before it was given out to promote
20	say individuals with a net worth "of more than	20		project?
21	100 million."	21		Also that is produced by Hanul. But, yes, I've read
22	<b>Q.</b> Is that the version that you were referring to	22		bugh it.
23	earlier?	23	Q.	Okay. Did you have any part in helping draft it?
24	A. I cannot conclude that from this. This is closer,	24	Α.	I didn't draft anything.
25	but I see a mistake here too. It should say with	25	Q.	Okay.
	118			120
1	individuals' combined or members' combined net worth of	1	Α.	The drafting was all done by Hanul.
2	over 100 million.	2	Q.	Did you have any say in the content?
3	<b>Q.</b> So you think that there is another Offering	3	Α.	If I would see something that is not correct, I
4	Memorandum?	4	wou	Id alert them.
5	A. Or there's a mistake. Because it's not the company	5	Q.	How did because you're going to be the general
6	with the net worth. This is a brand new company. It	6	part	ner
7	didn't have any net worth. So this doesn't make logical	7	Α.	Right.
8	sense to me.	8	Q.	in essence, of the limited partnership.
9	<b>Q.</b> Okay. So if this was the agreement that went to	9	Α.	Uh-huh.
10	potential investors, it wouldn't have been the correct	10	Q.	I know that there's other entities.
11	one?	11	Α.	Uh-huh.
12	A. I think the wording should have been "a company	12	Q.	But wouldn't you be interested in helping create
13	whose members have a combined net worth of over 100	13	wha	t the agreement is going to be between you and some
14	million." Then it would be the right way.	14	fore	gn investors?
15	<b>Q.</b> Okay. But if every offering letter we've seen has	15	Α.	You know, yes, I would. But also there's credit
16	that language	16	agro	eements and everything else, and you hire attorneys to
17	A. Then I would consider it a mistake.	17	do t	hat. I review it all, but I cannot guarantee that
18	<b>Q.</b> Okay. Why wouldn't it have been a mistake, and why	18	the	e's no mistakes in any of that stuff.
19	didn't you catch it back then?	19	Q.	Sure.
20	A. You know, the Offering Memorandum I did not prepare;	20	Α.	That's why I hire attorneys to do it for me. Can I
21	right? They were prepared by Hanul. Now I might have	21		ch for that everything is done perfectly? No, I
22	read I read through them, but I don't catch everything	22	can	not. I just hope so.
23	either.	23	Q.	Do you have any say then or did you have any say in
101				
24 25	You know, I'm sure there's other contracts, if I go through the loan agreement that was drafted here, I	24 25	the	amount SDRC, Inc. was paid as the general partner? SDRC's revenue doesn't come from issuing expenses.

	Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	File	d 10/10/18 Page 31 of 61 PageID #: 2489
		1	123
1	Q. Okay.	_	Q. Where did that go?
2	A. The issuing expenses are paid to the agents overseas for the vast majority. Initially we tried to take a	2	A. Typically very early on we tried to keep 5 and send 40 on. But that changed and I don't know exactly
4	little bit, withhold a little bit of that money, but I	4	with the project when but it was pretty clear soon that
5	would guess 95 percent plus that entire fee went back to	5	we would just dish out all that money right back to China
6	the agents overseas.	6	or Korea, to whoever recruited the investment
7	The money that was typically speaking, the money	7	investor.
8	that SDRC intends to earn revenue from comes from the	8	<b>Q.</b> So Linda He, for the most part?
9	American project site, typically speaking. There are	9	A. The company. Wailian.
10	some exceptions, but they're very minor.	10	Q. Wailian.
11	Q. Well, let's talk about those. Hold on one second.	11	A. Yes.
12	Let me pull it up here.	12	<b>Q.</b> So it would go to Linda He's company?
13	A. So the loan origination fee would be something that	13	A. Yes.
14	would come to SDRC. And once the partnership earns	14	<b>Q.</b> So how much then in that you called it what
15	interest, then there's a management fee and a consulting	15	kind of fee did you just call that?
16	fee.	16	A. I think, subscription fee. I don't know what the
17	<b>Q.</b> You're talking about SDRC, Inc.; correct? Not the	17	right
18	regional center.	18	Q. Okay. So the subscription fee. The \$45,000
19	A. I'm only speaking SDRC, Incorporated.	19	we'll call it a subscription fee
20	<b>Q.</b> Okay. So let's see. So pursuant to the consulting	20	A. Yeah. 45,000. Yeah. Issuance fee, I think.
21	agreement, SDRC, Inc. was supposed to receive 1 percent	21	THE COURT REPORTER: Excuse me.
22	of the total amount of the investment from the borrower	22	MS. OLIVIER: Sorry. Sorry about that.
23	receiving the loan.	23	Q. How much money did SDRC make in total in the
24	A. You're talking about the origination fee?	24	Tentexkota transaction
25	Q. Yes.	25	A. From
	122		124
1	A. That's correct.	1	<b>Q.</b> from the subscription fees?
1 2		1 2	
	A. That's correct.	-	<b>Q</b> from the subscription fees?
2	<ul><li>A. That's correct.</li><li>Q. Okay. And is that for SDRC, Inc. got that for</li></ul>	2	<ul><li>Q from the subscription fees?</li><li>A. It has to be very minor. I don't know. But it's</li></ul>
2 3	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is that for SDRC, Inc. got that for every single</li> </ul>	2 3	<ul> <li>Q from the subscription fees?</li> <li>A. It has to be very minor. I don't know. But it's not much. It cannot be much.</li> </ul>
2 3 4	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is that for SDRC, Inc. got that for every single</li> <li>A. Just the one-time fee.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q from the subscription fees?</li> <li>A. It has to be very minor. I don't know. But it's not much. It cannot be much.</li> <li>Q. Where would that be recorded?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is that for SDRC, Inc. got that for every single</li> <li>A. Just the one-time fee.</li> <li>Q. But for the Basin project?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q from the subscription fees?</li> <li>A. It has to be very minor. I don't know. But it's not much. It cannot be much.</li> <li>Q. Where would that be recorded?</li> <li>A. It would be recorded as income to but it is</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is that for SDRC, Inc. got that for every single</li> <li>A. Just the one-time fee.</li> <li>Q. But for the Basin project?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q from the subscription fees?</li> <li>A. It has to be very minor. I don't know. But it's not much. It cannot be much.</li> <li>Q. Where would that be recorded?</li> <li>A. It would be recorded as income to but it is really really, really small. <ul> <li>I know that there were a couple of instances where we tried to withhold 500 \$5,000 no. Out of the</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is that for SDRC, Inc. got that for every single</li> <li>A. Just the one-time fee.</li> <li>Q. But for the Basin project?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. For the</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. And then we pay the State of South Dakota a certain percentage and whatever else.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q from the subscription fees?</li> <li>A. It has to be very minor. I don't know. But it's not much. It cannot be much.</li> <li>Q. Where would that be recorded?</li> <li>A. It would be recorded as income to but it is really really, really small. <ul> <li>I know that there were a couple of instances where we tried to withhold 500 \$5,000 no. Out of the 5,000 no. Out of the 45,000 we tried to keep, and</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is that for SDRC, Inc. got that for every single</li> <li>A. Just the one-time fee.</li> <li>Q. But for the Basin project?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. For the</li> <li>A. Yes. And then we pay the State of South Dakota a certain percentage and whatever else.</li> <li>Q. How much do you pay the State of South Dakota?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q from the subscription fees?</li> <li>A. It has to be very minor. I don't know. But it's not much. It cannot be much.</li> <li>Q. Where would that be recorded?</li> <li>A. It would be recorded as income to but it is really really, really small. <ul> <li>I know that there were a couple of instances where we tried to withhold 500 \$5,000 no. Out of the 5,000 no. Out of the 45,000 we tried to keep, and that we were successful.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is that for SDRC, Inc. got that for every single</li> <li>A. Just the one-time fee.</li> <li>Q. But for the Basin project?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. For the</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. And then we pay the State of</li> <li>South Dakota a certain percentage and whatever else.</li> <li>Q. How much do you pay the State of South Dakota?</li> <li>A. I don't recall the percentages. I think it's a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q from the subscription fees?</li> <li>A. It has to be very minor. I don't know. But it's not much. It cannot be much.</li> <li>Q. Where would that be recorded?</li> <li>A. It would be recorded as income to but it is really really, really small. <ul> <li>I know that there were a couple of instances where we tried to withhold 500 \$5,000 no. Out of the 5,000 no. Out of the 45,000 we tried to keep, and that we were successful.</li> <li>But then the market changed so quickly that other</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is that for SDRC, Inc. got that for every single</li> <li>A. Just the one-time fee.</li> <li>Q. But for the Basin project?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. For the</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. And then we pay the State of South Dakota a certain percentage and whatever else.</li> <li>Q. How much do you pay the State of South Dakota?</li> <li>A. I don't recall the percentages. I think it's a quarter percent.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q from the subscription fees?</li> <li>A. It has to be very minor. I don't know. But it's not much. It cannot be much.</li> <li>Q. Where would that be recorded?</li> <li>A. It would be recorded as income to but it is really really, really small. <ul> <li>I know that there were a couple of instances where we tried to withhold 500 \$5,000 no. Out of the 5,000 no. Out of the 45,000 we tried to keep, and that we were successful.</li> <li>But then the market changed so quickly that other regional centers were paying even higher amounts, and we</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is that for SDRC, Inc. got that for every single</li> <li>A. Just the one-time fee.</li> <li>Q. But for the Basin project?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. For the</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. And then we pay the State of</li> <li>South Dakota a certain percentage and whatever else.</li> <li>Q. How much do you pay the State of South Dakota?</li> <li>A. I don't recall the percentages. I think it's a</li> <li>quarter percent.</li> <li>Q. A quarter? SDRC, Inc</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q from the subscription fees?</li> <li>A. It has to be very minor. I don't know. But it's not much. It cannot be much.</li> <li>Q. Where would that be recorded?</li> <li>A. It would be recorded as income to but it is really really, really small. <ul> <li>I know that there were a couple of instances where we tried to withhold 500 \$5,000 no. Out of the 5,000 no. Out of the 45,000 we tried to keep, and that we were successful.</li> <li>But then the market changed so quickly that other regional centers were paying even higher amounts, and we just said forget it. Just give it all to them. Let's do</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is that for SDRC, Inc. got that for every single</li> <li>A. Just the one-time fee.</li> <li>Q. But for the Basin project?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. For the</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. And then we pay the State of South Dakota a certain percentage and whatever else.</li> <li>Q. How much do you pay the State of South Dakota?</li> <li>A. I don't recall the percentages. I think it's a quarter percent.</li> <li>Q. A quarter? SDRC, Inc</li> <li>A. Yeah. It's a little bit different on projects.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q from the subscription fees?</li> <li>A. It has to be very minor. I don't know. But it's not much. It cannot be much.</li> <li>Q. Where would that be recorded?</li> <li>A. It would be recorded as income to but it is really really, really small. <ul> <li>I know that there were a couple of instances where we tried to withhold 500 \$5,000 no. Out of the 5,000 no. Out of the 45,000 we tried to keep, and that we were successful.</li> <li>But then the market changed so quickly that other regional centers were paying even higher amounts, and we just said forget it. Just give it all to them. Let's do it very cleanly. Our revenue will come from</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is that for SDRC, Inc. got that for every single</li> <li>A. Just the one-time fee.</li> <li>Q. But for the Basin project?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. For the</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. And then we pay the State of South Dakota a certain percentage and whatever else.</li> <li>Q. How much do you pay the State of South Dakota?</li> <li>A. I don't recall the percentages. I think it's a quarter percent.</li> <li>Q. A quarter? SDRC, Inc</li> <li>A. Yeah. It's a little bit different on projects.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q from the subscription fees?</li> <li>A. It has to be very minor. I don't know. But it's not much. It cannot be much.</li> <li>Q. Where would that be recorded?</li> <li>A. It would be recorded as income to but it is really really, really small. <ul> <li>I know that there were a couple of instances where we tried to withhold 500 \$5,000 no. Out of the 5,000 no. Out of the 45,000 we tried to keep, and that we were successful.</li> <li>But then the market changed so quickly that other regional centers were paying even higher amounts, and we just said forget it. Just give it all to them. Let's do it very cleanly. Our revenue will come from</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is that for SDRC, Inc. got that for every single</li> <li>A. Just the one-time fee.</li> <li>Q. But for the Basin project?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. For the</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. And then we pay the State of</li> <li>South Dakota a certain percentage and whatever else.</li> <li>Q. How much do you pay the State of South Dakota?</li> <li>A. I don't recall the percentages. I think it's a</li> <li>quarter percent.</li> <li>Q. A quarter? SDRC, Inc</li> <li>A. Yeah. It's a little bit different on projects.</li> <li>It's not consistent.</li> <li>Q. Why is it not consistent?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q from the subscription fees?</li> <li>A. It has to be very minor. I don't know. But it's not much. It cannot be much.</li> <li>Q. Where would that be recorded?</li> <li>A. It would be recorded as income to but it is really really, really small. <ul> <li>I know that there were a couple of instances where</li> <li>we tried to withhold 500 \$5,000 no. Out of the 5,000 no. Out of the 45,000 we tried to keep, and that we were successful.</li> <li>But then the market changed so quickly that other regional centers were paying even higher amounts, and we just said forget it. Just give it all to them. Let's do it very cleanly. Our revenue will come from South Dakota. Anything that comes from China pretty much goes back. Or any of these countries.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is that for SDRC, Inc. got that for every single</li> <li>A. Just the one-time fee.</li> <li>Q. But for the Basin project?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. For the</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. And then we pay the State of South Dakota a certain percentage and whatever else.</li> <li>Q. How much do you pay the State of South Dakota?</li> <li>A. I don't recall the percentages. I think it's a quarter percent.</li> <li>Q. A quarter? SDRC, Inc</li> <li>A. Yeah. It's a little bit different on projects.</li> <li>It's not consistent.</li> <li>Q. Why is it not consistent?</li> <li>A. What was the logic why it was not consistent?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q from the subscription fees?</li> <li>A. It has to be very minor. I don't know. But it's not much. It cannot be much.</li> <li>Q. Where would that be recorded?</li> <li>A. It would be recorded as income to but it is really really, really small. <ul> <li>I know that there were a couple of instances where we tried to withhold 500 \$5,000 no. Out of the 5,000 no. Out of the 45,000 we tried to keep, and that we were successful.</li> <li>But then the market changed so quickly that other regional centers were paying even higher amounts, and we just said forget it. Just give it all to them. Let's do it very cleanly. Our revenue will come from South Dakota. Anything that comes from China pretty much goes back. Or any of these countries.</li> <li>Q. Why? Because if you've</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is that for SDRC, Inc. got that for every single</li> <li>A. Just the one-time fee.</li> <li>Q. But for the Basin project?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. For the</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. And then we pay the State of South Dakota a certain percentage and whatever else.</li> <li>Q. How much do you pay the State of South Dakota?</li> <li>A. I don't recall the percentages. I think it's a quarter percent.</li> <li>Q. A quarter? SDRC, Inc</li> <li>A. Yeah. It's a little bit different on projects.</li> <li>It's not consistent.</li> <li>Q. Why is it not consistent?</li> <li>A. What was the logic why it was not consistent?</li> <li>Initially I don't recall exactly why it wasn't</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q from the subscription fees?</li> <li>A. It has to be very minor. I don't know. But it's not much. It cannot be much.</li> <li>Q. Where would that be recorded?</li> <li>A. It would be recorded as income to but it is really really, really small. <ul> <li>I know that there were a couple of instances where</li> <li>we tried to withhold 500 \$5,000 no. Out of the 5,000 no. Out of the 45,000 we tried to keep, and that we were successful.</li> <li>But then the market changed so quickly that other regional centers were paying even higher amounts, and we just said forget it. Just give it all to them. Let's do it very cleanly. Our revenue will come from</li> <li>South Dakota. Anything that comes from China pretty much goes back. Or any of these countries.</li> <li>Q. Why? Because if you've</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is that for SDRC, Inc. got that for every single</li> <li>A. Just the one-time fee.</li> <li>Q. But for the Basin project?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. For the</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. And then we pay the State of South Dakota a certain percentage and whatever else.</li> <li>Q. How much do you pay the State of South Dakota?</li> <li>A. I don't recall the percentages. I think it's a quarter percent.</li> <li>Q. A quarter? SDRC, Inc</li> <li>A. Yeah. It's a little bit different on projects.</li> <li>It's not consistent.</li> <li>Q. Why is it not consistent?</li> <li>A. What was the logic why it was not consistent?</li> <li>Initially I don't recall exactly why it wasn't consistent, but, generally speaking, a quarter percent</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q from the subscription fees?</li> <li>A. It has to be very minor. I don't know. But it's not much. It cannot be much.</li> <li>Q. Where would that be recorded?</li> <li>A. It would be recorded as income to but it is really really, really small.</li> <li>I know that there were a couple of instances where we tried to withhold 500 \$5,000 no. Out of the 5,000 no. Out of the 45,000 we tried to keep, and that we were successful.</li> <li>But then the market changed so quickly that other regional centers were paying even higher amounts, and we just said forget it. Just give it all to them. Let's do it very cleanly. Our revenue will come from South Dakota. Anything that comes from China pretty much goes back. Or any of these countries.</li> <li>Q. Why? Because if you've</li> <li>A. Because these agents have multiple options. And whoever pays the best, that's who they're going to go</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is that for SDRC, Inc. got that for every single</li> <li>A. Just the one-time fee.</li> <li>Q. But for the Basin project?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. For the</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. And then we pay the State of South Dakota a certain percentage and whatever else.</li> <li>Q. How much do you pay the State of South Dakota?</li> <li>A. I don't recall the percentages. I think it's a quarter percent.</li> <li>Q. A quarter? SDRC, Inc</li> <li>A. Yeah. It's a little bit different on projects.</li> <li>It's not consistent?</li> <li>A. What was the logic why it was not consistent?</li> <li>Initially I don't recall exactly why it wasn't consistent, but, generally speaking, a quarter percent would go to the State.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q from the subscription fees?</li> <li>A. It has to be very minor. I don't know. But it's not much. It cannot be much.</li> <li>Q. Where would that be recorded?</li> <li>A. It would be recorded as income to but it is really really, really small. <ul> <li>I know that there were a couple of instances where</li> <li>we tried to withhold 500 \$5,000 no. Out of the 5,000 no. Out of the 45,000 we tried to keep, and that we were successful.</li> <li>But then the market changed so quickly that other regional centers were paying even higher amounts, and we just said forget it. Just give it all to them. Let's do it very cleanly. Our revenue will come from South Dakota. Anything that comes from China pretty much goes back. Or any of these countries.</li> <li>Q. Why? Because if you've</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Because these agents have multiple options. And whoever pays the best, that's who they're going to go with. And if I tried to clip them 5,000 out of the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is that for SDRC, Inc. got that for every single</li> <li>A. Just the one-time fee.</li> <li>Q. But for the Basin project?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. For the</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. And then we pay the State of South Dakota a certain percentage and whatever else.</li> <li>Q. How much do you pay the State of South Dakota?</li> <li>A. I don't recall the percentages. I think it's a quarter percent.</li> <li>Q. A quarter? SDRC, Inc</li> <li>A. Yeah. It's a little bit different on projects.</li> <li>It's not consistent.</li> <li>Q. Why is it not consistent?</li> <li>A. What was the logic why it was not consistent?</li> <li>Initially I don't recall exactly why it wasn't consistent, but, generally speaking, a quarter percent</li> <li>Q. Okay. So the \$45,000 that was paid for the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q from the subscription fees?</li> <li>A. It has to be very minor. I don't know. But it's not much. It cannot be much.</li> <li>Q. Where would that be recorded?</li> <li>A. It would be recorded as income to but it is really really, really small. <ul> <li>I know that there were a couple of instances where we tried to withhold 500 \$5,000 no. Out of the 5,000 no. Out of the 45,000 we tried to keep, and that we were successful.</li> <li>But then the market changed so quickly that other regional centers were paying even higher amounts, and we just said forget it. Just give it all to them. Let's do it very cleanly. Our revenue will come from</li> <li>South Dakota. Anything that comes from China pretty much goes back. Or any of these countries.</li> <li>Q. Why? Because if you've</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Because these agents have multiple options. And whoever pays the best, that's who they're going to go with. And if I tried to clip them 5,000 out of the 45,000, then they might go to somebody else.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is that for SDRC, Inc. got that for every single</li> <li>A. Just the one-time fee.</li> <li>Q. But for the Basin project?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. For the</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. And then we pay the State of South Dakota a certain percentage and whatever else.</li> <li>Q. How much do you pay the State of South Dakota?</li> <li>A. I don't recall the percentages. I think it's a quarter percent.</li> <li>Q. A quarter? SDRC, Inc</li> <li>A. Yeah. It's a little bit different on projects.</li> <li>It's not consistent.</li> <li>Q. Why is it not consistent?</li> <li>A. What was the logic why it was not consistent?</li> <li>Initially I don't recall exactly why it wasn't consistent, but, generally speaking, a quarter percent would go to the State.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So the \$45,000 that was paid for the partnership unit</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q from the subscription fees?</li> <li>A. It has to be very minor. I don't know. But it's not much. It cannot be much.</li> <li>Q. Where would that be recorded?</li> <li>A. It would be recorded as income to but it is really really, really small.</li> <li>I know that there were a couple of instances where we tried to withhold 500 \$5,000 no. Out of the 5,000 no. Out of the 45,000 we tried to keep, and that we were successful.</li> <li>But then the market changed so quickly that other regional centers were paying even higher amounts, and we just said forget it. Just give it all to them. Let's do it very cleanly. Our revenue will come from South Dakota. Anything that comes from China pretty much goes back. Or any of these countries.</li> <li>Q. Why? Because if you've</li> <li>A. Because these agents have multiple options. And whoever pays the best, that's who they're going to go with. And if I tried to clip them 5,000 out of the 45,000, then they might go to somebody else.</li> <li>So the competitive forces made it very clear to me</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is that for SDRC, Inc. got that for every single</li> <li>A. Just the one-time fee.</li> <li>Q. But for the Basin project?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. For the</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. And then we pay the State of South Dakota a certain percentage and whatever else.</li> <li>Q. How much do you pay the State of South Dakota?</li> <li>A. I don't recall the percentages. I think it's a quarter percent.</li> <li>Q. A quarter? SDRC, Inc</li> <li>A. Yeah. It's a little bit different on projects.</li> <li>It's not consistent?</li> <li>A. What was the logic why it was not consistent?</li> <li>Initially I don't recall exactly why it wasn't consistent, but, generally speaking, a quarter percent would go to the State.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So the \$45,000 that was paid for the partnership unit</li> <li>A. The issuance fee is what you're talking about.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q from the subscription fees?</li> <li>A. It has to be very minor. I don't know. But it's not much. It cannot be much.</li> <li>Q. Where would that be recorded?</li> <li>A. It would be recorded as income to but it is really really, really small. <ul> <li>I know that there were a couple of instances where we tried to withhold 500 \$5,000 no. Out of the 5,000 no. Out of the 45,000 we tried to keep, and that we were successful.</li> <li>But then the market changed so quickly that other regional centers were paying even higher amounts, and we just said forget it. Just give it all to them. Let's do it very cleanly. Our revenue will come from</li> <li>South Dakota. Anything that comes from China pretty much goes back. Or any of these countries.</li> <li>Q. Why? Because if you've</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Because these agents have multiple options. And whoever pays the best, that's who they're going to go with. And if I tried to clip them 5,000 out of the 45,000, then they might go to somebody else.</li> <li>So the competitive forces made it very clear to me that it was not wise for me to try to nickel-and-dime</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is that for SDRC, Inc. got that for every single</li> <li>A. Just the one-time fee.</li> <li>Q. But for the Basin project?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. For the</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. And then we pay the State of South Dakota a certain percentage and whatever else.</li> <li>Q. How much do you pay the State of South Dakota?</li> <li>A. I don't recall the percentages. I think it's a quarter percent.</li> <li>Q. A quarter? SDRC, Inc</li> <li>A. Yeah. It's a little bit different on projects.</li> <li>It's not consistent.</li> <li>Q. Why is it not consistent?</li> <li>A. What was the logic why it was not consistent?</li> <li>Initially I don't recall exactly why it wasn't consistent, but, generally speaking, a quarter percent would go to the State.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So the \$45,000 that was paid for the partnership unit</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q from the subscription fees?</li> <li>A. It has to be very minor. I don't know. But it's not much. It cannot be much.</li> <li>Q. Where would that be recorded?</li> <li>A. It would be recorded as income to but it is really really, really small.</li> <li>I know that there were a couple of instances where we tried to withhold 500 \$5,000 no. Out of the 5,000 no. Out of the 45,000 we tried to keep, and that we were successful.</li> <li>But then the market changed so quickly that other regional centers were paying even higher amounts, and we just said forget it. Just give it all to them. Let's do it very cleanly. Our revenue will come from South Dakota. Anything that comes from China pretty much goes back. Or any of these countries.</li> <li>Q. Why? Because if you've</li> <li>A. Because these agents have multiple options. And whoever pays the best, that's who they're going to go with. And if I tried to clip them 5,000 out of the 45,000, then they might go to somebody else.</li> <li>So the competitive forces made it very clear to me</li> </ul>

	Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	File	d 10/10/18 Page 32 of 61 PageID #: 2490 127
1	different companies is that each one of her companies	1	A. Yes.
2	works with a different regional center? So if you're	2	<b>Q.</b> And that money gets paid to SD Investment Fund,
3	working with Wailian, why would it matter if	3	LLC2?
4	A. Well, if Pennsylvania pays 60,000, why would she	4	A. The management fee, yes.
5	send any investments my way? She has complete ability to	5	<b>Q.</b> And then what does SD Investment Fund, LLC2 do with
6	steer those limited partners any which way she wants.	6	that money?
7	The reality is those limited partners, in my estimation,	7	A. It will flow through into SDRC.
8	don't really know exactly what goes on.	8	<b>Q.</b> So then it goes into SDRC, Inc.?
9	For them to analyze all these projects that are	9	A. Uh-huh.
10	being offered, it's an impossible task. Imagine you	10	<b>Q.</b> And then it can be distributed out?
11	doing that in China with Chinese companies. So they rely	11	A. Well, you have expenses. You know, there's
12	heavily, heavily on the local agent that can steer them	12	different expenses, but then if there's a profit and the
13	any which way they want to steer them. And, therefore,	13	shareholders decide to distribute, they can.
14	it made to me no sense to start nickel-and-diming them	14	<b>Q.</b> Who received the escrow fees?
15	and just give them the whole 45,000.	15	A. That's the Chinese bank. They received the escrow
16	<b>Q.</b> An investment was secondary to citizenship.	16	fees.
17	A. I don't I think that's a fair observation, but	17	<b>Q.</b> At the limited partnership is also to receive
18	they want both. They want the investment and the	18	2 percent of any interest that's been paid?
19	citizenship. It's not that they just want the	19	A. No. That's the same fees. That's what we just
20	citizenship, you know.	20	discussed. 1 percent, 1 percent; that is 2 percent.
21	And that is why Iberdrola or sorry. Basin or FPL	21	<b>Q.</b> Okay. So if it's in two different areas that there
22	was so beautiful. Because it's not just a citizenship;	22	is a management fee
23	there's very high likelihood of being rebate. And that's	23	A. Oh, you mean the limited partners get 2 percent?
24	why that those projects were very successful.	24	<b>Q.</b> It says the limited partnership itself was to
25	<b>Q.</b> Were you paid management fees under the limited	25	receive 2 percent of any interest paid.
		-	
	126		128
1	126 partnership agreement?	1	A. Okay. Yeah. The distribution there were
1		1	
_	partnership agreement?		A. Okay. Yeah. The distribution there were
2	partnership agreement? A. I personally wasn't paid anything.	2	A. Okay. Yeah. The distribution there were distributions paid out to the limited partners, which
2 3	<ul><li>partnership agreement?</li><li>A. I personally wasn't paid anything.</li><li>Q. Was SDRC, Inc. paid management fees?</li></ul>	23	A. Okay. Yeah. The distribution there were distributions paid out to the limited partners, which roughly equated to 2 percent.
2 3 4	<ul> <li>partnership agreement?</li> <li>A. I personally wasn't paid anything.</li> <li>Q. Was SDRC, Inc. paid management fees?</li> <li>A. No. The GP was paid a management fee.</li> </ul>	2 3 4	<ul> <li>A. Okay. Yeah. The distribution there were distributions paid out to the limited partners, which roughly equated to 2 percent.</li> <li>Q. How much interest has Tentexkota paid?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>partnership agreement?</li> <li>A. I personally wasn't paid anything.</li> <li>Q. Was SDRC, Inc. paid management fees?</li> <li>A. No. The GP was paid a management fee.</li> <li>Q. Who is the GP then?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. Okay. Yeah. The distribution there were distributions paid out to the limited partners, which roughly equated to 2 percent.</li> <li>Q. How much interest has Tentexkota paid?</li> <li>A. You know, I think it was about 4 and a half percent.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>partnership agreement?</li> <li>A. I personally wasn't paid anything.</li> <li>Q. Was SDRC, Inc. paid management fees?</li> <li>A. No. The GP was paid a management fee.</li> <li>Q. Who is the GP then?</li> <li>A. That is owned the sole member of the GP is SDRC,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. Okay. Yeah. The distribution there were distributions paid out to the limited partners, which roughly equated to 2 percent.</li> <li>Q. How much interest has Tentexkota paid?</li> <li>A. You know, I think it was about 4 and a half percent.</li> <li>So 32.5 million. 4 and a half percent. And then, you</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>partnership agreement?</li> <li>A. I personally wasn't paid anything.</li> <li>Q. Was SDRC, Inc. paid management fees?</li> <li>A. No. The GP was paid a management fee.</li> <li>Q. Who is the GP then?</li> <li>A. That is owned the sole member of the GP is SDRC, Inc., so it does go back to SDRC</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Okay. Yeah. The distribution there were distributions paid out to the limited partners, which roughly equated to 2 percent.</li> <li>Q. How much interest has Tentexkota paid?</li> <li>A. You know, I think it was about 4 and a half percent.</li> <li>So 32.5 million. 4 and a half percent. And then, you know, you do that for five years. I think plus there was</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>partnership agreement?</li> <li>A. I personally wasn't paid anything.</li> <li>Q. Was SDRC, Inc. paid management fees?</li> <li>A. No. The GP was paid a management fee.</li> <li>Q. Who is the GP then?</li> <li>A. That is owned the sole member of the GP is SDRC, Inc., so it does go back to SDRC</li> <li>Q. So it flows through to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Okay. Yeah. The distribution there were distributions paid out to the limited partners, which roughly equated to 2 percent.</li> <li>Q. How much interest has Tentexkota paid?</li> <li>A. You know, I think it was about 4 and a half percent.</li> <li>So 32.5 million. 4 and a half percent. And then, you know, you do that for five years. I think plus there was a forbearance agreement too.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>partnership agreement?</li> <li>A. I personally wasn't paid anything.</li> <li>Q. Was SDRC, Inc. paid management fees?</li> <li>A. No. The GP was paid a management fee.</li> <li>Q. Who is the GP then?</li> <li>A. That is owned the sole member of the GP is SDRC,</li> <li>Inc., so it does go back to SDRC</li> <li>Q. So it flows through to</li> <li>A. There's two things pretty much: The consulting fee</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Okay. Yeah. The distribution there were distributions paid out to the limited partners, which roughly equated to 2 percent.</li> <li>Q. How much interest has Tentexkota paid?</li> <li>A. You know, I think it was about 4 and a half percent.</li> <li>So 32.5 million. 4 and a half percent. And then, you know, you do that for five years. I think plus there was a forbearance agreement too.</li> <li>Q. Did the forbearance agreement include interest paid,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>partnership agreement?</li> <li>A. I personally wasn't paid anything.</li> <li>Q. Was SDRC, Inc. paid management fees?</li> <li>A. No. The GP was paid a management fee.</li> <li>Q. Who is the GP then?</li> <li>A. That is owned the sole member of the GP is SDRC, Inc., so it does go back to SDRC</li> <li>Q. So it flows through to</li> <li>A. There's two things pretty much: The consulting fee directly to SDRC and a management fee through the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Okay. Yeah. The distribution there were distributions paid out to the limited partners, which roughly equated to 2 percent.</li> <li>Q. How much interest has Tentexkota paid?</li> <li>A. You know, I think it was about 4 and a half percent.</li> <li>So 32.5 million. 4 and a half percent. And then, you know, you do that for five years. I think plus there was a forbearance agreement too.</li> <li>Q. Did the forbearance agreement include interest paid, or was that on top of interest paid?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>partnership agreement?</li> <li>A. I personally wasn't paid anything.</li> <li>Q. Was SDRC, Inc. paid management fees?</li> <li>A. No. The GP was paid a management fee.</li> <li>Q. Who is the GP then?</li> <li>A. That is owned the sole member of the GP is SDRC, Inc., so it does go back to SDRC</li> <li>Q. So it flows through to</li> <li>A. There's two things pretty much: The consulting fee directly to SDRC and a management fee through the through the GP into SDRC.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Okay. Yeah. The distribution there were distributions paid out to the limited partners, which roughly equated to 2 percent.</li> <li>Q. How much interest has Tentexkota paid?</li> <li>A. You know, I think it was about 4 and a half percent.</li> <li>So 32.5 million. 4 and a half percent. And then, you know, you do that for five years. I think plus there was a forbearance agreement too.</li> <li>Q. Did the forbearance agreement include interest paid, or was that on top of interest paid?</li> <li>A. I think from what I recall year fifth came due, and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>partnership agreement?</li> <li>A. I personally wasn't paid anything.</li> <li>Q. Was SDRC, Inc. paid management fees?</li> <li>A. No. The GP was paid a management fee.</li> <li>Q. Who is the GP then?</li> <li>A. That is owned the sole member of the GP is SDRC, Inc., so it does go back to SDRC</li> <li>Q. So it flows through to</li> <li>A. There's two things pretty much: The consulting fee directly to SDRC and a management fee through the through the GP into SDRC.</li> <li>Q. SD Investment Fund, LLC2 is the GP; correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Okay. Yeah. The distribution there were distributions paid out to the limited partners, which roughly equated to 2 percent.</li> <li>Q. How much interest has Tentexkota paid?</li> <li>A. You know, I think it was about 4 and a half percent.</li> <li>So 32.5 million. 4 and a half percent. And then, you know, you do that for five years. I think plus there was a forbearance agreement too.</li> <li>Q. Did the forbearance agreement include interest paid, or was that on top of interest paid?</li> <li>A. I think from what I recall year fifth came due, and a new interest rate was determined that was supposed to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>partnership agreement?</li> <li>A. I personally wasn't paid anything.</li> <li>Q. Was SDRC, Inc. paid management fees?</li> <li>A. No. The GP was paid a management fee.</li> <li>Q. Who is the GP then?</li> <li>A. That is owned the sole member of the GP is SDRC, Inc., so it does go back to SDRC</li> <li>Q. So it flows through to</li> <li>A. There's two things pretty much: The consulting fee directly to SDRC and a management fee through the</li> <li>through the GP into SDRC.</li> <li>Q. SD Investment Fund, LLC2 is the GP; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Okay. Yeah. The distribution there were distributions paid out to the limited partners, which roughly equated to 2 percent.</li> <li>Q. How much interest has Tentexkota paid?</li> <li>A. You know, I think it was about 4 and a half percent.</li> <li>So 32.5 million. 4 and a half percent. And then, you know, you do that for five years. I think plus there was a forbearance agreement too.</li> <li>Q. Did the forbearance agreement include interest paid, or was that on top of interest paid?</li> <li>A. I think from what I recall year fifth came due, and a new interest rate was determined that was supposed to have been paid for 2016 but never was paid.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>partnership agreement?</li> <li>A. I personally wasn't paid anything.</li> <li>Q. Was SDRC, Inc. paid management fees?</li> <li>A. No. The GP was paid a management fee.</li> <li>Q. Who is the GP then?</li> <li>A. That is owned the sole member of the GP is SDRC, Inc., so it does go back to SDRC</li> <li>Q. So it flows through to</li> <li>A. There's two things pretty much: The consulting fee directly to SDRC and a management fee through the</li> <li>through the GP into SDRC.</li> <li>Q. SD Investment Fund, LLC2 is the GP; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And they were paid management fees?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Okay. Yeah. The distribution there were distributions paid out to the limited partners, which roughly equated to 2 percent.</li> <li>Q. How much interest has Tentexkota paid?</li> <li>A. You know, I think it was about 4 and a half percent.</li> <li>So 32.5 million. 4 and a half percent. And then, you know, you do that for five years. I think plus there was a forbearance agreement too.</li> <li>Q. Did the forbearance agreement include interest paid, or was that on top of interest paid?</li> <li>A. I think from what I recall year fifth came due, and a new interest rate was determined that was supposed to have been paid for 2016 but never was paid.</li> <li>Q. But the forbearance agreement was done in 2015.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>partnership agreement?</li> <li>A. I personally wasn't paid anything.</li> <li>Q. Was SDRC, Inc. paid management fees?</li> <li>A. No. The GP was paid a management fee.</li> <li>Q. Who is the GP then?</li> <li>A. That is owned the sole member of the GP is SDRC, Inc., so it does go back to SDRC</li> <li>Q. So it flows through to</li> <li>A. There's two things pretty much: The consulting fee directly to SDRC and a management fee through the</li> <li>through the GP into SDRC.</li> <li>Q. SD Investment Fund, LLC2 is the GP; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And they were paid management fees?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Okay. Yeah. The distribution there were distributions paid out to the limited partners, which roughly equated to 2 percent.</li> <li>Q. How much interest has Tentexkota paid?</li> <li>A. You know, I think it was about 4 and a half percent.</li> <li>So 32.5 million. 4 and a half percent. And then, you know, you do that for five years. I think plus there was a forbearance agreement too.</li> <li>Q. Did the forbearance agreement include interest paid, or was that on top of interest paid?</li> <li>A. I think from what I recall year fifth came due, and a new interest rate was determined that was supposed to have been paid for 2016 but never was paid.</li> <li>Q. But the forbearance agreement was done in 2015.</li> <li>A. Right. But the interest takes a year later; right?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>partnership agreement?</li> <li>A. I personally wasn't paid anything.</li> <li>Q. Was SDRC, Inc. paid management fees?</li> <li>A. No. The GP was paid a management fee.</li> <li>Q. Who is the GP then?</li> <li>A. That is owned the sole member of the GP is SDRC, Inc., so it does go back to SDRC</li> <li>Q. So it flows through to</li> <li>A. There's two things pretty much: The consulting fee directly to SDRC and a management fee through the</li> <li>through the GP into SDRC.</li> <li>Q. SD Investment Fund, LLC2 is the GP; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And they were paid management fees?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Of \$5,000</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Okay. Yeah. The distribution there were distributions paid out to the limited partners, which roughly equated to 2 percent.</li> <li>Q. How much interest has Tentexkota paid?</li> <li>A. You know, I think it was about 4 and a half percent.</li> <li>So 32.5 million. 4 and a half percent. And then, you know, you do that for five years. I think plus there was a forbearance agreement too.</li> <li>Q. Did the forbearance agreement include interest paid, or was that on top of interest paid?</li> <li>A. I think from what I recall year fifth came due, and a new interest rate was determined that was supposed to have been paid for 2016 but never was paid.</li> <li>Q. But the forbearance agreement was done in 2015.</li> <li>A. Right. But the interest takes a year later; right?</li> <li>So, you know, if you sign the forbearance, the interest</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>partnership agreement?</li> <li>A. I personally wasn't paid anything.</li> <li>Q. Was SDRC, Inc. paid management fees?</li> <li>A. No. The GP was paid a management fee.</li> <li>Q. Who is the GP then?</li> <li>A. That is owned the sole member of the GP is SDRC,</li> <li>Inc., so it does go back to SDRC</li> <li>Q. So it flows through to</li> <li>A. There's two things pretty much: The consulting fee</li> <li>directly to SDRC and a management fee through the</li> <li>through the GP into SDRC.</li> <li>Q. SD Investment Fund, LLC2 is the GP; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And they were paid management fees?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Of \$5,000</li> <li>A. I percent, I think. I don't yeah. That is also</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Okay. Yeah. The distribution there were distributions paid out to the limited partners, which roughly equated to 2 percent.</li> <li>Q. How much interest has Tentexkota paid?</li> <li>A. You know, I think it was about 4 and a half percent.</li> <li>So 32.5 million. 4 and a half percent. And then, you know, you do that for five years. I think plus there was a forbearance agreement too.</li> <li>Q. Did the forbearance agreement include interest paid, or was that on top of interest paid?</li> <li>A. I think from what I recall year fifth came due, and a new interest rate was determined that was supposed to have been paid for 2016 but never was paid.</li> <li>Q. But the forbearance agreement was done in 2015.</li> <li>A. Right. But the interest takes a year later; right?</li> <li>So, you know, if you sign the forbearance, the interest would be if you negotiate an interest rate at the time</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>partnership agreement?</li> <li>A. I personally wasn't paid anything.</li> <li>Q. Was SDRC, Inc. paid management fees?</li> <li>A. No. The GP was paid a management fee.</li> <li>Q. Who is the GP then?</li> <li>A. That is owned the sole member of the GP is SDRC, Inc., so it does go back to SDRC</li> <li>Q. So it flows through to</li> <li>A. There's two things pretty much: The consulting fee directly to SDRC and a management fee through the</li> <li>through the GP into SDRC.</li> <li>Q. SD Investment Fund, LLC2 is the GP; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And they were paid management fees?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Of \$5,000</li> <li>A. 1 percent, I think. I don't yeah. That is also now consistency. In some OMs it says \$5,000. On other</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Okay. Yeah. The distribution there were distributions paid out to the limited partners, which roughly equated to 2 percent.</li> <li>Q. How much interest has Tentexkota paid?</li> <li>A. You know, I think it was about 4 and a half percent.</li> <li>So 32.5 million. 4 and a half percent. And then, you know, you do that for five years. I think plus there was a forbearance agreement too.</li> <li>Q. Did the forbearance agreement include interest paid, or was that on top of interest paid?</li> <li>A. I think from what I recall year fifth came due, and a new interest rate was determined that was supposed to have been paid for 2016 but never was paid.</li> <li>Q. But the forbearance agreement was done in 2015.</li> <li>A. Right. But the interest takes a year later; right?</li> <li>So, you know, if you sign the forbearance, the interest would be if you negotiate an interest rate at the time of the forbearance agreement, the interest doesn't get</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>partnership agreement?</li> <li>A. I personally wasn't paid anything.</li> <li>Q. Was SDRC, Inc. paid management fees?</li> <li>A. No. The GP was paid a management fee.</li> <li>Q. Who is the GP then?</li> <li>A. That is owned the sole member of the GP is SDRC, Inc., so it does go back to SDRC</li> <li>Q. So it flows through to</li> <li>A. There's two things pretty much: The consulting fee directly to SDRC and a management fee through the</li> <li>through the GP into SDRC.</li> <li>Q. SD Investment Fund, LLC2 is the GP; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And they were paid management fees?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Of \$5,000</li> <li>A. 1 percent, I think. I don't yeah. That is also now consistency. In some OMs it says \$5,000. On other ones it says 1 percent. I don't recall offhand which</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. Okay. Yeah. The distribution there were distributions paid out to the limited partners, which roughly equated to 2 percent.</li> <li>Q. How much interest has Tentexkota paid?</li> <li>A. You know, I think it was about 4 and a half percent.</li> <li>So 32.5 million. 4 and a half percent. And then, you know, you do that for five years. I think plus there was a forbearance agreement too.</li> <li>Q. Did the forbearance agreement include interest paid, or was that on top of interest paid?</li> <li>A. I think from what I recall year fifth came due, and a new interest rate was determined that was supposed to have been paid for 2016 but never was paid.</li> <li>Q. But the forbearance agreement was done in 2015.</li> <li>A. Right. But the interest takes a year later; right?</li> <li>So, you know, if you sign the forbearance, the interest would be if you negotiate an interest rate at the time of the forbearance agreement, the interest doesn't get paid until roughly a year later.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>partnership agreement?</li> <li>A. I personally wasn't paid anything.</li> <li>Q. Was SDRC, Inc. paid management fees?</li> <li>A. No. The GP was paid a management fee.</li> <li>Q. Who is the GP then?</li> <li>A. That is owned the sole member of the GP is SDRC,</li> <li>Inc., so it does go back to SDRC</li> <li>Q. So it flows through to</li> <li>A. There's two things pretty much: The consulting fee</li> <li>directly to SDRC and a management fee through the</li> <li>through the GP into SDRC.</li> <li>Q. SD Investment Fund, LLC2 is the GP; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Of \$5,000</li> <li>A. 1 percent, I think. I don't yeah. That is also now consistency. In some OMs it says \$5,000. On other ones it says 1 percent. I don't recall offhand which this one says, but it is either it equates to roughly</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Okay. Yeah. The distribution there were distributions paid out to the limited partners, which roughly equated to 2 percent.</li> <li>Q. How much interest has Tentexkota paid?</li> <li>A. You know, I think it was about 4 and a half percent.</li> <li>So 32.5 million. 4 and a half percent. And then, you know, you do that for five years. I think plus there was a forbearance agreement too.</li> <li>Q. Did the forbearance agreement include interest paid, or was that on top of interest paid?</li> <li>A. I think from what I recall year fifth came due, and a new interest rate was determined that was supposed to have been paid for 2016 but never was paid.</li> <li>Q. But the forbearance agreement was done in 2015.</li> <li>A. Right. But the interest takes a year later; right?</li> <li>So, you know, if you sign the forbearance, the interest would be if you negotiate an interest rate at the time of the forbearance agreement, the interest doesn't get paid until roughly a year later.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. But there was the \$1.5 million that was paid</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>partnership agreement?</li> <li>A. I personally wasn't paid anything.</li> <li>Q. Was SDRC, Inc. paid management fees?</li> <li>A. No. The GP was paid a management fee.</li> <li>Q. Who is the GP then?</li> <li>A. That is owned the sole member of the GP is SDRC,</li> <li>Inc., so it does go back to SDRC</li> <li>Q. So it flows through to</li> <li>A. There's two things pretty much: The consulting fee directly to SDRC and a management fee through the</li> <li>through the GP into SDRC.</li> <li>Q. SD Investment Fund, LLC2 is the GP; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And they were paid management fees?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Of \$5,000</li> <li>A. 1 percent, I think. I don't yeah. That is also now consistency. In some OMs it says \$5,000. On other ones it says 1 percent. I don't recall offhand which this one says, but it is either it equates to roughly the same thing anyway.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Okay. Yeah. The distribution there were distributions paid out to the limited partners, which roughly equated to 2 percent.</li> <li>Q. How much interest has Tentexkota paid?</li> <li>A. You know, I think it was about 4 and a half percent. So 32.5 million. 4 and a half percent. And then, you know, you do that for five years. I think plus there was a forbearance agreement too.</li> <li>Q. Did the forbearance agreement include interest paid, or was that on top of interest paid?</li> <li>A. I think from what I recall year fifth came due, and a new interest rate was determined that was supposed to have been paid for 2016 but never was paid.</li> <li>Q. But the forbearance agreement was done in 2015.</li> <li>A. Right. But the interest takes a year later; right?</li> <li>So, you know, if you sign the forbearance, the interest would be if you negotiate an interest rate at the time of the forbearance agreement, the interest doesn't get paid until roughly a year later.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. But there was the \$1.5 million that was paid in a fee?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>partnership agreement?</li> <li>A. I personally wasn't paid anything.</li> <li>Q. Was SDRC, Inc. paid management fees?</li> <li>A. No. The GP was paid a management fee.</li> <li>Q. Who is the GP then?</li> <li>A. That is owned the sole member of the GP is SDRC, Inc., so it does go back to SDRC</li> <li>Q. So it flows through to</li> <li>A. There's two things pretty much: The consulting fee directly to SDRC and a management fee through the through the GP into SDRC.</li> <li>Q. SD Investment Fund, LLC2 is the GP; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And they were paid management fees?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Of \$5,000</li> <li>A. 1 percent, I think. I don't yeah. That is also now consistency. In some OMs it says \$5,000. On other ones it says 1 percent. I don't recall offhand which this one says, but it is either it equates to roughly the same thing anyway.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So let's just say this one is \$5,000 because</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Okay. Yeah. The distribution there were distributions paid out to the limited partners, which roughly equated to 2 percent.</li> <li>Q. How much interest has Tentexkota paid?</li> <li>A. You know, I think it was about 4 and a half percent. So 32.5 million. 4 and a half percent. And then, you know, you do that for five years. I think plus there was a forbearance agreement too.</li> <li>Q. Did the forbearance agreement include interest paid, or was that on top of interest paid?</li> <li>A. I think from what I recall year fifth came due, and a new interest rate was determined that was supposed to have been paid for 2016 but never was paid.</li> <li>Q. But the forbearance agreement was done in 2015.</li> <li>A. Right. But the interest takes a year later; right?</li> <li>So, you know, if you sign the forbearance, the interest would be if you negotiate an interest rate at the time of the forbearance agreement, the interest doesn't get paid until roughly a year later.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. But there was the \$1.5 million that was paid in a fee?</li> <li>A. But that was interest pretty much, from what I</li> </ul>

		Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	File	d 10/10/18 Page 33 of 61 PageID #: 2491 131
1	0	Okay. How much of the interest that has been paid	1	would then do the execution of the promotion. $2401 - 131$
2	<b>Q</b> .	Tentexkota has gone back to the limited partners?	2	Q. And when you say we would work together, it was you,
3	Бу А.	Roughly 2 percent per year.	3	Linda, and who else?
4	Q.	Roughly 2 percent?	4	A. It was their promotional campaign so we would just
5	Q.	Uh-huh.	5	be what do you say a peon in her show. They would
6	Q.	Per year?	6	set up the show. We would show up and just discuss
7	<u>ц</u> . А.	Uh-huh.	7	whatever they wanted us to discuss.
8	Q.	When we were in California the limited partners said	8	<b>Q</b> . When you say "we would show up," who is we?
9		y were each getting about \$10,000 per year?	9	A. Myself typically. Morrie Berez went with me for
10	Α.	Well, that's 2 percent per year.	10	some projects. Richard Benda went a lot of times.
11	Q.	Does that sound accurate?	11	<b>Q.</b> Did Morrie Berez promote this project?
12	Α.	Yeah. That sounds about right.	12	A. I don't recall exactly how that I'm sure he did.
13	Q.	Was SD Investment Fund, LLC2 paid any money beyond	13	I'm sure he did. But I don't know for 100 percent
14	its ı	management fees out of	14	person.
15	Α.	No.	15	Q. Did James Park?
16	Q.	the interest paid?	16	A. James Park never promoted the project. He discussed
17	Α.	No.	17	the immigration aspect of how to get your visa.
18	Q.	Who primarily did the promotion of the Tentexkota	18	Q. How many times did you go to China for promotional?
19	pro	ject?	19	A. Oh, my guess is 10 times a year for four years.
20	Α.	Wailian.	20	Q. Okay. So you promoted it for four years?
21	Q.	Did Jing Hong also do promotion?	21	A. That's my guess.
22	Α.	Not that I recall, but they might have.	22	<b>Q</b> . Okay.
23		No. Actually I do remember Jing Hong trying to	23	MS. DVORAK: Kasey, just so the record's clear,
24	ple	ad some cases too.	24	do you mean specifically the Tentexkota project or all
25	Q.	Were they both owned by Linda He?	25	projects?
		130		132
1		As far as I know. I never asked for evidence who	1	THE WITNESS: That's all projects.
2	the	owners were so I'm just getting based on what I	2	THE WITNESS: That's all projects. Q. The Tentexkota projects.
2 3	the thi	owners were so I'm just getting based on what I nk, she owns both.	2 3	<ul><li>THE WITNESS: That's all projects.</li><li>Q. The Tentexkota projects.</li><li>A. Oh, I'm sorry. That's all projects.</li></ul>
2 3 4	the thii Q.	owners were so I'm just getting based on what I nk, she owns both. Who did you primarily work with in the promotion of	2 3 4	<ul> <li>THE WITNESS: That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. The Tentexkota projects.</li> <li>A. Oh, I'm sorry. That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. Okay. How many times for the Tentexkota project?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	the thin Q. the	a owners were so I'm just getting based on what I nk, she owns both. Who did you primarily work with in the promotion of project?	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>THE WITNESS: That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. The Tentexkota projects.</li> <li>A. Oh, I'm sorry. That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. Okay. How many times for the Tentexkota project?</li> <li>A. Oh, my guess would be four or five times. Four</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	the thin Q. the A.	owners were so I'm just getting based on what I nk, she owns both. Who did you primarily work with in the promotion of project? The negotiations were done with Linda. The actual	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>THE WITNESS: That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. The Tentexkota projects.</li> <li>A. Oh, I'm sorry. That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. Okay. How many times for the Tentexkota project?</li> <li>A. Oh, my guess would be four or five times. Four times, five times.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	the thin Q. the A. exe	a owners were so I'm just getting based on what I hk, she owns both. Who did you primarily work with in the promotion of project? The negotiations were done with Linda. The actual ecution of the promotion was mainly done by Cindy.	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>THE WITNESS: That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. The Tentexkota projects.</li> <li>A. Oh, I'm sorry. That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. Okay. How many times for the Tentexkota project?</li> <li>A. Oh, my guess would be four or five times. Four times, five times.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the thin Q. the A. exe Q.	<ul> <li>owners were so I'm just getting based on what I hk, she owns both.</li> <li>Who did you primarily work with in the promotion of project?</li> <li>The negotiations were done with Linda. The actual ecution of the promotion was mainly done by Cindy.</li> <li>When you say the promotion was done by Linda, what</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>THE WITNESS: That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. The Tentexkota projects.</li> <li>A. Oh, I'm sorry. That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. Okay. How many times for the Tentexkota project?</li> <li>A. Oh, my guess would be four or five times. Four times, five times.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Tentexkota was a little harder project to fill and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the thin Q. the A. exe Q. doe	<ul> <li>when you say the promotion was done by Linda, what</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>THE WITNESS: That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. The Tentexkota projects.</li> <li>A. Oh, I'm sorry. That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. Okay. How many times for the Tentexkota project?</li> <li>A. Oh, my guess would be four or five times. Four times, five times.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Tentexkota was a little harder project to fill and required more hand holding than a Basin would or</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the thin Q. the A. Q. doe A.	<ul> <li>a owners were so I'm just getting based on what I</li> <li>b who did you primarily work with in the promotion of project?</li> <li>The negotiations were done with Linda. The actual ecution of the promotion was mainly done by Cindy.</li> <li>When you say the promotion was done by Linda, what is that mean?</li> <li>The negotiations.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>THE WITNESS: That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. The Tentexkota projects.</li> <li>A. Oh, I'm sorry. That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. Okay. How many times for the Tentexkota project?</li> <li>A. Oh, my guess would be four or five times. Four times, five times.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Tentexkota was a little harder project to fill and required more hand holding than a Basin would or</li> <li>Q. Why?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the thin Q. the A. Q. doe A. Q.	<ul> <li>owners were so I'm just getting based on what I ink, she owns both.</li> <li>Who did you primarily work with in the promotion of project?</li> <li>The negotiations were done with Linda. The actual ecution of the promotion was mainly done by Cindy.</li> <li>When you say the promotion was done by Linda, what is that mean?</li> <li>The negotiations.</li> <li>Oh, the negotiations. Okay. So what does that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>THE WITNESS: That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. The Tentexkota projects.</li> <li>A. Oh, I'm sorry. That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. Okay. How many times for the Tentexkota project?</li> <li>A. Oh, my guess would be four or five times. Four times, five times.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Tentexkota was a little harder project to fill and required more hand holding than a Basin would or</li> <li>Q. Why?</li> <li>A. Because, again, the perceived risk of Basin and FPL</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the thin Q. the A. exe Q. doe A. Q. mea	<ul> <li>when swere so I'm just getting based on what I ink, she owns both.</li> <li>Who did you primarily work with in the promotion of project?</li> <li>The negotiations were done with Linda. The actual ecution of the promotion was mainly done by Cindy.</li> <li>When you say the promotion was done by Linda, what is that mean?</li> <li>The negotiations.</li> <li>Oh, the negotiations. Okay. So what does that an?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>THE WITNESS: That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. The Tentexkota projects.</li> <li>A. Oh, I'm sorry. That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. Okay. How many times for the Tentexkota project?</li> <li>A. Oh, my guess would be four or five times. Four times, five times.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Tentexkota was a little harder project to fill and required more hand holding than a Basin would or</li> <li>Q. Why?</li> <li>A. Because, again, the perceived risk of Basin and FPL was very low in China. Whereas, Tentexkota was a much</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the thin Q. the A. doe A. Q. doe A. Q. A.	<ul> <li>owners were so I'm just getting based on what I ink, she owns both.</li> <li>Who did you primarily work with in the promotion of project?</li> <li>The negotiations were done with Linda. The actual ecution of the promotion was mainly done by Cindy.</li> <li>When you say the promotion was done by Linda, what is that mean?</li> <li>The negotiations.</li> <li>Oh, the negotiations. Okay. So what does that an?</li> <li>To come to the terms of how we can make this project</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>THE WITNESS: That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. The Tentexkota projects.</li> <li>A. Oh, I'm sorry. That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. Okay. How many times for the Tentexkota project?</li> <li>A. Oh, my guess would be four or five times. Four times, five times.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Tentexkota was a little harder project to fill and required more hand holding than a Basin would or</li> <li>Q. Why?</li> <li>A. Because, again, the perceived risk of Basin and FPL was very low in China. Whereas, Tentexkota was a much more difficult project to promote.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the thin Q. the A. doe A. Q. doe A. Q. A.	<ul> <li>when swere so I'm just getting based on what I ink, she owns both.</li> <li>Who did you primarily work with in the promotion of project?</li> <li>The negotiations were done with Linda. The actual ecution of the promotion was mainly done by Cindy.</li> <li>When you say the promotion was done by Linda, what is that mean?</li> <li>The negotiations.</li> <li>Oh, the negotiations. Okay. So what does that an?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>THE WITNESS: That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. The Tentexkota projects.</li> <li>A. Oh, I'm sorry. That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. Okay. How many times for the Tentexkota project?</li> <li>A. Oh, my guess would be four or five times. Four times, five times.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Tentexkota was a little harder project to fill and required more hand holding than a Basin would or</li> <li>Q. Why?</li> <li>A. Because, again, the perceived risk of Basin and FPL was very low in China. Whereas, Tentexkota was a much</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the thin Q. the A. Q. doe A. Q. mea A. attr Q.	<ul> <li>a owners were so I'm just getting based on what I</li> <li>b who did you primarily work with in the promotion of project?</li> <li>The negotiations were done with Linda. The actual ecution of the promotion was mainly done by Cindy.</li> <li>When you say the promotion was done by Linda, what is that mean?</li> <li>The negotiations.</li> <li>Oh, the negotiations. Okay. So what does that an?</li> <li>To come to the terms of how we can make this project ractive for her to promote in China.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>THE WITNESS: That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. The Tentexkota projects.</li> <li>A. Oh, I'm sorry. That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. Okay. How many times for the Tentexkota project?</li> <li>A. Oh, my guess would be four or five times. Four times, five times.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Tentexkota was a little harder project to fill and required more hand holding than a Basin would or</li> <li>Q. Why?</li> <li>A. Because, again, the perceived risk of Basin and FPL was very low in China. Whereas, Tentexkota was a much more difficult project to promote.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Can we take a short break?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the thin Q. the A. Q. doe A. Q. Mea A. attr Q. A.	<ul> <li>a owners were so I'm just getting based on what I</li> <li>b who did you primarily work with in the promotion of project?</li> <li>The negotiations were done with Linda. The actual ecution of the promotion was mainly done by Cindy.</li> <li>When you say the promotion was done by Linda, what is that mean?</li> <li>The negotiations.</li> <li>Oh, the negotiations. Okay. So what does that an?</li> <li>To come to the terms of how we can make this project ractive for her to promote in China.</li> <li>Okay.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: That's all projects. Q. The Tentexkota projects. A. Oh, I'm sorry. That's all projects. Q. Okay. How many times for the Tentexkota project? A. Oh, my guess would be four or five times. Four times, five times. Q. Okay. A. Tentexkota was a little harder project to fill and required more hand holding than a Basin would or Q. Why? A. Because, again, the perceived risk of Basin and FPL was very low in China. Whereas, Tentexkota was a much more difficult project to promote. MS. OLIVIER: Can we take a short break? MS. DVORAK: Yep.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the thin Q. the A. Q. doe A. Q. A. attr Q. A. pro	<ul> <li>a owners were so I'm just getting based on what I</li> <li>b who did you primarily work with in the promotion of project?</li> <li>The negotiations were done with Linda. The actual ecution of the promotion was mainly done by Cindy.</li> <li>When you say the promotion was done by Linda, what as that mean?</li> <li>The negotiations.</li> <li>Oh, the negotiations. Okay. So what does that an?</li> <li>To come to the terms of how we can make this project ractive for her to promote in China.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>And then once that was set in place and the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>THE WITNESS: That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. The Tentexkota projects.</li> <li>A. Oh, I'm sorry. That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. Okay. How many times for the Tentexkota project?</li> <li>A. Oh, my guess would be four or five times. Four times, five times.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Tentexkota was a little harder project to fill and required more hand holding than a Basin would or</li> <li>Q. Why?</li> <li>A. Because, again, the perceived risk of Basin and FPL was very low in China. Whereas, Tentexkota was a much more difficult project to promote.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Can we take a short break?</li> <li>MS. DVORAK: Yep.     <ul> <li>(A short recess is taken.)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the thin Q. the A. Q. doe A. Q. A. attr Q. A. pro wit	<ul> <li>a owners were so I'm just getting based on what I</li> <li>b w, she owns both.</li> <li>Who did you primarily work with in the promotion of project?</li> <li>The negotiations were done with Linda. The actual ecution of the promotion was mainly done by Cindy.</li> <li>When you say the promotion was done by Linda, what is that mean?</li> <li>The negotiations.</li> <li>Oh, the negotiations. Okay. So what does that an?</li> <li>To come to the terms of how we can make this project ractive for her to promote in China.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>And then once that was set in place and the promotion started, then Cindy would typically take over</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>THE WITNESS: That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. The Tentexkota projects.</li> <li>A. Oh, I'm sorry. That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. Okay. How many times for the Tentexkota project?</li> <li>A. Oh, my guess would be four or five times. Four times, five times.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Tentexkota was a little harder project to fill and required more hand holding than a Basin would or</li> <li>Q. Why?</li> <li>A. Because, again, the perceived risk of Basin and FPL was very low in China. Whereas, Tentexkota was a much more difficult project to promote.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Can we take a short break?</li> <li>MS. DVORAK: Yep. <ul> <li>(A short recess is taken.)</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Back on the record at 2:56.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the thin Q. the A. Q. doe A. Q. Me: A. attr Q. A. pro wit Q.	<ul> <li>a owners were so I'm just getting based on what I</li> <li>b who did you primarily work with in the promotion of project?</li> <li>The negotiations were done with Linda. The actual ecution of the promotion was mainly done by Cindy.</li> <li>When you say the promotion was done by Linda, what is that mean?</li> <li>The negotiations.</li> <li>Oh, the negotiations. Okay. So what does that an?</li> <li>To come to the terms of how we can make this project ractive for her to promote in China.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>And then once that was set in place and the omotion started, then Cindy would typically take over the her crew. There were quite a few people.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>THE WITNESS: That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. The Tentexkota projects.</li> <li>A. Oh, I'm sorry. That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. Okay. How many times for the Tentexkota project?</li> <li>A. Oh, my guess would be four or five times. Four times, five times.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Tentexkota was a little harder project to fill and required more hand holding than a Basin would or</li> <li>Q. Why?</li> <li>A. Because, again, the perceived risk of Basin and FPL was very low in China. Whereas, Tentexkota was a much more difficult project to promote.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Can we take a short break?</li> <li>MS. DVORAK: Yep. <ul> <li>(A short recess is taken.)</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Back on the record at 2:56.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. (BY MS. OLIVIER) So, Joop, project you work with</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the thin Q. the A. Q. doe A. Q. A. attr Q. A. pro wit Q. attr	<ul> <li>a owners were so I'm just getting based on what I</li> <li>b w, she owns both.</li> <li>Who did you primarily work with in the promotion of project?</li> <li>The negotiations were done with Linda. The actual ecution of the promotion was mainly done by Cindy.</li> <li>When you say the promotion was done by Linda, what is that mean?</li> <li>The negotiations.</li> <li>Oh, the negotiations. Okay. So what does that an?</li> <li>To come to the terms of how we can make this project ractive for her to promote in China.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>And then once that was set in place and the promotion started, then Cindy would typically take over the her crew. There were quite a few people.</li> <li>So it was Linda that decided how to make this</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>THE WITNESS: That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. The Tentexkota projects.</li> <li>A. Oh, I'm sorry. That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. Okay. How many times for the Tentexkota project?</li> <li>A. Oh, my guess would be four or five times. Four times, five times.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Tentexkota was a little harder project to fill and required more hand holding than a Basin would or</li> <li>Q. Why?</li> <li>A. Because, again, the perceived risk of Basin and FPL was very low in China. Whereas, Tentexkota was a much more difficult project to promote.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Can we take a short break?</li> <li>MS. DVORAK: Yep. <ul> <li>(A short recess is taken.)</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Back on the record at 2:56.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. (BY MS. OLIVIER) So, Joop, project you work with Linda He and Hanul, and you're putting together the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the thin Q. the A. exce Q. doe A. Q. Mea A. extu Q. attr Q. attr A.	<ul> <li>a owners were so I'm just getting based on what I</li> <li>b w, she owns both.</li> <li>Who did you primarily work with in the promotion of project?</li> <li>The negotiations were done with Linda. The actual ecution of the promotion was mainly done by Cindy.</li> <li>When you say the promotion was done by Linda, what is that mean?</li> <li>The negotiations.</li> <li>Oh, the negotiations. Okay. So what does that an?</li> <li>To come to the terms of how we can make this project ractive for her to promote in China.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>And then once that was set in place and the promotion started, then Cindy would typically take over the her crew. There were quite a few people.</li> <li>So it was Linda that decided how to make this project particle?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>THE WITNESS: That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. The Tentexkota projects.</li> <li>A. Oh, I'm sorry. That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. Okay. How many times for the Tentexkota project?</li> <li>A. Oh, my guess would be four or five times. Four times, five times.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Tentexkota was a little harder project to fill and required more hand holding than a Basin would or</li> <li>Q. Why?</li> <li>A. Because, again, the perceived risk of Basin and FPL was very low in China. Whereas, Tentexkota was a much more difficult project to promote.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Can we take a short break? MS. DVORAK: Yep. (A short recess is taken.)</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Back on the record at 2:56.</li> <li>Q. (BY MS. OLIVIER) So, Joop, project you work with Linda He and Hanul, and you're putting together the promotional projects and trying to figure out how the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the thin Q. the A. Q. doe A. Q. A. attr Q. A. pro wit Q. attr A. pro	<ul> <li>a owners were so I'm just getting based on what I</li> <li>b w, she owns both.</li> <li>Who did you primarily work with in the promotion of project?</li> <li>The negotiations were done with Linda. The actual ecution of the promotion was mainly done by Cindy.</li> <li>When you say the promotion was done by Linda, what is that mean?</li> <li>The negotiations.</li> <li>Oh, the negotiations. Okay. So what does that an?</li> <li>To come to the terms of how we can make this project ractive for her to promote in China.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>And then once that was set in place and the omotion started, then Cindy would typically take over h her crew. There were quite a few people.</li> <li>So it was Linda that decided how to make this ractive?</li> <li>To she would we would discuss how to make this</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>THE WITNESS: That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. The Tentexkota projects.</li> <li>A. Oh, I'm sorry. That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. Okay. How many times for the Tentexkota project?</li> <li>A. Oh, my guess would be four or five times. Four times, five times.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Tentexkota was a little harder project to fill and required more hand holding than a Basin would or</li> <li>Q. Why?</li> <li>A. Because, again, the perceived risk of Basin and FPL was very low in China. Whereas, Tentexkota was a much more difficult project to promote.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Can we take a short break?</li> <li>MS. DVORAK: Yep. <ul> <li>(A short recess is taken.)</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Back on the record at 2:56.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. (BY MS. OLIVIER) So, Joop, project you work with Linda He and Hanul, and you're putting together the promotional projects and trying to figure out how the project should come together in the very beginning</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the thin Q. the A. Q. doe A. Q. A. A. attr Q. A. pro attr A. pro into	<ul> <li>owners were so I'm just getting based on what I</li> <li>hk, she owns both.</li> <li>Who did you primarily work with in the promotion of project?</li> <li>The negotiations were done with Linda. The actual ecution of the promotion was mainly done by Cindy.</li> <li>When you say the promotion was done by Linda, what as that mean?</li> <li>The negotiations.</li> <li>Oh, the negotiations. Okay. So what does that an?</li> <li>To come to the terms of how we can make this project ractive for her to promote in China.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>And then once that was set in place and the omotion started, then Cindy would typically take over the terms.</li> <li>So it was Linda that decided how to make this ractive?</li> <li>To she would we would discuss how to make this piet marketable in China. And then once she bought</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>THE WITNESS: That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. The Tentexkota projects.</li> <li>A. Oh, I'm sorry. That's all projects.</li> <li>Q. Okay. How many times for the Tentexkota project?</li> <li>A. Oh, my guess would be four or five times. Four times, five times.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Tentexkota was a little harder project to fill and required more hand holding than a Basin would or</li> <li>Q. Why?</li> <li>A. Because, again, the perceived risk of Basin and FPL was very low in China. Whereas, Tentexkota was a much more difficult project to promote.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Can we take a short break?</li> <li>MS. DVORAK: Yep. <ul> <li>(A short recess is taken.)</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Back on the record at 2:56.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. (BY MS. OLIVIER) So, Joop, project you work with Linda He and Hanul, and you're putting together the promotional projects and trying to figure out how the project should come together in the very beginning stages; is that correct?</li> </ul>

	Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	File	d 10/10/18 Page 34 of 61 PageID #: 2492 135
1	marketed.	1	to promote.
2	<b>Q</b> . When did the marketing actually start?	2	And Linda demanded more issuing fees of 45,000 where
3	A. Once everyone was in agreement then with the	3	at Basin she was willing to settle for say 27 or 30,000.
4	terms, then the project would be kind of spun off, for	4	<b>Q.</b> Why was it so hard to promote? Just because it was
5	example, to Wailian, and Cindy would start the campaigns	5	smaller?
6	and schedule seminars, typically speaking.	6	A. Because it had no track record. And, again, the
7	<b>Q</b> . So I've read some e-mails that came from you that	7	comfort level with a company that has an A rated credit
8	were written by Cindy, and it seems like the relationship	8	rating versus a project that has no history. That's much
9	with Cindy was kind of rocky at times?	9	more perceived as much more safe for the foreign
10	A. That's not unusual with agents. You know, they try	10	investor.
11	to push you as far as they can, you know, and they want	11	Q. Did most of in your opinion, did most of these
12	you to only come to their projects and not you know,	12	investors want to actually make money on this investment,
13	because some of these projects are promoted	13	or did they really just want citizenship?
14	simultaneously.	14	A. Both.
15	Q. Sure.	15	<b>Q</b> . Okay.
16	A. So you get a lot of quivering where we are doing	16	A. Both.
17	Tentexkota. But Basin is competing with our time, and	17	Q. Was citizenship kind of secondary? I know we kind
18	Basin was so much easier to sell that everybody wants to	18	of covered this but
19	promote Basin and not as much Tentexkota.	19	A. Well, it changed initially they had no choice;
20	<b>Q.</b> Why was Cindy frustrated then with the promotion of	20	right? But when there was more and more projects, the
21	this project?	21	investors suddenly get to choose. And why would you risk
22	A. I think that's just Cindy. Even if it's perfect,	22	your investment in one project if you can get the
23	she still will be frustrated because never enough. Is	23	citizenship anyway.
24	always trying to squeeze more.	24	Q. And get paid back in full
25	Q. What does that mean?	25	A. You know, you can get citizenship either one, and
1	134 A. They want to it's her job to make sure that we	1	136
2	A. They want to it's her job to make sure that we spend all our time with her and not with other agents.	2	one you get your money back and the other one it's risky. It just makes logical sense to go with the safest company
3	And, therefore, the squeaking wheel gets the oil, I	3	that would provide you the same outcome in terms of
4	guess, so the more squeaking you do, the more likely	4	immigration.
5	you're going to get oiled.	5	Q. So you'd want to go with a project that you were
6	Q. Who was promoting Basin?	6	guaranteed to get that money back?
7	A. Basin was Vivian through a company what was the	7	A. Oh, there's no such thing as guarantee in EB-5.
8	name of it? QDNG or something like that. I don't	8	Nothing is guaranteed. You know, we you know, you
9	remember the exact spelling.	9	want to be as safe as the law allows you to be.
10	<b>Q</b> . Okay.	10	<b>Q.</b> But isn't there guarantees in this case?
11	A. It was a different agent.	11	A. That's not you know, just the fact that we're
12	Q. Different agent.	12	sitting here shows that a guarantee is still risky, you
13	A. Competitor of Linda.	13	know, because if there were no risk, we wouldn't be
14	<b>Q.</b> So were they going to the same seminars?	14	sitting here today. They would have had their money
15	A. Well, we would we would only have so much travel	15	back.
16	time. So then we would try to divide up in teams from	16	<b>Q.</b> But it's still the investment is still gaining
17	who is going to do the beef plant and who is going to do	17	interest.
18	Basin.	18	A. Are they? Time will tell.
19	<b>Q</b> . Okay.	19	<b>Q</b> . Under the agreements it's still gaining interest.
20	A. You know, another thing well, forget it. Sorry.	20	A. Yeah. But under the agreement and in reality is
21	Q. No. Go ahead. What were you going to say?	21	very different; right? In reality we should have been
22	A. No. I mean, Basin was easier to promote, and,	22	paid back a long time ago, and we haven't gotten the
23	therefore because if you look at Tentexkota, it had a	23	money so that is evidence that this was a very risky
24	very high issuance fee compared to any other projects.	24	project.
25	And that was, again, because it was a difficult project	25	<b>Q</b> . So is your idea of risk in this project simply

	Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	File	d 10/10/18 Page 35 of 61 PageiD #: 2493 139
1	because they have not yet been paid back and it's in	1	issue.
2	litigation?	2	Q. Okay.
3	A. At this initially I didn't think the risk was	3	A. That is something Hanul would have dealt with and
4	there.	4	obtained the necessary information from the casino to
5	Q. Sure.	5	respond to that RFE.
6	A. You know, I felt relatively comfortable with the	6	<ul> <li>Q. Well, but you were involved with that.</li> </ul>
7	guarantees that the project would repay. I did not see	7	
8	this outcome, or else we would never have promoted this	8	don't recall at this moment what the RFE is. I'm really
9	project.	9	on the peripheral when it comes to what the reason is for
10	<b>Q.</b> Okay. The I-526 agreements were put together by	10	the RFE.
11	Hanul?	11	This was typically something that Morrie would get
12	A. The I-526 is an application that gets submitted to	12	very closely involved with and work together with Hanul
13	the USCIS. So it's not an agreement.	13	to put a response together to the RFE for the USCIS.
14	Q. Okay. Sure.	14	<b>Q</b> . Did you review the RFE?
15	A. It's an application. Yeah. I have nothing to do	15	A. No.
16	with the application.	16	Q. You did not?
17	<b>Q.</b> Sure. Thank you for that clarification.	17	A. No. Not that I recall.
18	So Hanul prepared all of that?	18	Q. Why not?
19	A. Yes.	19	A. I might have glanced through it, but, again, this
20	Q. Austin Kim would have been part of that?	20	was not part of my duties the way I envisioned it. You
21	A. Hanul.	21	know, that's up to the immigration attorney because that
22	<b>Q.</b> Okay. And they put together all of the exhibits or	22	is an immigration matter.
23	documents that would go with that application.	23	Q. Could you turn to SDRC 2133. It would be in
24	A. Correct.	24	Exhibit 3.
25	Q. And you don't know if the Offering Memorandum was	25	A. SDRC what was it?
	138		140
1	submitted to USCIS?	1	<b>Q</b> . 2133.
2	A. I cannot imagine it wasn't, but I guess the Freedom	2	A. Okay.
3	of Information Act you can find out if it was or not. I	3	<b>Q.</b> This is an e-mail from Morrie to you, Austin, and
4	would assume it was submitted. How can it not?	4	Peter; correct?
5	<b>Q.</b> Okay. So you'd assume it was submitted.	5	A. Right.
6	A. I assume, yeah.	6	<b>Q</b> . Take a second to review that e-mail.
7	<b>Q.</b> But you don't know what version of the Offering	7	(Witness examines document.)
8	Memorandum was submitted?	8	A. Okay. Okay. Okay.
9	A. I did not I did not file any of the applications	9	<b>Q.</b> If you had nothing to do with the RFE, why were you
10	so I cannot I would hope they did the latest version,	10	on this e-mail?
11	but I cannot guarantee that.	11	A. Because it still affects EB-5 in general.
12	<b>Q</b> . So actually seeing those applications in the	12	<b>Q</b> . So it still affects you?
13	exhibits would help us clarify which was actually	13	A. It affects if the project doesn't work out right,
14	submitted?	14	it affects the reputation of SDRC, Inc.
15	A. I think so.	15	But the response to any immigration-related issue
16	<b>Q.</b> What happened as this project progressed there	16	was done by Hanul, and Morrie would function as a
17	came a time when USCIS stopped processing the 829	17	consultant to Hanul to how to apply.
18	A. Uh-huh.	18	<b>Q</b> . Would you turn to 2136. This is the actual RFE.
19	Q applications. Can you tell me what happened	19	A. Okay.
20	A. The 82 even the I-526s. Are you talking about	20	<b>Q</b> . And let's you've reviewed this; correct?
21	the I-526s with Iberdrola, or what are you referring to?	21	A. No, I have not.
22	Q. I was referring to the 829 application.	22	<b>Q</b> . Why would you not review the RFE?
23	A. For this particular project?	23	A. Because I did not see that that was my
24	Q. Yes.	24	responsibility. You know, that's in capable hands of
25	A. You know, that again, that's an immigration	25	Hanul and Morrie. Those guys know a heck of a lot more

	Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	File	d 10/10/18 Page 36 of 61 PageID #: 2494 143
1	about this stuff than I ever will, so why should I get	1	they find out new information and they have some egg on
2	involved in the nitty-gritty.	2	their face, they try to come up with new rules and apply
3	<b>Q.</b> I'd like you to turn to 2145. This is an e-mail	3	them retroactively. That is also why you see we had to
4	from you to Mary Haar	4	hire a new economist that was never required before.
5	A. Uh-huh.	5	<b>Q.</b> What do you mean a new economist?
6	<b>Q.</b> to be sent to the limited partners.	6	A. Well, the what happened is remember I told you
7	A. Okay.	7	earlier that the USCIS initially was pretty much
8	<b>Q.</b> "This is a joint e-mail update from SDRC and Hanul	8	ex-military people who started reviewing business plans.
9	Law Firm to report on the progress of your I-829	9	They were not really qualified to do this. And
10	condition removal process."	10	government is a little bit slow and lethargic, and at
11	A. Uh-huh.	11	some point they realized that they don't have the
12	Q. "It has been a long wait for you, and we appreciate	12	expertise. So now they suddenly hire an economist.
13	and share the frustration and anxiety you feel."	13	And now this economist starts looking back at what
14	A. Yep.	14	was done today and said, hey, this multiplier is not
15	Q. "As you are aware, USCIS adjudications of I-829	15	really applied right. So as opposed to the USCIS saying,
16	petitions came to a standstill last year. Wailian	16	oh, God, we didn't know, they said who is responsible for
17	decided to hire a law firm of Ira Kurtzban" Ira,	17	defrauding us? That's kind of the the knee-jerk
18	I-R-A. Kurtzban, K-U-R-T-Z-B-A-N "a very prominent	18	reaction of a bureaucrat.
19	immigration litigation attorney, to file what is called a	19	And then, you know, we we can go and tell the
20	mandamus action against USCIS for their lack of	20	USCIS you already approved our econometric model. Why
21	adjudication. We initially felt uncomfortable with this	21	are you now wanting an updated one? But then you have a
22	action as we hoped for a less confrontational political	22	choice. Do you want to fight with the government, or do
23	solution."	23	you just say let's hire a new economist and let's see if
24	So I can go on reading, but you seem very involved	24	we can just qualify under the new rules.
25	with this process.	25	And that is a less obstructive way. It creates less
	142		144
1	A. This is very, very macro. Whereas, preparing an RFE	1	enemies. So even though we don't agree with the USCIS,
2	is very, very micro. So I don't see how you can conclude	2	we might still go ahead and take the road of least
3	for a very general one-page letter where an RFE response	3	obstruction.
4	is probably what, an inch thick? So you cannot compare	4	<b>Q.</b> You'll agree with me that you were integral in
4 5	is probably what, an inch thick? So you cannot compare these two. This is the peripheral. This is a macro	4 5	<b>Q.</b> You'll agree with me that you were integral in making those decisions on behalf of the partnership?
4 5 6	is probably what, an inch thick? So you cannot compare these two. This is the peripheral. This is a macro picture.	4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. You'll agree with me that you were integral in making those decisions on behalf of the partnership?</li> <li>A. I agree with you that I would listen to Morrie</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7	<ul><li>is probably what, an inch thick? So you cannot compare these two. This is the peripheral. This is a macro picture.</li><li>Q. But you just said you hadn't even read the RFE.</li></ul>	4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. You'll agree with me that you were integral in making those decisions on behalf of the partnership?</li> <li>A. I agree with you that I would listen to Morrie Berez, and I would pretty close to take what Morrie Berez</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>is probably what, an inch thick? So you cannot compare these two. This is the peripheral. This is a macro picture.</li> <li>Q. But you just said you hadn't even read the RFE.</li> <li>A. I didn't. I don't have to read the RFE.</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. You'll agree with me that you were integral in making those decisions on behalf of the partnership?</li> <li>A. I agree with you that I would listen to Morrie Berez, and I would pretty close to take what Morrie Berez tells me as that's what we need to do. He is the true</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>is probably what, an inch thick? So you cannot compare these two. This is the peripheral. This is a macro picture.</li> <li>Q. But you just said you hadn't even read the RFE.</li> <li>A. I didn't. I don't have to read the RFE.</li> <li>Q. Then how are you talking about it? And you say,</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. You'll agree with me that you were integral in making those decisions on behalf of the partnership?</li> <li>A. I agree with you that I would listen to Morrie Berez, and I would pretty close to take what Morrie Berez tells me as that's what we need to do. He is the true expert. That's how I look at Morrie Berez when it comes</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>is probably what, an inch thick? So you cannot compare these two. This is the peripheral. This is a macro picture.</li> <li>Q. But you just said you hadn't even read the RFE.</li> <li>A. I didn't. I don't have to read the RFE.</li> <li>Q. Then how are you talking about it? And you say, "The RFE"</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. You'll agree with me that you were integral in making those decisions on behalf of the partnership?</li> <li>A. I agree with you that I would listen to Morrie Berez, and I would pretty close to take what Morrie Berez tells me as that's what we need to do. He is the true expert. That's how I look at Morrie Berez when it comes to EB-5.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>is probably what, an inch thick? So you cannot compare these two. This is the peripheral. This is a macro picture.</li> <li>Q. But you just said you hadn't even read the RFE.</li> <li>A. I didn't. I don't have to read the RFE.</li> <li>Q. Then how are you talking about it? And you say, "The RFE"</li> <li>A. Because I know it came to a standstill.</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. You'll agree with me that you were integral in making those decisions on behalf of the partnership?</li> <li>A. I agree with you that I would listen to Morrie Berez, and I would pretty close to take what Morrie Berez tells me as that's what we need to do. He is the true expert. That's how I look at Morrie Berez when it comes to EB-5.</li> <li>And then the RFE would be executed, would be put</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>is probably what, an inch thick? So you cannot compare these two. This is the peripheral. This is a macro picture.</li> <li>Q. But you just said you hadn't even read the RFE.</li> <li>A. I didn't. I don't have to read the RFE.</li> <li>Q. Then how are you talking about it? And you say, "The RFE"</li> <li>A. Because I know it came to a standstill.</li> <li>Q. Hold on. Let me finish my question.</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. You'll agree with me that you were integral in making those decisions on behalf of the partnership?</li> <li>A. I agree with you that I would listen to Morrie Berez, and I would pretty close to take what Morrie Berez tells me as that's what we need to do. He is the true expert. That's how I look at Morrie Berez when it comes to EB-5.</li> <li>And then the RFE would be executed, would be put together by Hanul and typically would be reviewed by</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>is probably what, an inch thick? So you cannot compare these two. This is the peripheral. This is a macro picture.</li> <li>Q. But you just said you hadn't even read the RFE.</li> <li>A. I didn't. I don't have to read the RFE.</li> <li>Q. Then how are you talking about it? And you say, "The RFE"</li> <li>A. Because I know it came to a standstill.</li> <li>Q. Hold on. Let me finish my question.</li> <li>A. Sorry.</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. You'll agree with me that you were integral in making those decisions on behalf of the partnership?</li> <li>A. I agree with you that I would listen to Morrie Berez, and I would pretty close to take what Morrie Berez tells me as that's what we need to do. He is the true expert. That's how I look at Morrie Berez when it comes to EB-5.</li> <li>And then the RFE would be executed, would be put together by Hanul and typically would be reviewed by Morrie before it's submitted to the USCIS. And that was</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>is probably what, an inch thick? So you cannot compare these two. This is the peripheral. This is a macro picture.</li> <li>Q. But you just said you hadn't even read the RFE.</li> <li>A. I didn't. I don't have to read the RFE.</li> <li>Q. Then how are you talking about it? And you say, "The RFE"</li> <li>A. Because I know it came to a standstill.</li> <li>Q. Hold on. Let me finish my question.</li> <li>A. Sorry.</li> <li>Q. "The RFE was not particularly mean-spirited or nasty</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. You'll agree with me that you were integral in making those decisions on behalf of the partnership?</li> <li>A. I agree with you that I would listen to Morrie Berez, and I would pretty close to take what Morrie Berez tells me as that's what we need to do. He is the true expert. That's how I look at Morrie Berez when it comes to EB-5.</li> <li>And then the RFE would be executed, would be put together by Hanul and typically would be reviewed by Morrie before it's submitted to the USCIS. And that was good enough for me.</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>is probably what, an inch thick? So you cannot compare these two. This is the peripheral. This is a macro picture.</li> <li>Q. But you just said you hadn't even read the RFE.</li> <li>A. I didn't. I don't have to read the RFE.</li> <li>Q. Then how are you talking about it? And you say, "The RFE"</li> <li>A. Because I know it came to a standstill.</li> <li>Q. Hold on. Let me finish my question.</li> <li>A. Sorry.</li> <li>Q. "The RFE was not particularly mean-spirited or nasty but requested more evidence of job creation based on</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. You'll agree with me that you were integral in making those decisions on behalf of the partnership?</li> <li>A. I agree with you that I would listen to Morrie Berez, and I would pretty close to take what Morrie Berez tells me as that's what we need to do. He is the true expert. That's how I look at Morrie Berez when it comes to EB-5.</li> <li>And then the RFE would be executed, would be put together by Hanul and typically would be reviewed by Morrie before it's submitted to the USCIS. And that was good enough for me.</li> <li>Q. Why would Morrie review it before it was submitted?</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>is probably what, an inch thick? So you cannot compare these two. This is the peripheral. This is a macro picture.</li> <li>Q. But you just said you hadn't even read the RFE.</li> <li>A. I didn't. I don't have to read the RFE.</li> <li>Q. Then how are you talking about it? And you say, "The RFE"</li> <li>A. Because I know it came to a standstill.</li> <li>Q. Hold on. Let me finish my question.</li> <li>A. Sorry.</li> <li>Q. "The RFE was not particularly mean-spirited or nasty but requested more evidence of job creation based on recent USCIS standards of calculating job creation."</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. You'll agree with me that you were integral in making those decisions on behalf of the partnership?</li> <li>A. I agree with you that I would listen to Morrie</li> <li>Berez, and I would pretty close to take what Morrie Berez tells me as that's what we need to do. He is the true expert. That's how I look at Morrie Berez when it comes to EB-5.</li> <li>And then the RFE would be executed, would be put together by Hanul and typically would be reviewed by Morrie before it's submitted to the USCIS. And that was good enough for me.</li> <li>Q. Why would Morrie review it before it was submitted?</li> <li>A. To make sure that there's the highest probability of</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>is probably what, an inch thick? So you cannot compare these two. This is the peripheral. This is a macro picture.</li> <li>Q. But you just said you hadn't even read the RFE.</li> <li>A. I didn't. I don't have to read the RFE.</li> <li>Q. Then how are you talking about it? And you say, "The RFE"</li> <li>A. Because I know it came to a standstill.</li> <li>Q. Hold on. Let me finish my question.</li> <li>A. Sorry.</li> <li>Q. "The RFE was not particularly mean-spirited or nasty but requested more evidence of job creation based on recent USCIS standards of calculating job creation." So it seems here that you are a part of deciding how</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. You'll agree with me that you were integral in making those decisions on behalf of the partnership?</li> <li>A. I agree with you that I would listen to Morrie Berez, and I would pretty close to take what Morrie Berez tells me as that's what we need to do. He is the true expert. That's how I look at Morrie Berez when it comes to EB-5.</li> <li>And then the RFE would be executed, would be put together by Hanul and typically would be reviewed by Morrie before it's submitted to the USCIS. And that was good enough for me.</li> <li>Q. Why would Morrie review it before it was submitted?</li> <li>A. To make sure that there's the highest probability of getting the RFE successfully eliminated and have the</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>is probably what, an inch thick? So you cannot compare these two. This is the peripheral. This is a macro picture.</li> <li>Q. But you just said you hadn't even read the RFE.</li> <li>A. I didn't. I don't have to read the RFE.</li> <li>Q. Then how are you talking about it? And you say, "The RFE"</li> <li>A. Because I know it came to a standstill.</li> <li>Q. Hold on. Let me finish my question.</li> <li>A. Sorry.</li> <li>Q. "The RFE was not particularly mean-spirited or nasty but requested more evidence of job creation based on recent USCIS standards of calculating job creation." So it seems here that you are a part of deciding how to proceed with the I-829s. You're a part it appears</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. You'll agree with me that you were integral in making those decisions on behalf of the partnership?</li> <li>A. I agree with you that I would listen to Morrie Berez, and I would pretty close to take what Morrie Berez tells me as that's what we need to do. He is the true expert. That's how I look at Morrie Berez when it comes to EB-5.</li> <li>And then the RFE would be executed, would be put together by Hanul and typically would be reviewed by Morrie before it's submitted to the USCIS. And that was good enough for me.</li> <li>Q. Why would Morrie review it before it was submitted?</li> <li>A. To make sure that there's the highest probability of getting the RFE successfully eliminated and have the petitions adjudicated favorably.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>is probably what, an inch thick? So you cannot compare these two. This is the peripheral. This is a macro picture.</li> <li>Q. But you just said you hadn't even read the RFE.</li> <li>A. I didn't. I don't have to read the RFE.</li> <li>Q. Then how are you talking about it? And you say, "The RFE"</li> <li>A. Because I know it came to a standstill.</li> <li>Q. Hold on. Let me finish my question.</li> <li>A. Sorry.</li> <li>Q. "The RFE was not particularly mean-spirited or nasty but requested more evidence of job creation based on recent USCIS standards of calculating job creation." So it seems here that you are a part of deciding how to proceed with the I-829s. You're a part it appears you've read it.</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. You'll agree with me that you were integral in making those decisions on behalf of the partnership?</li> <li>A. I agree with you that I would listen to Morrie</li> <li>Berez, and I would pretty close to take what Morrie Berez tells me as that's what we need to do. He is the true expert. That's how I look at Morrie Berez when it comes to EB-5.</li> <li>And then the RFE would be executed, would be put together by Hanul and typically would be reviewed by Morrie before it's submitted to the USCIS. And that was good enough for me.</li> <li>Q. Why would Morrie review it before it was submitted?</li> <li>A. To make sure that there's the highest probability of getting the RFE successfully eliminated and have the petitions adjudicated favorably.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>is probably what, an inch thick? So you cannot compare these two. This is the peripheral. This is a macro picture.</li> <li>Q. But you just said you hadn't even read the RFE.</li> <li>A. I didn't. I don't have to read the RFE.</li> <li>Q. Then how are you talking about it? And you say, "The RFE"</li> <li>A. Because I know it came to a standstill.</li> <li>Q. Hold on. Let me finish my question.</li> <li>A. Sorry.</li> <li>Q. "The RFE was not particularly mean-spirited or nasty but requested more evidence of job creation based on recent USCIS standards of calculating job creation." <ul> <li>So it seems here that you are a part of deciding how to proceed with the I-829s. You're a part it appears you've read it.</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Well, as I've told you, I don't go into the details.</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. You'll agree with me that you were integral in making those decisions on behalf of the partnership?</li> <li>A. I agree with you that I would listen to Morrie Berez, and I would pretty close to take what Morrie Berez tells me as that's what we need to do. He is the true expert. That's how I look at Morrie Berez when it comes to EB-5.</li> <li>And then the RFE would be executed, would be put together by Hanul and typically would be reviewed by Morrie before it's submitted to the USCIS. And that was good enough for me.</li> <li>Q. Why would Morrie review it before it was submitted?</li> <li>A. To make sure that there's the highest probability of getting the RFE successfully eliminated and have the petitions adjudicated favorably.</li> <li>Q. Was Morrie wasn't he the head of</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>is probably what, an inch thick? So you cannot compare these two. This is the peripheral. This is a macro picture.</li> <li>Q. But you just said you hadn't even read the RFE.</li> <li>A. I didn't. I don't have to read the RFE.</li> <li>Q. Then how are you talking about it? And you say, "The RFE"</li> <li>A. Because I know it came to a standstill.</li> <li>Q. Hold on. Let me finish my question.</li> <li>A. Sorry.</li> <li>Q. "The RFE was not particularly mean-spirited or nasty but requested more evidence of job creation based on recent USCIS standards of calculating job creation." So it seems here that you are a part of deciding how to proceed with the I-829s. You're a part it appears you've read it.</li> <li>A. Well, as I've told you, I don't go into the details.</li> <li>I listen to Morrie Berez, who is the expert. He knows</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. You'll agree with me that you were integral in making those decisions on behalf of the partnership?</li> <li>A. I agree with you that I would listen to Morrie Berez, and I would pretty close to take what Morrie Berez tells me as that's what we need to do. He is the true expert. That's how I look at Morrie Berez when it comes to EB-5.</li> <li>And then the RFE would be executed, would be put together by Hanul and typically would be reviewed by Morrie before it's submitted to the USCIS. And that was good enough for me.</li> <li>Q. Why would Morrie review it before it was submitted?</li> <li>A. To make sure that there's the highest probability of getting the RFE successfully eliminated and have the petitions adjudicated favorably.</li> <li>Q. Was Morrie wasn't he the head of</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>is probably what, an inch thick? So you cannot compare these two. This is the peripheral. This is a macro picture.</li> <li>Q. But you just said you hadn't even read the RFE.</li> <li>A. I didn't. I don't have to read the RFE.</li> <li>Q. Then how are you talking about it? And you say, "The RFE"</li> <li>A. Because I know it came to a standstill.</li> <li>Q. Hold on. Let me finish my question.</li> <li>A. Sorry.</li> <li>Q. "The RFE was not particularly mean-spirited or nasty but requested more evidence of job creation based on recent USCIS standards of calculating job creation." <ul> <li>So it seems here that you are a part of deciding how to proceed with the I-829s. You're a part it appears you've read it.</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Well, as I've told you, I don't go into the details.</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. You'll agree with me that you were integral in making those decisions on behalf of the partnership?</li> <li>A. I agree with you that I would listen to Morrie Berez, and I would pretty close to take what Morrie Berez tells me as that's what we need to do. He is the true expert. That's how I look at Morrie Berez when it comes to EB-5.</li> <li>And then the RFE would be executed, would be put together by Hanul and typically would be reviewed by Morrie before it's submitted to the USCIS. And that was good enough for me.</li> <li>Q. Why would Morrie review it before it was submitted?</li> <li>A. To make sure that there's the highest probability of getting the RFE successfully eliminated and have the petitions adjudicated favorably.</li> <li>Q. Was Morrie wasn't he the head of</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q the department?</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>is probably what, an inch thick? So you cannot compare these two. This is the peripheral. This is a macro picture.</li> <li>Q. But you just said you hadn't even read the RFE.</li> <li>A. I didn't. I don't have to read the RFE.</li> <li>Q. Then how are you talking about it? And you say, "The RFE"</li> <li>A. Because I know it came to a standstill.</li> <li>Q. Hold on. Let me finish my question.</li> <li>A. Sorry.</li> <li>Q. "The RFE was not particularly mean-spirited or nasty but requested more evidence of job creation based on recent USCIS standards of calculating job creation." <ul> <li>So it seems here that you are a part of deciding how to proceed with the I-829s. You're a part it appears you've read it.</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Well, as I've told you, I don't go into the details.</li> <li>I listen to Morrie Berez, who is the expert. He knows this better than everybody. And he in a phone conversation tells me this is not a mean-spirited a</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. You'll agree with me that you were integral in making those decisions on behalf of the partnership?</li> <li>A. I agree with you that I would listen to Morrie Berez, and I would pretty close to take what Morrie Berez tells me as that's what we need to do. He is the true expert. That's how I look at Morrie Berez when it comes to EB-5.</li> <li>And then the RFE would be executed, would be put together by Hanul and typically would be reviewed by Morrie before it's submitted to the USCIS. And that was good enough for me.</li> <li>Q. Why would Morrie review it before it was submitted?</li> <li>A. To make sure that there's the highest probability of getting the RFE successfully eliminated and have the petitions adjudicated favorably.</li> <li>Q. Was Morrie wasn't he the head of</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q the department?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>is probably what, an inch thick? So you cannot compare these two. This is the peripheral. This is a macro picture.</li> <li>Q. But you just said you hadn't even read the RFE.</li> <li>A. I didn't. I don't have to read the RFE.</li> <li>Q. Then how are you talking about it? And you say, "The RFE"</li> <li>A. Because I know it came to a standstill.</li> <li>Q. Hold on. Let me finish my question.</li> <li>A. Sorry.</li> <li>Q. "The RFE was not particularly mean-spirited or nasty but requested more evidence of job creation based on recent USCIS standards of calculating job creation." <ul> <li>So it seems here that you are a part of deciding how to proceed with the I-829s. You're a part it appears you've read it.</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Well, as I've told you, I don't go into the details.</li> <li>I listen to Morrie Berez, who is the expert. He knows this better than everybody. And he in a phone</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. You'll agree with me that you were integral in making those decisions on behalf of the partnership?</li> <li>A. I agree with you that I would listen to Morrie Berez, and I would pretty close to take what Morrie Berez tells me as that's what we need to do. He is the true expert. That's how I look at Morrie Berez when it comes to EB-5.</li> <li>And then the RFE would be executed, would be put together by Hanul and typically would be reviewed by Morrie before it's submitted to the USCIS. And that was good enough for me.</li> <li>Q. Why would Morrie review it before it was submitted?</li> <li>A. To make sure that there's the highest probability of getting the RFE successfully eliminated and have the petitions adjudicated favorably.</li> <li>Q. Was Morrie wasn't he the head of</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q the department?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. And he was allowed to come in and help you</li> </ul>

	Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	File	d 10/10/18 Page 37 of 61 PageID #: 2495
1	A. So he came in working with us after he retired from	1	A. SDRC, Incorporated.
2	the USCIS.	2	<b>Q.</b> SDRC, Inc. hired him. Is there a formal contract?
3	<b>Q.</b> When did he retire?	3	A. I think there has to be something in the files. I
4	A. I cannot tell exactly, but he's worked with us	4	don't remember. But it would be I would think that
5	already at this particular point so I don't know	5	Morrie would have something.
6	100 percent what the date is. My guess is around 2007 or	6	<b>Q.</b> Who filed the mandamus action?
7	so.	7	A. Hanul.
8	<b>Q.</b> Okay. And then	8	<b>Q</b> . Where did they file it?
9	A. Actually yeah. 2007. Because the loan model, he	9	A. Don't know.
10	recommended that while he was still at the USCIS. So it	10	Q. Do you know what state it was filed in?
11	had to be 2007. And this one's quite later. But my	11	A. You know, I can guess the California Service Center,
12	guess is 2007, around that, 2008.	12	but even that transitioned to Washington. There was some
13	<b>Q.</b> So he would have left not too long after he	13	political fallout there too where they moved the entire
14	suggested the loan model.	14	operation back to Washington. So it went from Washington
15	A. I think that's fair.	15	to California and then back to Washington. But the dates
16	Q. Was he working with what entity as a consultant?	16	I do not know.
17	A. Initially I am the one who nobody well, there	17	<b>Q.</b> So when were the investors' I-829s approved?
18	was a political change in Washington, and Morrie was kind	18	A. I don't have the records here exactly, but I
19	of forced out, to some extent. Nobody wanted to touch	19	believe
20	him. Pennsylvania, actually Tom Rosenfeld, recommended	20	Q. Okay. Approximately when would you assume that they
21	to stay away from him.	21	were?
22	But I felt very comfortable with Morrie, especially	22	A. It was after the forbearance action because I know
23	his knowledge, so I contacted him as soon as I could for,	23	that Hanul needed information from Tentexkota that wasn't
24	Morrie, help us. Make sure that we do everything right	24	forthcoming. So at a forbearance you mentioned to me
25	and we dot the Is and cross the Ts.	25	it was 2015?
	146		148
1	146 Q. Why were people worried about Morrie?	1	148 <b>Q</b> . Yes.
1 2		1 2	
	Q. Why were people worried about Morrie?		<b>Q</b> . Yes.
2	<ul> <li>Q. Why were people worried about Morrie?</li> <li>A. Because it's a new somebody new. A new captain</li> </ul>	2	<ul><li>Q. Yes.</li><li>A. So it had to be after that date that the I-829s were</li></ul>
23	<ul> <li>Q. Why were people worried about Morrie?</li> <li>A. Because it's a new somebody new. A new captain came into town at the USCIS. And sometimes the new</li> </ul>	2 3	<ul><li>Q. Yes.</li><li>A. So it had to be after that date that the I-829s were approved.</li></ul>
2 3 4	<ul> <li>Q. Why were people worried about Morrie?</li> <li>A. Because it's a new somebody new. A new captain came into town at the USCIS. And sometimes the new administration doesn't like the prior administration, and</li> </ul>	2 3 4	<ul> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. So it had to be after that date that the I-829s were approved.</li> <li>Q. Tell me about the forbearance negotiations. What</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. Why were people worried about Morrie?</li> <li>A. Because it's a new somebody new. A new captain came into town at the USCIS. And sometimes the new administration doesn't like the prior administration, and whatever mess they receive is always the fault of the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. So it had to be after that date that the I-829s were approved.</li> <li>Q. Tell me about the forbearance negotiations. What happened? Walk me through what happened there.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. Why were people worried about Morrie?</li> <li>A. Because it's a new somebody new. A new captain came into town at the USCIS. And sometimes the new administration doesn't like the prior administration, and whatever mess they receive is always the fault of the prior administration.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. So it had to be after that date that the I-829s were approved.</li> <li>Q. Tell me about the forbearance negotiations. What happened? Walk me through what happened there.</li> <li>A. I remember Mark Oswald coming to me and trying to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. Why were people worried about Morrie?</li> <li>A. Because it's a new somebody new. A new captain came into town at the USCIS. And sometimes the new administration doesn't like the prior administration, and whatever mess they receive is always the fault of the prior administration.</li> <li>So I think it is just a new guard in town, and that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. So it had to be after that date that the I-829s were approved.</li> <li>Q. Tell me about the forbearance negotiations. What happened? Walk me through what happened there.</li> <li>A. I remember Mark Oswald coming to me and trying to convince me that the history was such and they were</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Why were people worried about Morrie?</li> <li>A. Because it's a new somebody new. A new captain came into town at the USCIS. And sometimes the new administration doesn't like the prior administration, and whatever mess they receive is always the fault of the prior administration.</li> <li>So I think it is just a new guard in town, and that transition wasn't completely without animosity. But the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. So it had to be after that date that the I-829s were approved.</li> <li>Q. Tell me about the forbearance negotiations. What happened? Walk me through what happened there.</li> <li>A. I remember Mark Oswald coming to me and trying to convince me that the history was such and they were performing well enough, if the partnership would consider</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Why were people worried about Morrie?</li> <li>A. Because it's a new somebody new. A new captain came into town at the USCIS. And sometimes the new administration doesn't like the prior administration, and whatever mess they receive is always the fault of the prior administration.</li> <li>So I think it is just a new guard in town, and that transition wasn't completely without animosity. But the details I do not know.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. So it had to be after that date that the I-829s were approved.</li> <li>Q. Tell me about the forbearance negotiations. What happened? Walk me through what happened there.</li> <li>A. I remember Mark Oswald coming to me and trying to convince me that the history was such and they were performing well enough, if the partnership would consider taking off the guarantees, and that Tentexkota could</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Why were people worried about Morrie?</li> <li>A. Because it's a new somebody new. A new captain came into town at the USCIS. And sometimes the new administration doesn't like the prior administration, and whatever mess they receive is always the fault of the prior administration.</li> <li>So I think it is just a new guard in town, and that transition wasn't completely without animosity. But the details I do not know.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what the mess was and why he was forced</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. So it had to be after that date that the I-829s were approved.</li> <li>Q. Tell me about the forbearance negotiations. What happened? Walk me through what happened there.</li> <li>A. I remember Mark Oswald coming to me and trying to convince me that the history was such and they were performing well enough, if the partnership would consider taking off the guarantees, and that Tentexkota could stand on its own with just a corporate guarantee.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Why were people worried about Morrie?</li> <li>A. Because it's a new somebody new. A new captain came into town at the USCIS. And sometimes the new administration doesn't like the prior administration, and whatever mess they receive is always the fault of the prior administration.</li> <li>So I think it is just a new guard in town, and that transition wasn't completely without animosity. But the details I do not know.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what the mess was and why he was forced out?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. So it had to be after that date that the I-829s were approved.</li> <li>Q. Tell me about the forbearance negotiations. What happened? Walk me through what happened there.</li> <li>A. I remember Mark Oswald coming to me and trying to convince me that the history was such and they were performing well enough, if the partnership would consider taking off the guarantees, and that Tentexkota could stand on its own with just a corporate guarantee. I remember that as a first scenario. And what I</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Why were people worried about Morrie?</li> <li>A. Because it's a new somebody new. A new captain came into town at the USCIS. And sometimes the new administration doesn't like the prior administration, and whatever mess they receive is always the fault of the prior administration.</li> <li>So I think it is just a new guard in town, and that transition wasn't completely without animosity. But the details I do not know.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what the mess was and why he was forced out?</li> <li>A. I do not know.</li> <li>Q. He's never told you that?</li> <li>A. I never dug into it.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. So it had to be after that date that the I-829s were approved.</li> <li>Q. Tell me about the forbearance negotiations. What happened? Walk me through what happened there.</li> <li>A. I remember Mark Oswald coming to me and trying to convince me that the history was such and they were performing well enough, if the partnership would consider taking off the guarantees, and that Tentexkota could stand on its own with just a corporate guarantee.</li> <li>I remember that as a first scenario. And what I recall is that the reply was is that it's not my decision but I'm willing to send it to the LPs and see how they decide, if they're willing.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Why were people worried about Morrie?</li> <li>A. Because it's a new somebody new. A new captain came into town at the USCIS. And sometimes the new administration doesn't like the prior administration, and whatever mess they receive is always the fault of the prior administration.</li> <li>So I think it is just a new guard in town, and that transition wasn't completely without animosity. But the details I do not know.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what the mess was and why he was forced out?</li> <li>A. I do not know.</li> <li>Q. He's never told you that?</li> <li>A. I never dug into it.</li> <li>Q. Did you ask? When someone called you and said stay</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. So it had to be after that date that the I-829s were approved.</li> <li>Q. Tell me about the forbearance negotiations. What happened? Walk me through what happened there.</li> <li>A. I remember Mark Oswald coming to me and trying to convince me that the history was such and they were performing well enough, if the partnership would consider taking off the guarantees, and that Tentexkota could stand on its own with just a corporate guarantee. I remember that as a first scenario. And what I recall is that the reply was is that it's not my decision but I'm willing to send it to the LPs and see how they</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Why were people worried about Morrie?</li> <li>A. Because it's a new somebody new. A new captain came into town at the USCIS. And sometimes the new administration doesn't like the prior administration, and whatever mess they receive is always the fault of the prior administration.</li> <li>So I think it is just a new guard in town, and that transition wasn't completely without animosity. But the details I do not know.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what the mess was and why he was forced out?</li> <li>A. I do not know.</li> <li>Q. He's never told you that?</li> <li>A. I never dug into it.</li> <li>Q. Did you ask? When someone called you and said stay away from him, did you say why?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. So it had to be after that date that the I-829s were approved.</li> <li>Q. Tell me about the forbearance negotiations. What happened? Walk me through what happened there.</li> <li>A. I remember Mark Oswald coming to me and trying to convince me that the history was such and they were performing well enough, if the partnership would consider taking off the guarantees, and that Tentexkota could stand on its own with just a corporate guarantee.</li> <li>I remember that as a first scenario. And what I recall is that the reply was is that it's not my decision but I'm willing to send it to the LPs and see how they decide, if they're willing.</li> <li>But it's illogical. Why would they let people's personal guarantees go off a project? It seems very</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Why were people worried about Morrie?</li> <li>A. Because it's a new somebody new. A new captain came into town at the USCIS. And sometimes the new administration doesn't like the prior administration, and whatever mess they receive is always the fault of the prior administration. <ul> <li>So I think it is just a new guard in town, and that transition wasn't completely without animosity. But the details I do not know.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what the mess was and why he was forced out?</li> <li>A. I do not know.</li> <li>Q. He's never told you that?</li> <li>A. I never dug into it.</li> <li>Q. Did you ask? When someone called you and said stay away from him, did you say why?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Just Pennsylvania. But, you know, when you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. So it had to be after that date that the I-829s were approved.</li> <li>Q. Tell me about the forbearance negotiations. What happened? Walk me through what happened there.</li> <li>A. I remember Mark Oswald coming to me and trying to convince me that the history was such and they were performing well enough, if the partnership would consider taking off the guarantees, and that Tentexkota could stand on its own with just a corporate guarantee.</li> <li>I remember that as a first scenario. And what I recall is that the reply was is that it's not my decision but I'm willing to send it to the LPs and see how they decide, if they're willing.</li> <li>But it's illogical. Why would they let people's personal guarantees go off a project? It seems very illogical to me.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Why were people worried about Morrie?</li> <li>A. Because it's a new somebody new. A new captain came into town at the USCIS. And sometimes the new administration doesn't like the prior administration, and whatever mess they receive is always the fault of the prior administration.</li> <li>So I think it is just a new guard in town, and that transition wasn't completely without animosity. But the details I do not know.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what the mess was and why he was forced out?</li> <li>A. I do not know.</li> <li>Q. He's never told you that?</li> <li>A. I never dug into it.</li> <li>Q. Did you ask? When someone called you and said stay away from him, did you say why?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. So it had to be after that date that the I-829s were approved.</li> <li>Q. Tell me about the forbearance negotiations. What happened? Walk me through what happened there.</li> <li>A. I remember Mark Oswald coming to me and trying to convince me that the history was such and they were performing well enough, if the partnership would consider taking off the guarantees, and that Tentexkota could stand on its own with just a corporate guarantee. I remember that as a first scenario. And what I recall is that the reply was is that it's not my decision but I'm willing to send it to the LPs and see how they decide, if they're willing.</li> <li>But it's illogical. Why would they let people's personal guarantees go off a project? It seems very illogical to me.</li> <li>And then at some point we were advised that they</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. Why were people worried about Morrie?</li> <li>A. Because it's a new somebody new. A new captain came into town at the USCIS. And sometimes the new administration doesn't like the prior administration, and whatever mess they receive is always the fault of the prior administration. <ul> <li>So I think it is just a new guard in town, and that transition wasn't completely without animosity. But the details I do not know.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what the mess was and why he was forced out?</li> <li>A. I do not know.</li> <li>Q. He's never told you that?</li> <li>A. I never dug into it.</li> <li>Q. Did you ask? When someone called you and said stay away from him, did you say why?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Just Pennsylvania. But, you know, when you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. So it had to be after that date that the I-829s were approved.</li> <li>Q. Tell me about the forbearance negotiations. What happened? Walk me through what happened there.</li> <li>A. I remember Mark Oswald coming to me and trying to convince me that the history was such and they were performing well enough, if the partnership would consider taking off the guarantees, and that Tentexkota could stand on its own with just a corporate guarantee.</li> <li>I remember that as a first scenario. And what I recall is that the reply was is that it's not my decision but I'm willing to send it to the LPs and see how they decide, if they're willing.</li> <li>But it's illogical. Why would they let people's personal guarantees go off a project? It seems very illogical to me.</li> <li>And then at some point we were advised that they could not pay back the interest. And there was a lady by</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Why were people worried about Morrie?</li> <li>A. Because it's a new somebody new. A new captain came into town at the USCIS. And sometimes the new administration doesn't like the prior administration, and whatever mess they receive is always the fault of the prior administration. <ul> <li>So I think it is just a new guard in town, and that transition wasn't completely without animosity. But the details I do not know.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what the mess was and why he was forced out?</li> <li>A. I do not know.</li> <li>Q. He's never told you that?</li> <li>A. I never dug into it.</li> <li>Q. Did you ask? When someone called you and said stay away from him, did you say why?</li> <li>A. Just Pennsylvania. But, you know, when you</li> <li>Pennsylvania's also a competitor of mine so when they tell me that stuff I listen to it, but I still make my own decision of what I believe is in the best interests</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. So it had to be after that date that the I-829s were approved.</li> <li>Q. Tell me about the forbearance negotiations. What happened? Walk me through what happened there.</li> <li>A. I remember Mark Oswald coming to me and trying to convince me that the history was such and they were performing well enough, if the partnership would consider taking off the guarantees, and that Tentexkota could stand on its own with just a corporate guarantee.</li> <li>I remember that as a first scenario. And what I recall is that the reply was is that it's not my decision but I'm willing to send it to the LPs and see how they decide, if they're willing.</li> <li>But it's illogical. Why would they let people's personal guarantees go off a project? It seems very illogical to me.</li> <li>And then at some point we were advised that they could not pay back the interest. And there was a lady by the name of Ann Hanbly [phonetic], I believe, and some</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Why were people worried about Morrie?</li> <li>A. Because it's a new somebody new. A new captain came into town at the USCIS. And sometimes the new administration doesn't like the prior administration, and whatever mess they receive is always the fault of the prior administration. <ul> <li>So I think it is just a new guard in town, and that transition wasn't completely without animosity. But the details I do not know.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what the mess was and why he was forced out?</li> <li>A. I do not know.</li> <li>Q. He's never told you that?</li> <li>A. I never dug into it.</li> <li>Q. Did you ask? When someone called you and said stay away from him, did you say why?</li> <li>A. Just Pennsylvania. But, you know, when you</li> <li>Pennsylvania's also a competitor of mine so when they tell me that stuff I listen to it, but I still make my own decision of what I believe is in the best interests for South Dakota. And my estimation was I should grab</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. So it had to be after that date that the I-829s were approved.</li> <li>Q. Tell me about the forbearance negotiations. What happened? Walk me through what happened there.</li> <li>A. I remember Mark Oswald coming to me and trying to convince me that the history was such and they were performing well enough, if the partnership would consider taking off the guarantees, and that Tentexkota could stand on its own with just a corporate guarantee.</li> <li>I remember that as a first scenario. And what I recall is that the reply was is that it's not my decision but I'm willing to send it to the LPs and see how they decide, if they're willing.</li> <li>But it's illogical. Why would they let people's personal guarantees go off a project? It seems very illogical to me.</li> <li>And then at some point we were advised that they could not pay back the interest. And there was a lady by the name of Ann Hanbly [phonetic], I believe, and some other guy, Tom, who started negotiating on behalf of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Why were people worried about Morrie?</li> <li>A. Because it's a new somebody new. A new captain came into town at the USCIS. And sometimes the new administration doesn't like the prior administration, and whatever mess they receive is always the fault of the prior administration. <ul> <li>So I think it is just a new guard in town, and that transition wasn't completely without animosity. But the details I do not know.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what the mess was and why he was forced out?</li> <li>A. I do not know.</li> <li>Q. He's never told you that?</li> <li>A. I never dug into it.</li> <li>Q. Did you ask? When someone called you and said stay away from him, did you say why?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Just Pennsylvania. But, you know, when you Pennsylvania's also a competitor of mine so when they tell me that stuff I listen to it, but I still make my own decision of what I believe is in the best interests for South Dakota. And my estimation was I should grab Morrie before somebody else would.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. So it had to be after that date that the I-829s were approved.</li> <li>Q. Tell me about the forbearance negotiations. What happened? Walk me through what happened there.</li> <li>A. I remember Mark Oswald coming to me and trying to convince me that the history was such and they were performing well enough, if the partnership would consider taking off the guarantees, and that Tentexkota could stand on its own with just a corporate guarantee.</li> <li>I remember that as a first scenario. And what I recall is that the reply was is that it's not my decision but I'm willing to send it to the LPs and see how they decide, if they're willing.</li> <li>But it's illogical. Why would they let people's personal guarantees go off a project? It seems very illogical to me.</li> <li>And then at some point we were advised that they could not pay back the interest. And there was a lady by the name of Ann Hanbly [phonetic], I believe, and some other guy, Tom, who started negotiating on behalf of Tentexkota to get a forbearance agreement.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Why were people worried about Morrie?</li> <li>A. Because it's a new somebody new. A new captain came into town at the USCIS. And sometimes the new administration doesn't like the prior administration, and whatever mess they receive is always the fault of the prior administration. <ul> <li>So I think it is just a new guard in town, and that transition wasn't completely without animosity. But the details I do not know.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what the mess was and why he was forced out?</li> <li>A. I do not know.</li> <li>Q. He's never told you that?</li> <li>A. I never dug into it.</li> <li>Q. Did you ask? When someone called you and said stay away from him, did you say why?</li> <li>A. Just Pennsylvania. But, you know, when you</li> <li>Pennsylvania's also a competitor of mine so when they tell me that stuff I listen to it, but I still make my own decision of what I believe is in the best interests for South Dakota. And my estimation was I should grab</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. So it had to be after that date that the I-829s were approved.</li> <li>Q. Tell me about the forbearance negotiations. What happened? Walk me through what happened there.</li> <li>A. I remember Mark Oswald coming to me and trying to convince me that the history was such and they were performing well enough, if the partnership would consider taking off the guarantees, and that Tentexkota could stand on its own with just a corporate guarantee.</li> <li>I remember that as a first scenario. And what I recall is that the reply was is that it's not my decision but I'm willing to send it to the LPs and see how they decide, if they're willing.</li> <li>But it's illogical. Why would they let people's personal guarantees go off a project? It seems very illogical to me.</li> <li>And then at some point we were advised that they could not pay back the interest. And there was a lady by the name of Ann Hanbly [phonetic], I believe, and some other guy, Tom, who started negotiating on behalf of Tentexkota to get a forbearance agreement.</li> <li>Q. And then what happened?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Why were people worried about Morrie?</li> <li>A. Because it's a new somebody new. A new captain came into town at the USCIS. And sometimes the new administration doesn't like the prior administration, and whatever mess they receive is always the fault of the prior administration. <ul> <li>So I think it is just a new guard in town, and that transition wasn't completely without animosity. But the details I do not know.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what the mess was and why he was forced out?</li> <li>A. I do not know.</li> <li>Q. He's never told you that?</li> <li>A. I never dug into it.</li> <li>Q. Did you ask? When someone called you and said stay away from him, did you say why?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Just Pennsylvania. But, you know, when you Pennsylvania's also a competitor of mine so when they tell me that stuff I listen to it, but I still make my own decision of what I believe is in the best interests for South Dakota. And my estimation was I should grab Morrie before somebody else would.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. So it had to be after that date that the I-829s were approved.</li> <li>Q. Tell me about the forbearance negotiations. What happened? Walk me through what happened there.</li> <li>A. I remember Mark Oswald coming to me and trying to convince me that the history was such and they were performing well enough, if the partnership would consider taking off the guarantees, and that Tentexkota could stand on its own with just a corporate guarantee.</li> <li>I remember that as a first scenario. And what I recall is that the reply was is that it's not my decision but I'm willing to send it to the LPs and see how they decide, if they're willing.</li> <li>But it's illogical. Why would they let people's personal guarantees go off a project? It seems very illogical to me.</li> <li>And then at some point we were advised that they could not pay back the interest. And there was a lady by the name of Ann Hanbly [phonetic], I believe, and some other guy, Tom, who started negotiating on behalf of Tentexkota to get a forbearance agreement.</li> </ul>

	Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	File	d 10/10/18 Page 38 of 61 PageID #: 2496
1	called. I don't know the exact date. And it was	1	Q. Yeah.
2	discussed and we were probably advised that based on the	2	A. What page?
3	final terms that it might be a good thing for the limited	3	<b>Q</b> . 2174.
4	partnership to make sure they get the I-829s approved and	4	A. That's not the right one. 204. Okay.
5	hoping that Tentexkota would pay back the principal as	5	<b>Q</b> . It will be in that one. 2174.
6	promised within the forbearance agreement. And they must	6	A. 2174. Mine it skips. Mine skips from 2146 to
7	have well, they voted for that, to accept it.	7	2379.
8	<b>Q.</b> So they voted to wait because they were worried	8	Q. Oh, it shouldn't. Well, you know what? I'll just
9	about their 829 applications?	9	hand you my copy, and we'll mark this as a separate
10	A. I'm sure they were worried about both, the visa and	10	exhibit.
11	getting the principal back.	11	(Exhibit 6 is marked for identification.)
12	<b>Q.</b> What were their fears about the visa?	12	<b>Q.</b> So this is a letter that you sent, and it's saying
13	A. Well, until you have a visa, you know, you depend on	13	that now the that the 829 applications have been
14	the government, which is never comfortable. So the	14	approved, you wanted to be more forceful in collecting
15	government the USCIS, I've seen it myself on many	15	the debt, especially the guarantees.
16	occasions where something new pops up, and instead of	16	A. Okay.
17	acknowledging that we've got to go through a learning	17	(Witness examines document.)
18	curve, they don't respond that manner.	18	A. Yeah. I I kind of agree with that logic because
19	They respond from who can we put the blame on and	19	I still yes. Okay. Go ahead.
20	try to retroactively apply new rules. I think they're	20	Q. Why wait and I know we just covered this a little
21	in my experience that's what you can expect from them.	21	bit, but why not just go after it while they're pending?
22	Q. What do you mean learning curve? Like if mistakes	22	A. Because there's still data needed from Tentexkota to
23	are made?	23	get the I-829s approved.
24	A. Well, as I mentioned, initially you have pretty much	24	<b>Q.</b> Okay. And you don't think you could get that if
25	ex-military people. Then they hire some economists.	25	you
			,
	150		152
1	150 Then they hire some accountants along the way because	1	A. In the hostile environment I would think it's
1	Then they hire some accountants along the way because	1	A. In the hostile environment I would think it's
1 2 3	Then they hire some accountants along the way because they realize that they don't have skill set necessary to	1 2 3	
2	Then they hire some accountants along the way because they realize that they don't have skill set necessary to effectively manage this EB-5 program.	2 3	A. In the hostile environment I would think it's harder. What incentive does Tentexkota have to give us that information if there's a hostile environment?
2 3 4	Then they hire some accountants along the way because they realize that they don't have skill set necessary to effectively manage this EB-5 program. And when you have this new expertise come in, then	2 3 4	<ul> <li>A. In the hostile environment I would think it's harder. What incentive does Tentexkota have to give us that information if there's a hostile environment?</li> <li>Q. What is a hostile environment?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	Then they hire some accountants along the way because they realize that they don't have skill set necessary to effectively manage this EB-5 program. And when you have this new expertise come in, then suddenly by reviewing the past, they point out	2 3	<ul> <li>A. In the hostile environment I would think it's harder. What incentive does Tentexkota have to give us that information if there's a hostile environment?</li> <li>Q. What is a hostile environment?</li> <li>A. Well, you sue them and for the capital. I don't</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	Then they hire some accountants along the way because they realize that they don't have skill set necessary to effectively manage this EB-5 program. And when you have this new expertise come in, then suddenly by reviewing the past, they point out deficiencies. And the normal reaction is not from, oh,	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. In the hostile environment I would think it's harder. What incentive does Tentexkota have to give us that information if there's a hostile environment?</li> <li>Q. What is a hostile environment?</li> <li>A. Well, you sue them and for the capital. I don't think they would give them the required documentation</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	Then they hire some accountants along the way because they realize that they don't have skill set necessary to effectively manage this EB-5 program. And when you have this new expertise come in, then suddenly by reviewing the past, they point out deficiencies. And the normal reaction is not from, oh, yes. Let's grandfather in what's happened in the past	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. In the hostile environment I would think it's harder. What incentive does Tentexkota have to give us that information if there's a hostile environment?</li> <li>Q. What is a hostile environment?</li> <li>A. Well, you sue them and for the capital. I don't</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	Then they hire some accountants along the way because they realize that they don't have skill set necessary to effectively manage this EB-5 program. And when you have this new expertise come in, then suddenly by reviewing the past, they point out deficiencies. And the normal reaction is not from, oh, yes. Let's grandfather in what's happened in the past and let's move forward. The typical reaction is who did	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. In the hostile environment I would think it's harder. What incentive does Tentexkota have to give us that information if there's a hostile environment?</li> <li>Q. What is a hostile environment?</li> <li>A. Well, you sue them and for the capital. I don't think they would give them the required documentation that is needed for the I-829, such as job creation numbers.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Then they hire some accountants along the way because they realize that they don't have skill set necessary to effectively manage this EB-5 program. And when you have this new expertise come in, then suddenly by reviewing the past, they point out deficiencies. And the normal reaction is not from, oh, yes. Let's grandfather in what's happened in the past	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. In the hostile environment I would think it's harder. What incentive does Tentexkota have to give us that information if there's a hostile environment?</li> <li>Q. What is a hostile environment?</li> <li>A. Well, you sue them and for the capital. I don't think they would give them the required documentation that is needed for the I-829, such as job creation numbers.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Then they hire some accountants along the way because they realize that they don't have skill set necessary to effectively manage this EB-5 program. And when you have this new expertise come in, then suddenly by reviewing the past, they point out deficiencies. And the normal reaction is not from, oh, yes. Let's grandfather in what's happened in the past and let's move forward. The typical reaction is who did this to us, and who can we blame for this?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. In the hostile environment I would think it's harder. What incentive does Tentexkota have to give us that information if there's a hostile environment?</li> <li>Q. What is a hostile environment?</li> <li>A. Well, you sue them and for the capital. I don't think they would give them the required documentation that is needed for the I-829, such as job creation numbers.</li> <li>Q. So you decide to go so after you okay. So after you get the information you need and you</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Then they hire some accountants along the way because they realize that they don't have skill set necessary to effectively manage this EB-5 program. And when you have this new expertise come in, then suddenly by reviewing the past, they point out deficiencies. And the normal reaction is not from, oh, yes. Let's grandfather in what's happened in the past and let's move forward. The typical reaction is who did this to us, and who can we blame for this? So they retroactively are willing to apply new	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. In the hostile environment I would think it's harder. What incentive does Tentexkota have to give us that information if there's a hostile environment?</li> <li>Q. What is a hostile environment?</li> <li>A. Well, you sue them and for the capital. I don't think they would give them the required documentation that is needed for the I-829, such as job creation numbers.</li> <li>Q. So you decide to go so after you okay.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Then they hire some accountants along the way because they realize that they don't have skill set necessary to effectively manage this EB-5 program. And when you have this new expertise come in, then suddenly by reviewing the past, they point out deficiencies. And the normal reaction is not from, oh, yes. Let's grandfather in what's happened in the past and let's move forward. The typical reaction is who did this to us, and who can we blame for this? So they retroactively are willing to apply new rules. And that's why we decided to hire a new	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. In the hostile environment I would think it's harder. What incentive does Tentexkota have to give us that information if there's a hostile environment?</li> <li>Q. What is a hostile environment?</li> <li>A. Well, you sue them and for the capital. I don't think they would give them the required documentation that is needed for the I-829, such as job creation numbers.</li> <li>Q. So you decide to go so after you okay. So after you get the information you need and you decide that you're going to be more forceful with the guarantees, what happened?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Then they hire some accountants along the way because they realize that they don't have skill set necessary to effectively manage this EB-5 program. And when you have this new expertise come in, then suddenly by reviewing the past, they point out deficiencies. And the normal reaction is not from, oh, yes. Let's grandfather in what's happened in the past and let's move forward. The typical reaction is who did this to us, and who can we blame for this? So they retroactively are willing to apply new rules. And that's why we decided to hire a new economist. Because really why would we? They already	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. In the hostile environment I would think it's harder. What incentive does Tentexkota have to give us that information if there's a hostile environment?</li> <li>Q. What is a hostile environment?</li> <li>A. Well, you sue them and for the capital. I don't think they would give them the required documentation that is needed for the I-829, such as job creation numbers.</li> <li>Q. So you decide to go so after you okay. So after you get the information you need and you decide that you're going to be more forceful with the guarantees, what happened?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Then they hire some accountants along the way because they realize that they don't have skill set necessary to effectively manage this EB-5 program. And when you have this new expertise come in, then suddenly by reviewing the past, they point out deficiencies. And the normal reaction is not from, oh, yes. Let's grandfather in what's happened in the past and let's move forward. The typical reaction is who did this to us, and who can we blame for this? So they retroactively are willing to apply new rules. And that's why we decided to hire a new economist. Because really why would we? They already approved our econometric model. But it was the least	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. In the hostile environment I would think it's harder. What incentive does Tentexkota have to give us that information if there's a hostile environment?</li> <li>Q. What is a hostile environment?</li> <li>A. Well, you sue them and for the capital. I don't think they would give them the required documentation that is needed for the I-829, such as job creation numbers.</li> <li>Q. So you decide to go so after you okay. So after you get the information you need and you decide that you're going to be more forceful with the guarantees, what happened?</li> <li>A. Well, it's not for me to decide to be more forceful.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Then they hire some accountants along the way because they realize that they don't have skill set necessary to effectively manage this EB-5 program. And when you have this new expertise come in, then suddenly by reviewing the past, they point out deficiencies. And the normal reaction is not from, oh, yes. Let's grandfather in what's happened in the past and let's move forward. The typical reaction is who did this to us, and who can we blame for this? So they retroactively are willing to apply new rules. And that's why we decided to hire a new economist. Because really why would we? They already approved our econometric model. But it was the least the road of least obstruction, and our goal was to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. In the hostile environment I would think it's harder. What incentive does Tentexkota have to give us that information if there's a hostile environment?</li> <li>Q. What is a hostile environment?</li> <li>A. Well, you sue them and for the capital. I don't think they would give them the required documentation that is needed for the I-829, such as job creation numbers.</li> <li>Q. So you decide to go so after you okay. So after you get the information you need and you decide that you're going to be more forceful with the guarantees, what happened?</li> <li>A. Well, it's not for me to decide to be more forceful. That's the decision of the limited partners to do that on</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Then they hire some accountants along the way because they realize that they don't have skill set necessary to effectively manage this EB-5 program. And when you have this new expertise come in, then suddenly by reviewing the past, they point out deficiencies. And the normal reaction is not from, oh, yes. Let's grandfather in what's happened in the past and let's move forward. The typical reaction is who did this to us, and who can we blame for this? So they retroactively are willing to apply new rules. And that's why we decided to hire a new economist. Because really why would we? They already approved our econometric model. But it was the least the road of least obstruction, and our goal was to the limited partners to make sure they get their capital back	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. In the hostile environment I would think it's harder. What incentive does Tentexkota have to give us that information if there's a hostile environment?</li> <li>Q. What is a hostile environment?</li> <li>A. Well, you sue them and for the capital. I don't think they would give them the required documentation that is needed for the I-829, such as job creation numbers.</li> <li>Q. So you decide to go so after you okay. So after you get the information you need and you decide that you're going to be more forceful with the guarantees, what happened?</li> <li>A. Well, it's not for me to decide to be more forceful. That's the decision of the limited partners to do that on that.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Then they hire some accountants along the way because they realize that they don't have skill set necessary to effectively manage this EB-5 program. And when you have this new expertise come in, then suddenly by reviewing the past, they point out deficiencies. And the normal reaction is not from, oh, yes. Let's grandfather in what's happened in the past and let's move forward. The typical reaction is who did this to us, and who can we blame for this? So they retroactively are willing to apply new rules. And that's why we decided to hire a new economist. Because really why would we? They already approved our econometric model. But it was the least the road of least obstruction, and our goal was to the limited partners to make sure they get their capital back and get their green card. That was the goal.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. In the hostile environment I would think it's harder. What incentive does Tentexkota have to give us that information if there's a hostile environment?</li> <li>Q. What is a hostile environment?</li> <li>A. Well, you sue them and for the capital. I don't think they would give them the required documentation that is needed for the I-829, such as job creation numbers.</li> <li>Q. So you decide to go so after you okay. So after you get the information you need and you decide that you're going to be more forceful with the guarantees, what happened?</li> <li>A. Well, it's not for me to decide to be more forceful. That's the decision of the limited partners to do that on that.</li> <li>Q. But it was your suggestion.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Then they hire some accountants along the way because they realize that they don't have skill set necessary to effectively manage this EB-5 program. And when you have this new expertise come in, then suddenly by reviewing the past, they point out deficiencies. And the normal reaction is not from, oh, yes. Let's grandfather in what's happened in the past and let's move forward. The typical reaction is who did this to us, and who can we blame for this? So they retroactively are willing to apply new rules. And that's why we decided to hire a new economist. Because really why would we? They already approved our econometric model. But it was the least the road of least obstruction, and our goal was to the limited partners to make sure they get their capital back and get their green card. That was the goal. Q. The goal was to make sure they get paid back in full	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. In the hostile environment I would think it's harder. What incentive does Tentexkota have to give us that information if there's a hostile environment?</li> <li>Q. What is a hostile environment?</li> <li>A. Well, you sue them and for the capital. I don't think they would give them the required documentation that is needed for the I-829, such as job creation numbers.</li> <li>Q. So you decide to go so after you okay. So after you get the information you need and you decide that you're going to be more forceful with the guarantees, what happened?</li> <li>A. Well, it's not for me to decide to be more forceful. That's the decision of the limited partners to do that on that.</li> <li>Q. But it was your suggestion.</li> <li>A. Yeah. I agree with that logic, that you don't want</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Then they hire some accountants along the way because they realize that they don't have skill set necessary to effectively manage this EB-5 program. And when you have this new expertise come in, then suddenly by reviewing the past, they point out deficiencies. And the normal reaction is not from, oh, yes. Let's grandfather in what's happened in the past and let's move forward. The typical reaction is who did this to us, and who can we blame for this? So they retroactively are willing to apply new rules. And that's why we decided to hire a new economist. Because really why would we? They already approved our econometric model. But it was the least the road of least obstruction, and our goal was to the limited partners to make sure they get their capital back and get their green card. That was the goal. Q. The goal was to make sure they get paid back in full and get their green card?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. In the hostile environment I would think it's harder. What incentive does Tentexkota have to give us that information if there's a hostile environment?</li> <li>Q. What is a hostile environment?</li> <li>A. Well, you sue them and for the capital. I don't think they would give them the required documentation that is needed for the I-829, such as job creation numbers.</li> <li>Q. So you decide to go so after you okay. So after you get the information you need and you decide that you're going to be more forceful with the guarantees, what happened?</li> <li>A. Well, it's not for me to decide to be more forceful. That's the decision of the limited partners to do that on that.</li> <li>Q. But it was your suggestion.</li> <li>A. Yeah. I agree with that logic, that you don't want to fight with Tentexkota when your visa is still in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Then they hire some accountants along the way because they realize that they don't have skill set necessary to effectively manage this EB-5 program.</li> <li>And when you have this new expertise come in, then suddenly by reviewing the past, they point out deficiencies. And the normal reaction is not from, oh, yes. Let's grandfather in what's happened in the past and let's move forward. The typical reaction is who did this to us, and who can we blame for this?</li> <li>So they retroactively are willing to apply new rules. And that's why we decided to hire a new economist. Because really why would we? They already approved our econometric model. But it was the least the road of least obstruction, and our goal was to the limited partners to make sure they get their capital back and get their green card. That was the goal.</li> <li>Q. The goal was to make sure they get paid back in full and get their green card?</li> <li>A. That's what we want. Yeah. We want win-win for</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. In the hostile environment I would think it's harder. What incentive does Tentexkota have to give us that information if there's a hostile environment?</li> <li>Q. What is a hostile environment?</li> <li>A. Well, you sue them and for the capital. I don't think they would give them the required documentation that is needed for the I-829, such as job creation numbers.</li> <li>Q. So you decide to go so after you okay. So after you get the information you need and you decide that you're going to be more forceful with the guarantees, what happened?</li> <li>A. Well, it's not for me to decide to be more forceful. That's the decision of the limited partners to do that on that.</li> <li>Q. But it was your suggestion.</li> <li>A. Yeah. I agree with that logic, that you don't want to fight with Tentexkota when your visa is still in limbo. Yeah. I agree with that logic.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Then they hire some accountants along the way because they realize that they don't have skill set necessary to effectively manage this EB-5 program. And when you have this new expertise come in, then suddenly by reviewing the past, they point out deficiencies. And the normal reaction is not from, oh, yes. Let's grandfather in what's happened in the past and let's move forward. The typical reaction is who did this to us, and who can we blame for this? So they retroactively are willing to apply new rules. And that's why we decided to hire a new economist. Because really why would we? They already approved our econometric model. But it was the least the road of least obstruction, and our goal was to the limited partners to make sure they get their capital back and get their green card. That was the goal. Q. The goal was to make sure they get paid back in full and get their green card? A. That's what we want. Yeah. We want win-win for win for the investors, win for South Dakota, win for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. In the hostile environment I would think it's harder. What incentive does Tentexkota have to give us that information if there's a hostile environment?</li> <li>Q. What is a hostile environment?</li> <li>A. Well, you sue them and for the capital. I don't think they would give them the required documentation that is needed for the I-829, such as job creation numbers.</li> <li>Q. So you decide to go so after you okay. So after you get the information you need and you decide that you're going to be more forceful with the guarantees, what happened?</li> <li>A. Well, it's not for me to decide to be more forceful. That's the decision of the limited partners to do that on that.</li> <li>Q. But it was your suggestion.</li> <li>A. Yeah. I agree with that logic, that you don't want to fight with Tentexkota when your visa is still in limbo. Yeah. I agree with that logic.</li> <li>Q. Right. And it was your suggestion; correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Then they hire some accountants along the way because they realize that they don't have skill set necessary to effectively manage this EB-5 program.</li> <li>And when you have this new expertise come in, then suddenly by reviewing the past, they point out deficiencies. And the normal reaction is not from, oh, yes. Let's grandfather in what's happened in the past and let's move forward. The typical reaction is who did this to us, and who can we blame for this?</li> <li>So they retroactively are willing to apply new rules. And that's why we decided to hire a new economist. Because really why would we? They already approved our econometric model. But it was the least the road of least obstruction, and our goal was to the limited partners to make sure they get their capital back and get their green card. That was the goal.</li> <li>Q. The goal was to make sure they get paid back in full and get their green card?</li> <li>A. That's what we want. Yeah. We want win-win for win for the investors, win for South Dakota, win for everybody involved in the project. That was the goal.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. In the hostile environment I would think it's harder. What incentive does Tentexkota have to give us that information if there's a hostile environment?</li> <li>Q. What is a hostile environment?</li> <li>A. Well, you sue them and for the capital. I don't think they would give them the required documentation that is needed for the I-829, such as job creation numbers.</li> <li>Q. So you decide to go so after you okay. So after you get the information you need and you decide that you're going to be more forceful with the guarantees, what happened?</li> <li>A. Well, it's not for me to decide to be more forceful. That's the decision of the limited partners to do that on that.</li> <li>Q. But it was your suggestion.</li> <li>A. Yeah. I agree with that logic, that you don't want to fight with Tentexkota when your visa is still in limbo. Yeah. I agree with that logic.</li> <li>Q. Right. And it was your suggestion; correct?</li> <li>A. I would advise a more forceful approach, as our</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Then they hire some accountants along the way because they realize that they don't have skill set necessary to effectively manage this EB-5 program.</li> <li>And when you have this new expertise come in, then suddenly by reviewing the past, they point out deficiencies. And the normal reaction is not from, oh, yes. Let's grandfather in what's happened in the past and let's move forward. The typical reaction is who did this to us, and who can we blame for this?</li> <li>So they retroactively are willing to apply new rules. And that's why we decided to hire a new economist. Because really why would we? They already approved our econometric model. But it was the least the road of least obstruction, and our goal was to the limited partners to make sure they get their capital back and get their green card. That was the goal.</li> <li>Q. The goal was to make sure they get paid back in full and get their green card?</li> <li>A. That's what we want. Yeah. We want win-win for win for the investors, win for South Dakota, win for everybody involved in the project. That was the goal.</li> <li>Q. Will you turn to SDRC 2174.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. In the hostile environment I would think it's harder. What incentive does Tentexkota have to give us that information if there's a hostile environment?</li> <li>Q. What is a hostile environment?</li> <li>A. Well, you sue them and for the capital. I don't think they would give them the required documentation that is needed for the I-829, such as job creation numbers.</li> <li>Q. So you decide to go so after you okay. So after you get the information you need and you decide that you're going to be more forceful with the guarantees, what happened?</li> <li>A. Well, it's not for me to decide to be more forceful. That's the decision of the limited partners to do that on that.</li> <li>Q. But it was your suggestion.</li> <li>A. Yeah. I agree with that logic, that you don't want to fight with Tentexkota when your visa is still in limbo. Yeah. I agree with that logic.</li> <li>Q. Right. And it was your suggestion; correct?</li> <li>A. I would advise a more forceful approach, as our guarantees are strong, but we have a predicament of the USCIS, which is adamant in their attempt to apply new</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Then they hire some accountants along the way because they realize that they don't have skill set necessary to effectively manage this EB-5 program.</li> <li>And when you have this new expertise come in, then suddenly by reviewing the past, they point out deficiencies. And the normal reaction is not from, oh, yes. Let's grandfather in what's happened in the past and let's move forward. The typical reaction is who did this to us, and who can we blame for this?</li> <li>So they retroactively are willing to apply new rules. And that's why we decided to hire a new economist. Because really why would we? They already approved our econometric model. But it was the least the road of least obstruction, and our goal was to the limited partners to make sure they get their capital back and get their green card. That was the goal.</li> <li>Q. The goal was to make sure they get paid back in full and get their green card?</li> <li>A. That's what we want. Yeah. We want win-win for win for the investors, win for South Dakota, win for everybody involved in the project. That was the goal.</li> <li>Q. Will you turn to SDRC 2174.</li> <li>A. Is that the same book? Binder 3?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. In the hostile environment I would think it's harder. What incentive does Tentexkota have to give us that information if there's a hostile environment?</li> <li>Q. What is a hostile environment?</li> <li>A. Well, you sue them and for the capital. I don't think they would give them the required documentation that is needed for the I-829, such as job creation numbers.</li> <li>Q. So you decide to go so after you okay. So after you get the information you need and you decide that you're going to be more forceful with the guarantees, what happened?</li> <li>A. Well, it's not for me to decide to be more forceful. That's the decision of the limited partners to do that on that.</li> <li>Q. But it was your suggestion.</li> <li>A. Yeah. I agree with that logic, that you don't want to fight with Tentexkota when your visa is still in limbo. Yeah. I agree with that logic.</li> <li>Q. Right. And it was your suggestion; correct?</li> <li>A. I would advise a more forceful approach, as our guarantees are strong, but we have a predicament of the state of the state</li></ul>

	Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	File	d 10/10/18 Page 39 of 61 PageID #: 2497
1 4			155
1	action was needed to get a response from them in the	1	issues at that time. So I would have forwarded it to
2	first place.	2	Jeff Sveen when the attorneys got involved.
3	Yes. I agree with what I wrote in your highlighted	3	<b>Q.</b> Okay. Did he tell you that he was a lawyer hired by
4	section.	4	the foreign investors?
5	<b>Q.</b> Okay. So what happened next? What happened after	5	A. As I mentioned, if I would have gotten
6	that?	6	correspondence from a lawyer, I would just pretty much
7	A. Well, the mandamus the forbearance agreement	7	hand it over to Jeff Sveen.
8	Q. You can keep that. That's an exhibit.	8	Q. And Jeff Sveen would have handled all of that?
9	A. The forbearance agreement was signed so I was just	9	A. Yes.
10	hoping and praying that that agreement was going to be	10	<b>Q</b> . Would it surprise you if you found out that
11	honored and that we would be done with the project.	11	Eric Ashenberg was not licensed to practice law in
12	Q. So then 2016 rolls around.	12	California?
13	A. Uh-huh.	13	A. I would have no clue. If somebody identifies
14	Q. And what happened then?	14	themselves as an attorney, I don't ask, Are you licensed
15	A. They defaulted and did not pay back the loan.	15	properly or not.
16	<b>Q.</b> I have an SDRC 2177, which we'll mark.	16	Q. You just believed
17	(Exhibit 7 is marked for identification.)	17	A. I just hand it over to legal counsel.
18	<b>Q.</b> This is a letter I believe it's from Cindy Shi.	18	MS. OLIVIER: I'm going to take a short break
19	A. Uh-huh.	19	and rearrange our binders, if that's okay. I'm getting
20	<b>Q.</b> Asking for an in-person meeting with Tentexkota.	20	too much paper here. If that's all right with everybody.
21	A. When was this? This was after is this after the	21	(A short recess is taken.)
22	forbearance agreement? When was the when was the	22	(Exhibit 9 is marked for identification.)
23	default declared from the forbearance agreement? I don't		MS. OLIVIER: Back on the record at 3:42.
24	remember.	24	<b>Q.</b> (BY MS. OLIVIER) Joop, I'm going to have you turn
25	<b>Q.</b> Just I'll ask the questions, and you answer.	25	to SDRC 2786. It's not in that bottom one. It should be
	154		156
1	A. Okay. Okay.	1	right there in front of you. And this is what we were
2	<b>Q.</b> So this was in May of 2016, and it's Cindy Shi	2	just talking about
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•	just talking about.
3	asking for a meeting with Tentexkota; correct?	3	A. It's not this one. 27
4	A. Uh-huh. Uh-huh.	4	<ul> <li>A. It's not this one. 27</li> <li>Q. 86. And if you don't have it, I'm happy to hand it</li> </ul>
4 5	<ul><li>A. Uh-huh. Uh-huh.</li><li>Q. Did that meeting ever happen?</li></ul>	4 5	<ul> <li>A. It's not this one. 27</li> <li>Q. 86. And if you don't have it, I'm happy to hand it to you.</li> </ul>
4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. Uh-huh. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Did that meeting ever happen?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> </ul>	4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. It's not this one. 27</li> <li>Q. 86. And if you don't have it, I'm happy to hand it to you.</li> <li>A. Okay. That's not in this binder.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Uh-huh. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Did that meeting ever happen?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. It's not this one. 27</li> <li>Q. 86. And if you don't have it, I'm happy to hand it to you.</li> <li>A. Okay. That's not in this binder.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Here you go. I've got copies.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Uh-huh. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Did that meeting ever happen?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. I don't know if they decided to hire attorneys in</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. It's not this one. 27</li> <li>Q. 86. And if you don't have it, I'm happy to hand it to you.</li> <li>A. Okay. That's not in this binder.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Here you go. I've got copies. (Exhibit 10 is marked for identification.)</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Uh-huh. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Did that meeting ever happen?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. I don't know if they decided to hire attorneys in California or not, but at some point they hired</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. It's not this one. 27</li> <li>Q. 86. And if you don't have it, I'm happy to hand it to you.</li> <li>A. Okay. That's not in this binder.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Here you go. I've got copies. (Exhibit 10 is marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q. Looking at Exhibit 10, which is SDRC 2789 for</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Uh-huh. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Did that meeting ever happen?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. I don't know if they decided to hire attorneys in California or not, but at some point they hired</li> <li>California attorneys in California, which pretty much</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. It's not this one. 27</li> <li>Q. 86. And if you don't have it, I'm happy to hand it to you.</li> <li>A. Okay. That's not in this binder.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Here you go. I've got copies. (Exhibit 10 is marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q. Looking at Exhibit 10, which is SDRC 2789 for everyone that has it electronically, this is the e-mail</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Uh-huh. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Did that meeting ever happen?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. I don't know if they decided to hire attorneys in California or not, but at some point they hired</li> <li>California attorneys in California, which pretty much took me somewhat out of the loop of things.</li> </ul>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. It's not this one. 27</li> <li>Q. 86. And if you don't have it, I'm happy to hand it to you.</li> <li>A. Okay. That's not in this binder.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Here you go. I've got copies. (Exhibit 10 is marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q. Looking at Exhibit 10, which is SDRC 2789 for everyone that has it electronically, this is the e-mail that I was talking about that you would have received; is</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Uh-huh. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Did that meeting ever happen?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. I don't know if they decided to hire attorneys in</li> <li>California or not, but at some point they hired</li> <li>California attorneys in California, which pretty much took me somewhat out of the loop of things.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to mark 2179.</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. It's not this one. 27</li> <li>Q. 86. And if you don't have it, I'm happy to hand it to you.</li> <li>A. Okay. That's not in this binder.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Here you go. I've got copies. (Exhibit 10 is marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q. Looking at Exhibit 10, which is SDRC 2789 for everyone that has it electronically, this is the e-mail that I was talking about that you would have received; is that correct?</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Uh-huh. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Did that meeting ever happen?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. I don't know if they decided to hire attorneys in California or not, but at some point they hired</li> <li>California attorneys in California, which pretty much took me somewhat out of the loop of things.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to mark 2179. (Exhibit 8 is marked for identification.)</li> </ul>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. It's not this one. 27</li> <li>Q. 86. And if you don't have it, I'm happy to hand it to you.</li> <li>A. Okay. That's not in this binder.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Here you go. I've got copies. (Exhibit 10 is marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q. Looking at Exhibit 10, which is SDRC 2789 for everyone that has it electronically, this is the e-mail that I was talking about that you would have received; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Uh-huh. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Did that meeting ever happen?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. I don't know if they decided to hire attorneys in California or not, but at some point they hired</li> <li>California attorneys in California, which pretty much took me somewhat out of the loop of things.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to mark 2179. (Exhibit 8 is marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q. Did you reach out to check Tentexkota's schedule for</li> </ul>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. It's not this one. 27</li> <li>Q. 86. And if you don't have it, I'm happy to hand it to you.</li> <li>A. Okay. That's not in this binder.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Here you go. I've got copies.         (Exhibit 10 is marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q. Looking at Exhibit 10, which is SDRC 2789 for everyone that has it electronically, this is the e-mail that I was talking about that you would have received; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And this is from Eric Ashenberg?</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Uh-huh. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Did that meeting ever happen?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. I don't know if they decided to hire attorneys in</li> <li>California or not, but at some point they hired</li> <li>California attorneys in California, which pretty much took me somewhat out of the loop of things.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to mark 2179.         (Exhibit 8 is marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q. Did you reach out to check Tentexkota's schedule for the meeting like you said you would in that e-mail?</li> </ul>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. It's not this one. 27</li> <li>Q. 86. And if you don't have it, I'm happy to hand it to you.</li> <li>A. Okay. That's not in this binder.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Here you go. I've got copies. (Exhibit 10 is marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q. Looking at Exhibit 10, which is SDRC 2789 for everyone that has it electronically, this is the e-mail that I was talking about that you would have received; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And this is from Eric Ashenberg?</li> <li>A. Right.</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Uh-huh. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Did that meeting ever happen?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. I don't know if they decided to hire attorneys in</li> <li>California or not, but at some point they hired</li> <li>California attorneys in California, which pretty much</li> <li>took me somewhat out of the loop of things.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to mark 2179.         <ul> <li>(Exhibit 8 is marked for identification.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. Did you reach out to check Tentexkota's schedule for</li> <li>the meeting like you said you would in that e-mail?</li> <li>A. I don't recall. And I do not know at what time</li> </ul>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. It's not this one. 27</li> <li>Q. 86. And if you don't have it, I'm happy to hand it to you.</li> <li>A. Okay. That's not in this binder.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Here you go. I've got copies.         <ul> <li>(Exhibit 10 is marked for identification.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. Looking at Exhibit 10, which is SDRC 2789 for everyone that has it electronically, this is the e-mail that I was talking about that you would have received; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And this is from Eric Ashenberg?</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Saying that he had been retained as an attorney?</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Uh-huh. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Did that meeting ever happen?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. I don't know if they decided to hire attorneys in</li> <li>California or not, but at some point they hired</li> <li>California attorneys in California, which pretty much took me somewhat out of the loop of things.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to mark 2179.         (Exhibit 8 is marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q. Did you reach out to check Tentexkota's schedule for the meeting like you said you would in that e-mail?</li> <li>A. I don't recall. And I do not know at what time Jenny had her limited partners hire the legal counsel in</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. It's not this one. 27</li> <li>Q. 86. And if you don't have it, I'm happy to hand it to you.</li> <li>A. Okay. That's not in this binder.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Here you go. I've got copies. (Exhibit 10 is marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q. Looking at Exhibit 10, which is SDRC 2789 for everyone that has it electronically, this is the e-mail that I was talking about that you would have received; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And this is from Eric Ashenberg?</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Saying that he had been retained as an attorney?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Uh-huh. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Did that meeting ever happen?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. I don't know if they decided to hire attorneys in</li> <li>California or not, but at some point they hired</li> <li>California attorneys in California, which pretty much took me somewhat out of the loop of things.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to mark 2179.         (Exhibit 8 is marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q. Did you reach out to check Tentexkota's schedule for the meeting like you said you would in that e-mail?</li> <li>A. I don't recall. And I do not know at what time Jenny had her limited partners hire the legal counsel in California.</li> </ul>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. It's not this one. 27</li> <li>Q. 86. And if you don't have it, I'm happy to hand it to you.</li> <li>A. Okay. That's not in this binder.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Here you go. I've got copies.         <ul> <li>(Exhibit 10 is marked for identification.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. Looking at Exhibit 10, which is SDRC 2789 for everyone that has it electronically, this is the e-mail that I was talking about that you would have received; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And this is from Eric Ashenberg?</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Saying that he had been retained as an attorney?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Is that a yes? Sorry.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. Uh-huh. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Did that meeting ever happen?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. I don't know if they decided to hire attorneys in</li> <li>California or not, but at some point they hired</li> <li>California attorneys in California, which pretty much took me somewhat out of the loop of things.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to mark 2179.         (Exhibit 8 is marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q. Did you reach out to check Tentexkota's schedule for the meeting like you said you would in that e-mail?</li> <li>A. I don't recall. And I do not know at what time Jenny had her limited partners hire the legal counsel in California.</li> <li>Q. Who's the legal counsel in California?</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. It's not this one. 27</li> <li>Q. 86. And if you don't have it, I'm happy to hand it to you.</li> <li>A. Okay. That's not in this binder.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Here you go. I've got copies.         <ul> <li>(Exhibit 10 is marked for identification.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. Looking at Exhibit 10, which is SDRC 2789 for everyone that has it electronically, this is the e-mail that I was talking about that you would have received; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And this is from Eric Ashenberg?</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Saying that he had been retained as an attorney?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Is that a yes? Sorry.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Uh-huh. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Did that meeting ever happen?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. I don't know if they decided to hire attorneys in</li> <li>California or not, but at some point they hired</li> <li>California attorneys in California, which pretty much took me somewhat out of the loop of things.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to mark 2179.         <ul> <li>(Exhibit 8 is marked for identification.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. Did you reach out to check Tentexkota's schedule for the meeting like you said you would in that e-mail?</li> <li>A. I don't recall. And I do not know at what time Jenny had her limited partners hire the legal counsel in California.</li> <li>Q. Who's the legal counsel in California?</li> <li>A. The only name that comes to my mind is Ashenberger</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. It's not this one. 27</li> <li>Q. 86. And if you don't have it, I'm happy to hand it to you.</li> <li>A. Okay. That's not in this binder.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Here you go. I've got copies. (Exhibit 10 is marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q. Looking at Exhibit 10, which is SDRC 2789 for everyone that has it electronically, this is the e-mail that I was talking about that you would have received; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And this is from Eric Ashenberg?</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Saying that he had been retained as an attorney?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Is that a yes? Sorry.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if you turn the page, here is the letter</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Uh-huh. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Did that meeting ever happen?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. I don't know if they decided to hire attorneys in California or not, but at some point they hired</li> <li>California attorneys in California, which pretty much took me somewhat out of the loop of things.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to mark 2179.         <ul> <li>(Exhibit 8 is marked for identification.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. Did you reach out to check Tentexkota's schedule for the meeting like you said you would in that e-mail?</li> <li>A. I don't recall. And I do not know at what time Jenny had her limited partners hire the legal counsel in California.</li> <li>Q. Who's the legal counsel in California?</li> <li>A. The only name that comes to my mind is Ashenberger [sic].</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. It's not this one. 27</li> <li>Q. 86. And if you don't have it, I'm happy to hand it to you.</li> <li>A. Okay. That's not in this binder.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Here you go. I've got copies.         (Exhibit 10 is marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q. Looking at Exhibit 10, which is SDRC 2789 for everyone that has it electronically, this is the e-mail that I was talking about that you would have received; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And this is from Eric Ashenberg?</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Saying that he had been retained as an attorney?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Is that a yes? Sorry.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if you turn the page, here is the letter that he mailed you; correct?</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Uh-huh. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Did that meeting ever happen?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. I don't know if they decided to hire attorneys in California or not, but at some point they hired</li> <li>California attorneys in California, which pretty much took me somewhat out of the loop of things.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to mark 2179.         <ul> <li>(Exhibit 8 is marked for identification.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. Did you reach out to check Tentexkota's schedule for the meeting like you said you would in that e-mail?</li> <li>A. I don't recall. And I do not know at what time Jenny had her limited partners hire the legal counsel in California.</li> <li>Q. Who's the legal counsel in California?</li> <li>A. The only name that comes to my mind is Ashenberger [sic].</li> <li>Q. Eric Ashenberg.</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. It's not this one. 27</li> <li>Q. 86. And if you don't have it, I'm happy to hand it to you.</li> <li>A. Okay. That's not in this binder.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Here you go. I've got copies.         (Exhibit 10 is marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q. Looking at Exhibit 10, which is SDRC 2789 for everyone that has it electronically, this is the e-mail that I was talking about that you would have received; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And this is from Eric Ashenberg?</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Is that a yes? Sorry.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if you turn the page, here is the letter that he mailed you; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Uh-huh. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Did that meeting ever happen?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. I don't know if they decided to hire attorneys in California or not, but at some point they hired</li> <li>California attorneys in California, which pretty much took me somewhat out of the loop of things.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to mark 2179.         <ul> <li>(Exhibit 8 is marked for identification.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. Did you reach out to check Tentexkota's schedule for the meeting like you said you would in that e-mail?</li> <li>A. I don't recall. And I do not know at what time Jenny had her limited partners hire the legal counsel in California.</li> <li>Q. Who's the legal counsel in California?</li> <li>A. The only name that comes to my mind is Ashenberger [sic].</li> <li>Q. Eric Ashenberg.         Did there come a time when Eric Ashenberg reached</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. It's not this one. 27</li> <li>Q. 86. And if you don't have it, I'm happy to hand it to you.</li> <li>A. Okay. That's not in this binder.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Here you go. I've got copies. (Exhibit 10 is marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q. Looking at Exhibit 10, which is SDRC 2789 for everyone that has it electronically, this is the e-mail that I was talking about that you would have received; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And this is from Eric Ashenberg?</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Is that a yes? Sorry.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if you turn the page, here is the letter that he mailed you; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Uh-huh. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Did that meeting ever happen?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. I don't know if they decided to hire attorneys in California or not, but at some point they hired</li> <li>California attorneys in California, which pretty much took me somewhat out of the loop of things.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to mark 2179.         <ul> <li>(Exhibit 8 is marked for identification.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. Did you reach out to check Tentexkota's schedule for the meeting like you said you would in that e-mail?</li> <li>A. I don't recall. And I do not know at what time Jenny had her limited partners hire the legal counsel in California.</li> <li>Q. Who's the legal counsel in California?</li> <li>A. The only name that comes to my mind is Ashenberger [sic].</li> <li>Q. Eric Ashenberg.</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. It's not this one. 27</li> <li>Q. 86. And if you don't have it, I'm happy to hand it to you.</li> <li>A. Okay. That's not in this binder.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Here you go. I've got copies.         (Exhibit 10 is marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q. Looking at Exhibit 10, which is SDRC 2789 for everyone that has it electronically, this is the e-mail that I was talking about that you would have received; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And this is from Eric Ashenberg?</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Is that a yes? Sorry.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if you turn the page, here is the letter that he mailed you; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>

		Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	File	d 10/10/18 Page 40 of 61 PageID #: 2498 159
1	rec	eived it.	1	A. Sorry?
2	Q.	Okay. You don't remember receiving this letter?	2	<b>Q</b> . Is that what you would like to do as the general
3	Α.	I don't. But I just noticed its my Northern address	3	partner?
4	at I	Northern State University.	4	MS. DVORAK: Can can I I just I want to
5	Q.	Okay. Would you have known that you had it if you	5	object and to the extent that anything here is covered by
6	kne	w this came from your discovery?	6	attorney-client privilege or settlement negotiations,
7	Α.	Say that again, please.	7	just object on that basis.
8	Q.	This came from your discovery responses?	8	Go ahead.
9	Α.	I don't know where this come from.	9	A. I felt it is my job to make sure that the limited
10		MS. DVORAK: What we provided to them in	10	partners were informed so that they can make an educated
11	resp	ponse to our Interrogatory Answers.	11	decision what's in their best interest.
12	<b>A</b> .	I just don't know offhand where this came from.	12	And, you know, I didn't read the entire letter, but
13	Q.	- Okay. Now I am going to have you turn to that	13	that paragraph seems to that's what I probably meant
14	mas	ssive binder on the floor.	14	when I said they underestimate the complexity of a legal
15	Α.	Okay.	15	battle.
16	Q.	And I have it tabbed for you at SDRC 157.	16	<b>Q.</b> And if you look on page 159, there was a meeting on
17	Α.	I turned this off now, huh?	17	October 20, 2016.
18		Okay.	18	A. Uh-huh.
19	Q.	These are the meeting minutes that you turned over	19	<b>Q.</b> Where if you look in the paragraph that starts
20	as t	the general partner of the limited partnership.	20	"rollcall was taken," it's kind of in the middle of the
21	Α.		21	page.
22	Q.	And 157 is the June 16, 2016, Minutes of the	22	A. Uh-huh.
23	part	tnership; correct?	23	MR. KERKVLEIT: What's the Bates stamp number?
24		Yes.	24	I'm sorry.
25	Q.	And you were discussing options on what to do in	25	MS. OLIVIER: SDRC 159.
		158		160
1	this	case.	1	<b>Q.</b> 34 people were present via proxy from Ashenberg Law
2	Α.	Yes.	2	Group.
3	Q.	The second paragraph says, "The general partner has	3	A. Uh-huh.
4	con	cerns that the limited partners are underestimating	4	<b>Q.</b> So is Eric Ashenberg voting on behalf of 34 of the
5	the	complexity and repercussions of each option."	5	limited investors?
6		What were you meaning at that point?	6	MR. KERKVLEIT: I'm going to object. To the
7	Α.	I need to read this to get up to speed.	7	extent we're talking about attorney-client privileged
8	Q.	Go ahead. Take your time.	8	communications, this document is listed on the
9		(Witness examines document.)	9	Thind Darthy Defendental anivilaged lag. Dut if we limit
10				Third-Party Defendants' privileged log. But if we limit
	Α.	I think what it means is I my inclination was	10	it to what's on the actual Minutes because they are
11			10 11	
11 12	tha	I think what it means is I my inclination was		it to what's on the actual Minutes because they are
	tha out	I think what it means is I my inclination was t it was in the partnership's best interest to work	11	it to what's on the actual Minutes because they are documents that we clawed back if we limit it to what's
12	tha out fee	I think what it means is I my inclination was It it was in the partnership's best interest to work a solution with Tentexkota. That's the because my	11 12	it to what's on the actual Minutes because they are documents that we clawed back if we limit it to what's on the actual document, I'm okay with him answering some
12 13	tha out fee nec	I think what it means is I my inclination was It it was in the partnership's best interest to work a solution with Tentexkota. That's the because my ling was that the limited partners don't understand	11 12 13	it to what's on the actual Minutes because they are documents that we clawed back if we limit it to what's on the actual document, I'm okay with him answering some questions regarding it.
12 13 14	tha out fee nec Uni	I think what it means is I my inclination was at it was in the partnership's best interest to work a solution with Tentexkota. That's the because my ling was that the limited partners don't understand cessarily how long legal struggles will take in the	11 12 13 14	it to what's on the actual Minutes because they are documents that we clawed back if we limit it to what's on the actual document, I'm okay with him answering some questions regarding it. MS. OLIVIER: Do you object to the current
12 13 14 15	tha out fee nec Uni atto	I think what it means is I my inclination was at it was in the partnership's best interest to work a solution with Tentexkota. That's the because my ling was that the limited partners don't understand cessarily how long legal struggles will take in the ited States and how expensive it is to feed all the	11 12 13 14 15	it to what's on the actual Minutes because they are documents that we clawed back if we limit it to what's on the actual document, I'm okay with him answering some questions regarding it. MS. OLIVIER: Do you object to the current standing question?
12 13 14 15 16	tha out fee nec Uni atto jud	I think what it means is I my inclination was at it was in the partnership's best interest to work a solution with Tentexkota. That's the because my ling was that the limited partners don't understand cessarily how long legal struggles will take in the ited States and how expensive it is to feed all the orneys in the process. And even if they were to get a	11 12 13 14 15 16	it to what's on the actual Minutes because they are documents that we clawed back if we limit it to what's on the actual document, I'm okay with him answering some questions regarding it. MS. OLIVIER: Do you object to the current standing question? MR. KERKVLEIT: Yeah. But he can go ahead and
12 13 14 15 16 17	tha out fee nec Uni atto jud	I think what it means is I my inclination was at it was in the partnership's best interest to work a solution with Tentexkota. That's the because my ling was that the limited partners don't understand cessarily how long legal struggles will take in the ited States and how expensive it is to feed all the orneys in the process. And even if they were to get a gment, you're dealing with very sophisticated	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	it to what's on the actual Minutes because they are documents that we clawed back if we limit it to what's on the actual document, I'm okay with him answering some questions regarding it. MS. OLIVIER: Do you object to the current standing question? MR. KERKVLEIT: Yeah. But he can go ahead and answer.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	tha out fee nec Uni atto jud	I think what it means is I my inclination was at it was in the partnership's best interest to work a solution with Tentexkota. That's the because my ling was that the limited partners don't understand cessarily how long legal struggles will take in the ited States and how expensive it is to feed all the orneys in the process. And even if they were to get a gment, you're dealing with very sophisticated estors, and I'm sure they have all kind of asset	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	it to what's on the actual Minutes because they are documents that we clawed back if we limit it to what's on the actual document, I'm okay with him answering some questions regarding it. MS. OLIVIER: Do you object to the current standing question? MR. KERKVLEIT: Yeah. But he can go ahead and answer. MS. OLIVIER: Okay.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	tha out fee Uni atto jud invo	I think what it means is I my inclination was at it was in the partnership's best interest to work a solution with Tentexkota. That's the because my ling was that the limited partners don't understand cessarily how long legal struggles will take in the ited States and how expensive it is to feed all the orneys in the process. And even if they were to get a gment, you're dealing with very sophisticated estors, and I'm sure they have all kind of asset brection mechanisms in play.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>it to what's on the actual Minutes because they are documents that we clawed back if we limit it to what's on the actual document, I'm okay with him answering some questions regarding it.</pre>
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	tha out fee nec Uni atto jud invo pro	I think what it means is I my inclination was at it was in the partnership's best interest to work a solution with Tentexkota. That's the because my ling was that the limited partners don't understand cessarily how long legal struggles will take in the ited States and how expensive it is to feed all the orneys in the process. And even if they were to get a gment, you're dealing with very sophisticated estors, and I'm sure they have all kind of asset tection mechanisms in play. So I felt that if I were an investor, I would try to	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>it to what's on the actual Minutes because they are documents that we clawed back if we limit it to what's on the actual document, I'm okay with him answering some questions regarding it.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Do you object to the current standing question?</li> <li>MR. KERKVLEIT: Yeah. But he can go ahead and answer.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Okay.</li> <li>A. What was the question?</li> <li>Q. Was Eric Ashenberg voting on behalf of 34 of the</li> </ul>
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	tha out fee nec Uni atto jud invo pro neg son	I think what it means is I my inclination was at it was in the partnership's best interest to work a solution with Tentexkota. That's the because my ling was that the limited partners don't understand cessarily how long legal struggles will take in the ited States and how expensive it is to feed all the orneys in the process. And even if they were to get a gment, you're dealing with very sophisticated estors, and I'm sure they have all kind of asset otection mechanisms in play. So I felt that if I were an investor, I would try to gotiate a settlement and not go to legal suit and work	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>it to what's on the actual Minutes because they are documents that we clawed back if we limit it to what's on the actual document, I'm okay with him answering some questions regarding it.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Do you object to the current standing question?</li> <li>MR. KERKVLEIT: Yeah. But he can go ahead and answer.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Okay.</li> <li>A. What was the question?</li> <li>Q. Was Eric Ashenberg voting on behalf of 34 of the limited partners</li> </ul>
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	tha out fee nec Uni atto jud invo pro neg son	I think what it means is I my inclination was at it was in the partnership's best interest to work a solution with Tentexkota. That's the because my ling was that the limited partners don't understand cessarily how long legal struggles will take in the ited States and how expensive it is to feed all the orneys in the process. And even if they were to get a lgment, you're dealing with very sophisticated estors, and I'm sure they have all kind of asset otection mechanisms in play. So I felt that if I were an investor, I would try to gotiate a settlement and not go to legal suit and work mething out with Tentexkota. That's what I would do if	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>it to what's on the actual Minutes because they are documents that we clawed back if we limit it to what's on the actual document, I'm okay with him answering some questions regarding it.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Do you object to the current standing question?</li> <li>MR. KERKVLEIT: Yeah. But he can go ahead and answer.</li> <li>MS. OLIVIER: Okay.</li> <li>A. What was the question?</li> <li>Q. Was Eric Ashenberg voting on behalf of 34 of the limited partners</li> <li>A. This seems to indicate so.</li> </ul>

	Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1	Filed	1 10/10/18 Page 41 of 61 PageID #: 2499 163
1	proxies in this particular time.	1	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA)
2	<b>Q.</b> Does he have more? Has that gone up over time?	2	:SS CERTIFICATE
3	A. I'm sure it has. But the problem was nobody else	3 4	COUNTY OF SULLY )
4	was present. It was pretty much a very small group.	4 5	I, CHERI MCCOMSEY WITTLER, a Registered
5	MS. OLIVIER: Okay, Joop. I'm going to lighten	6	Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter and
6	up on you because I'm going to say that I'm done for the	7	Notary Public in and for the State of South Dakota:
7	day. So	8	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the witness was first
8	THE WITNESS: Okay.	9 10	duly sworn by me to testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth relative to said cause
9	MS. OLIVIER: that's all I have.	11	and that the foregoing pages 1-163, inclusive, are a true
10	Any other attorneys have any questions?	12	and correct transcript of my stenotype notes made during
11	MS. DVORAK: When you say I guess just	13	the time of the taking of the deposition of this witness.
12	for	14	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not an attorney
13	You're done for the day in terms of Joop	15 16	for, nor related to the parties to this action and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this action.
14	individually?	17	In testimony whereof, I have hereto set my
15	MS. OLIVIER: Yep. I have no further questions	18	hand and official seal this 18th day of April, 2018.
16	for his individual deposition. So this concludes his	19	
17	individual deposition.	20	
18	MS. DVORAK: Okay. I have no further questions.	20	
19	MR. KERKVLEIT: I have no questions.	21	Cheri McComsey Wittler,
20	MR. HEIDEPRIEM: I want to propose that we start		Registered Professional Reporter,
21	again in the morning at 9:00. I can tell you we will not	22	Certified Realtime Reporter,
22	be here past noon. I think that what I will have for his	23	and Notary Public
23	SDRC, Inc. is going to be short so		
24	MS. DVORAK: Sounds good.	24	
25	MR. HEIDEPRIEM: Is that okay with everybody?	25	
	162		
1	MS. DVORAK: Joop, you have the right to read		
2	your deposition transcript for accuracy.		
3 ⊿	THE WITNESS: No. That's okay.		
4 5	MS. DVORAK: Okay. You waive that right. MR. HEIDEPRIEM: Can we leave stuff here		
5 6	tonight?		
7	MS. DVORAK: Yep. Absolutely. We'll get it		
8	locked up.		
9	MS. OLIVIER: Thank you.		
10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It's 3:51 p.m. We're going		
11	off the record.		
12	(The deposition is concluded at 3:51 p.m.)		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
24 25			

Case 1:17-cv-01	002-CBK Docume	nt 173-1 Filed 10/1	0/18 Page 42 of 6.	. PageID #: 2500
\$	<b>1427</b> [2] - 115:3, 115:4	<b>2014</b> [2] - 3:6, 71:13	3:8, 3:9, 3:12, 48:9,	<b>9</b> 1
Ψ	<b>1455</b> [6] - 114:17,	<b>2014 -019</b> [1] - 71:14	49:14, 77:10,	<u> </u>
<b>\$10,000</b> [1] - 129:9	114:21, 114:22,	<b>2014 -074</b> [1] - 77:15	100:10, 100:11,	<b>9</b> [2] - 3:12, 155:22
\$250,000 [1] - 93:15	115:13, 116:1	<b>2014 -277</b> [1] - 102:16	115:1, 128:5, 128:6,	<b>90</b> [3] - 105:24,
<b>\$45,000</b> [2] - 122:21,	<b>15</b> [4] - 21:5, 21:7,	<b>2015</b> [2] - 128:14,	150:24, 150:25	105:25, 106:1
123:18	21:8, 83:22	147:25	<b>40</b> [1] - 123.3	<b>900</b> [1] - 7:16
<b>\$5,000</b> [4] - 124:8,	<b>151</b> [1] - 3:10	<b>2016</b> [5] - 128:13,	<b>415</b> [1] - 4:2	<b>95</b> [1] - 121 :5
126:16, 126:18,	<b>153</b> [1] - 3:11	153:12, 154:2,	<b>45,000</b> [5] - 123:20,	<b>9:00</b> [1] - 161:21
126:22	<b>154</b> [1] - 3:11	157:22, 159:17	124:9, 124:21,	
<b>\$50</b> [1] - 93:17	<b>155</b> [1] - 3:12	<b>2018</b> [3] - 1:18, 4:4,	125:15, 135:2	Α
<b>\$50,000</b> [1] - 46:8	<b>156</b> [1] - 3:12	163:18	<b>490</b> [1] - 2:9	
<b>\$500,000</b> [1] - 46:23	<b>157</b> [2] - 157:16,	<b>204</b> [1] - 151:4		<b>a.m</b> [2] - 1:18, 4:4
<b>\$90</b> [1] - 62:8	157:22	<b>20th</b> [1] - 4:3	5	Aberdeen [9] - 2:10,
	<b>159</b> [2] - 159:16,	<b>213</b> [1] - 1:25	0	4:3, 5:11, 14:10,
1	159:25	<b>2133</b> [2] - 139:23,	<b>5</b> [9] - 3:3, 3:9, 77:21,	14:11, 15:11, 15:12,
	<b>16</b> [1] - 157 :22	<b>2135</b> [2] - 139.23, 140:1	80:9, 100:10, 117:7,	15:16, 16:18
<b>'86</b> [1] - 10:10	<b>1653 -2458</b> [1] - 3:7		117:8, 123:2	ability [1] - 125:5
<b>'87</b> [1] - 10:19		<b>2136</b> [1] - 140:18	<b>5,000</b> [2] - 124:9,	able [10] - 6:23, 25:20,
<b>'93</b> [1] - 17:8	<b>17</b> [1] - 8:19	<b>2145</b> [1] - 141:3	124 :20	26:24, 32:15, 48:10,
<b>'94</b> [2] - 17:8, 17:9	<b>18th</b> [1] - 163:18	<b>2146</b> [1] - 151:6	5/18/16 [2] - 3:11, 3:11	57:18, 60:20, 84:7,
<b>'95</b> [1] - 15:10	<b>1987</b> [1] - 10:21	<b>2174</b> [4] - 150:22,	<b>5/7/15</b> [1] - 3:10	105:9, 113:23
	<b>1990</b> [8] - 12:14,	151:3, 151:5, 151:6	<b>50</b> [2] - 88:21, 102:15	abroad [3] - 50:23,
1	12:15, 15:1, 15:13,	<b>2177</b> [1] - 153:16	<b>50/50</b> [1] - 87:5	57:20, 75:7
	15:14, 31:10	<b>2179</b> [1] - 154:12	<b>500</b> [3] - 47:20, 65:22,	absolutely [2] - 90:23,
<b>1</b> [13] - 3:6, 3:12, 4:24,	<b>1:02</b> [1] - 56:18	<b>22</b> [1] - 102:9	124:8	162:7
43:4, 71:5, 71:20,	1:17-CV-01002-CBK	<b>2379</b> [1] - 151:7	<b>500,000</b> [4] - 47:1,	abuse [1] - 31:22
72:2, 100:10,	[1] - 1:3	<b>24</b> [1] - 103:16	65:10, 65:22, 65:24	academic [4] - 9:7,
121:21, 126:17,		<b>25</b> [1] - 104 :4	<b>57104</b> [1] - 1:22	16:8, 22:21, 67:1
126:19, 127:20	2	<b>26</b> [1] - 104:11	<b>57108</b> [1] - 2:6	accept [2] - 60:1,
<b>1,000</b> [1] - 34:7	<b>2</b> [20] - 1:3, 1:13, 3:6,	<b>27</b> [2] - 135:3, 156:3	<b>57402 -0490</b> [1] - 2:10	149:7
<b>1-1058</b> [1] - 3:12	2 [20] - 1.3, 1.13, 3.0, 3:9, 4:24, 49:14,	2786 [1] - 155:25	<b>57709</b> [1] - 2:3	acceptance [1] - 33:1
<b>1-163</b> [1] - 163:11	70:25, 72:13, 79:19,	2789 [1] - 156:9	<b>61105</b> [1] - 2.0	accepted [2] - 26:12,
<b>1-75</b> [1] - 1:15		<b>298</b> [1] - 103:20	C	39:2
<b>1.5</b> [1] - 128:20	80:9, 100:10, 100:11, 127:18,	<b>2:16</b> [2] - 106:7,	6	access [2] - 19:5,
<b>10</b> [17] - 3:12, 21:8,		106 :20	<b>6</b> [5] - 3:10, 71:15,	41:11
40:11, 40:13, 46:16,	127:20, 127:23,	2:17 [1] - 106:23	71:19, 100:10,	accomplished [1] -
46:20, 47:2, 47:22,	127:25, 128:3, 129:3, 129:4, 129:10	2:56 [1] - 132:17	151:11	56:2
47:23, 48:10, 48:15,	<b>2.4</b> [3] - 48:8, 48:9,		<b>60,000</b> [1] - 125:4	according [1] - 41:22
71:8, 77:14, 79:10,	<b>2.4</b> [3] <b>-</b> 40.0, 40.9, 49:11	3	<b>68</b> [2] - 65:19	account [1] - 93:15
131:19, 156:8, 156:9	-		<b>69</b> [3] - 65:8, 65:10,	accountants [1] -
<b>100</b> [7] - 18:20, 38:10,	<b>20</b> [3] - 1:18, 40:14,	<b>3</b> [9] - 3:7, 3:8, 100:10,	65:21	150:1
117:21, 118:2,	159:17	100:11, 106:5,	<b>69 th</b> [1] - 2:6	accuracy [1] - 162:2
118:13, 131:13,	<b>2000</b> [2] - 27:6, 30:20	114:17, 114:18,		accuracy [1] - 102.2 accurate [4] - 24:14,
145:6	<b>2002</b> [1] - 30:20	139:24, 150:23	7	24:15, 24:17, 129:11
<b>101</b> [1] - 2:6	<b>2003</b> [2] - 27:6, 30:21	<b>30,000</b> [1] - 135:3		acknowledging [1] -
<b>105</b> [1] - 2:6	<b>2004</b> [4] - 34:14,	<b>31</b> [1] - 15:1	<b>7</b> [3] - 3:11, 72:14,	
<b>1059 -1652</b> [1] - 3:8	54:25, 55:1	<b>32.5</b> [3] - 116:3,	153:17	149:17
<b>106</b> [1] - 3:7	<b>2005</b> [4] - 45:15,	117:15, 128:6	<b>70</b> [2] - 65:7, 87:5	acronyms [1] - 96:1
<b>10:38</b> [2] - 1:18, 4:4	45:17, 45:19	<b>322</b> [2] - 104:5, 104:7		Act [1] - 138:3
	<b>2006</b> [4] - 45:18,	<b>34</b> [4] - 160:1, 160:4,	8	action [8] - 98:15,
<b>11 5</b> [2] - 116 · 2 116 · 9			-	
<b>11.5</b> [2] - 116:2, 116:9	45:19, 58:4	160:20, 160:25		141:20, 141:22,
<b>110</b> [1] - 1:22	<b>2007</b> [8] - 57:4, 58:6,	160:20, 160:25 <b>34.5</b> [1] - 65:11	<b>8</b> [2] - 3:11, 154:13	147:6, 147:22,
<b>110</b> [1] - 1:22 <b>115</b> [1] - 3:8	<b>2007</b> [8] - 57:4, 58:6, 72:5, 145:6, 145:9,		<b>8</b> [2] - 3:11, 154:13 <b>80</b> [2] - 87:5, 106:1	147:6, 147:22, 153:1, 163:15,
<b>110</b> [1] - 1:22 <b>115</b> [1] - 3:8 <b>117</b> [1] - 3:9	<b>2007</b> [8] - 57:4, 58:6,	<b>34.5</b> [1] - 65:11		147:6, 147:22, 153:1, 163:15, 163:16
<b>110</b> [1] - 1:22 <b>115</b> [1] - 3:8 <b>117</b> [1] - 3:9 <b>11:40</b> [1] - 56:15	<b>2007</b> [8] - 57:4, 58:6, 72:5, 145:6, 145:9, 145:11, 145:12 <b>2008</b> [7] - 66:25,	<b>34.5</b> [1] - 65:11 <b>35</b> [3] - 43:4, 65:18,	<b>80</b> [2] - 87:5, 106:1	147:6, 147:22, 153:1, 163:15, 163:16 actions [1] - 96:18
<b>110</b> [1] - 1:22 <b>115</b> [1] - 3:8 <b>117</b> [1] - 3:9 <b>11:40</b> [1] - 56:15 <b>12</b> [3] - 8:25, 9:12,	<b>2007</b> [8] - 57:4, 58:6, 72:5, 145:6, 145:9, 145:11, 145:12 <b>2008</b> [7] - 66:25, 67:20, 67:25, 71:8,	<b>34.5</b> [1] - 65:11 <b>35</b> [3] - 43:4, 65:18, 160:25	<b>80</b> [2] - 87:5, 106:1 <b>82</b> [1] - 138:20	147:6, 147:22, 153:1, 163:15, 163:16 actions [1] - 96:18 activated [1] - 100:2
<b>110</b> [1] - 1:22 <b>115</b> [1] - 3:8 <b>117</b> [1] - 3:9 <b>11:40</b> [1] - 56:15 <b>12</b> [3] - 8:25, 9:12, 39:18	<b>2007</b> [8] - 57:4, 58:6, 72:5, 145:6, 145:9, 145:11, 145:12 <b>2008</b> [7] - 66:25, 67:20, 67:25, 71:8, 103:6, 145:12	<b>34.5</b> [1] - 65:11 <b>35</b> [3] - 43:4, 65:18, 160:25 <b>3:42</b> [1] - 155:23	80 [2] - 87:5, 106:1 82 [1] - 138:20 82501 [1] - 2:3	147:6, 147:22, 153:1, 163:15, 163:16 actions [1] - 96:18 activated [1] - 100:2 active [4] - 78:20,
<b>110</b> [1] - 1:22 <b>115</b> [1] - 3:8 <b>117</b> [1] - 3:9 <b>11:40</b> [1] - 56:15 <b>12</b> [3] - 8:25, 9:12, 39:18 <b>12-county</b> [1] - 39:17	<b>2007</b> [8] - 57:4, 58:6, 72:5, 145:6, 145:9, 145:11, 145:12 <b>2008</b> [7] - 66:25, 67:20, 67:25, 71:8,	<b>34.5</b> [1] - 65:11 <b>35</b> [3] - 43:4, 65:18, 160:25 <b>3:42</b> [1] - 155:23 <b>3:51</b> [2] - 162:10,	80 [2] - 87:5, 106:1 82 [1] - 138:20 82501 [1] - 2:3 829 [4] - 138:17,	147:6, 147:22, 153:1, 163:15, 163:16 actions [1] - 96:18 activated [1] - 100:2 active [4] - 78:20, 80:18, 83:1, 86:13
110 [1] - 1:22 115 [1] - 3:8 117 [1] - 3:9 11:40 [1] - 56:15 12 [3] - 8:25, 9:12, 39:18 12-county [1] - 39:17 1200 [1] - 156:24	<b>2007</b> [8] - 57:4, 58:6, 72:5, 145:6, 145:9, 145:11, 145:12 <b>2008</b> [7] - 66:25, 67:20, 67:25, 71:8, 103:6, 145:12	<b>34.5</b> [1] - 65:11 <b>35</b> [3] - 43:4, 65:18, 160:25 <b>3:42</b> [1] - 155:23 <b>3:51</b> [2] - 162:10, 162:12	80 [2] - 87:5, 106:1 82 [1] - 138:20 82501 [1] - 2:3 829 [4] - 138:17, 138:22, 149:9,	147:6, 147:22, 153:1, 163:15, 163:16 actions [1] - 96:18 activated [1] - 100:2 active [4] - 78:20,
<b>110</b> [1] - 1:22 <b>115</b> [1] - 3:8 <b>117</b> [1] - 3:9 <b>11:40</b> [1] - 56:15 <b>12</b> [3] - 8:25, 9:12, 39:18 <b>12-county</b> [1] - 39:17 <b>1200</b> [1] - 156:24 <b>1201</b> [1] - 5:12	<b>2007</b> [8] - 57:4, 58:6, 72:5, 145:6, 145:9, 145:11, 145:12 <b>2008</b> [7] - 66:25, 67:20, 67:25, 71:8, 103:6, 145:12 <b>2008 -7-9</b> [1] - 103:24	<b>34.5</b> [1] - 65:11 <b>35</b> [3] - 43:4, 65:18, 160:25 <b>3:42</b> [1] - 155:23 <b>3:51</b> [2] - 162:10,	80 [2] - 87:5, 106:1 82 [1] - 138:20 82501 [1] - 2:3 829 [4] - 138:17, 138:22, 149:9, 151:13	147:6, 147:22, 153:1, 163:15, 163:16 actions [1] - 96:18 activated [1] - 100:2 active [4] - 78:20, 80:18, 83:1, 86:13
<b>110</b> [1] - 1:22 <b>115</b> [1] - 3:8 <b>117</b> [1] - 3:9 <b>11:40</b> [1] - 56:15 <b>12</b> [3] - 8:25, 9:12, 39:18 <b>12-county</b> [1] - 39:17 <b>1200</b> [1] - 156:24	<b>2007</b> [8] - 57:4, 58:6, 72:5, 145:6, 145:9, 145:11, 145:12 <b>2008</b> [7] - 66:25, 67:20, 67:25, 71:8, 103:6, 145:12 <b>2008 -7-9</b> [1] - 103:24 <b>2009</b> [4] - 66:25,	<b>34.5</b> [1] - 65:11 <b>35</b> [3] - 43:4, 65:18, 160:25 <b>3:42</b> [1] - 155:23 <b>3:51</b> [2] - 162:10, 162:12	80 [2] - 87:5, 106:1 82 [1] - 138:20 82501 [1] - 2:3 829 [4] - 138:17, 138:22, 149:9, 151:13 86 [1] - 156:4	147:6, 147:22, 153:1, 163:15, 163:16 actions [1] - 96:18 activated [1] - 100:2 active [4] - 78:20, 80:18, 83:1, 86:13 actively [1] - 13:17

Case 1.17-cv-01	DOZ-CBK DOCUME	IL 173-1 Filed 10/1	0/18 Page 43 of 61	PagelD #. 2501
85:21,90:11	136:22	121:9	appreciated [1] -	<b>160:7, 163:14</b> 2
actual [4] - 130:6,	agree [10] - 80:9,	amount [6] - 46:4,	24:11	attorney -client [2] -
140:18, 160:10,	80:12, 94:3, 144:1,	59:23, 62:7, 120:24,	approach [1] - 152:21	159:6, 160:7
160:12	144:4, 144:6,	121:22, 124:24	approached [2] - 63:5,	attorneys [18] - 41:12,
acumen [1] - 11:18	151:18, 152:17,	amounts [1] - 124:12	63:14	41:25, 51:9, 51:20,
adamant [1] - 152:23	152:19, 153:3	analogy [1] - 41:2	appropriate [1] -	51:21, 51:23, 51:24,
add [2] - 24:25, 25:1	agreed [1] - 111:8	analysis [2] - 92:25,	101:4	55:13, 55:25, 82:3,
addition [3] - 23:1,	agreement [33] - 56:1,	113:9	approval [1] - 47:8	92:25, 120:16,
112:14, 142:25	73:16, 74:4, 76:25,	analyze [2] - 18:4,	approvals [1] - 55:19	120:20, 154:8,
address [4] - 5:11,	78:22, 92:10, 92:12,	125:9	approve [1] - 38:19	154:10, 155:2,
32:22, 156:24, 157:3	92:14, 103:12,	analyzing [1] - 18:6	approved [11] - 34:13,	158:16, 161:10
adjudicated [1] -	103:17, 104:12,	anchor [2] - 16:10,	47:17, 51:7, 61:2,	Attorneys [4] - 1:22,
144:18	116:22, 117:10,	23:6	143:20, 147:17,	2:3, 2:6, 2:9
adjudicating [1] -	118:9, 118:25,	anchored [1] - 32:14	148:3, 149:4,	attract [1] - 23:9
40:12	119:19, 120:13,	animosity [1] - 146:8	150:13, 151:14,	attractive [5] - 62:17,
adjudication [1] -	121:21, 126:1,	Ann [1] - 148:20	151:23	112:11, 114:14,
141:21	128:8, 128:9,	annual [3] - 23:2,	April [1] - 163:18	130:14, 130:20
adjudications [1] -	128:14, 128:18,	38:19, 67:2	area [6] - 34:23, 35:6,	audience [1] - 112:16
141:15	133:3, 136:20,	answer [8] - 88:6,	45:18, 46:25, 52:10,	audit [2] - 92:1, 98:8
adjust [1] - 48:20	137:13, 148:22,	88:7, 88:15, 89:1,	60:25	Austin [2] - 137:20,
administration [5] -	149:6, 153:7, 153:9,	89:11, 153:25,	areas [3] - 47:19,	140:3
22:6, 23:2, 146:4,	153:10, 153:22,	160:17	47:20, 127:21	authority [3] - 73:3,
146:6	153:23	answered [3] - 88:2,	Arizona [1] - 10:12	86:23, 98:5
admissible [2] - 89:3,	agreements [8] - 80:8,	88:3, 88:13	arms [1] - 52:6	authorization [3] -
89:9	80:11, 102:10,	answering [1] -	Arnold [1] - 23:25	72:15, 73:2, 75:12
advantage [6] - 25:20,	103:4, 104:17,	160:12	Articles [1] - 71:23	authorized [1] - 84:22
29:1, 41:8, 60:8,	120:16, 136:19,	answers [5] - 6:13,	Ashenberg [9] - 3:12,	automatic [2] - 87:6,
62:22, 64:21	137:10	7:1, 7:3, 7:22, 8:3	154:22, 154:23,	90:2
advertise [1] - 19:2	agricultural [2] -	Answers [1] - 157:11	155:11, 156:14,	automatically [1] -
advice [1] - 83:11	18:16, 24:13	anticipated [1] - 48:3	160:1, 160:4,	89:22
advise [5] - 78:5, 78:8,	Agriculture [3] - 27:9,			
auvise [5] - 70.5, 70.0,	-	anxiety [1] - 141:13	160:20, 160:24	available [1] - 107:3
78:9, 79:25, 152:21	39:19, 44:12	anxiety [1] - 141:13 anyway [2] - 126:21,	160:20, 160:24 Ashenberger [1] -	<b>Avenue</b> [1] - 107:3
•• • •	-			
78:9, 79:25, 152:21	39:19, 44:12	anyway [2] - 126:21,	Ashenberger [1] -	Avenue [1] - 1:22
78:9, 79:25, 152:21 advised [5] - 32:3,	39:19, 44:12 agriculture [2] - 48:8, 49:11 ahead [11] - 88:4,	anyway [2] - 126:21, 135:23	Ashenberger [1] - 154 :20	Avenue [1] - 1:22 aware [3] - 73:14,
78:9, 79:25, 152:21 advised [5] - 32:3, 55:18, 109:17,	39:19, 44:12 <b>agriculture</b> [2] - 48:8, 49:11 <b>ahead</b> [11] - 88:4, 88:7, 89:1, 91:8,	anyway [2] - 126:21, 135:23 apart [1] - 87:24	Ashenberger [1] - 154:20 Asia [1] - 70:14	Avenue [1] - 1:22 aware [3] - 73:14,
78:9, 79:25, 152:21 advised [5] - 32:3, 55:18, 109:17, 148:18, 149:2	39:19, 44:12 agriculture [2] - 48:8, 49:11 ahead [11] - 88:4,	anyway [2] - 126:21, 135:23 apart [1] - 87:24 apartment [2] -	Ashenberger [1] - 154:20 Asia [1] - 70:14 aside [1] - 83:1	Avenue [1] - 1:22 aware [3] - 73:14, 96:23, 141:15 B
78:9, 79:25, 152:21 advised [5] - 32:3, 55:18, 109:17, 148:18, 149:2 advisor [2] - 29:24	39:19, 44:12 <b>agriculture</b> [2] - 48:8, 49:11 <b>ahead</b> [11] - 88:4, 88:7, 89:1, 91:8, 101:15, 134:21, 144:2, 151:19,	anyway [2] - 126:21, 135:23 apart [1] - 87:24 apartment [2] - 106:12, 106:14	Ashenberger [1] - 154:20 Asia [1] - 70:14 aside [1] - 83:1 aspect [2] - 59:9,	Avenue [1] - 1:22 aware [3] - 73:14, 96:23, 141:15 B B-E-R-E-Z [2] - 30:10,
78:9, 79:25, 152:21 advised [5] - 32:3, 55:18, 109:17, 148:18, 149:2 advisor [2] - 29:24 affects [4] - 140:11,	39:19, 44:12 <b>agriculture</b> [2] - 48:8, 49:11 <b>ahead</b> [11] - 88:4, 88:7, 89:1, 91:8, 101:15, 134:21, 144:2, 151:19, 158:8, 159:8, 160:16	anyway [2] - 126:21, 135:23 apart [1] - 87:24 apartment [2] - 106:12, 106:14 APPEARANCES [1] -	Ashenberger [1] - 154:20 Asia [1] - 70:14 aside [1] - 83:1 aspect [2] - 59:9, 131:17	Avenue [1] - 1:22 aware [3] - 73:14, 96:23, 141:15 B B-E-R-E-Z [2] - 30:10, 30:11
78:9, 79:25, 152:21 advised [5] - 32:3, 55:18, 109:17, 148:18, 149:2 advisor [2] - 29:24 affects [4] - 140:11, 140:12, 140:13,	39:19, 44:12 <b>agriculture</b> [2] - 48:8, 49:11 <b>ahead</b> [11] - 88:4, 88:7, 89:1, 91:8, 101:15, 134:21, 144:2, 151:19, 158:8, 159:8, 160:16 <b>Alabama</b> [1] - 110:20	anyway [2] - 126:21, 135:23 apart [1] - 87:24 apartment [2] - 106:12, 106:14 APPEARANCES [1] - 2:1	Ashenberger [1] - 154:20 Asia [1] - 70:14 aside [1] - 83:1 aspect [2] - 59:9, 131:17 aspects [1] - 69:25	Avenue [1] - 1:22 aware [3] - 73:14, 96:23, 141:15 B B-E-R-E-Z [2] - 30:10, 30:11 Bachand [1] - 43:4
78:9, 79:25, 152:21 advised [5] - 32:3, 55:18, 109:17, 148:18, 149:2 advisor [2] - 29:24 affects [4] - 140:11, 140:12, 140:13, 140:14	39:19, 44:12 <b>agriculture</b> [2] - 48:8, 49:11 <b>ahead</b> [11] - 88:4, 88:7, 89:1, 91:8, 101:15, 134:21, 144:2, 151:19, 158:8, 159:8, 160:16	anyway [2] - 126:21, 135:23 apart [1] - 87:24 apartment [2] - 106:12, 106:14 APPEARANCES [1] - 2:1 appearing [4] - 1:23, 2:4, 2:7, 2:10	Ashenberger [1] - 154:20 Asia [1] - 70:14 aside [1] - 83:1 aspect [2] - 59:9, 131:17 aspects [1] - 69:25 asset [1] - 158:18	Avenue [1] - 1:22 aware [3] - 73:14, 96:23, 141:15 B B-E-R-E-Z [2] - 30:10, 30:11 Bachand [1] - 43:4 background [2] -
78:9, 79:25, 152:21 advised [5] - 32:3, 55:18, 109:17, 148:18, 149:2 advisor [2] - 29:24 affects [4] - 140:11, 140:12, 140:13, 140:14 afternoon [1] - 56:17	39:19, 44:12 <b>agriculture</b> [2] - 48:8, 49:11 <b>ahead</b> [11] - 88:4, 88:7, 89:1, 91:8, 101:15, 134:21, 144:2, 151:19, 158:8, 159:8, 160:16 <b>Alabama</b> [1] - 110:20 <b>alarm</b> [1] - 97:2 <b>alert</b> [1] - 120:4	anyway [2] - 126:21, 135:23 apart [1] - 87:24 apartment [2] - 106:12, 106:14 APPEARANCES [1] - 2:1 appearing [4] - 1:23,	Ashenberger [1] - 154:20 Asia [1] - 70:14 aside [1] - 83:1 aspect [2] - 59:9, 131:17 aspects [1] - 69:25 asset [1] - 158:18 assigned [3] - 92:17,	Avenue [1] - 1:22 aware [3] - 73:14, 96:23, 141:15 B-E-R-E-Z [2] - 30:10, 30:11 Bachand [1] - 43:4 background [2] - 8:12, 87:3
78:9, 79:25, 152:21 advised [5] - 32:3, 55:18, 109:17, 148:18, 149:2 advisor [2] - 29:24 affects [4] - 140:11, 140:12, 140:13, 140:14 afternoon [1] - 56:17 Ag [1] - 60:19	39:19, 44:12 <b>agriculture</b> [2] - 48:8, 49:11 <b>ahead</b> [11] - 88:4, 88:7, 89:1, 91:8, 101:15, 134:21, 144:2, 151:19, 158:8, 159:8, 160:16 <b>Alabama</b> [1] - 110:20 <b>alarm</b> [1] - 97:2 <b>alert</b> [1] - 120:4 <b>alien</b> [2] - 79:20, 82:12	anyway [2] - 126:21, 135:23 apart [1] - 87:24 apartment [2] - 106:12, 106:14 APPEARANCES [1] - 2:1 appearing [4] - 1:23, 2:4, 2:7, 2:10 application [7] -	Ashenberger [1] - 154:20 Asia [1] - 70:14 aside [1] - 83:1 aspect [2] - 59:9, 131:17 aspects [1] - 69:25 asset [1] - 158:18 assigned [3] - 92:17, 97:25	Avenue [1] - 1:22 aware [3] - 73:14, 96:23, 141:15 B-E-R-E-Z [2] - 30:10, 30:11 Bachand [1] - 43:4 background [2] - 8:12, 87:3 backtrack [1] - 52:3
78:9, 79:25, 152:21 advised [5] - 32:3, 55:18, 109:17, 148:18, 149:2 advisor [2] - 29:24 affects [4] - 140:11, 140:12, 140:13, 140:14 afternoon [1] - 56:17 Ag [1] - 60:19 age [1] - 8:25	39:19, 44:12 <b>agriculture</b> [2] - 48:8, 49:11 <b>ahead</b> [11] - 88:4, 88:7, 89:1, 91:8, 101:15, 134:21, 144:2, 151:19, 158:8, 159:8, 160:16 <b>Alabama</b> [1] - 110:20 <b>alarm</b> [1] - 97:2 <b>alert</b> [1] - 120:4 <b>alien</b> [2] - 79:20, 82:12 <b>align</b> [2] - 54:9, 59:3	anyway [2] - 126:21, 135:23 apart [1] - 87:24 apartment [2] - 106:12, 106:14 APPEARANCES [1] - 2:1 appearing [4] - 1:23, 2:4, 2:7, 2:10 application [7] - 32:12, 32:18,	Ashenberger [1] - 154:20 Asia [1] - 70:14 aside [1] - 83:1 aspect [2] - 59:9, 131:17 aspects [1] - 69:25 asset [1] - 158:18 assigned [3] - 92:17, 97:25 assignment [1] -	Avenue [1] - 1:22 aware [3] - 73:14, 96:23, 141:15 B-E-R-E-Z [2] - 30:10, 30:11 Bachand [1] - 43:4 background [2] - 8:12, 87:3 backtrack [1] - 52:3 Bank [2] - 61:18,
78:9, 79:25, 152:21 advised [5] - 32:3, 55:18, 109:17, 148:18, 149:2 advisor [2] - 29:24 affects [4] - 140:11, 140:12, 140:13, 140:14 afternoon [1] - 56:17 Ag [1] - 60:19 age [1] - 8:25 agencies [2] - 74:22,	39:19, 44:12 <b>agriculture</b> [2] - 48:8, 49:11 <b>ahead</b> [11] - 88:4, 88:7, 89:1, 91:8, 101:15, 134:21, 144:2, 151:19, 158:8, 159:8, 160:16 <b>Alabama</b> [1] - 110:20 <b>alarm</b> [1] - 97:2 <b>alert</b> [1] - 120:4 <b>alien</b> [2] - 79:20, 82:12 <b>align</b> [2] - 54:9, 59:3 <b>allocation</b> [2] - 38:20,	anyway [2] - 126:21, 135:23 apart [1] - 87:24 apartment [2] - 106:12, 106:14 APPEARANCES [1] - 2:1 appearing [4] - 1:23, 2:4, 2:7, 2:10 application [7] - 32:12, 32:18, 137:12, 137:15,	Ashenberger [1] - 154:20 Asia [1] - 70:14 aside [1] - 83:1 aspect [2] - 59:9, 131:17 aspects [1] - 69:25 asset [1] - 158:18 assigned [3] - 92:17, 97:25 assignment [1] - 10:23	Avenue [1] - 1:22 aware [3] - 73:14, 96:23, 141:15 B-E-R-E-Z [2] - 30:10, 30:11 Bachand [1] - 43:4 background [2] - 8:12, 87:3 backtrack [1] - 52:3 Bank [2] - 61:18, 69:13
78:9, 79:25, 152:21 advised [5] - 32:3, 55:18, 109:17, 148:18, 149:2 advisor [2] - 29:24 affects [4] - 140:11, 140:12, 140:13, 140:14 afternoon [1] - 56:17 Ag [1] - 60:19 age [1] - 8:25 agencies [2] - 74:22, 74:23	39:19, 44:12 <b>agriculture</b> [2] - 48:8, 49:11 <b>ahead</b> [11] - 88:4, 88:7, 89:1, 91:8, 101:15, 134:21, 144:2, 151:19, 158:8, 159:8, 160:16 <b>Alabama</b> [1] - 110:20 <b>alarm</b> [1] - 97:2 <b>alert</b> [1] - 120:4 <b>alien</b> [2] - 79:20, 82:12 <b>align</b> [2] - 54:9, 59:3 <b>allocation</b> [2] - 38:20, 38:21	anyway [2] - 126:21, 135:23 apart [1] - 87:24 apartment [2] - 106:12, 106:14 APPEARANCES [1] - 2:1 appearing [4] - 1:23, 2:4, 2:7, 2:10 application [7] - 32:12, 32:18, 137:12, 137:15, 137:16, 137:23,	Ashenberger [1] - 154:20 Asia [1] - 70:14 aside [1] - 83:1 aspect [2] - 59:9, 131:17 aspects [1] - 69:25 asset [1] - 158:18 assigned [3] - 92:17, 97:25 assignment [1] - 10:23 assist [2] - 16:11,	Avenue [1] - 1:22 aware [3] - 73:14, 96:23, 141:15 B-E-R-E-Z [2] - 30:10, 30:11 Bachand [1] - 43:4 background [2] - 8:12, 87:3 backtrack [1] - 52:3 Bank [2] - 61:18, 69:13 bank [17] - 12:3, 12:7,
78:9, 79:25, 152:21 advised [5] - 32:3, 55:18, 109:17, 148:18, 149:2 advisor [2] - 29:24 affects [4] - 140:11, 140:12, 140:13, 140:14 afternoon [1] - 56:17 Ag [1] - 60:19 age [1] - 8:25 agencies [2] - 74:22, 74:23 agency [1] - 113:7	39:19, 44:12 <b>agriculture</b> [2] - 48:8, 49:11 <b>ahead</b> [11] - 88:4, 88:7, 89:1, 91:8, 101:15, 134:21, 144:2, 151:19, 158:8, 159:8, 160:16 <b>Alabama</b> [1] - 110:20 <b>alarm</b> [1] - 97:2 <b>alert</b> [1] - 120:4 <b>alien</b> [2] - 79:20, 82:12 <b>align</b> [2] - 54:9, 59:3 <b>allocation</b> [2] - 38:20, 38:21 <b>allocati ons</b> [1] - 38:5	anyway [2] - 126:21, 135:23 apart [1] - 87:24 apartment [2] - 106:12, 106:14 APPEARANCES [1] - 2:1 appearing [4] - 1:23, 2:4, 2:7, 2:10 application [7] - 32:12, 32:18, 137:12, 137:15, 137:16, 137:23, 138:22	Ashenberger [1] - 154:20 Asia [1] - 70:14 aside [1] - 83:1 aspect [2] - 59:9, 131:17 aspects [1] - 69:25 asset [1] - 158:18 assigned [3] - 92:17, 97:25 assignment [1] - 10:23 assist [2] - 16:11, 20:13	Avenue [1] - 1:22 aware [3] - 73:14, 96:23, 141:15 B-E-R-E-Z [2] - 30:10, 30:11 Bachand [1] - 43:4 background [2] - 8:12, 87:3 backtrack [1] - 52:3 Bank [2] - 61:18, 69:13 bank [17] - 12:3, 12:7, 21:18, 41:14, 61:9,
78:9, 79:25, 152:21 advised [5] - 32:3, 55:18, 109:17, 148:18, 149:2 advisor [2] - 29:24 affects [4] - 140:11, 140:12, 140:13, 140:14 afternoon [1] - 56:17 Ag [1] - 60:19 age [1] - 8:25 agencies [2] - 74:22, 74:23 agency [1] - 113:7 agenda [1] - 39:21	39:19, 44:12 <b>agriculture</b> [2] - 48:8, 49:11 <b>ahead</b> [11] - 88:4, 88:7, 89:1, 91:8, 101:15, 134:21, 144:2, 151:19, 158:8, 159:8, 160:16 <b>Alabama</b> [1] - 110:20 <b>alarm</b> [1] - 97:2 <b>alert</b> [1] - 120:4 <b>alien</b> [2] - 79:20, 82:12 <b>align</b> [2] - 54:9, 59:3 <b>allocation</b> [2] - 38:20, 38:21 <b>allocati ons</b> [1] - 38:5 <b>allowed</b> [3] - 64:19,	anyway [2] - 126:21, 135:23 apart [1] - 87:24 apartment [2] - 106:12, 106:14 APPEARANCES [1] - 2:1 appearing [4] - 1:23, 2:4, 2:7, 2:10 application [7] - 32:12, 32:18, 137:12, 137:15, 137:16, 137:23, 138:22 applications [5] -	Ashenberger [1] - 154:20 Asia [1] - 70:14 aside [1] - 83:1 aspect [2] - 59:9, 131:17 aspects [1] - 69:25 asset [1] - 158:18 assigned [3] - 92:17, 97:25 assignment [1] - 10:23 assist [2] - 16:11, 20:13 assume [4] - 138:4,	Avenue [1] - 1:22 aware [3] - 73:14, 96:23, 141:15 B-E-R-E-Z [2] - 30:10, 30:11 Bachand [1] - 43:4 background [2] - 8:12, 87:3 backtrack [1] - 52:3 Bank [2] - 61:18, 69:13 bank [17] - 12:3, 12:7, 21:18, 41:14, 61:9, 61:16, 61:23, 68:7,
78:9, 79:25, 152:21 advised [5] - 32:3, 55:18, 109:17, 148:18, 149:2 advisor [2] - 29:24 affects [4] - 140:11, 140:12, 140:13, 140:14 afternoon [1] - 56:17 Ag [1] - 60:19 age [1] - 8:25 agencies [2] - 74:22, 74:23 agency [1] - 113:7 agenda [1] - 39:21 agent [9] - 72:21,	39:19, 44:12 <b>agriculture</b> [2] - 48:8, 49:11 <b>ahead</b> [11] - 88:4, 88:7, 89:1, 91:8, 101:15, 134:21, 144:2, 151:19, 158:8, 159:8, 160:16 <b>Alabama</b> [1] - 110:20 <b>alarm</b> [1] - 97:2 <b>alert</b> [1] - 120:4 <b>alien</b> [2] - 79:20, 82:12 <b>align</b> [2] - 54:9, 59:3 <b>allocation</b> [2] - 38:20, 38:21 <b>allocati ons</b> [1] - 38:5	anyway [2] - 126:21, 135:23 apart [1] - 87:24 apartment [2] - 106:12, 106:14 APPEARANCES [1] - 2:1 appearing [4] - 1:23, 2:4, 2:7, 2:10 application [7] - 32:12, 32:18, 137:12, 137:15, 137:16, 137:23, 138:22 applications [5] - 138:9, 138:12,	Ashenberger [1] - 154:20 Asia [1] - 70:14 aside [1] - 83:1 aspect [2] - 59:9, 131:17 aspects [1] - 69:25 asset [1] - 158:18 assigned [3] - 92:17, 97:25 assignment [1] - 10:23 assist [2] - 16:11, 20:13 assume [4] - 138:4, 138:5, 138:6, 147:20	Avenue [1] - 1:22 aware [3] - 73:14, 96:23, 141:15 B-E-R-E-Z [2] - 30:10, 30:11 Bachand [1] - 43:4 background [2] - 8:12, 87:3 backtrack [1] - 52:3 Bank [2] - 61:18, 69:13 bank [17] - 12:3, 12:7, 21:18, 41:14, 61:9, 61:16, 61:23, 68:7, 68:16, 69:7, 69:8,
78:9, 79:25, 152:21 advised [5] - 32:3, 55:18, 109:17, 148:18, 149:2 advisor [2] - 29:24 affects [4] - 140:11, 140:12, 140:13, 140:14 afternoon [1] - 56:17 Ag [1] - 60:19 age [1] - 8:25 agencies [2] - 74:22, 74:23 agency [1] - 113:7 agenda [1] - 39:21 agent [9] - 72:21, 73:18, 74:1, 96:8,	39:19, 44:12 <b>agriculture</b> [2] - 48:8, 49:11 <b>ahead</b> [11] - 88:4, 88:7, 89:1, 91:8, 101:15, 134:21, 144:2, 151:19, 158:8, 159:8, 160:16 <b>Alabama</b> [1] - 110:20 <b>alarm</b> [1] - 97:2 <b>alert</b> [1] - 120:4 <b>alien</b> [2] - 79:20, 82:12 <b>align</b> [2] - 54:9, 59:3 <b>allocation</b> [2] - 38:20, 38:21 <b>allocati ons</b> [1] - 38:5 <b>allowed</b> [3] - 64:19, 64:20, 144:23 <b>allows</b> [3] - 47:6,	anyway [2] - 126:21, 135:23 apart [1] - 87:24 apartment [2] - 106:12, 106:14 APPEARANCES [1] - 2:1 appearing [4] - 1:23, 2:4, 2:7, 2:10 application [7] - 32:12, 32:18, 137:12, 137:15, 137:16, 137:23, 138:22 applications [5] - 138:9, 138:12, 138:19, 149:9,	Ashenberger [1] - 154:20 Asia [1] - 70:14 aside [1] - 83:1 aspect [2] - 59:9, 131:17 aspects [1] - 69:25 asset [1] - 158:18 assigned [3] - 92:17, 97:25 assignment [1] - 10:23 assist [2] - 16:11, 20:13 assume [4] - 138:4, 138:5, 138:6, 147:20 assuming [1] - 50:3	Avenue [1] - 1:22 aware [3] - 73:14, 96:23, 141:15 B-E-R-E-Z [2] - 30:10, 30:11 Bachand [1] - 43:4 background [2] - 8:12, 87:3 backtrack [1] - 52:3 Bank [2] - 61:18, 69:13 bank [17] - 12:3, 12:7, 21:18, 41:14, 61:9, 61:16, 61:23, 68:7, 68:16, 69:7, 69:8, 111:6, 111:7, 113:1,
78:9, 79:25, 152:21 advised [5] - 32:3, 55:18, 109:17, 148:18, 149:2 advisor [2] - 29:24 affects [4] - 140:11, 140:12, 140:13, 140:14 afternoon [1] - 56:17 Ag [1] - 60:19 age [1] - 8:25 agencies [2] - 74:22, 74:23 agency [1] - 113:7 agenda [1] - 39:21 agent [9] - 72:21, 73:18, 74:1, 96:8, 111:16, 111:17,	39:19, 44:12 <b>agriculture</b> [2] - 48:8, 49:11 <b>ahead</b> [11] - 88:4, 88:7, 89:1, 91:8, 101:15, 134:21, 144:2, 151:19, 158:8, 159:8, 160:16 <b>Alabama</b> [1] - 110:20 <b>alarm</b> [1] - 97:2 <b>alert</b> [1] - 120:4 <b>alien</b> [2] - 79:20, 82:12 <b>align</b> [2] - 54:9, 59:3 <b>allocation</b> [2] - 38:20, 38:21 <b>allocati ons</b> [1] - 38:5 <b>allowed</b> [3] - 64:19, 64:20, 144:23 <b>allows</b> [3] - 47:6, 47:12, 136:9	anyway [2] - 126:21, 135:23 apart [1] - 87:24 apartment [2] - 106:12, 106:14 APPEARANCES [1] - 2:1 appearing [4] - 1:23, 2:4, 2:7, 2:10 application [7] - 32:12, 32:18, 137:12, 137:15, 137:16, 137:23, 138:22 applications [5] - 138:9, 138:12, 138:19, 149:9, 151:13	Ashenberger [1] - 154:20 Asia [1] - 70:14 aside [1] - 83:1 aspect [2] - 59:9, 131:17 aspects [1] - 69:25 asset [1] - 158:18 assigned [3] - 92:17, 97:25 assignment [1] - 10:23 assist [2] - 16:11, 20:13 assume [4] - 138:4, 138:5, 138:6, 147:20 assuming [1] - 50:3 Atlanta [12] - 11:21,	Avenue [1] - 1:22 aware [3] - 73:14, 96:23, 141:15 <b>B</b> <b>B-E-R-E-Z</b> [2] - 30:10, 30:11 <b>Bachand</b> [1] - 43:4 <b>background</b> [2] - 8:12, 87:3 <b>backtrack</b> [1] - 52:3 <b>Bank</b> [2] - 61:18, 69:13 <b>bank</b> [17] - 12:3, 12:7, 21:18, 41:14, 61:9, 61:16, 61:23, 68:7, 68:16, 69:7, 69:8, 111:6, 111:7, 113:1, 113:5, 127:15
78:9, 79:25, 152:21 advised [5] - 32:3, 55:18, 109:17, 148:18, 149:2 advisor [2] - 29:24 affects [4] - 140:11, 140:12, 140:13, 140:14 afternoon [1] - 56:17 Ag [1] - 60:19 age [1] - 8:25 agencies [2] - 74:22, 74:23 agency [1] - 113:7 agenda [1] - 39:21 agent [9] - 72:21, 73:18, 74:1, 96:8, 111:16, 111:17, 125:12, 134:11,	39:19, 44:12 <b>agriculture</b> [2] - 48:8, 49:11 <b>ahead</b> [11] - 88:4, 88:7, 89:1, 91:8, 101:15, 134:21, 144:2, 151:19, 158:8, 159:8, 160:16 <b>Alabama</b> [1] - 110:20 <b>alarm</b> [1] - 97:2 <b>alert</b> [1] - 120:4 <b>alien</b> [2] - 79:20, 82:12 <b>align</b> [2] - 54:9, 59:3 <b>allocation</b> [2] - 38:20, 38:21 <b>allocati ons</b> [1] - 38:5 <b>allowed</b> [3] - 64:19, 64:20, 144:23 <b>allows</b> [3] - 47:6, 47:12, 136:9 <b>almost</b> [1] - 38:24	anyway [2] - 126:21, 135:23 apart [1] - 87:24 apartment [2] - 106:12, 106:14 APPEARANCES [1] - 2:1 appearing [4] - 1:23, 2:4, 2:7, 2:10 application [7] - 32:12, 32:18, 137:12, 137:15, 137:16, 137:23, 138:22 applications [5] - 138:9, 138:12, 138:19, 149:9, 151:13 applied [3] - 31:25,	Ashenberger [1] - 154:20 Asia [1] - 70:14 aside [1] - 83:1 aspect [2] - 59:9, 131:17 aspects [1] - 69:25 asset [1] - 158:18 assigned [3] - 92:17, 97:25 assignment [1] - 10:23 assist [2] - 16:11, 20:13 assume [4] - 138:4, 138:5, 138:6, 147:20 assuming [1] - 50:3 Atlanta [12] - 11:21, 11:22, 11:23, 11:25,	Avenue [1] - 1:22 aware [3] - 73:14, 96:23, 141:15 B B-E-R-E-Z [2] - 30:10, 30:11 Bachand [1] - 43:4 background [2] - 8:12, 87:3 backtrack [1] - 52:3 Bank [2] - 61:18, 69:13 bank [17] - 12:3, 12:7, 21:18, 41:14, 61:9, 61:16, 61:23, 68:7, 68:16, 69:7, 69:8, 111:6, 111:7, 113:1, 113:5, 127:15 banks [6] - 21:18,
78:9, 79:25, 152:21 advised [5] - 32:3, 55:18, 109:17, 148:18, 149:2 advisor [2] - 29:24 affects [4] - 140:11, 140:12, 140:13, 140:14 afternoon [1] - 56:17 Ag [1] - 60:19 age [1] - 8:25 agencies [2] - 74:22, 74:23 agency [1] - 113:7 agenda [1] - 39:21 agent [9] - 72:21, 73:18, 74:1, 96:8, 111:16, 111:17, 125:12, 134:11, 134:12	39:19, 44:12 <b>agriculture</b> [2] - 48:8, 49:11 <b>ahead</b> [11] - 88:4, 88:7, 89:1, 91:8, 101:15, 134:21, 144:2, 151:19, 158:8, 159:8, 160:16 <b>Alabama</b> [1] - 110:20 <b>alarm</b> [1] - 97:2 <b>alert</b> [1] - 120:4 <b>alien</b> [2] - 79:20, 82:12 <b>align</b> [2] - 54:9, 59:3 <b>allocation</b> [2] - 38:20, 38:21 <b>allocati ons</b> [1] - 38:5 <b>allowed</b> [3] - 64:19, 64:20, 144:23 <b>allows</b> [3] - 47:6, 47:12, 136:9 <b>almost</b> [1] - 38:24 <b>alone</b> [1] - 9:23	anyway [2] - 126:21, 135:23 apart [1] - 87:24 apartment [2] - 106:12, 106:14 APPEARANCES [1] - 2:1 appearing [4] - 1:23, 2:4, 2:7, 2:10 application [7] - 32:12, 32:18, 137:12, 137:15, 137:16, 137:23, 138:22 applications [5] - 138:9, 138:12, 138:19, 149:9, 151:13 applied [3] - 31:25, 32:2, 143:15	Ashenberger [1] - 154:20 Asia [1] - 70:14 aside [1] - 83:1 aspect [2] - 59:9, 131:17 aspects [1] - 69:25 asset [1] - 158:18 assigned [3] - 92:17, 97:25 assignment [1] - 10:23 assist [2] - 16:11, 20:13 assume [4] - 138:4, 138:5, 138:6, 147:20 assuming [1] - 50:3 Atlanta [12] - 11:21, 11:22, 11:23, 11:25, 12:1, 12:5, 12:6,	Avenue [1] - 1:22 aware [3] - 73:14, 96:23, 141:15 B B-E-R-E-Z [2] - 30:10, 30:11 Bachand [1] - 43:4 background [2] - 8:12, 87:3 backtrack [1] - 52:3 Bank [2] - 61:18, 69:13 bank [17] - 12:3, 12:7, 21:18, 41:14, 61:9, 61:16, 61:23, 68:7, 68:16, 69:7, 69:8, 111:6, 111:7, 113:1, 113:5, 127:15 banks [6] - 21:18, 61:11, 61:14, 69:1,
78:9, 79:25, 152:21 advised [5] - 32:3, 55:18, 109:17, 148:18, 149:2 advisor [2] - 29:24 affects [4] - 140:11, 140:12, 140:13, 140:14 afternoon [1] - 56:17 Ag [1] - 60:19 age [1] - 8:25 agencies [2] - 74:22, 74:23 agency [1] - 113:7 agenda [1] - 39:21 agent [9] - 72:21, 73:18, 74:1, 96:8, 111:16, 111:17, 125:12, 134:11, 134:12 agents [16] - 64:3,	39:19, 44:12 <b>agriculture</b> [2] - 48:8, 49:11 <b>ahead</b> [11] - 88:4, 88:7, 89:1, 91:8, 101:15, 134:21, 144:2, 151:19, 158:8, 159:8, 160:16 <b>Alabama</b> [1] - 110:20 <b>alarm</b> [1] - 97:2 <b>alert</b> [1] - 120:4 <b>alien</b> [2] - 79:20, 82:12 <b>align</b> [2] - 54:9, 59:3 <b>allocation</b> [2] - 38:20, 38:21 <b>allocati ons</b> [1] - 38:5 <b>allowed</b> [3] - 64:19, 64:20, 144:23 <b>allows</b> [3] - 47:6, 47:12, 136:9 <b>almost</b> [1] - 38:24	anyway [2] - 126:21, 135:23 apart [1] - 87:24 apartment [2] - 106:12, 106:14 APPEARANCES [1] - 2:1 appearing [4] - 1:23, 2:4, 2:7, 2:10 application [7] - 32:12, 32:18, 137:12, 137:15, 137:16, 137:23, 138:22 applications [5] - 138:9, 138:12, 138:19, 149:9, 151:13 applied [3] - 31:25, 32:2, 143:15 apply [12] - 11:5, 15:3,	Ashenberger [1] - 154:20 Asia [1] - 70:14 aside [1] - 83:1 aspect [2] - 59:9, 131:17 aspects [1] - 69:25 asset [1] - 158:18 assigned [3] - 92:17, 97:25 assignment [1] - 10:23 assist [2] - 16:11, 20:13 assume [4] - 138:4, 138:5, 138:6, 147:20 assuming [1] - 50:3 Atlanta [12] - 11:21, 11:22, 11:23, 11:25, 12:1, 12:5, 12:6, 13:7, 13:19, 14:12,	Avenue [1] - 1:22 aware [3] - 73:14, 96:23, 141:15 B B-E-R-E-Z [2] - 30:10, 30:11 Bachand [1] - 43:4 background [2] - 8:12, 87:3 backtrack [1] - 52:3 Bank [2] - 61:18, 69:13 bank [17] - 12:3, 12:7, 21:18, 41:14, 61:9, 61:16, 61:23, 68:7, 68:16, 69:7, 69:8, 111:6, 111:7, 113:1, 113:5, 127:15 banks [6] - 21:18, 61:11, 61:14, 69:1, 69:2, 82:3
78:9, 79:25, 152:21 advised [5] - 32:3, 55:18, 109:17, 148:18, 149:2 advisor [2] - 29:24 affects [4] - 140:11, 140:12, 140:13, 140:14 afternoon [1] - 56:17 Ag [1] - 60:19 age [1] - 8:25 agencies [2] - 74:22, 74:23 agent [1] - 113:7 agenda [1] - 39:21 agent [9] - 72:21, 73:18, 74:1, 96:8, 111:16, 111:17, 125:12, 134:11, 134:12 agents [16] - 64:3, 64:6, 73:12, 75:8,	39:19, 44:12 <b>agriculture</b> [2] - 48:8, 49:11 <b>ahead</b> [11] - 88:4, 88:7, 89:1, 91:8, 101:15, 134:21, 144:2, 151:19, 158:8, 159:8, 160:16 <b>Alabama</b> [1] - 110:20 <b>alarm</b> [1] - 97:2 <b>alert</b> [1] - 120:4 <b>alien</b> [2] - 79:20, 82:12 <b>align</b> [2] - 54:9, 59:3 <b>allocation</b> [2] - 38:20, 38:21 <b>allocati ons</b> [1] - 38:5 <b>allowed</b> [3] - 64:19, 64:20, 144:23 <b>allows</b> [3] - 47:6, 47:12, 136:9 <b>almost</b> [1] - 38:24 <b>alone</b> [1] - 9:23	anyway [2] - 126:21, 135:23 apart [1] - 87:24 apartment [2] - 106:12, 106:14 APPEARANCES [1] - 2:1 appearing [4] - 1:23, 2:4, 2:7, 2:10 application [7] - 32:12, 32:18, 137:12, 137:15, 137:16, 137:23, 138:22 applications [5] - 138:9, 138:12, 138:19, 149:9, 151:13 applied [3] - 31:25, 32:2, 143:15 apply [12] - 11:5, 15:3, 15:5, 16:23, 32:9,	Ashenberger [1] - 154:20 Asia [1] - 70:14 aside [1] - 83:1 aspect [2] - 59:9, 131:17 aspects [1] - 69:25 asset [1] - 158:18 assigned [3] - 92:17, 97:25 assignment [1] - 10:23 assist [2] - 16:11, 20:13 assume [4] - 138:4, 138:5, 138:6, 147:20 assuming [1] - 50:3 Atlanta [12] - 11:21, 11:22, 11:23, 11:25, 12:1, 12:5, 12:6, 13:7, 13:19, 14:12, 106:13, 106:14	Avenue [1] - 1:22 aware [3] - 73:14, 96:23, 141:15 B B-E-R-E-Z [2] - 30:10, 30:11 Bachand [1] - 43:4 background [2] - 8:12, 87:3 backtrack [1] - 52:3 Bank [2] - 61:18, 69:13 bank [17] - 12:3, 12:7, 21:18, 41:14, 61:9, 61:16, 61:23, 68:7, 68:16, 69:7, 69:8, 111:6, 111:7, 113:1, 113:5, 127:15 banks [6] - 21:18, 61:11, 61:14, 69:1, 69:2, 82:3 BARNETT [1] - 2:9
78:9, 79:25, 152:21 advised [5] - 32:3, 55:18, 109:17, 148:18, 149:2 advisor [2] - 29:24 affects [4] - 140:11, 140:12, 140:13, 140:14 afternoon [1] - 56:17 Ag [1] - 60:19 age [1] - 8:25 agencies [2] - 74:22, 74:23 agency [1] - 113:7 agenda [1] - 39:21 agent [9] - 72:21, 73:18, 74:1, 96:8, 111:16, 111:17, 125:12, 134:11, 134:12 agents [16] - 64:3, 64:6, 73:12, 75:8, 82:3, 103:7, 105:18,	39:19, 44:12 agriculture [2] - 48:8, 49:11 ahead [11] - 88:4, 88:7, 89:1, 91:8, 101:15, 134:21, 144:2, 151:19, 158:8, 159:8, 160:16 Alabama [1] - 110:20 alarm [1] - 97:2 alert [1] - 120:4 alien [2] - 79:20, 82:12 align [2] - 54:9, 59:3 allocation [2] - 38:20, 38:21 allocati ons [1] - 38:5 allowed [3] - 64:19, 64:20, 144:23 allows [3] - 47:6, 47:12, 136:9 almost [1] - 38:24 alone [1] - 9:23 ALPHIN [1] - 1:7 alternative [1] - 55:9 alternatives [3] -	anyway [2] - 126:21, 135:23 apart [1] - 87:24 apartment [2] - 106:12, 106:14 APPEARANCES [1] - 2:1 appearing [4] - 1:23, 2:4, 2:7, 2:10 application [7] - 32:12, 32:18, 137:12, 137:15, 137:16, 137:23, 138:22 applications [5] - 138:9, 138:12, 138:19, 149:9, 151:13 applied [3] - 31:25, 32:2, 143:15 apply [12] - 11:5, 15:3, 15:5, 16:23, 32:9, 32:15, 41:21,	Ashenberger [1] - 154:20 Asia [1] - 70:14 aside [1] - 83:1 aspect [2] - 59:9, 131:17 aspects [1] - 69:25 asset [1] - 158:18 assigned [3] - 92:17, 97:25 assignment [1] - 10:23 assist [2] - 16:11, 20:13 assume [4] - 138:4, 138:5, 138:6, 147:20 assuming [1] - 50:3 Atlanta [12] - 11:21, 11:22, 11:23, 11:25, 12:1, 12:5, 12:6, 13:7, 13:19, 14:12, 106:13, 106:14 attempt [1] - 152:23	Avenue [1] - 1:22 aware [3] - 73:14, 96:23, 141:15 B B-E-R-E-Z [2] - 30:10, 30:11 Bachand [1] - 43:4 background [2] - 8:12, 87:3 backtrack [1] - 52:3 Bank [2] - 61:18, 69:13 bank [17] - 12:3, 12:7, 21:18, 41:14, 61:9, 61:16, 61:23, 68:7, 68:16, 69:7, 69:8, 111:6, 111:7, 113:1, 113:5, 127:15 banks [6] - 21:18, 61:11, 61:14, 69:1, 69:2, 82:3 BARNETT [1] - 2:9 Barnett [3] - 4:2,
78:9, 79:25, 152:21 advised [5] - 32:3, 55:18, 109:17, 148:18, 149:2 advisor [2] - 29:24 affects [4] - 140:11, 140:12, 140:13, 140:14 afternoon [1] - 56:17 Ag [1] - 60:19 age [1] - 8:25 agencies [2] - 74:22, 74:23 agency [1] - 113:7 agenda [1] - 39:21 agent [9] - 72:21, 73:18, 74:1, 96:8, 111:16, 111:17, 125:12, 134:11, 134:12 agents [16] - 64:3, 64:6, 73:12, 75:8, 82:3, 103:7, 105:18, 109:21, 109:22,	39:19, 44:12 <b>agriculture</b> [2] - 48:8, 49:11 <b>ahead</b> [11] - 88:4, 88:7, 89:1, 91:8, 101:15, 134:21, 144:2, 151:19, 158:8, 159:8, 160:16 <b>Alabama</b> [1] - 110:20 <b>alarm</b> [1] - 97:2 <b>alert</b> [1] - 120:4 <b>alien</b> [2] - 79:20, 82:12 <b>align</b> [2] - 54:9, 59:3 <b>allocation</b> [2] - 38:20, 38:21 <b>allocati ons</b> [1] - 38:5 <b>allowed</b> [3] - 64:19, 64:20, 144:23 <b>allows</b> [3] - 47:6, 47:12, 136:9 <b>almost</b> [1] - 38:24 <b>alone</b> [1] - 9:23 <b>ALPHIN</b> [1] - 1:7 <b>alternative</b> [3] - 26:19, 62:18, 114:15	anyway [2] - 126:21, 135:23 apart [1] - 87:24 apartment [2] - 106:12, 106:14 APPEARANCES [1] - 2:1 appearing [4] - 1:23, 2:4, 2:7, 2:10 application [7] - 32:12, 32:18, 137:12, 137:15, 137:16, 137:23, 138:22 applications [5] - 138:9, 138:12, 138:19, 149:9, 151:13 applied [3] - 31:25, 32:2, 143:15 apply [12] - 11:5, 15:3, 15:5, 16:23, 32:9, 32:15, 41:21, 140:17, 143:2,	Ashenberger [1] - 154:20 Asia [1] - 70:14 aside [1] - 83:1 aspect [2] - 59:9, 131:17 aspects [1] - 69:25 asset [1] - 158:18 assigned [3] - 92:17, 97:25 assignment [1] - 10:23 assist [2] - 16:11, 20:13 assume [4] - 138:4, 138:5, 138:6, 147:20 assuming [1] - 50:3 Atlanta [12] - 11:21, 11:22, 11:23, 11:25, 12:1, 12:5, 12:6, 13:7, 13:19, 14:12, 106:13, 106:14 attempte [1] - 76:8	Avenue [1] - 1:22 aware [3] - 73:14, 96:23, 141:15 B-E-R-E-Z [2] - $30:10$ , 30:11 Bachand [1] - 43:4 background [2] - 8:12, 87:3 backtrack [1] - 52:3 Bank [2] - 61:18, 69:13 bank [17] - 12:3, 12:7, 21:18, 41:14, 61:9, 61:16, 61:23, 68:7, 68:16, 69:7, 69:8, 111:6, 111:7, 113:1, 113:5, 127:15 banks [6] - 21:18, 61:11, 61:14, 69:1, 69:2, 82:3 BARNETT [1] - 2:9 Barnett [3] - 4:2, 86:18, 89:24
78:9, 79:25, 152:21 advised [5] - 32:3, 55:18, 109:17, 148:18, 149:2 advisor [2] - 29:24 affects [4] - 140:11, 140:12, 140:13, 140:14 afternoon [1] - 56:17 Ag [1] - 60:19 age [1] - 8:25 agencies [2] - 74:22, 74:23 agency [1] - 113:7 agenda [1] - 39:21 agent [9] - 72:21, 73:18, 74:1, 96:8, 111:16, 111:17, 125:12, 134:11, 134:12 agents [16] - 64:3, 64:6, 73:12, 75:8, 82:3, 103:7, 105:18, 109:21, 109:22, 110:16, 114:5,	39:19, 44:12 <b>agriculture</b> [2] - 48:8, 49:11 <b>ahead</b> [11] - 88:4, 88:7, 89:1, 91:8, 101:15, 134:21, 144:2, 151:19, 158:8, 159:8, 160:16 <b>Alabama</b> [1] - 110:20 <b>alarm</b> [1] - 97:2 <b>alert</b> [1] - 120:4 <b>alien</b> [2] - 79:20, 82:12 <b>align</b> [2] - 54:9, 59:3 <b>allocation</b> [2] - 38:20, 38:21 <b>allocati ons</b> [1] - 38:5 <b>allowed</b> [3] - 64:19, 64:20, 144:23 <b>allows</b> [3] - 47:6, 47:12, 136:9 <b>almost</b> [1] - 38:24 <b>alone</b> [1] - 9:23 <b>ALPHIN</b> [1] - 1:7 <b>alternatives</b> [3] - 26:19, 62:18, 114:15 <b>amendments</b> [1] -	anyway [2] - 126:21, 135:23 apart [1] - 87:24 apartment [2] - 106:12, 106:14 APPEARANCES [1] - 2:1 appearing [4] - 1:23, 2:4, 2:7, 2:10 application [7] - 32:12, 32:18, 137:12, 137:15, 137:16, 137:23, 138:22 applications [5] - 138:9, 138:12, 138:19, 149:9, 151:13 applied [3] - 31:25, 32:2, 143:15 apply [12] - 11:5, 15:3, 15:5, 16:23, 32:9, 32:15, 41:21, 140:17, 143:2, 149:20, 150:10,	Ashenberger [1] - 154:20 Asia [1] - 70:14 aside [1] - 83:1 aspect [2] - 59:9, 131:17 aspects [1] - 69:25 asset [1] - 158:18 assigned [3] - 92:17, 97:25 assignment [1] - 10:23 assist [2] - 16:11, 20:13 assume [4] - 138:4, 138:5, 138:6, 147:20 assuming [1] - 50:3 Atlanta [12] - 11:21, 11:22, 11:23, 11:25, 12:1, 12:5, 12:6, 13:7, 13:19, 14:12, 106:13, 106:14 attempt [1] - 152:23 attempted [1] - 76:8 attorney [13] - 51:8,	Avenue $[1] - 1:22$ aware $[3] - 73:14$ , 96:23, $141:15BB-E-R-E-Z [2] - 30:10,30:11Bachand [1] - 43:4background [2] -8:12$ , $87:3backtrack [1] - 52:3Bank [2] - 61:18,69:13bank [17] - 12:3, 12:7,21:18$ , $41:14$ , $61:9$ , 61:16, $61:23$ , $68:7$ , 68:16, $69:7$ , $69:8$ , 111:6, $111:7$ , $113:1$ , 113:5, $127:15banks [6] - 21:18,61:11$ , $61:14$ , $69:1$ , 69:2, $82:3BARNETT [1] - 2:9Barnett [3] - 4:2,86:18$ , $89:24barns [1] - 42:14$
78:9, 79:25, 152:21 advised [5] - 32:3, 55:18, 109:17, 148:18, 149:2 advisor [2] - 29:24 affects [4] - 140:11, 140:12, 140:13, 140:14 afternoon [1] - 56:17 Ag [1] - 60:19 age [1] - 8:25 agencies [2] - 74:22, 74:23 agency [1] - 113:7 agenda [1] - 39:21 agent [9] - 72:21, 73:18, 74:1, 96:8, 111:16, 111:17, 125:12, 134:11, 134:12 agents [16] - 64:3, 64:6, 73:12, 75:8, 82:3, 103:7, 105:18, 109:21, 109:22, 110:16, 114:5, 121:2, 121:6,	39:19, 44:12 agriculture [2] - 48:8, 49:11 ahead [11] - 88:4, 88:7, 89:1, 91:8, 101:15, 134:21, 144:2, 151:19, 158:8, 159:8, 160:16 Alabama [1] - 110:20 alarm [1] - 97:2 alert [1] - 120:4 alien [2] - 79:20, 82:12 align [2] - 54:9, 59:3 allocation [2] - 38:20, 38:21 allocati ons [1] - 38:5 allowed [3] - 64:19, 64:20, 144:23 allows [3] - 47:6, 47:12, 136:9 almost [1] - 38:24 alone [1] - 9:23 ALPHIN [1] - 1:7 alternatives [3] - 26:19, 62:18, 114:15 amendments [1] - 34:20	anyway [2] - 126:21, 135:23 apart [1] - 87:24 apartment [2] - 106:12, 106:14 APPEARANCES [1] - 2:1 appearing [4] - 1:23, 2:4, 2:7, 2:10 application [7] - 32:12, 32:18, 137:12, 137:15, 137:16, 137:23, 138:22 applications [5] - 138:9, 138:12, 138:19, 149:9, 151:13 applied [3] - 31:25, 32:2, 143:15 apply [12] - 11:5, 15:3, 15:5, 16:23, 32:9, 32:15, 41:21, 140:17, 143:2, 149:20, 150:10, 152:23	Ashenberger [1] - 154:20 Asia [1] - 70:14 aside [1] - 83:1 aspect [2] - 59:9, 131:17 aspects [1] - 69:25 asset [1] - 158:18 assigned [3] - 92:17, 97:25 assignment [1] - 10:23 assist [2] - 16:11, 20:13 assume [4] - 138:4, 138:5, 138:6, 147:20 assuming [1] - 50:3 Atlanta [12] - 11:21, 11:22, 11:23, 11:25, 12:1, 12:5, 12:6, 13:7, 13:19, 14:12, 106:13, 106:14 attempted [1] - 76:8 attorney [13] - 51:8, 54:10, 54:20, 59:9,	Avenue [1] - 1:22 aware [3] - 73:14, 96:23, 141:15 B B-E-R-E-Z [2] - 30:10, 30:11 Bachand [1] - 43:4 background [2] - 8:12, 87:3 backtrack [1] - 52:3 Bank [2] - 61:18, 69:13 bank [17] - 12:3, 12:7, 21:18, 41:14, 61:9, 61:16, 61:23, 68:7, 68:16, 69:7, 69:8, 111:6, 111:7, 113:1, 113:5, 127:15 banks [6] - 21:18, 61:11, 61:14, 69:1, 69:2, 82:3 BARNETT [1] - 2:9 Barnett [3] - 4:2, 86:18, 89:24 barns [1] - 42:14 base [1] - 29:20
78:9, 79:25, 152:21 advised [5] - 32:3, 55:18, 109:17, 148:18, 149:2 advisor [2] - 29:24 affects [4] - 140:11, 140:12, 140:13, 140:14 afternoon [1] - 56:17 Ag [1] - 60:19 age [1] - 8:25 agencies [2] - 74:22, 74:23 agency [1] - 113:7 agenda [1] - 39:21 agent [9] - 72:21, 73:18, 74:1, 96:8, 111:16, 111:17, 125:12, 134:11, 134:12 agents [16] - 64:3, 64:6, 73:12, 75:8, 82:3, 103:7, 105:18, 109:21, 109:22, 110:16, 114:5, 121:2, 121:6, 124:18, 133:10,	39:19, 44:12 <b>agriculture</b> [2] - 48:8, 49:11 <b>ahead</b> [11] - 88:4, 88:7, 89:1, 91:8, 101:15, 134:21, 144:2, 151:19, 158:8, 159:8, 160:16 <b>Alabama</b> [1] - 110:20 <b>alarm</b> [1] - 97:2 <b>alert</b> [1] - 120:4 <b>alien</b> [2] - 79:20, 82:12 <b>align</b> [2] - 54:9, 59:3 <b>allocation</b> [2] - 38:20, 38:21 <b>allocati ons</b> [1] - 38:5 <b>allowed</b> [3] - 64:19, 64:20, 144:23 <b>allows</b> [3] - 47:6, 47:12, 136:9 <b>almost</b> [1] - 38:24 <b>alone</b> [1] - 9:23 <b>ALPHIN</b> [1] - 1:7 <b>alternatives</b> [3] - 26:19, 62:18, 114:15 <b>amendments</b> [1] -	anyway [2] - 126:21, 135:23 apart [1] - 87:24 apartment [2] - 106:12, 106:14 APPEARANCES [1] - 2:1 appearing [4] - 1:23, 2:4, 2:7, 2:10 application [7] - 32:12, 32:18, 137:12, 137:15, 137:16, 137:23, 138:22 applications [5] - 138:9, 138:12, 138:19, 149:9, 151:13 applied [3] - 31:25, 32:2, 143:15 apply [12] - 11:5, 15:3, 15:5, 16:23, 32:9, 32:15, 41:21, 140:17, 143:2, 149:20, 150:10, 152:23 applying [1] - 17:1	Ashenberger [1] - 154:20 Asia [1] - 70:14 aside [1] - 83:1 aspect [2] - 59:9, 131:17 aspects [1] - 69:25 asset [1] - 158:18 assigned [3] - 92:17, 97:25 assignment [1] - 10:23 assist [2] - 16:11, 20:13 assume [4] - 138:4, 138:5, 138:6, 147:20 assuming [1] - 50:3 Atlanta [12] - 11:21, 11:22, 11:23, 11:25, 12:1, 12:5, 12:6, 13:7, 13:19, 14:12, 106:13, 106:14 attempt [1] - 152:23 attempted [1] - 76:8 attorney [13] - 51:8, 54:10, 54:20, 59:9, 59:12, 85:9, 139:21,	Avenue $[1] - 1:22$ aware $[3] - 73:14$ , 96:23, $141:15BB-E-R-E-Z [2] - 30:10,30:11Bachand [1] - 43:4background [2] -8:12$ , $87:3backtrack [1] - 52:3Bank [2] - 61:18,69:13bank [17] - 12:3, 12:7,21:18$ , $41:14$ , $61:9$ , 61:16, $61:23$ , $68:7$ , 68:16, $69:7$ , $69:8$ , 111:6, $111:7$ , $113:1$ , 113:5, $127:15banks [6] - 21:18,61:11$ , $61:14$ , $69:1$ , 69:2, $82:3BARNETT [1] - 2:9Barnett [3] - 4:2,86:18$ , $89:24barns [1] - 42:14$

	DOZ-CBK Docume	<del>ni 173-1     Filed 10/1</del>	0/18 Page 44 of 61	PagelD #: 2502
29:22, 47:7, 48:15,	bell [1] - 97:2	<b>BOLLEN</b> [2] - 1:12,	28:22, 34:21, 36:22,	capital [6] - 11:11, 3
60:2, 67:14, 80:14,	belongings [1] - 28:20	5:4	39:15, 41:15, 46:24,	11:17, 11:18, 60:25,
82:22, 91:25, 117:3,	belongs [1] - 96:7	Bollen [6] - 1:17, 4:1,	54:8, 57:8, 57:13,	150:15, 152:5
130:2, 142:15, 149:2	Benda [4] - 63:15,	5:2, 5:10, 70:25,	61:3, 61:5, 61:8,	captain [1] - 146:2
basic [1] - 109:20	98:17, 98:21, 131:10	102:16	66:11,66:19,76:25,	car [1] - 93:21
Basin [16] - 112:24,	benda [1] - 98:3	Bollen 's [1] - 77:15	77:16, 79:7, 80:4,	card [5] - 35:20,
113:3, 113:11,	beneficial [3] - 32:11,	bombed [3] - 70:4,	83:10, 87:24, 88:21,	58:18, 66:18,
114:1, 122:5,	32:13, 59:2	72:11, 111:22	89:4,90:17,90:21,	150:16, 150:18
125:21, 132:9,	benefit [7] - 7:2,	<b>book</b> [1] - 150:23	91:10, 91:12, 91:16,	care [9] - 38:15, 86:18,
132:11, 133:17,	46:14, 47:6, 48:11,	booking [1] - 39:19	95:6, 100:9, 106:9,	96:25, 97:1, 97:4,
133:18, 133:19,	55:23, 56:10, 92:25	booth [2] - 27:13,	109:18, 113:17,	97:5, 97:12, 114:5
134:6, 134:7,	benefits [2] - 93:1,	27:14	114:9, 116:12,	Cargills [2] - 24:19,
134:18, 134:22,	93:3	boring [1] - 39:4	117:19, 143:8	24:23
135:3	Berez [8] - 30:7,	born [1] - 8:12	Business [4] - 36:20,	case [12] - 5:21, 5:24,
basis [5] - 23:21,	66:12, 131:9,	borrow [1] - 82:7	37:20, 72:16, 80:22	5:25, 7:24, 33:15,
38:19, 46:7, 55:22,	131:11, 142:21,	borrower [1] - 121:22	businesses [3] - 13:8,	46:7, 64:22, 64:23,
159:7	144:7, 144:9	boss [2] - 13:5	88:24, 89:4	81:14, 136:10, 158:1
Bates [8] - 71:12,	best [7] - 41:22, 92:4,	<b>bottom</b> [3] - 13:25,	<b>buy</b> [7] - 42:6, 42:8,	case -by -case [1] -
77:13, 103:19,	105:23, 124:19,	43:4, 155:25	42:11, 42:13, 86:19,	46:7
104:7, 114:17,	146:20, 158:11,	bought [3] - 16:21,	86:20	cases [3] - 75:23,
114:21, 117:5,	159:11	44:18, 130:22	buyers [1] - 19:6	90:18, 129:24
159:23	better [2] - 97:1,	<b>Box</b> [2] - 2:3, 2:9	buying [1] - 52:8	casino [2] - 114:2,
battle [1] - 159:15	142:22	branched [1] - 36:3	<b>BY</b> [4] - 5:8, 106:24,	139:4
beautiful [1] - 125:22	between [13] - 14:18,	brand [4] - 110:14,	132:18, 155:24	catch [2] - 118:19,
beauty [1] - 61:7	37:7,66:6,68:23,	113:13, 114:2, 118:6		118:22
became [14] - 37:12,	72:15, 74:5, 77:11,	break [8] - 25:4,	С	center [52] - 20:2,
38:1, 39:3, 39:20,	81:20, 83:6, 91:3,	56:13, 56:18, 103:1,	Calabasas [1] - 10:7	20:4, 27:16, 32:9,
63:15, 65:8, 78:20,	98:20, 120:13	103:2, 106:7,		33:3, 33:11, 33:13,
83:1, 85:7, 85:8,	beyond [3] - 13:14,	132:14, 155:18	calculate [2] - 47:7, 47:25	34:10, 34:16, 35:10,
85:9, 92:24, 98:10,	112:20, 129:13	Brick [1] - 38:15	calculating [1] -	37:8, 37:12, 37:21,
99:23	biased [1] - 113:8	bring [2] - 28:6, 52:16	142:16	38:8, 38:9, 39:22,
become [8] - 38:12,	<b>big</b> [4] - 14:1, 29:14,	bringing [1] - 36:5	<b>California</b> [21] - 9:21,	41:5, 41:12, 46:11,
44:25, 45:3, 48:20,	42:23, 97:18	British [1] - 36:4	31:25, 32:15, 33:5,	46:15, 47:1, 47:3,
52:7, 63:24, 86:16,	<b>Big</b> [1] - 110:19	broad [2] - 89:7, 91:19	33:8, 34:2, 34:9,	47:6, 47:12, 47:16,
99:14			55.0, 57.2, 57.5,	
	bigger [1] - 63:1	brokerage [4] - 15:18,		48:12, 50:21, 51:5,
becomes [3] - 81:24,	<b>Binder</b> [1] - 63:1 <b>Binder</b> [3] - 3:8, 3:9,	<b>brokerage</b> [4] - 15:18, 15:24, 16:1, 16:4	53:11, 55:1, 59:4,	51:7, 54:6, 58:19,
<b>becomes</b> [3] - 81:24, 101:7, 101:12	<b>Binder</b> [3] - 3:8, 3:9, 3:12	- · · ·	53:11, 55:1, 59:4, 62:20, 119:14,	51:7, 54:6, 58:19, 60:6, 62:13, 77:1,
becomes [3] - 81:24, 101:7, 101:12 becoming [1] - 69:15	Binder [3] - 3:8, 3:9, 3:12 binder [8] - 114:16,	15:24, 16:1, 16:4	53:11, 55:1, 59:4, 62:20, 119:14, 129:8, 147:11,	51:7, 54:6, 58:19, 60:6, 62:13, 77:1, 78:11, 78:15, 83:11,
becomes [3] - 81:24, 101:7, 101:12 becoming [1] - 69:15 Beef [3] - 111:25,	Binder [3] - 3:8, 3:9, 3:12 binder [8] - 114:16, 114:23, 114:24,	15:24, 16:1, 16:4 Brookings [3] - 52:9,	53:11, 55:1, 59:4, 62:20, 119:14, 129:8, 147:11, 147:15, 154:9,	51:7, 54:6, 58:19, 60:6, 62:13, 77:1, 78:11, 78:15, 83:11, 94:4, 94:7, 94:12,
becomes [3] - 81:24, 101:7, 101:12 becoming [1] - 69:15 Beef [3] - 111:25, 112:9, 112:12	Binder [3] - 3:8, 3:9, 3:12 binder [8] - 114:16, 114:23, 114:24, 150:23, 150:24,	15:24, 16:1, 16:4 Brookings [3] - 52:9, 61:18, 69:13	53:11, 55:1, 59:4, 62:20, 119:14, 129:8, 147:11, 147:15, 154:9, 154:10, 154:18,	51:7, 54:6, 58:19, 60:6, 62:13, 77:1, 78:11, 78:15, 83:11, 94:4, 94:7, 94:12, 94:14, 94:15, 95:1,
becomes [3] - 81:24, 101:7, 101:12 becoming [1] - 69:15 Beef [3] - 111:25, 112:9, 112:12 beef [14] - 63:3, 63:8,	Binder [3] - 3:8, 3:9, 3:12 binder [8] - 114:16, 114:23, 114:24, 150:23, 150:24, 150:25, 156:6,	15:24, 16:1, 16:4 <b>Brookings</b> [3] - 52:9, 61:18, 69:13 <b>brought</b> [2] - 68:14,	53:11, 55:1, 59:4, 62:20, 119:14, 129:8, 147:11, 147:15, 154:9, 154:10, 154:18, 154:19, 155:12	51:7, 54:6, 58:19, 60:6, 62:13, 77:1, 78:11, 78:15, 83:11, 94:4, 94:7, 94:12, 94:14, 94:15, 95:1, 96:4, 96:5, 97:25,
becomes [3] - 81:24, 101:7, 101:12 becoming [1] - 69:15 Beef [3] - 111:25, 112:9, 112:12 beef [14] - 63:3, 63:8, 63:10, 63:20, 64:13,	Binder [3] - 3:8, 3:9, 3:12 binder [8] - 114:16, 114:23, 114:24, 150:23, 150:24, 150:25, 156:6, 157:14	15:24, 16:1, 16:4 <b>Brookings</b> [3] - 52:9, 61:18, 69:13 <b>brought</b> [2] - 68:14, 97:7	53:11, 55:1, 59:4, 62:20, 119:14, 129:8, 147:11, 147:15, 154:9, 154:10, 154:18,	51:7, 54:6, 58:19, 60:6, 62:13, 77:1, 78:11, 78:15, 83:11, 94:4, 94:7, 94:12, 94:14, 94:15, 95:1, 96:4, 96:5, 97:25, 99:5, 99:11, 119:14,
becomes [3] - 81:24, 101:7, 101:12 becoming [1] - 69:15 Beef [3] - 111:25, 112:9, 112:12 beef [14] - 63:3, 63:8, 63:10, 63:20, 64:13, 64:16, 65:3, 65:14,	Binder [3] - 3:8, 3:9, 3:12 binder [8] - 114:16, 114:23, 114:24, 150:23, 150:24, 150:25, 156:6, 157:14 binders [1] - 155:19	15:24, 16:1, 16:4 <b>Brookings</b> [3] - 52:9, 61:18, 69:13 <b>brought</b> [2] - 68:14, 97:7 <b>build</b> [3] - 42:4, 52:20, 54:8 <b>building</b> [2] - 57:22,	53:11, 55:1, 59:4, 62:20, 119:14, 129:8, 147:11, 147:15, 154:9, 154:10, 154:18, 154:19, 155:12 <b>Camino</b> [2] - 10:1,	51:7, 54:6, 58:19, 60:6, 62:13, 77:1, 78:11, 78:15, 83:11, 94:4, 94:7, 94:12, 94:14, 94:15, 95:1, 96:4, 96:5, 97:25, 99:5, 99:11, 119:14, 121:18, 125:2,
becomes [3] - 81:24, 101:7, 101:12 becoming [1] - 69:15 Beef [3] - 111:25, 112:9, 112:12 beef [14] - 63:3, 63:8, 63:10, 63:20, 64:13, 64:16, 65:3, 65:14, 65:15, 65:16, 70:2,	Binder [3] - 3:8, 3:9, 3:12 binder [8] - 114:16, 114:23, 114:24, 150:23, 150:24, 150:25, 156:6, 157:14 binders [1] - 155:19 bit [15] - 8:11, 15:21,	15:24, 16:1, 16:4 <b>Brookings</b> [3] - 52:9, 61:18, 69:13 <b>brought</b> [2] - 68:14, 97:7 <b>build</b> [3] - 42:4, 52:20, 54:8 <b>building</b> [2] - 57:22, 116:13	53:11, 55:1, 59:4, 62:20, 119:14, 129:8, 147:11, 147:15, 154:9, 154:10, 154:18, 154:19, 155:12 <b>Camino</b> [2] - 10:1, 10:6	51:7, 54:6, 58:19, 60:6, 62:13, 77:1, 78:11, 78:15, 83:11, 94:4, 94:7, 94:12, 94:14, 94:15, 95:1, 96:4, 96:5, 97:25, 99:5, 99:11, 119:14, 121:18, 125:2, 147:11
becomes [3] - 81:24, 101:7, 101:12 becoming [1] - 69:15 Beef [3] - 111:25, 112:9, 112:12 beef [14] - 63:3, 63:8, 63:10, 63:20, 64:13, 64:16, 65:3, 65:14, 65:15, 65:16, 70:2, 70:3, 112:5, 134:17	Binder [3] - 3:8, 3:9, 3:12 binder [8] - 114:16, 114:23, 114:24, 150:23, 150:24, 150:25, 156:6, 157:14 binders [1] - 155:19 bit [15] - 8:11, 15:21, 22:3, 28:13, 39:3,	15:24, 16:1, 16:4 <b>Brookings</b> [3] - 52:9, 61:18, 69:13 <b>brought</b> [2] - 68:14, 97:7 <b>build</b> [3] - 42:4, 52:20, 54:8 <b>building</b> [2] - 57:22, 116:13 <b>built</b> [2] - 41:2, 63:5	53:11, 55:1, 59:4, 62:20, 119:14, 129:8, 147:11, 147:15, 154:9, 154:10, 154:18, 154:19, 155:12 <b>Camino</b> [2] - 10:1, 10:6 <b>campaign</b> [2] -	51:7, 54:6, 58:19, 60:6, 62:13, 77:1, 78:11, 78:15, 83:11, 94:4, 94:7, 94:12, 94:14, 94:15, 95:1, 96:4, 96:5, 97:25, 99:5, 99:11, 119:14, 121:18, 125:2, 147:11 <b>Center</b> [17] - 34:12,
becomes [3] - 81:24, 101:7, 101:12 becoming [1] - 69:15 Beef [3] - 111:25, 112:9, 112:12 beef [14] - 63:3, 63:8, 63:10, 63:20, 64:13, 64:16, 65:3, 65:14, 65:15, 65:16, 70:2, 70:3, 112:5, 134:17 begin [1] - 115:3	Binder [3] - 3:8, 3:9, 3:12 binder [8] - 114:16, 114:23, 114:24, 150:23, 150:24, 150:25, 156:6, 157:14 binders [1] - 155:19 bit [15] - 8:11, 15:21, 22:3, 28:13, 39:3, 48:9, 56:13, 57:3,	15:24, 16:1, 16:4 <b>Brookings</b> [3] - 52:9, 61:18, 69:13 <b>brought</b> [2] - 68:14, 97:7 <b>build</b> [3] - 42:4, 52:20, 54:8 <b>building</b> [2] - 57:22, 116:13 <b>built</b> [2] - 41:2, 63:5 <b>built</b> [2] - 79:13	53:11, 55:1, 59:4, 62:20, 119:14, 129:8, 147:11, 147:15, 154:9, 154:10, 154:18, 154:19, 155:12 <b>Camino</b> [2] - 10:1, 10:6 <b>campaign</b> [2] - 130:23, 131:4	51:7, 54:6, 58:19, 60:6, 62:13, 77:1, 78:11, 78:15, 83:11, 94:4, 94:7, 94:12, 94:14, 94:15, 95:1, 96:4, 96:5, 97:25, 99:5, 99:11, 119:14, 121:18, 125:2, 147:11 <b>Center</b> [17] - 34:12, 35:2, 35:14, 38:2,
becomes [3] - 81:24, 101:7, 101:12 becoming [1] - 69:15 Beef [3] - 111:25, 112:9, 112:12 beef [14] - 63:3, 63:8, 63:10, 63:20, 64:13, 64:16, 65:3, 65:14, 65:15, 65:16, 70:2, 70:3, 112:5, 134:17 begin [1] - 115:3 beginning [8] - 38:7,	Binder [3] - 3:8, 3:9, 3:12 binder [8] - 114:16, 114:23, 114:24, 150:23, 150:24, 150:25, 156:6, 157:14 binders [1] - 155:19 bit [15] - 8:11, 15:21, 22:3, 28:13, 39:3, 48:9, 56:13, 57:3, 58:7, 58:10, 121:4,	15:24, 16:1, 16:4 <b>Brookings</b> [3] - 52:9, 61:18, 69:13 <b>brought</b> [2] - 68:14, 97:7 <b>build</b> [3] - 42:4, 52:20, 54:8 <b>building</b> [2] - 57:22, 116:13 <b>built</b> [2] - 41:2, 63:5 <b>built</b> [2] - 41:2, 63:5 <b>built</b> 's [1] - 79:13 <b>built 's-eye</b> [1] - 79:13	53:11, 55:1, 59:4, 62:20, 119:14, 129:8, 147:11, 147:15, 154:9, 154:10, 154:18, 154:19, 155:12 Camino [2] - 10:1, 10:6 campaign [2] - 130:23, 131:4 campaigns [1] - 133:5	51:7, 54:6, 58:19, 60:6, 62:13, 77:1, 78:11, 78:15, 83:11, 94:4, 94:7, 94:12, 94:14, 94:15, 95:1, 96:4, 96:5, 97:25, 99:5, 99:11, 119:14, 121:18, 125:2, 147:11 <b>Center</b> [17] - 34:12, 35:2, 35:14, 38:2, 93:11, 94:8, 94:11,
becomes [3] - 81:24, 101:7, 101:12 becoming [1] - 69:15 Beef [3] - 111:25, 112:9, 112:12 beef [14] - 63:3, 63:8, 63:10, 63:20, 64:13, 64:16, 65:3, 65:14, 65:15, 65:16, 70:2, 70:3, 112:5, 134:17 begin [1] - 115:3 beginning [8] - 38:7, 39:9, 40:2, 42:17,	Binder [3] - 3:8, 3:9, 3:12 binder [8] - 114:16, 114:23, 114:24, 150:23, 150:24, 150:25, 156:6, 157:14 binders [1] - 155:19 bit [15] - 8:11, 15:21, 22:3, 28:13, 39:3, 48:9, 56:13, 57:3, 58:7, 58:10, 121:4, 122:14, 143:10,	15:24, 16:1, 16:4 Brookings [3] - 52:9, 61:18, 69:13 brought [2] - 68:14, 97:7 build [3] - 42:4, 52:20, 54:8 building [2] - 57:22, 116:13 built [2] - 41:2, 63:5 buil 's [1] - 79:13 buil 's-eye [1] - 79:13 Bunges [1] - 24:23	53:11, 55:1, 59:4, 62:20, 119:14, 129:8, 147:11, 147:15, 154:9, 154:10, 154:18, 154:19, 155:12 Camino [2] - 10:1, 10:6 campaign [2] - 130:23, 131:4 campaigns [1] - 133:5 campus [2] - 17:10,	51:7, 54:6, 58:19, 60:6, 62:13, 77:1, 78:11, 78:15, 83:11, 94:4, 94:7, 94:12, 94:14, 94:15, 95:1, 96:4, 96:5, 97:25, 99:5, 99:11, 119:14, 121:18, 125:2, 147:11 <b>Center</b> [17] - 34:12, 35:2, 35:14, 38:2, 93:11, 94:8, 94:11, 94:18, 94:23, 95:4,
becomes [3] - 81:24, 101:7, 101:12 becoming [1] - 69:15 Beef [3] - 111:25, 112:9, 112:12 beef [14] - 63:3, 63:8, 63:10, 63:20, 64:13, 64:16, 65:3, 65:14, 65:15, 65:16, 70:2, 70:3, 112:5, 134:17 begin [1] - 115:3 beginning [8] - 38:7, 39:9, 40:2, 42:17, 65:4, 87:1, 117:1,	Binder [3] - 3:8, 3:9, 3:12 binder [8] - 114:16, 114:23, 114:24, 150:23, 150:24, 150:25, 156:6, 157:14 binders [1] - 155:19 bit [15] - 8:11, 15:21, 22:3, 28:13, 39:3, 48:9, 56:13, 57:3, 58:7, 58:10, 121:4, 122:14, 143:10, 151:21	15:24, 16:1, 16:4 <b>Brookings</b> [3] - 52:9, 61:18, 69:13 <b>brought</b> [2] - 68:14, 97:7 <b>build</b> [3] - 42:4, 52:20, 54:8 <b>building</b> [2] - 57:22, 116:13 <b>built</b> [2] - 41:2, 63:5 <b>buil</b> '2] - 41:2, 63:5 <b>buil 's</b> [1] - 79:13 <b>buil 's-eye</b> [1] - 79:13 <b>Bunges</b> [1] - 24:23 <b>bureaucrat</b> [1] -	53:11, 55:1, 59:4, 62:20, 119:14, 129:8, 147:11, 147:15, 154:9, 154:10, 154:18, 154:19, 155:12 Camino [2] - 10:1, 10:6 campaign [2] - 130:23, 131:4 campaigns [1] - 133:5 campus [2] - 17:10, 37:5	51:7, 54:6, 58:19, 60:6, 62:13, 77:1, 78:11, 78:15, 83:11, 94:4, 94:7, 94:12, 94:14, 94:15, 95:1, 96:4, 96:5, 97:25, 99:5, 99:11, 119:14, 121:18, 125:2, 147:11 <b>Center</b> [17] - 34:12, 35:2, 35:14, 38:2, 93:11, 94:8, 94:11, 94:18, 94:23, 95:4, 95:10, 95:13, 95:18,
becomes [3] - 81:24, 101:7, 101:12 becoming [1] - 69:15 Beef [3] - 111:25, 112:9, 112:12 beef [14] - 63:3, 63:8, 63:10, 63:20, 64:13, 64:16, 65:3, 65:14, 65:15, 65:16, 70:2, 70:3, 112:5, 134:17 begin [1] - 115:3 beginning [8] - 38:7, 39:9, 40:2, 42:17, 65:4, 87:1, 117:1, 132:21	Binder [3] - 3:8, 3:9, 3:12 binder [8] - 114:16, 114:23, 114:24, 150:23, 150:24, 150:25, 156:6, 157:14 binders [1] - 155:19 bit [15] - 8:11, 15:21, 22:3, 28:13, 39:3, 48:9, 56:13, 57:3, 58:7, 58:10, 121:4, 122:14, 143:10, 151:21 bitching [1] - 52:12	15:24, 16:1, 16:4 <b>Brookings</b> [3] - 52:9, 61:18, 69:13 <b>brought</b> [2] - 68:14, 97:7 <b>build</b> [3] - 42:4, 52:20, 54:8 <b>building</b> [2] - 57:22, 116:13 <b>built</b> [2] - 41:2, 63:5 <b>bull 's</b> [1] - 79:13 <b>bull 's-eye</b> [1] - 79:13 <b>Bunges</b> [1] - 24:23 <b>bureaucrat</b> [1] - 143:18	53:11, 55:1, 59:4, 62:20, 119:14, 129:8, 147:11, 147:15, 154:9, 154:10, 154:18, 154:19, 155:12 Camino [2] - 10:1, 10:6 campaign [2] - 130:23, 131:4 campaigns [1] - 133:5 campus [2] - 17:10, 37:5 Canada [4] - 36:1,	51:7, 54:6, 58:19, 60:6, 62:13, 77:1, 78:11, 78:15, 83:11, 94:4, 94:7, 94:12, 94:14, 94:15, 95:1, 96:4, 96:5, 97:25, 99:5, 99:11, 119:14, 121:18, 125:2, 147:11 <b>Center</b> [17] - 34:12, 35:2, 35:14, 38:2, 93:11, 94:8, 94:11, 94:18, 94:23, 95:4, 95:10, 95:13, 95:18, 95:22, 95:25, 96:6,
becomes [3] - 81:24, 101:7, 101:12 becoming [1] - 69:15 Beef [3] - 111:25, 112:9, 112:12 beef [14] - 63:3, 63:8, 63:10, 63:20, 64:13, 64:16, 65:3, 65:14, 65:15, 65:16, 70:2, 70:3, 112:5, 134:17 begin [1] - 115:3 beginning [8] - 38:7, 39:9, 40:2, 42:17, 65:4, 87:1, 117:1, 132:21 behalf [15] - 1:23, 2:4,	Binder [3] - 3:8, 3:9, 3:12 binder [8] - 114:16, 114:23, 114:24, 150:23, 150:24, 150:25, 156:6, 157:14 binders [1] - 155:19 bit [15] - 8:11, 15:21, 22:3, 28:13, 39:3, 48:9, 56:13, 57:3, 58:7, 58:10, 121:4, 122:14, 143:10, 151:21 bitching [1] - 52:12 black [1] - 46:5	15:24, 16:1, 16:4 Brookings [3] - 52:9, 61:18, 69:13 brought [2] - 68:14, 97:7 build [3] - 42:4, 52:20, 54:8 building [2] - 57:22, 116:13 built [2] - 41:2, 63:5 bull 's [1] - 79:13 Bunges [1] - 24:23 bureaucrat [1] - 143:18 bureaucratic [8] -	53:11, 55:1, 59:4, 62:20, 119:14, 129:8, 147:11, 147:15, 154:9, 154:10, 154:18, 154:19, 155:12 Camino [2] - 10:1, 10:6 campaign [2] - 130:23, 131:4 campaigns [1] - 133:5 campus [2] - 17:10, 37:5 Canada [4] - 36:1, 36:3, 48:17	51:7, 54:6, 58:19, 60:6, 62:13, 77:1, 78:11, 78:15, 83:11, 94:4, 94:7, 94:12, 94:14, 94:15, 95:1, 96:4, 96:5, 97:25, 99:5, 99:11, 119:14, 121:18, 125:2, 147:11 <b>Center</b> [17] - 34:12, 35:2, 35:14, 38:2, 93:11, 94:8, 94:11, 94:18, 94:23, 95:4, 95:10, 95:13, 95:18, 95:22, 95:25, 96:6, 96:7
becomes [3] - 81:24, 101:7, 101:12 becoming [1] - 69:15 Beef [3] - 111:25, 112:9, 112:12 beef [14] - 63:3, 63:8, 63:10, 63:20, 64:13, 64:16, 65:3, 65:14, 65:15, 65:16, 70:2, 70:3, 112:5, 134:17 begin [1] - 115:3 beginning [8] - 38:7, 39:9, 40:2, 42:17, 65:4, 87:1, 117:1, 132:21 behalf [15] - 1:23, 2:4, 2:7, 2:10, 32:3, 32:9,	Binder [3] - 3:8, 3:9, 3:12 binder [8] - 114:16, 114:23, 114:24, 150:23, 150:24, 150:25, 156:6, 157:14 binders [1] - 155:19 bit [15] - 8:11, 15:21, 22:3, 28:13, 39:3, 48:9, 56:13, 57:3, 58:7, 58:10, 121:4, 122:14, 143:10, 151:21 bitching [1] - 52:12 black [1] - 46:5 blame [2] - 149:19,	15:24, 16:1, 16:4 <b>Brookings</b> [3] - 52:9, 61:18, 69:13 <b>brought</b> [2] - 68:14, 97:7 <b>build</b> [3] - 42:4, 52:20, 54:8 <b>building</b> [2] - 57:22, 116:13 <b>built</b> [2] - 41:2, 63:5 <b>bull 's</b> [1] - 79:13 <b>built 's</b> -eye [1] - 79:13 <b>Bunges</b> [1] - 24:23 <b>bureaucrat</b> [1] - 143:18 <b>bureaucratic</b> [8] - 31:18, 56:2, 56:6,	53:11, 55:1, 59:4, 62:20, 119:14, 129:8, 147:11, 147:15, 154:9, 154:10, 154:18, 154:19, 155:12 Camino [2] - 10:1, 10:6 campaign [2] - 130:23, 131:4 campaigns [1] - 133:5 campus [2] - 17:10, 37:5 Canada [4] - 36:1, 36:3, 48:17 Canadian [1] - 36:2	51:7, 54:6, 58:19, 60:6, 62:13, 77:1, 78:11, 78:15, 83:11, 94:4, 94:7, 94:12, 94:14, 94:15, 95:1, 96:4, 96:5, 97:25, 99:5, 99:11, 119:14, 121:18, 125:2, 147:11 <b>Center</b> [17] - 34:12, 35:2, 35:14, 38:2, 93:11, 94:8, 94:11, 94:18, 94:23, 95:4, 95:10, 95:13, 95:18, 95:22, 95:25, 96:6, 96:7 <b>center 's</b> [1] - 98:4
becomes [3] - 81:24, 101:7, 101:12 becoming [1] - 69:15 Beef [3] - 111:25, 112:9, 112:12 beef [14] - 63:3, 63:8, 63:10, 63:20, 64:13, 64:16, 65:3, 65:14, 65:15, 65:16, 70:2, 70:3, 112:5, 134:17 begin [1] - 115:3 beginning [8] - 38:7, 39:9, 40:2, 42:17, 65:4, 87:1, 117:1, 132:21 behalf [15] - 1:23, 2:4, 2:7, 2:10, 32:3, 32:9, 33:16, 80:3, 82:21,	Binder [3] - 3:8, 3:9, 3:12 binder [8] - 114:16, 114:23, 114:24, 150:23, 150:24, 150:25, 156:6, 157:14 binders [1] - 155:19 bit [15] - 8:11, 15:21, 22:3, 28:13, 39:3, 48:9, 56:13, 57:3, 58:7, 58:10, 121:4, 122:14, 143:10, 151:21 bitching [1] - 52:12 black [1] - 46:5 blame [2] - 149:19, 150:9	15:24, 16:1, 16:4 Brookings [3] - 52:9, 61:18, 69:13 brought [2] - 68:14, 97:7 build [3] - 42:4, 52:20, 54:8 building [2] - 57:22, 116:13 built [2] - 41:2, 63:5 buil 's [1] - 79:13 Bunges [1] - 79:13 Bunges [1] - 24:23 bureaucrat [1] - 143:18 bureaucratic [8] - 31:18, 56:2, 56:6, 56:24, 69:19, 93:5,	53:11, 55:1, 59:4, 62:20, 119:14, 129:8, 147:11, 147:15, 154:9, 154:10, 154:18, 154:19, 155:12 Camino [2] - 10:1, 10:6 campaign [2] - 130:23, 131:4 campaigns [1] - 133:5 campus [2] - 17:10, 37:5 Canada [4] - 36:1, 36:3, 48:17 Canadian [1] - 36:2 Canadians [1] - 35:25	51:7, 54:6, 58:19, 60:6, 62:13, 77:1, 78:11, 78:15, 83:11, 94:4, 94:7, 94:12, 94:14, 94:15, 95:1, 96:4, 96:5, 97:25, 99:5, 99:11, 119:14, 121:18, 125:2, 147:11 <b>Center</b> [17] - 34:12, 35:2, 35:14, 38:2, 93:11, 94:8, 94:11, 94:18, 94:23, 95:4, 95:10, 95:13, 95:18, 95:22, 95:25, 96:6, 96:7
becomes [3] - 81:24, 101:7, 101:12 becoming [1] - 69:15 Beef [3] - 111:25, 112:9, 112:12 beef [14] - 63:3, 63:8, 63:10, 63:20, 64:13, 64:16, 65:3, 65:14, 65:15, 65:16, 70:2, 70:3, 112:5, 134:17 begin [1] - 115:3 beginning [8] - 38:7, 39:9, 40:2, 42:17, 65:4, 87:1, 117:1, 132:21 behalf [15] - 1:23, 2:4, 2:7, 2:10, 32:3, 32:9, 33:16, 80:3, 82:21, 86:23, 108:6, 144:5,	Binder [3] - 3:8, 3:9, 3:12 binder [8] - 114:16, 114:23, 114:24, 150:23, 150:24, 150:25, 156:6, 157:14 binders [1] - 155:19 bit [15] - 8:11, 15:21, 22:3, 28:13, 39:3, 48:9, 56:13, 57:3, 58:7, 58:10, 121:4, 122:14, 143:10, 151:21 bitching [1] - 52:12 black [1] - 46:5 blame [2] - 149:19, 150:9 blank [1] - 86:12	15:24, 16:1, 16:4 <b>Brookings</b> [3] - 52:9, 61:18, 69:13 <b>brought</b> [2] - 68:14, 97:7 <b>build</b> [3] - 42:4, 52:20, 54:8 <b>building</b> [2] - 57:22, 116:13 <b>built</b> [2] - 41:2, 63:5 <b>bull 's</b> [1] - 79:13 <b>built 's-eye</b> [1] - 79:13 <b>Bunges</b> [1] - 24:23 <b>bureaucrati</b> [1] - 143:18 <b>bureaucrati</b> [8] - 31:18, 56:2, 56:6, 56:24, 69:19, 93:5, 93:19, 99:4	53:11, 55:1, 59:4, 62:20, 119:14, 129:8, 147:11, 147:15, 154:9, 154:10, 154:18, 154:19, 155:12 Camino [2] - 10:1, 10:6 Campaign [2] - 130:23, 131:4 campaigns [1] - 133:5 campus [2] - 17:10, 37:5 Canada [4] - 36:1, 36:3, 48:17 Canadian [1] - 35:25 cannot [1] - 75:1,	51:7, 54:6, 58:19, 60:6, 62:13, 77:1, 78:11, 78:15, 83:11, 94:4, 94:7, 94:12, 94:14, 94:7, 94:12, 94:14, 94:15, 95:1, 96:4, 96:5, 97:25, 99:5, 99:11, 119:14, 121:18, 125:2, 147:11 <b>Center</b> [17] - 34:12, 35:2, 35:14, 38:2, 93:11, 94:8, 94:11, 94:18, 94:23, 95:4, 95:10, 95:13, 95:18, 95:22, 95:25, 96:6, 96:7 <b>center 's</b> [1] - 98:4 <b>centers</b> [9] - 32:8,
becomes [3] - 81:24, 101:7, 101:12 becoming [1] - 69:15 Beef [3] - 111:25, 112:9, 112:12 beef [14] - 63:3, 63:8, 63:10, 63:20, 64:13, 64:16, 65:3, 65:14, 65:15, 65:16, 70:2, 70:3, 112:5, 134:17 begin [1] - 115:3 beginning [8] - 38:7, 39:9, 40:2, 42:17, 65:4, 87:1, 117:1, 132:21 behalf [15] - 1:23, 2:4, 2:7, 2:10, 32:3, 32:9, 33:16, 80:3, 82:21,	Binder $[3] - 3:8, 3:9, 3:12$ binder $[8] - 114:16, 114:23, 114:24, 150:23, 150:24, 150:25, 156:6, 157:14$ binders $[1] - 155:19$ bit $[15] - 8:11, 15:21, 22:3, 28:13, 39:3, 48:9, 56:13, 57:3, 58:7, 58:10, 121:4, 122:14, 143:10, 151:21$ bitching $[1] - 52:12$ black $[1] - 46:5$ blame $[2] - 149:19, 150:9$ blank $[1] - 86:12$ board $[4] - 73:18, 39:3, 323, 323, 323, 333, 333, 333, 333, 3$	15:24, 16:1, 16:4 <b>Brookings</b> [3] - 52:9, 61:18, 69:13 <b>brought</b> [2] - 68:14, 97:7 <b>build</b> [3] - 42:4, 52:20, 54:8 <b>building</b> [2] - 57:22, 116:13 <b>built</b> [2] - 41:2, 63:5 <b>bull 's</b> [1] - 79:13 <b>built 's</b> -eye [1] - 79:13 <b>Bunges</b> [1] - 24:23 <b>bureaucrati</b> [1] - 143:18 <b>bureaucrati</b> [8] - 31:18, 56:2, 56:6, 56:24, 69:19, 93:5, 93:19, 99:4 <b>bureaucrats</b> [5] -	53:11, 55:1, 59:4, 62:20, 119:14, 129:8, 147:11, 147:15, 154:9, 154:10, 154:18, 154:19, 155:12 Camino [2] - 10:1, 10:6 campaign [2] - 130:23, 131:4 campaigns [1] - 133:5 campus [2] - 17:10, 37:5 Canada [4] - 36:1, 36:3, 48:17 Canadian [1] - 36:2 Canadians [1] - 35:25 cannot [13] - 75:1, 103:10, 114:3,	51:7, 54:6, 58:19, 60:6, 62:13, 77:1, 78:11, 78:15, 83:11, 94:4, 94:7, 94:12, 94:14, 94:7, 94:12, 94:14, 94:15, 95:1, 96:4, 96:5, 97:25, 99:5, 99:11, 119:14, 121:18, 125:2, 147:11 <b>Center</b> [17] - 34:12, 35:2, 35:14, 38:2, 93:11, 94:8, 94:11, 94:18, 94:23, 95:4, 95:10, 95:13, 95:18, 95:22, 95:25, 96:6, 96:7 <b>center 's</b> [1] - 98:4 <b>centers</b> [9] - 32:8, 32:24, 33:22, 34:3,
becomes [3] - 81:24, 101:7, 101:12 becoming [1] - 69:15 Beef [3] - 111:25, 112:9, 112:12 beef [14] - 63:3, 63:8, 63:10, 63:20, 64:13, 64:16, 65:3, 65:14, 65:15, 65:16, 70:2, 70:3, 112:5, 134:17 begin [1] - 115:3 beginning [8] - 38:7, 39:9, 40:2, 42:17, 65:4, 87:1, 117:1, 132:21 behalf [15] - 1:23, 2:4, 2:7, 2:10, 32:3, 32:9, 33:16, 80:3, 82:21, 86:23, 108:6, 144:5, 148:21, 160:4, 160:20	Binder [3] - 3:8, 3:9, 3:12 binder [8] - 114:16, 114:23, 114:24, 150:23, 150:24, 150:25, 156:6, 157:14 binders [1] - 155:19 bit [15] - 8:11, 15:21, 22:3, 28:13, 39:3, 48:9, 56:13, 57:3, 58:7, 58:10, 121:4, 122:14, 143:10, 151:21 bitching [1] - 52:12 black [1] - 46:5 blame [2] - 149:19, 150:9 blank [1] - 86:12 board [4] - 73:18, 91:18, 91:20	15:24, 16:1, 16:4 Brookings [3] - 52:9, 61:18, 69:13 brought [2] - 68:14, 97:7 build [3] - 42:4, 52:20, 54:8 building [2] - 57:22, 116:13 built [2] - 41:2, 63:5 bull 's [1] - 79:13 bull 's -eye [1] - 79:13 Bunges [1] - 24:23 bureaucrat [1] - 143:18 bureaucratic [8] - 31:18, 56:2, 56:6, 56:24, 69:19, 93:5, 93:19, 99:4 bureaucrats [5] - 39:14, 40:3, 85:6,	53:11, 55:1, 59:4, 62:20, 119:14, 129:8, 147:11, 147:15, 154:9, 154:10, 154:18, 154:19, 155:12 <b>Camino</b> [2] - 10:1, 10:6 <b>campaign</b> [2] - 130:23, 131:4 <b>campaigns</b> [1] - 133:5 <b>campus</b> [2] - 17:10, 37:5 <b>Canada</b> [4] - 36:1, 36:3, 48:17 <b>Canadian</b> [1] - 36:2 <b>Canadians</b> [1] - 35:25 <b>cannot</b> [13] - 75:1, 103:10, 114:3, 117:24, 119:12,	51:7, 54:6, 58:19, 60:6, 62:13, 77:1, 78:11, 78:15, 83:11, 94:4, 94:7, 94:12, 94:14, 94:15, 95:1, 96:4, 96:5, 97:25, 99:5, 99:11, 119:14, 121:18, 125:2, 147:11 <b>Center</b> [17] - 34:12, 35:2, 35:14, 38:2, 93:11, 94:8, 94:11, 94:18, 94:23, 95:4, 95:10, 95:13, 95:18, 95:22, 95:25, 96:6, 96:7 <b>Center 's</b> [1] - 98:4 <b>centers</b> [9] - 32:8, 32:24, 33:22, 34:3, 34:8, 76:23, 102:2, 110:11, 124:12
becomes [3] - 81:24, 101:7, 101:12 becoming [1] - 69:15 Beef [3] - 111:25, 112:9, 112:12 beef [14] - 63:3, 63:8, 63:10, 63:20, 64:13, 64:16, 65:3, 65:14, 65:15, 65:16, 70:2, 70:3, 112:5, 134:17 begin [1] - 115:3 beginning [8] - 38:7, 39:9, 40:2, 42:17, 65:4, 87:1, 117:1, 132:21 behalf [15] - 1:23, 2:4, 2:7, 2:10, 32:3, 32:9, 33:16, 80:3, 82:21, 86:23, 108:6, 144:5, 148:21, 160:4,	Binder $[3] - 3:8, 3:9, 3:12$ binder $[8] - 114:16, 114:23, 114:24, 150:23, 150:24, 150:25, 156:6, 157:14$ binders $[1] - 155:19$ bit $[15] - 8:11, 15:21, 22:3, 28:13, 39:3, 48:9, 56:13, 57:3, 58:7, 58:10, 121:4, 122:14, 143:10, 151:21$ bitching $[1] - 52:12$ black $[1] - 46:5$ blame $[2] - 149:19, 150:9$ blank $[1] - 86:12$ board $[4] - 73:18, 91:20$ Board $[10] - 85:8, 12$	15:24, 16:1, 16:4 <b>Brookings</b> [3] - 52:9, 61:18, 69:13 <b>brought</b> [2] - 68:14, 97:7 <b>build</b> [3] - 42:4, 52:20, 54:8 <b>building</b> [2] - 57:22, 116:13 <b>built</b> [2] - 41:2, 63:5 <b>bull 's</b> [1] - 79:13 <b>buils :-eye</b> [1] - 79:13 <b>Bunges</b> [1] - 24:23 <b>bureaucrat</b> [1] - 143:18 <b>bureaucratic</b> [8] - 31:18, 56:2, 56:6, 56:24, 69:19, 93:5, 93:19, 99:4 <b>bureaucrats</b> [5] - 39:14, 40:3, 85:6, 93:2, 97:2	53:11, 55:1, 59:4, 62:20, 119:14, 129:8, 147:11, 147:15, 154:9, 154:10, 154:18, 154:19, 155:12 <b>Camino</b> [2] - 10:1, 10:6 <b>campaign</b> [2] - 130:23, 131:4 <b>campaigns</b> [1] - 133:5 <b>campus</b> [2] - 17:10, 37:5 <b>Canada</b> [4] - 36:1, 36:3, 48:17 <b>Canadian</b> [1] - 36:2 <b>Canadians</b> [1] - 35:25 <b>cannot</b> [13] - 75:1, 103:10, 114:3, 117:24, 119:12, 120:17, 120:22,	51:7, 54:6, 58:19, 60:6, 62:13, 77:1, 78:11, 78:15, 83:11, 94:4, 94:7, 94:12, 94:14, 94:15, 95:1, 96:4, 96:5, 97:25, 99:5, 99:11, 119:14, 121:18, 125:2, 147:11 <b>Center</b> [17] - 34:12, 35:2, 35:14, 38:2, 93:11, 94:8, 94:11, 94:18, 94:23, 95:4, 95:10, 95:13, 95:18, 95:22, 95:25, 96:6, 96:7 <b>center 's</b> [1] - 98:4 <b>centers</b> [9] - 32:8, 32:24, 33:22, 34:3, 34:8, 76:23, 102:2, 110:11, 124:12 <b>certain</b> [7] - 34:18,
becomes [3] - 81:24, 101:7, 101:12 becoming [1] - 69:15 Beef [3] - 111:25, 112:9, 112:12 beef [14] - 63:3, 63:8, 63:10, 63:20, 64:13, 64:16, 65:3, 65:14, 65:15, 65:16, 70:2, 70:3, 112:5, 134:17 begin [1] - 115:3 beginning [8] - 38:7, 39:9, 40:2, 42:17, 65:4, 87:1, 117:1, 132:21 behalf [15] - 1:23, 2:4, 2:7, 2:10, 32:3, 32:9, 33:16, 80:3, 82:21, 86:23, 108:6, 144:5, 148:21, 160:4, 160:20 behind [2] - 90:14,	Binder $[3] - 3:8, 3:9, 3:12$ binder $[8] - 114:16, 114:23, 114:24, 150:23, 150:24, 150:25, 156:6, 157:14$ binders $[1] - 155:19$ bit $[15] - 8:11, 15:21, 22:3, 28:13, 39:3, 48:9, 56:13, 57:3, 58:7, 58:10, 121:4, 122:14, 143:10, 151:21$ bitching $[1] - 52:12$ black $[1] - 46:5$ blame $[2] - 149:19, 150:9$ blank $[1] - 86:12$ board $[4] - 73:18, 91:20$ Board $[10] - 85:8, 85:22, 91:3, 91:24, 124, 124, 124, 124, 124, 125, 124, 125, 125, 125, 125, 125, 125, 125, 125$	15:24, 16:1, 16:4 <b>Brookings</b> [3] - 52:9, 61:18, 69:13 <b>brought</b> [2] - 68:14, 97:7 <b>build</b> [3] - 42:4, 52:20, 54:8 <b>building</b> [2] - 57:22, 116:13 <b>built</b> [2] - 41:2, 63:5 <b>bull 's</b> [1] - 79:13 <b>bull 's-eye</b> [1] - 79:13 <b>Bunges</b> [1] - 24:23 <b>bureaucrat</b> [1] - 143:18 <b>bureaucratic</b> [8] - 31:18, 56:2, 56:6, 56:24, 69:19, 93:5, 93:19, 99:4 <b>bureaucrats</b> [5] - 39:14, 40:3, 85:6, 93:2, 97:2 <b>business</b> [45] - 11:12,	53:11, 55:1, 59:4, 62:20, 119:14, 129:8, 147:11, 147:15, 154:9, 154:10, 154:18, 154:19, 155:12 Camino [2] - 10:1, 10:6 campaign [2] - 130:23, 131:4 campaigns [1] - 133:5 campus [2] - 17:10, 37:5 Canada [4] - 36:1, 36:3, 48:17 Canadian [1] - 36:2 Canadians [1] - 35:25 cannot [13] - 75:1, 103:10, 114:3, 117:24, 119:12, 120:17, 120:22, 124:3, 138:2, 138:10, 138:11, 142:4, 145:4	51:7, 54:6, 58:19, 60:6, 62:13, 77:1, 78:11, 78:15, 83:11, 94:4, 94:7, 94:12, 94:14, 94:7, 94:12, 94:14, 94:15, 95:1, 96:4, 96:5, 97:25, 99:5, 99:11, 119:14, 121:18, 125:2, 147:11 <b>Center</b> [17] - 34:12, 35:2, 35:14, 38:2, 93:11, 94:8, 94:11, 94:18, 94:23, 95:4, 95:10, 95:13, 95:18, 95:22, 95:25, 96:6, 96:7 <b>Center 's</b> [1] - 98:4 <b>centers</b> [9] - 32:8, 32:24, 33:22, 34:3, 34:8, 76:23, 102:2, 110:11, 124:12
becomes $[3] - 81:24$ , 101:7, 101:12 becoming $[1] - 69:15$ Beef $[3] - 111:25$ , 112:9, 112:12 beef $[14] - 63:3, 63:8$ , 63:10, 63:20, 64:13, 64:16, 65:3, 65:14, 65:15, 65:16, 70:2, 70:3, 112:5, 134:17 begin $[1] - 115:3$ beginning $[8] - 38:7$ , 39:9, 40:2, 42:17, 65:4, 87:1, 117:1, 132:21 behalf $[15] - 1:23, 2:4$ , 2:7, 2:10, 32:3, 32:9, 33:16, 80:3, 82:21, 86:23, 108:6, 144:5, 148:21, 160:4, 160:20 behind $[2] - 90:14$ , 110:20	Binder $[3] - 3:8, 3:9, 3:12$ binder $[8] - 114:16, 114:23, 114:24, 150:23, 150:24, 150:25, 156:6, 157:14$ binders $[1] - 155:19$ bit $[15] - 8:11, 15:21, 22:3, 28:13, 39:3, 48:9, 56:13, 57:3, 58:7, 58:10, 121:4, 122:14, 143:10, 151:21$ bitching $[1] - 52:12$ black $[1] - 46:5$ blame $[2] - 149:19, 150:9$ blank $[1] - 86:12$ board $[4] - 73:18, 91:20$ Board $[10] - 85:8, 12$	15:24, 16:1, 16:4 <b>Brookings</b> [3] - 52:9, 61:18, 69:13 <b>brought</b> [2] - 68:14, 97:7 <b>build</b> [3] - 42:4, 52:20, 54:8 <b>building</b> [2] - 57:22, 116:13 <b>built</b> [2] - 41:2, 63:5 <b>bull 's</b> [1] - 79:13 <b>buils :-eye</b> [1] - 79:13 <b>Bunges</b> [1] - 24:23 <b>bureaucrat</b> [1] - 143:18 <b>bureaucratic</b> [8] - 31:18, 56:2, 56:6, 56:24, 69:19, 93:5, 93:19, 99:4 <b>bureaucrats</b> [5] - 39:14, 40:3, 85:6, 93:2, 97:2	53:11, 55:1, 59:4, 62:20, 119:14, 129:8, 147:11, 147:15, 154:9, 154:10, 154:18, 154:19, 155:12 <b>Camino</b> [2] - 10:1, 10:6 <b>campaign</b> [2] - 130:23, 131:4 <b>campaigns</b> [1] - 133:5 <b>campus</b> [2] - 17:10, 37:5 <b>Canada</b> [4] - 36:1, 36:3, 48:17 <b>Canadian</b> [1] - 36:2 <b>Canadians</b> [1] - 35:25 <b>cannot</b> [13] - 75:1, 103:10, 114:3, 117:24, 119:12, 120:17, 120:22, 124:3, 138:2, 138:10, 138:11,	51:7, 54:6, 58:19, 60:6, 62:13, 77:1, 78:11, 78:15, 83:11, 94:4, 94:7, 94:12, 94:14, 94:7, 94:12, 94:14, 94:15, 95:1, 96:4, 96:5, 97:25, 99:5, 99:11, 119:14, 121:18, 125:2, 147:11 <b>Center</b> [17] - 34:12, 35:2, 35:14, 38:2, 93:11, 94:8, 94:11, 94:18, 94:23, 95:4, 95:10, 95:13, 95:18, 95:22, 95:25, 96:6, 96:7 <b>center 's</b> [1] - 98:4 <b>centers</b> [9] - 32:8, 32:24, 33:22, 34:3, 34:8, 76:23, 102:2, 110:11, 124:12 <b>certain</b> [7] - 34:18, 42:9, 55:19, 60:24,

	002-CBK Docume	~~~~		
163:2	15:5, 15:9, 15:10,	72:6, 78:4, 85:11,	complete [5] - 8:24,	121:20, 126:9 4
Certificate [1] - 71:7	125:16, 125:19,	90:19, 93:17, 98:12,	69:24, 95:2, 95:20,	<b>contact</b> [4] - 30:5,
certification [2] -	125:20, 125:22,	98:14, 110:11, 148:6	125:5	63:6, 67:17, 105:16
17:25, 94:15	135:13, 135:17,	commencing [1] - 4:4	completely [2] - 57:9,	contacted [6] - 44:15,
certified [2] - 63:3,	135:23, 135:25	<b>Commerce</b> [4] - 16:11,	146:8	44:16, 75:7, 107:1,
63:4	<b>City</b> [3] - 2:3, 20:11,	49:2, 49:20, 51:6		107:3, 145:23
<b>Certified</b> [4] - 3:14,	67:2	commercial [4] -	complexity [2] - 158:5, 159:14	contacts [1] - 109:9
4:6, 163:6, 163:22	clapping [1] - 85:3	61:12, 61:13, 106:7,	comply [2] - 67:13,	contained [3] - 70:9,
	clarification [1] -	106:16	142:24	80:8, 80:12
<b>CERTIFY</b> [2] - 163:8, 163:14	137:17	commissioner [3] -		content [1] - 120:2
	<b>clarify</b> [3] - 7:5, 57:3,	63:16, 63:17, 110:18	concept [1] - 54:7	
certify [1] - 89:13	138:13	<b>common</b> [1] - 6:16	concerns [1] - 158:4	continental [1] - 24:19
<b>cetera</b> [2] - 48:18,	clash [1] - 93:6	communicate [3] -	<b>conclude</b> [2] - 117:24,	Continental [6] -
103:9	clawed [1] - 160:11	19:20, 20:21, 64:24	142:2	10:23, 11:6, 11:7,
<b>challenge</b> [2] - 39:3,		communicated [1] -	concluded [1] - 162:12	14:22, 14:23, 24:22
39:4	clean [1] - 6:23	64:6		Continued [1] - 2:1
<b>chance</b> [4] - 25:6,	cleanly [1] - 124:14	communicating [1] -	concludes [1] -	continuing [1] - 95:8
43:10, 63:24, 119:18	<b>clear</b> [12] - 7:3, 22:6,	• • • •	161:16	<b>contract</b> [16] - 23:2,
<b>change</b> [3] - 50:20,	63:19, 90:3, 90:4, 00:5, 01:1, 02:0	21:20	conclusion [1] - 80:6	23:3, 38:18, 39:25,
66:6, 145:18	90:5, 91:1, 92:9,	communication [3] -	condition [1] - 141:10	59:13, 59:20, 73:2,
changed [4] - 39:8,	119:17, 123:4,	19:19, 32:24, 91:2	<b>conference</b> [4] - 67:1,	73:4, 80:3, 92:19,
123:3, 124:11,	124:22, 131:23	communications [1] -	67:6, 67:8, 67:11	97:13, 97:15, 98:1,
135:19	clearer [1] - 81:24	160:8	conferences [2] - 67:3	98:22, 99:17, 147:2
channels [2] - 19:1,	clearly [1] - 93:23	<b>community</b> [2] - 10:8,	confident [1] - 93:25	contracts [6] - 60:3,
24:14	<b>client</b> [2] - 159:6,	11:14	Confidential [5] - 3:9,	69:16, 73:3, 83:20,
<b>charge</b> [2] - 61:5,	160:7	companies [23] -	64:12, 70:9, 115:6,	99:3, 118:24
100:17	<b>clients</b> [2] - 60:7,	16:11, 18:13, 18:17,	119:3	contribute [1] - 23:11
<b>check</b> [3] - 93:25,	72:22	18:19, 18:20, 18:24,	confirmed [1] - 69:10	control [1] - 24:24
104:23, 154:14	clip [1] - 124:20	20:23, 20:24, 24:23, 25:18, 75:0, 75:20	conflict [1] - 56:9	controlled [1] - 24:19
checkmate [1] - 35:21	<b>close</b> [3] - 28:11,	25:18, 75:9, 75:20,	confrontational [1] -	convenience [2] -
<b>Cheri</b> [4] - 1:24, 4:5,	87:12, 144:7	75:23, 76:12, 76:16,	141:22	106:13, 106:14
38:15, 163:21	<b>closely</b> [3] - 40:23,	76:18, 76:21, 103:13, 103:14,	<b>confusing</b> [4] - 15:21,	conventional [1] -
CHERI [1] - 163:5	91:5, 139:12	104:13, 125:1,	94:4, 94:6, 94:9	111:5
<b>Chicago</b> [2] - 10:24,	<b>closer</b> [4] - 16:10,	125:11	Congress [1] - 66:10	conversation [3] -
14:23	22:13, 26:5, 117:24	company [21] - 11:24,	Congressional [1] -	23:21, 63:19, 142:23
<b>China</b> [15] - 64:10,	clout [1] - 32:4	19:15, 50:5, 64:25,	32:10	convince [1] - 148:7
73:6, 74:1, 74:2,	clue [1] - 155:13	75:6, 76:1, 76:11,	<b>connected</b> [2] - 16:17,	convinced [1] - 25:16
76:14, 112:25,	<b>Clyde</b> [1] - 23:25	76:15, 102:11,	109:24	copied [1] - 83:13
113:2, 113:5, 123:5, 124:15, 125:11	<b>co</b> [1] - 75:4	104:3, 104:17,	connection [4] - 8:5,	<b>copies</b> [3] - 104:20,
124:15, 125:11,	<b>Co</b> [1] - 75:18	108:23, 117:19,	79:22, 82:15, 109:16	105:2, 156:7
130:14, 130:22,	collecting [1] - 151:14	118:5, 118:6,	connections [1] -	<b>copy</b> [5] - 32:12,
131:18, 132:12 Chinese [8] - 73:25,	<b>college</b> [3] - 10:8,	118:12, 123:9,	107:2	70:19, 92:14,
110:12, 111:16,	11:14, 17:6	123:12, 134:7,	CONRAD [1] - 1:8	102:20, 151:9
111:17, 112:16,	<b>COM 30</b> [2] - 117:6,	135:7, 136:2	<b>consider</b> [4] - 26:22,	<b>corp</b> [2] - 86:3, 86:6
114:5, 125:11,	117:9	Company [2] - 1:7,	73:4, 118:17, 148:8	corporate [2] - 116:11,
127:15	<b>combined</b> [3] - 118:1,	1:15	consideration [2] -	148:10
<b>choice</b> [2] - 135:19,	118:13	comparati ve [1] -	80:7, 80:11	Corporation [1] - 1:13
143:22	comfort [4] - 69:2,	28:25	considered [1] - 73:22	corporation [10] -
<b>choose</b> [5] - 9:4, 22:4,	112:13, 114:1, 135:7	compare [1] - 142:4	consisted [1] - 73:18	22:18, 69:16, 85:25, 86:2, 86:25, 87:2,
48:22, 61:16, 135:21	comfortable [14] -	compared [1] - 134:24	consistency [1] -	90:9, 90:12, 90:16,
<b>chose</b> [2] - 48:24,	54:10, 55:14, 85:4,	compel [1] - 89:14	126:18	90.9, 90.12, 90.10, 91:14
95:17	96:13, 110:13, 113:4, 113:6,	compete [1] - 25:4	consistent [4] -	<b>correct</b> [42] - 8:13,
<b>Cindy</b> [11] - 130:7,	113:10, 113:11,	competing [1] -	122:15, 122:16,	11:2, 21:12, 33:4,
130:17, 130:24,	113:10, 113:11, 113:12, 114:8,	133:17	122:17, 122:19	35:10, 36:11, 43:22,
133:5, 133:8, 133:9,	137:6, 145:22,	competitive [5] -	<b>consultant</b> [4] - 75:18,	46:24, 49:10, 50:3,
133:20, 133:22,	137.0, 145.22, 149:14	48:19, 48:20, 62:24,	140:17, 145:16,	40.24, 49.10, 50.3, 50:11, 51:13, 53:11,
153:18, 154:2	coming [19] - 14:7,	63:25, 124:22	146:23	57:8, 59:6, 60:12,
circumstances [1] -	14:8, 22:12, 29:10,	competitor [2] -	consultants [4] - 19:7,	71:9, 74:6, 100:16,
55:22	42:16, 52:4, 52:7,	134:13, 146:18	21:10, 21:14, 21:22	104:3, 108:4,
citizenship [12] - 15:3,	53:2, 62:15, 66:19,	complained [1] - 77:5	consulting [4] -	108:18, 108:24,
5	00.2, 02.10, 00.18,		104:17, 121:15,	100.10, 100.27,

Case 1:17-cv-01	002-CBK Documer	nt 173-1 Filed 10/1	0/18 Page 46 of 61	PagelD #: 2504
109:8, 111:24,	47:5, 48:5, 48:12,	36:20, 37:20, 38:2,	144:5, 148:25	develop [14] - 16:9, 5
115:19, 116:16,	48:16, 50:13, 57:18,	41:5, 41:6, 43:25,	declared [1] - 153:23	17:2, 19:1, 19:6,
118:10, 120:3,	61:24, 61:25, 69:6,	44:7, 44:18, 45:20,	deer [1] - 93:20	20:22, 20:24, 21:10,
121:17, 122:1,	142:15, 142:16,	50:22, 52:19, 53:10,	default [1] - 153:23	21:21, 25:16, 49:5,
126:12, 132:22,	152:7	55:2, 55:6, 55:8,	defaulted [1] - 153:15	49:6, 52:10, 55:12,
137:24, 140:4,	credibility [5] - 27:10,	56:10, 59:5, 59:11,	defendants [1] - 1:10	83:8
140:20, 152:20,	72:22, 73:8, 73:17,	60:12, 62:13, 66:12,	Defendants [3] - 1:16,	developed [6] - 17:24,
154:3, 156:12,	73:25	72:15, 74:8, 75:22,	2:7, 2:10	18:24, 37:18, 42:3,
156:21, 157:23,	credit [7] - 19:5,	76:4,80:22,93:10,	defendants '[1] -	48:3, 56:23
163:12	22:23, 113:2, 113:4,	94:7, 94:8, 94:11,	160:9	developing [2] -
corrected [1] - 116:19	113:7, 120:15, 135:7	94:18, 94:23, 95:4,	deficiencies [1] -	62:21, 66:9
correctly [1] - 116:15	crew [1] - 130:18	95:9,95:13,95:18,	150:6	Development [2] -
correspondence [2] -	cross [1] - 145:25	95:21, 95:25, 96:5,	definitely [1] - 79:7	94:16, 99:1
98:12, 155:6	<b>CRR</b> [1] - 1:24	96:7, 108:13,	defrauding [1] -	development [2] -
corridor [3] - 28:11,	crucial [1] - 32:25	108:22, 112:14,	143:17	64:21, 67:25
52:5, 62:9	cultural [1] - 56:8	122:9, 122:10,	degree [3] - 10:13,	devoting [1] - 38:7
cost [1] - 92:25	culture [1] - 93:6	124:15, 146:21,	10:14, 11:1	died [1] - 31:11
costs [1] - 93:1	current [3] - 43:20,	150:20, 163:7	delay [1] - 59:23	different [32] - 9:3,
counsel [6] - 73:20,	43:23, 160:14	Dakota 's [5] - 34:10,	delegates [1] - 32:10	11:5, 19:20, 22:20,
84:10, 84:12,	<b>curve</b> [2] - 149 18,	39:7, 62:16, 74:6,	demand [2] - 18:5,	29:16, 34:20, 38:4,
154:17, 154:19,	149:22	78:15	52:11	48:1, 49:8, 65:5,
155:17	cut [2] - 32:7, 32:16	DALE [1] - 1:8	demanded [2] -	76:22, 76:23, 76:25,
counties [3] - 34:18,	cutting [1] - 63:2	dangerous [2] - 69:9,	114:10, 135:2	77:1, 77:2, 81:16,
39:18, 47:17	<b>3</b> ( )	70:7	<b>Dennis</b> [4] - 63:5,	90:12,95:20,
countries [3] - 48:21,	D	dared [1] - 98:9	63:7, 63:8, 63:14	100:12, 101:21,
51:3, 124:16		Darley [11] - 5:22,	department [5] - 16:6,	101:23, 101:25,
country [2] - 14:24,	dairies [11] - 26:17,	7:24, 71:17, 85:5,	21:19, 22:9, 30:22,	111:25, 117:9,
27:18	36:11, 40:25, 43:7,	96:21,97:7,97:18,	144:21	122:14, 125:1,
<b>COUNTY</b> [1] - 163:3	43:11, 43:25, 45:19,	98:7, 103:22, 103:25	Department [8] -	125:2, 127:12,
couple [6] - 7:18,	46:9, 47:4, 52:25,	data [1] - 151:22	16:11, 27:9, 39:19,	127:21, 134:11,
12:11, 57:25, 85:21,	53:3	date [6] - 23:23, 89:25,	44:12, 49:2, 49:20,	134:12, 136:21
101:24, 124:7	<b>Dairy</b> [4] - 44:1, 45:9,	103:24, 145:6,	51:6, 60:19	difficult [8] - 24:25,
coupling [2] - 57:21,	45:10, 45:17	148:2, 149:1	<b>Depo</b> [1] - 3:6	25:1, 55:19, 56:1,
60:21	<b>dairy</b> [37] - 26:15,	dates [5] - 15:21,	depo [2] - 71:13,	69:18, 82:1, 132:13,
court [7] - 5:3, 6:14,	26:18, 26:20, 27:18,	45:12, 100:4, 100:8,	102:16	134:25
6:22, 7:2, 56:12,	28:10, 29:2, 39:17,	147:15	deposed [2] - 5:25,	dilemma [1] - 88:8
56:19, 117:12	41:1, 41:7, 42:7,	Deadwood [2] -	6:10	diligence [2] - 61:11,
COURT [3] - 1:1,	42:8, 42:12, 42:14,	106:25, 117:17	deposition [21] - 4:1,	68:12
36:13, 123:21	42:18, 42:21, 43:25,	deal [2] - 32:7, 32:16	5:2, 5:13, 5:20, 6:3,	dime [1] - 124:23
covenants [2] - 80:8,	44:5, 44:13, 45:25,	dealing [1] - 158:17	6:6, 6:9, 7:8, 7:10,	diming [1] - 125:14
80:12	50:5, 52:4, 52:12,	dealt [1] - 139:3	7:22, 7:23, 8:9,	diploma [1] - 10:7
<b>cover</b> [2] - 6:10,	52:15, 53:10, 57:4,	dean [1] - 23:24	71:17, 72:14, 77:15,	direct [10] - 37:12,
128:25	57:11, 57:22, 57:23,	debt [2] - 69:5, 151:15	102:22, 161:16,	47:24, 48:4, 48:11,
covered [5] - 18:5,	57:25, 59:11, 60:18,	Decembe r [2] - 15:1	161:17, 162:2,	57:5, 57:13, 59:16,
50:13, 135:18,	62:5, 62:8, 62:10,	decide [10] - 9:1, 26:3,	162:12, 163:13	66:10, 69:22, 84:6
151:20, 159:5	62:16, 63:1, 63:11	62:25, 101:3, 109:1,	Deposition [2] - 1:17,	direction [2] - 79:14,
cramping [1] - 56:13	<b>DAKOTA</b> [2] - 1:1,	127:13, 148:14,	3:6	85:13
crapless [1] - 97:3	163:1	152:9, 152:11,	depth [1] - 32:21	directions [3] - 67:15,
create [9] - 16:16,	<b>Dakota</b> [86] - 1:4, 1:6,	152:13	described [1] - 99:6	85:15, 98:13
46:16, 47:2, 47:12,	1:13, 1:13, 1:14,	decided [10] - 8:17,	description [1] -	directly [4] - 23:15,
47:22, 73:3, 76:24,	1:22, 1:25, 2:3, 2:6,	13:4,85:23,98:16,	116:22	64:24,96:18,126:10
113:17, 120:12	2:10, 4:3, 4:7, 5:22,	98:21, 108:15,	designated [1] - 34:19	director [2] - 79:11,
created [8] - 48:5,	14:9, 16:12, 18:7,	130:19, 141:17,	destined [1] - 108:21	81:4
48:7, 50:18, 64:18,	18:12, 20:23, 20:25, 24:7, 25:17, 26:1	150:11, 154:8	details [6] - 16:3,	discovery [6] - 8:2,
72:1, 72:20, 73:1,	24:7, 25:17, 26:1, 26:22, 27:13, 28:7	deciding [1] - 142:17	33:24, 43:15,	89:3, 89:6, 104:24,
99:10	26:22, 27:13, 28:7, 28:8, 28:25, 31:4	decision [7] - 9:11,	107:15, 142:20,	157:6, 157:8
creates [1] - 143:25	28:8, 28:25, 31:4, 32:5, 32:10, 32:25	9:13,92:23,146:20,	146:9	<b>Discovery</b> [3] - 3:7,
creating [2] - 79:20,	32:5, 32:10, 32:25, 33:2, 33:6, 34:12,	148:12, 152:14,	determine [1] - 132:25	3:8, 3:12
82:12	35:2, 35:14, 35:20,	159:11	determined [1] -	discuss [7] - 24:2,
creation [13] - 46:15,	JJ.2, JJ.14, JJ.20,	decisions [3] - 98:9,	128:12	31:2,64:3,67:10,

Case 1:17-cv-01	002-CBK Docume	nt 173-1 Filed 10/1	0/18 Page 47 of 61	PagelD #: 2505
130:21, 131:6, 131:7	103:6, 107:7, 107:8,	140:10, 141:3,	efficient [1] - 24:8	146:25 6
discussed [5] - 67:8,	107:25, 111:20,	141:8, 154:15,	efficiently [1] - 24:6	entrepreneur [2] -
73:21, 127:20,	111:25, 119:6,	156:10	egg [1] - 143:1	79:21, 82:12
131:16, 149:2	119:13, 120:1,	E-mail [4] - 3:10, 3:11,	<b>Eindhoven</b> [2] - 8:15,	entrepreneurial [5] -
discussing [3] -	120:21, 128:14,	3:11, 3:12	8:16	31:15, 31:19, 39:13,
56:24, 91:3, 157:25	130:6, 130:7, 130:8,	e-mails [3] - 85:12,	either [6] - 9:7, 12:19,	56:3, 93:5
Discussion [5] -	140:16, 143:14,	93:22, 133:7	12:20, 118:23,	entry [1] - 76:11
51:16, 56:21, 103:3,	153:11, 161:6,	early [8] - 15:13, 17:9,	126:20, 135:25	environment [5] -
106:4, 106:21	161:13	30:20, 34:14, 45:9,	<b>EI</b> [2] - 10:1, 10:6	82:2, 93:19, 152:1,
discussions [2] -	<b>dot</b> [1] - 145:25	45:10, 68:7, 123:2	electronically [1] -	152:3, 152:4
94:1, 109:21	doubt [2] - 96:15,	earn [2] - 17:17, 121:8	156:10	envisioned [1] -
dish [1] - 123:5	96:17	earning [1] - 13:12	eliminate [1] - 93:2	139:20
display [1] - 72:24	<b>down</b> [7] - 6:15, 6:23,	earns [1] - 121:14	eliminated [1] - 33.2	equated [1] - 128:3
distance [6] - 85:18,	52:18, 52:24, 65:23,	easier [3] - 94:7,	144:17	equates [1] - 126:20
91:7, 91:11, 91:12,	84:14, 105:9	133:18, 134:22		•
91:16, 91:21	draft [6] - 70:18,		employed [1] - 30:17	equipment [2] - 116:11, 116:14
distancing [1] - 90:13	115:17, 115:18,	easy [1] - 97:4	employee [7] - 33:16,	
-	115:21, 119:23,	<b>EB -1</b> [1] - 29:18	55:18, 58:24, 80:24,	equity [4] - 66:1, 66:6,
distribute [1] - 127:13	119:24	<b>EB -2</b> [1] - 29:18	83:24, 107:8	66:17, 70:3
distributed [1] -	drafted [10] - 70:15,	<b>EB -3</b> [1] - 29:19	employees [2] - 46:2,	equivalent [1] -
127:10	70:17, 80:19, 80:20,	<b>EB-4</b> [1] - 29:19	57:14	130:25
distribution [5] - 19:1,		<b>EB-5</b> [48] - 29:12,	employment [3] -	ERIC [1] - 1:21
24:14, 24:24, 101:1,	80:23, 81:1, 84:12, 115:9, 116:17,	29:14, 29:19, 29:23,	17:9, 29:20, 46:1	<b>Eric</b> [7] - 154:22,
128:1	118:25	30:3, 30:12, 31:5,	end [6] - 13:18, 17:8,	154:23, 155:11,
distributions [3] -		31:8, 33:21, 38:22,	65:4, 79:14, 98:1,	156:14, 160:4,
101:2, 108:25, 128:2	drafting [1] - 120:1	39:7, 39:11, 39:12,	114:14	160:20, 160:24
<b>DISTRICT</b> [2] - 1:1, 1:1	Dragon [1] - 76:14	41:24, 44:25, 45:1,	ended [2] - 14:7,	escrow [2] - 127:14,
divide [1] - 134:16	draw [1] - 101:5	45:3, 45:22, 46:9,	65:19	127:15
divided [1] - 43:20	dual [1] - 59:11	46:10, 46:11, 46:19,	enemies [1] - 144 :1	especially [4] - 52:9,
division [1] - 20:19	<b>due</b> [4] - 61:11, 66:20,	46:21, 47:6, 54:11,	engage [1] - 112:18	145:22, 151:15,
<b>DIVISION</b> [1] - 1:2	68:12, 128:11	57:16, 57:19, 58:23,	England [1] - 36:4	152:25
<b>DO</b> [1] - 163:8	dug [1] - 146:14	58:25, 62:2, 62:21,	enhance [4] - 27:9,	essence [1] - 120:8
document [25] - 43:2,	duly [2] - 5:5, 163:9	63:10, 66:9, 67:13,	31:7, 73:8, 73:25	established [1] - 57:7
43:6, 43:9, 43:12,	<b>during</b> [4] - 54:3,	74:6, 74:8, 75:22,	enter [5] - 31:19,	estate [1] - 16:22
43:13, 43:17, 43:20,	63:19, 104:1, 163:12	76:4, 78:3, 79:20,	55:20, 73:15, 76:25,	estimation [3] - 98:17,
67:5, 71:11, 72:20,	<b>Dutch</b> [9] - 26:18,	82:12, 89:5, 105:5,	83:20	125:7, 146:21
74:25, 76:6, 76:14,	27:18, 28:6, 29:2,	111:20, 136:7,	entered [2] - 33:13,	et [2] - 48:18, 103:9
78:23, 79:1, 79:18,	35:25, 41:7, 44:5,	140:11, 144:10,	80:25	Europe [3] - 35:16,
80:19, 103:22,	44:13, 46:17	150:3	entering [3] - 69:9,	35:23, 44:17
115:9, 117:3, 140:7,	duties [1] - 139:20	econometric [3] -	81:3, 81:4	European [2] - 45:25,
151:17, 158:9,	<b>DVORAK</b> [25] - 2:8,	47:7, 143:20, 150:13	enterprise [1] - 76:15	52:4
160:8, 160:12	78:25, 80:5, 88:2,	<b>Economic</b> [2] - 94:16,	enterprises [2] -	Eve [1] - 15:2
documentation [1] -	88:5, 88:25, 89:2,	99:1	61:12, 61:13	eventually [6] - 22:8,
152:6	89:8, 89:12, 94:20,	economic [2] - 47:13,	entire [9] - 24:24,	38:12, 38:21, 90:17,
documents [14] -	95:5,95:8,104:18,	64:21	28:21, 34:18, 43:12,	92:13, 98:16
7:10, 7:13, 7:14,	104:22, 105:4,	economically [1] -	43:14, 49:1, 121:5,	evidence [7] - 89:3,
7:18, 7:20, 32:17,	131:23, 132:15,	69:3	147:13, 159:12	89:9, 94:20, 95:9,
71:2, 71:16, 71:23,	157:10, 159:4,	economist [6] - 143:4,	entities [6] - 62:22,	130:1, 136:23,
83:23, 84:23,	161:11, 161:18,	143:5, 143:12,	84:8, 106:9, 106:14,	142:15
102:17, 137:23,	161:24, 162:1,	143:13, 143:23,	113:6, 120:10	evolve [1] - 37:22
160:11	162:4, 162:7	150:12	entity [31] - 35:5,	evolved [1] - 110:21
Doe [1] - 1:15	DWIGHT [1] - 1:9	economists [1] -	36:17, 36:25, 37:23,	<b>ex</b> [6] - 14:6, 14:21,
dollar [1] - 106:15		149:25	74:16, 76:25, 77:2,	39:14, 40:2, 143:8,
dollars [2] - 46:12,	E	educated [1] - 159:10	77:17, 77:23, 78:4,	149:25
47:18	<b>E 2</b> (44) 07:0 07 0	educating [1] - 93:8	78:10, 79:6, 79:8,	ex-military [4] - 39:14,
done [35] - 26:8,	<b>E-2</b> [11] - 27:2, 27:3,	effect [3] - 22:8,	81:12, 81:15, 83:10,	40:2, 143:8, 149:25
33:21, 57:5, 61:11,	28:18, 29:10, 35:19,	42:15, 115:17	90:5, 91:1, 92:11,	<b>ex-wife</b> [2] - 14:6,
64:13, 68:18, 68:23,	44:8, 45:1, 46:5,	effective [3] - 31:15,	94:14, 95:21, 99:10,	14:21
72:6, 78:1, 84:1,	46:22, 57:14	32:5, 48:15	99:12, 99:20, 99:23,	exact [6] - 23:23, 83:7,
84:3, 86:6, 97:16,	<b>e-mail</b> [9] - 25:10,	effectively [2] - 98:19,	101:6, 108:2, 108:3,	100:4, 105:22,
99:9, 102:20, 103:5,	93:23, 140:3, 140:6,	150:3	108:20, 145:16,	134:9, 149:1

Case 1:17-cv-01	002-CBK Docume	nt 173-1 Filed 10/1	0/18 Page 48 of 61	PagelD #: 2506
exactly [16] - 5:17,	experience [1] -	family [9] - 29:21,	field [4] - 19:7, 20:15,	fits [2] - 41:23 7
27:25, 50:6, 50:8,	149:21	29:22, 42:17, 42:19,	64:19,99:6	five [5] - 27:25, 66:17,
69:20, 82:17, 88:8,	expert [4] - 20:21,	42:20, 42:21, 43:1,	fifth [1] - 128:11	128:7, 132:5, 132:6
89:25, 100:8,	21:18, 142:21, 144:9	52:21, 57:12	fight [2] - 143:22,	flew [2] - 53:23, 54:1
110:21, 122:18,	expertise [3] - 31:14,	family -based [2] -	152:18	flip [2] - 71:22, 81:18
123:3, 125:8,	143:12, 150:4	29:21, 29:22	fights [1] - 88:9	floor [1] - 157:14
131:12, 145:4,	experts [1] - 20:14	far [2] - 130:1, 133:11	figure [6] - 18:21,	flow [1] - 127:7
147:18	explain [17] - 8:22,	Fargo [1] - 20:19	30:1, 32:19, 67:12,	flows [1] - 126:8
EXAMINATION [2] -	17:16, 20:20, 22:4,	farm [7] - 41:16, 48:6,	91:24, 132:20	flux [1] - 48:2
3:2, 5:7	37:7, 39:7, 39:9,	52:15, 52:20, 52:21,	file [4] - 86:11, 138:9,	<b>fly</b> [2] - 27:7, 30:19
examines [5] - 43:9,	45:22, 63:13, 64:15,	61:25, 62:5	141:19, 147:8	<b>FNB</b> [1] - 61:17
43:13, 140:7,	71:25, 96:10, 96:20,	farmer [2] - 44:5,	filed [3] - 71:8, 147:6,	focused [3] - 18:18,
151:17, 158:9	99:22, 100:9, 108:1,	45:25	147:10	26:14, 40:10
example [5] - 20:16,	110:6	farmers [10] - 26:18,	files [1] - 147:3	focusing [1] - 65:15
20:18, 21:17, 69:12,	explained [2] - 67:6,	27:18, 28:7, 29:3,	filing [1] - 32:17	follow [2] - 6:17, 33:12
133:5	152:24	41:7, 46:18, 52:4,	filings [1] - 86:6	followed [2] - 36:15,
except [1] - 69:21	explaining [1] - 63:17	52:7, 52:12, 53:10	fill [6] - 54:22, 59:1,	67:15
exceptions [1] -	explode [1] - 93:13	farms [11] - 57:4,	59:2, 99:10, 105:10,	following [2] - 116:7,
121:10	export [11] - 18:25,	57:11, 57:22, 57:23,	132:8	117:15
exchange [3] - 9:23,	22:7, 22:9, 37:9,	57:25, 59:11, 60:18,	filled [1] - 114 13	follows [3] - 5:6, 80:9,
9:24, 73:5	37:11, 38:1, 38:16,	62:8, 62:10, 62:16,	filling [1] - 114:14	80:13
excitement [1] - 8:21	39:3, 70:1, 84:3,	63:1	film [2] - 20:6, 20:9	<b>FOR</b> [1] - 1:1
exclusive [1] - 76:24	85:2	fault [1] - 146:5	final [4] - 115:19,	for -profit [5] - 99:12,
excuse [1] - 123:21	export -related [1] -	favorable [1] - 22:12	117:2, 119:7, 149:3	99:19, 99:23, 101:6,
executed [1] - 144:11	38:16	favorably [1] - 144:18	finance [1] - 61:22	108:23
execution [2] - 130:7,	exports [10] - 16:12,	FDA [1] - 63:22	financed [2] - 61:19,	forbearance [14] -
131:1	18:11, 18:14, 18:15,	fears [1] - 149:12	62:2	128:8, 128:9,
EXHIBIT [1] - 3:5	18:22, 22:5, 25:3,	feasible [1] - 69:4	financial [5] - 13:1,	128:14, 128:16,
exhibit [4] - 70:24,	25:5, 25:15, 26:1	February [1] - 58:6	13:8, 15:25, 20:20,	128:18, 147:22,
114:18, 151:10,	extent [3] - 145:19,	Federal [3] - 32:20,	90:11	147:24, 148:4,
153:8	159:5, 160:7	47:9,86:7	financing [9] - 41:15,	148:22, 149:6,
Exhibit [17] - 71:15,	extra [1] - 17:17	fee [19] - 121:5,	61:9, 61:23, 63:22,	153:7, 153:9,
71:19, 72:14, 77:14,	extremely [1] - 39:20	121:13, 121:15,	68:8, 68:17, 111:5,	153:22, 153:23
102:15, 106:5,	eye [1] - 79:13	121:16, 121:24,	111:6, 112:15	forced [2] - 145:19,
114:17, 115:1,	eyes [1] - 62:14	122:4, 122:23,	fine [1] - 114:9	146:10
117:7, 117:8,		123:15, 123:16,	fingers [2] - 56:14,	forceful [4] - 151:14,
139:24, 151:11,	F	123:18, 123:19,	93:20	152:11, 152:13,
153:17, 154:13,		123:20, 126:4,	finish [1] - 142:12	152:21
155:22, 156:8, 156:9	fabulous [1] - 93:16	126:9, 126:10,	finished [1] - 105:11	forces [1] - 124:22
exhibits [3] - 102:21,	face [1] - 143:2	127:4, 127:22,	Firm [2] - 53:14, 141:9	forefront [1] - 31:24
137:22, 138:13	fact [1] - 136:11	128:21, 134:24	firm [12] - 15:18,	foregoing [1] - 163:11
Exhibits [2] - 3:6, 4:24	factor [1] - 61:24	feed [1] - 158:15	15:24, 16:1, 16:4,	Foreign [1] - 75:2
exist [4] - 38:9, 45:2,	facts [2] - 24:10, 24:12	feedback [2] - 52:23,	53:15, 54:8, 54:15,	foreign [29] - 18:25,
79:18, 95:14	failed [1] - 33:25	60:20	54:20, 58:24, 58:25,	19:6, 21:4, 22:1,
existed [1] - 81:13	fair [2] - 125:17,	fees [10] - 116:22,	86:17, 141:17	22:4, 23:9, 26:4,
existence [3] - 100:7,	145:15	124:1, 125:25,	first [37] - 5:5, 6:13,	26:7, 37:12, 38:3,
108:8, 108:9	faith [2] - 55:22, 60:2	126:3, 126:14,	10:23, 11:11, 11:24,	38:13, 38:22, 51:8,
existing [2] - 42:6,	fall [1] - 87:24	127:14, 127:16,	18:3, 22:7, 26:15,	52:11, 52:16, 53:7,
42:8	falling [5] - 14:18,	127:19, 129:14,	31:24, 33:2, 33:4,	57:12,60:21,61:22,
exit [2] - 66:16, 76:11	87:8, 87:11, 88:17,	135:2	39:7, 39:10, 41:1,	62:17, 69:22, 70:1,
expanded [1] - 34:19	89:15	<b>felt</b> [17] - 11:18, 18:12,	43:7, 43:11, 43:25,	75:4, 76:15, 84:6,
expanding [1] - 39:21	falling -out [3] - 87:8,	25:17, 59:10, 66:9,	44:1, 45:24, 50:16,	85:2, 120:14, 135:9,
expansion [1] - 42:9	88:17, 89:15	85:4,92:24,96:13,	52:2,60:16,61:17,	155 :4
expect [2] - 93:13,	fallout [2] - 14:5,	113:10, 113:11,	63:10, 65:9, 65:21,	foreigners [1] - 52:8
149:21	147:13	113:12, 114:8,	78:25, 111:19,	forget [4] - 6:16,
expenses [6] - 115:16,	<b>Falls</b> [3] - 1:22, 2:6,	137:6, 141:21,	111:23, 112:1,	88:10, 124:13,
115:24, 120:25,	20:11	145:22, 158:20,	112:8, 116:13,	134 :20
121:2, 127:11,	falls [1] - 92:6	159:9	116:14, 117:16,	<b>form</b> [3] - 46:17, 57:6,
127:12	families [3] - 42:23,	few [4] - 18:20, 75:23,	148:11, 153:2, 163:8	67:19
expensive [1] - 158:15	42:24	130:18	<b>First</b> [3] - 61:17, 69:13	formal [1] - 147:2

Case 1:17-cv-01	002-CBK Docume	nt 173-1 Filed 10/1	0/18 Page 49 of 61	PageID #: 2507
formalized [2] - 89:25,	<b>Fund</b> [4] - 72:2,	96:7, 98:1, 98:18,	148:10	hands [3] - 56:13, 8
90:1	126:12, 127:2, 127:5	99:17, 110:19	guaranteed [3] -	85:4, 140:24
formation [1] - 83:15	<b>FUND</b> [1] - 1:14	good -faith [1] - 55:22	113:25, 136:6, 136:8	Hanul [44] - 53:14,
formed [7] - 67:20,	<b>fund</b> [2] - 100:10,	goods [2] - 18:16	guarantees [30] -	53:16, 53:17, 54:21,
67:21, 77:17, 78:17,	129:13	government [6] -	65:25, 66:2, 70:5,	59:20, 64:22, 64:24,
83:17, 83:19, 99:24	funded [3] - 87:12,	26:16, 39:14,	109:23, 110:4,	70:20, 70:22, 80:20,
forming [1] - 99:25	116:12, 117:18	143:10, 143:22,	110:24, 110:25,	80:23, 80:24, 84:7,
formula [2] - 49:1,	funding [3] - 52:21,	149:14, 149:15	111:4, 111:7, 111:9,	84:9,96:24,97:16,
111:13	61:14, 109:15	Government [3] -	111:10, 111:20,	103:17, 109:19,
forthcoming [1] -	funds [6] - 22:20,	47:9, 53:8, 86:7	112:5, 112:21,	109:20, 109:25,
147:24	22:24, 62:1, 69:19,	governments [1] -	113:14, 114:4,	115:10, 115:11,
forward [6] - 33:25,	69:21, 70:13	48:17	114:10, 114:12,	116:19, 118:21,
34:1, 107:17,	funneling [2] - 57:21,	Governor [4] - 23:18,	114:13, 116:21,	119:6, 119:11,
109:19, 111:12,	57:24	23:21, 24:1, 26:12	116:23, 117:2,	119:13, 119:21,
150:8	FURTHER [1] - 163:14	Governor 's [8] -	117:3, 136:10,	120:1, 132:19,
forwarded [2] - 7:18,		16:13, 32:4, 33:17,	137:7, 148:9,	137:11, 137:18,
155:1	G	39:2, 94:16, 98:2,	148:16, 151:15,	137:21, 139:3,
four [11] - 12:22,		98:22, 98:25	152:12, 152:22	139:12, 140:16,
12:25, 13:2, 27:25,	gaining [2] - 136:16,	<b>GP</b> [8] - 82:20, 100:11,	guard [1] - 146:7	140:17, 140:25,
42:24, 48:7, 96:1,	136:19	108:5, 126:4, 126:5,	guess [22] - 5:17, 6:1,	141:8, 144:12,
131:19, 131:20,	game [3] - 34:4, 55:10,	126:6, 126:11,	14:14, 16:2, 21:5,	147:7, 147:23
132:5	112:10	126:12	30:21, 40:18, 45:12,	Hanul 's [2] - 80:21,
<b>FPL</b> [6] - 112:25,	gas [1] - 106:12	grab [1] - 146:21	49:13,95:16,	96:18
113:3, 113:11,	gathered [1] - 31:3	grades [1] - 8:25	105:23, 105:24,	happy [1] - 156:4
114:1, 125:21,	general [16] - 100:13,	graduate [6] - 9:18,	121:5, 131:19,	hard [3] - 51:8, 76:23,
132:11	100:17, 100:18,	10:9, 10:12, 11:14,	131:21, 132:5,	135:4
frame [3] - 58:12,	101:7, 101:12,	87:13, 87:16	134:4, 138:2, 145:6,	harder [3] - 66:18,
58:13, 104:1	101:16, 101:19,	graduated [1] - 9:19	145:12, 147:11,	132:8, 152:2
fraud [1] - 33:23	108:9, 120:5,	Graham [4] - 17:13,	161:11	haul [1] - 50:5
fraudulent [1] - 31:20	120:24, 140:11,	18:3, 35:11, 35:12	guessing [4] - 10:25,	HAVEN [1] - 2:2
free [1] - 84:10	142:3, 157:20,	grain [2] - 24:24, 25:4	35:9, 66:7, 102:11	Hawaii [2] - 62:15,
Freedom [1] - 138:2	158:3, 158:24, 159:2	Grain [6] - 10:23, 11:6,	guide [1] - 68:20	62:23
friction [1] - 52:10	generally [1] - 122:19	11:7, 14:22, 14:23,	GUSTAFSON [1] - 1:8	haywire [1] - 85:17
friend [1] - 87:12	generate [2] - 22:20,	24:22	<b>guy</b> [1] - 148:21	head [4] - 6:16, 30:12,
friends [2] - 16:20,	33:14	grains [1] - 24:19	<b>guys</b> [5] - 32:8,	40:8, 144:19
88:19	generated [3] - 22:24,	Grand [2] - 106:25,	102:18, 110:20,	headlines [1] - 93:21
front [4] - 70:25,	69:19, 76:2	117:17	113:1, 140:25	hear [2] - 106:24,
114:17, 117:13,	generating [1] - 69:21	grandfather [1] -		109:15
156:1	gentleman [1] - 54:20	150:7	Н	heard [3] - 24:4, 24:5,
frontier [1] - 31:24	geographic [1] - 35:6	grandiose [2] - 85:2,	<b>H-1</b> [1] - 11:4	78:25
froze [1] - 98:8	geographical [1] -	85:3	<b>H-E</b> [1] - 74:20	heavily [2] - 125:12
frustrated [2] -	60:25	granted [1] - 15:9	<b>H-O-N-G</b> [1] - 72:25	heck [1] - 140:25
133:20, 133:23	GEORGE [1] - 1:8	grasp [1] - 25:19	Haar [2] - 43:4, 141:4	<b>HEIDEPRIEM</b> [7] - 2:5,
frustrating [1] -	<b>Georgia</b> [4] - 11:21,	green [5] - 35:20,	habit [1] - 142:25	2:5, 71:11, 106:2,
152:25	11:22, 11:25, 13:19	58:18,66:18,	half [3] - 11:8, 128:5,	161:20, 161:25,
frustration [1] -	<b>given</b> [5] - 6:5, 94:15,	150:16, 150:18	128:6	162:5
141:13	96:16, 98:5, 119:19	grew [5] - 40:21,	Hall [4] - 17:13, 18:3,	held [1] - 19:10
<b>FSA's</b> [1] - 53:7	glanced [1] - 139:19	40:22, 43:8	35:11, 35:12	Hellwig [4] - 63:6,
fulfill [1] - 59:8	Global [1] - 44:1	gritty [1] - 141:2	halt [1] - 85:6	63:7, 63:8, 63:14
	COAC 101 02.2 00.0			
fulfilled [1] - 83:14	<b>GOAC</b> [2] - 92:2, 98:8	ground [1] - 111:3		help [11] - 16:9, 18:22,
full [3] - 113:23,	<b>goal</b> [5] - 18:11,	<b>group</b> [5] - 24:2, 40:3,	hammered [1] - 107:15	32:12, 32:17, 39:21,
<b>full</b> [3] - 113:23, 135:24, 150:17	<b>goal</b> [5] - 18:11, 150:14, 150:16,	<b>group</b> [5] - 24:2, 40:3, 109:17, 160:2, 161:4	hammered [1] - 107:15	32:12, 32:17, 39:21, 41:17, 44:19, 59:7,
full [3] - 113:23, 135:24, 150:17 fully [2] - 62:2, 73:14	<b>goal</b> [5] - 18:11, 150:14, 150:16, 150:17, 150:21	<b>group</b> [5] - 24:2, 40:3, 109:17, 160:2, 161:4 <b>groups</b> [1] - 42:16	hammered [1] - 107 :15 Hanbly [1] - 148 :20	32:12, 32:17, 39:21, 41:17, 44:19, 59:7, 138:13, 144:23,
full [3] - 113:23, 135:24, 150:17 fully [2] - 62:2, 73:14 function [2] - 59:11,	goal [5] - 18:11, 150:14, 150:16, 150:17, 150:21 goals [1] - 32:6	group [5] - 24:2, 40:3, 109:17, 160:2, 161:4 groups [1] - 42:16 grow [1] - 113:17	hammered [1] - 107:15 Hanbly [1] - 148:20 hand [8] - 114:19,	32:12, 32:17, 39:21, 41:17, 44:19, 59:7, 138:13, 144:23, 145:24
full [3] - 113:23, 135:24, 150:17 fully [2] - 62:2, 73:14 function [2] - 59:11, 140:16	goal [5] - 18:11, 150:14, 150:16, 150:17, 150:21 goals [1] - 32:6 God [1] - 143:16	group [5] - 24:2, 40:3, 109:17, 160:2, 161:4 groups [1] - 42:16 grow [1] - 113:17 guarantee [11] -	hammered [1] - 107 :15 Hanbly [1] - 148 :20	32:12, 32:17, 39:21, 41:17, 44:19, 59:7, 138:13, 144:23, 145:24 helped [3] - 11:5,
full [3] - 113:23, 135:24, 150:17 fully [2] - 62:2, 73:14 function [2] - 59:11, 140:16 functional [1] - 77:22	<pre>goal [5] - 18:11, 150:14, 150:16, 150:17, 150:21 goals [1] - 32:6 God [1] - 143:16 GOED [18] - 16:11,</pre>	group [5] - 24:2, 40:3, 109:17, 160:2, 161:4 groups [1] - 42:16 grow [1] - 113:17 guarantee [11] - 70:11, 110:23,	hammered [1] - 107:15 Hanbly [1] - 148:20 hand [8] - 114:19, 117:5, 132:9, 151:9,	32:12, 32:17, 39:21, 41:17, 44:19, 59:7, 138:13, 144:23, 145:24 helped [3] - 11:5, 30:1, 87:15
full [3] - 113:23, 135:24, 150:17 fully [2] - 62:2, 73:14 function [2] - 59:11, 140:16 functional [1] - 77:22 functioning [2] - 59:9,	<pre>goal [5] - 18:11, 150:14, 150:16, 150:17, 150:21 goals [1] - 32:6 God [1] - 143:16 GOED [18] - 16:11, 22:14, 23:7, 23:14,</pre>	group [5] - 24:2, 40:3, 109:17, 160:2, 161:4 groups [1] - 42:16 grow [1] - 113:17 guarantee [11] - 70:11, 110:23, 113:12, 114:6,	hammered [1] - 107:15 Hanbly [1] - 148:20 hand [8] - 114:19, 117:5, 132:9, 151:9, 155:7, 155:17,	32:12, 32:17, 39:21, 41:17, 44:19, 59:7, 138:13, 144:23, 145:24 helped [3] - 11:5, 30:1, 87:15 helping [2] - 119:23,
full [3] - 113:23, 135:24, 150:17 fully [2] - 62:2, 73:14 function [2] - 59:11, 140:16 functional [1] - 77:22 functioning [2] - 59:9, 59:22	<b>goal</b> [5] - 18:11, 150:14, 150:16, 150:17, 150:21 <b>goals</b> [1] - 32:6 <b>God</b> [1] - 143:16 <b>GOED</b> [18] - 16:11, 22:14, 23:7, 23:14, 23:16, 26:6, 38:18,	group [5] - 24:2, 40:3, 109:17, 160:2, 161:4 groups [1] - 42:16 grow [1] - 113:17 guarantee [11] - 70:11, 110:23, 113:12, 114:6, 116:11, 117:18,	hammered [1] - 107:15 Hanbly [1] - 148:20 hand [8] - 114:19, 117:5, 132:9, 151:9, 155:7, 155:17, 156:4, 163:18	32:12, 32:17, 39:21, 41:17, 44:19, 59:7, 138:13, 144:23, 145:24 helped [3] - 11:5, 30:1, 87:15 helping [2] - 119:23, 120:12
full [3] - 113:23, 135:24, 150:17 fully [2] - 62:2, 73:14 function [2] - 59:11, 140:16 functional [1] - 77:22 functioning [2] - 59:9, 59:22 functions [2] - 37:14,	<pre>goal [5] - 18:11, 150:14, 150:16, 150:17, 150:21 goals [1] - 32:6 God [1] - 143:16 GOED [18] - 16:11, 22:14, 23:7, 23:14, 23:16, 26:6, 38:18, 60:19, 90:5, 92:10,</pre>	group [5] - 24:2, 40:3, 109:17, 160:2, 161:4 groups [1] - 42:16 grow [1] - 113:17 guarantee [11] - 70:11, 110:23, 113:12, 114:6, 116:11, 117:18, 120:17, 136:7,	hammered [1] - 107:15 Hanbly [1] - 148:20 hand [8] - 114:19, 117:5, 132:9, 151:9, 155:7, 155:17, 156:4, 163:18 handle [1] - 50:9	32:12, 32:17, 39:21, 41:17, 44:19, 59:7, 138:13, 144:23, 145:24 helped [3] - 11:5, 30:1, 87:15 helping [2] - 119:23, 120:12 helps [1] - 27:12
full [3] - 113:23, 135:24, 150:17 fully [2] - 62:2, 73:14 function [2] - 59:11, 140:16 functional [1] - 77:22 functioning [2] - 59:9, 59:22	<b>goal</b> [5] - 18:11, 150:14, 150:16, 150:17, 150:21 <b>goals</b> [1] - 32:6 <b>God</b> [1] - 143:16 <b>GOED</b> [18] - 16:11, 22:14, 23:7, 23:14, 23:16, 26:6, 38:18,	group [5] - 24:2, 40:3, 109:17, 160:2, 161:4 groups [1] - 42:16 grow [1] - 113:17 guarantee [11] - 70:11, 110:23, 113:12, 114:6, 116:11, 117:18,	hammered [1] - 107:15 Hanbly [1] - 148:20 hand [8] - 114:19, 117:5, 132:9, 151:9, 155:7, 155:17, 156:4, 163:18 handle [1] - 50:9 handled [1] - 155:8	32:12, 32:17, 39:21, 41:17, 44:19, 59:7, 138:13, 144:23, 145:24 helped [3] - 11:5, 30:1, 87:15 helping [2] - 119:23, 120:12

Case 1:17-cv-01002-CBK Document 173-1 Filed 10/10/18 Page 50 of 61 Pagel	) #. <b>25</b> 08
herein [2] - 80:9, HOUCA [1] - 75:18 125:10 indirect [9] - 47:7, 121:15	, 127:18,   9
80:12 Hutchinson [1] - IN [1] - 1:1 47:12, 47:25, 48:4, 127:25	, 128:4,
	128:10,
	, 128:15,
	, 128:17,
•	
L 20 m 28:11 20:18	, 128:22,
120.20, 104.24 [1] - 120.21	, 128:25,
	129:16,
	, 136:19,
124:12 137:10, 137:12 83:23, 83:25, 84:5, 117:20 148:19	, 158:11,
highest [2] - 113:22, I-526 s [2] - 138:20, 85:14, 85:19, 85:25, individuals '[1] - 159:11	
	<b>d</b> [9] - 19:15,
	55:7, 60:21,
	4:7, 120:12,
	ng [1] - 13:5
nire [21] - 15.20, 51.5, 49.17, 67.14, interest	[1] - 146:20
	onal [13] -
41.20, 40.1, 41.20,	16:6, 16:16,
····, ·····, ·····, ·····,	7:25, 20:19,
	21:14, 21:19,
141:17, 143:4, idea [4] - 17:2, 91:13, 101:19, 107:5, 152:10 22:9, 2	2:21, 24:6,
143:12, 143:23, 111:10, 136:25 107:19, 107:21, Information [1] - 67:2	
	onal [7] -
	36:20, 37:20,
	69:23, 72:16,
hired [8] - 12:7, 20:17, 4:24, 106:5, 115:1, 121:21, 122:2, 69:14, 87:10, 105:8, 80:22	,
	onally [1] -
	Shany [1]
	27.0
	[1] - 37:8
	atory [1] -
113:14, 114:3,         identify         [1] - 71:11         INC         [1] - 1:12         124:7         157:11	
	<b>e</b> [1] - 64:22
hit [1] - 79:13 48:24, 49:7, 49:18 inception [1] - 90:2 80:15, 81:7, 149:16 introduc	ed [1] - 53:9
hold [4] - 58:19, illogical [2] - 148:15, inch [1] - 142:4 instilled [1] - 69:2 inundate	<b>d</b> [5] - 50:22,
121:11, 142:12, 148:17 inclination [1] - institute [1] - 80:4 52:2, 5	7:20, 58:14,
160:24         imagine [2] - 125:10,         158:10         Institute [4] - 36:20,         58:17	
	1 - 46:12,
	47:18, 57:7,
15.6 15.7 installing on 70.40	,
	[2] - 65:3,
70.22	<sub>[2]</sub> - 00.0,
	E2:0
	<b>g</b> [2] - 53:9,
72:25, 73:16, 74:5, 51:19 72:1, 91:6, 94:8 96:17 65:24	
	<b>IENT</b> [1] -
75:12, 77:3, 129:21, immigration [16] - 22:19, 94:11, 94:19, 90:21 1:14	
	ent [32] - 21:4,
	3:9, 26:7,
hope [3] - 57:22, 55:13, 59:9, 119:5, 98:2, 121:19, 147:1 12:24, 13:14 37:12,	38:3, 38:13,
	41:1, 45:22,
	8:18,60:25,
	6:10,69:22,
······································	9:21, 82:13,
70.19, 04.17, 07.1,	84:6, 85:3,
	, 113:21,
implies (4) 29:19 Interpreter (1) 12:22	, 121:22,
interest [32] - 20.20, 122.6	125:16,
$105.10  102.1, \qquad 105.10, \qquad 105.10$	, 135:12,
102.0, 102.4 Strangers and 05.5 Strangers (1997) 102.0, 1125.20	
Houca [2] - 75:15, imports [1] - 25:5 indicates [1] - 109:14 61:18, 116:4, 135:22	, 136:16
	MA 151 70.4
	ent [5] - 72:1,

Case 1:17-cv-01	002-CBK Docume	nt 173-1 Filed 10/1	0/18 Page 51 of 61	PagelD #: 2509
126:12, 127:2,	12:16, 13:16	K	land [2] - 42:4, 52:8	Letter [1] - 3:12 10
127:5, 129:13	JACKSON [2] - 1:21,		landed [1] - 29:23	letter [9] - 41:22,
investment -related	2:2	<b>K&amp;K</b> [1] - 45:17	language [3] - 116:17,	71:21, 118:15,
[1] - 84:6	James [24] - 51:24,	<b>KASEY</b> [1] - 2:5	116:18, 118:16	142:3, 151:12,
investments [5] -	52:1, 53:11, 55:15,	Kasey [3] - 103:19,	large [3] - 24:23,	153:18, 156:20,
22:5, 26:4, 57:5,	56:23, 58:15, 58:20,	106:2, 131:23	42:16, 52:20	157:2, 159:12
61:22, 125:5	58:21, 59:1, 59:21,	keen [2] - 61:18, 63:4	larger [1] - 57:22	letters [1] - 20:21
investor [10] - 52:16,	64:4, 79:11, 80:24,	keep [4] - 101:25,	last [5] - 30:9, 56:19,	level [5] - 69:3, 98:13,
65:7, 66:7, 66:17,	80:25, 81:3, 84:7,	123:2, 124:9, 153:8	71:22, 77:19, 141:16	112:13, 114:2, 135:7
79:23, 82:16, 123:7,	84:21, 85:19, 92:5,	Kenards [1] - 15:18	lasting [1] - 21:21	Liability [2] - 1:7, 1:15
126:23, 135:10,	96:8,96:12,96:18,	<b>KENNETH</b> [1] - 1:7	latched [1] - 54:6	licensed [3] - 53:11,
158:20	131:15, 131:16	<b>KERKVLEIT</b> [7] - 1:21,	late [1] - 30:20	155:11, 155:14
investors [30] - 41:6,	Janklow [6] - 22:6,	77:13, 102:17,	latest [2] - 119:11,	licenses [1] - 55:17
50:19, 51:19, 53:2,	22:8, 23:1, 24:1,	159:23, 160:6,	138:10	life [2] - 28:21, 29:5
57:7, 59:10, 60:21,	24:3	160:16, 161:19	law [7] - 53:15, 79:23,	lighten [1] - 161:5
61:22, 62:17, 64:15,	January [2] - 67:20,	keynote [1] - 67:4	86:17, 136:9,	likelihood [1] - 125:23
64:20, 65:10, 65:12,	71:8	kids [1] - 29:5	141:17, 155:11,	likely [2] - 89:2, 134:4
65:21, 66:1, 74:17,	Jay [1] - 156:25	Kim [1] - 137:20	160:1	limbo [1] - 152:19
81:17, 82:6, 82:19,	<b>Jeff</b> [4] - 154:25,	<b>kind</b> [32] - 12:2, 13:9,	Law [6] - 1:22, 2:3,	limit [3] - 74:10, 160:9,
109:18, 113:20,	155:2, 155:7, 155:8	17:7, 17:19, 29:19,	2:6, 2:9, 53:14,	160:11
118:10, 120:14,	<b>Jenny</b> [1] - 154:17	31:11, 31:23, 32:7,	141 :9	limited [30] - 68:20,
135:12, 135:21,	jerk [1] - 143:17	34:19, 55:25, 56:8,	lawsuit [14] - 85:5,	99:25, 100:15,
150:20, 155:4,	<b>Jing</b> [12] - 72:18,	57:3, 69:10, 74:16,	85:6, 85:16, 89:5,	101:7, 101:20,
158:18, 160:5	72:25, 73:16, 74:5,	79:13, 87:3, 87:11,	93:18, 96:21, 96:24,	105:17, 119:18,
investors '[1] - 147:17	74:14, 74:15, 74:21,	88:1, 96:24, 111:6,	97:3, 97:10, 97:11,	120:8, 125:6, 125:7,
invite [2] - 19:8, 27:8	75:12, 77:3, 129:21,	111:11, 113:16,	97:19, 98:7, 103:23	125:25, 127:17,
involve [1] - 14:19	129:23, 130:25	123:15, 133:4,	lawyer [2] - 155:3,	127:23, 127:24,
involved [20] - 38:1,	<b>JING</b> [1] - 72:25	133:9, 135:17,	155:6	128:2, 129:2, 129:8,
38:13, 41:14, 54:11,	<b>job</b> [24] - 6:14, 10:23,	143:17, 145:18,	lawyers [1] - 7:8	141:6, 149:3,
54:15, 69:15, 80:1,	15:17, 26:9, 38:4,	151:18, 158:18,	lead [4] - 9:7, 20:21,	150:15, 152:14,
83:10, 85:9, 85:10,	46:15, 47:5, 48:5,	159:20	89:2, 89:9	154:17, 157:20,
85:20, 91:5, 98:10,	48:12, 48:15, 50:3,	kinds [1] - 41:9	leads [1] - 19:1	158:4, 158:13,
119:5, 139:6,	50:10, 50:13, 57:17,	knee [1] - 143:17	learn [1] - 29:13	158:23, 159:9,
139:12, 141:2,	61:24, 61:25, 64:21,	knee -jerk [1] - 143:17		160:5, 160:21,
141:24, 150:21,	69:6, 134:1, 142:15,	knowledge [2] -	learning [2] - 149:17, 149:22	160:23
155:2	142:16, 152:7, 159:9	41:23, 145:23	least [5] - 9:3, 117:1,	<b>LIMITED</b> [2] - 1:3, 1:13
involvement [1] -	<b>jobs</b> [11] - 46:16, 47:2,	<b>known</b> [1] - 157:5	144:2, 150:13,	Limited [4] - 1:4, 1:7,
27:12	47:7, 47:13, 47:22,	knows [1] - 142:21	144.2, 150.15, 150:14	1:14, 1:14
Ira [2] - 141:17	47:24, 47:25, 48:6,	Korea [13] - 51:4,		limiting [1] - 61:24
Ireland [1] - 36:4	48:7, 48:11, 50:18	51:5, 51:17, 53:4,	leave [1] - 162:5	Linda [26] - 74:16,
irregardless [1] - 74:4	<b>John</b> [9] - 1:15, 73:19,	53:16, 54:3, 58:17,	leaving [1] - 27:18	74:20, 74:21, 75:9,
issuance [5] - 115:16,	85:17, 91:5, 91:18,	58:19, 64:4, 64:10,	LEBRUN [2] - 1:21,	75:20, 76:12, 76:16,
115:24, 122:23,	91:20, 91:22, 92:3	68:14, 123:6	2:2	102:11, 102:14,
123:20, 134:24	joint [1] - 141:8	<b>Korean</b> [3] - 53:15,	led [1] - 58:19	102:23, 103:10,
<b>issue</b> [7] - 86:24, 92:7,	<b>JOOP</b> [2] - 1:12, 5:4	54:2, 59:10	left [8] - 39:22, 54:20,	103:13, 104:3,
92:9, 96:25, 97:1,	<b>Joop</b> [19] - 1:17, 3:7,	<b>Kurtzban</b> [2] - 141:17,	54:21, 57:1, 58:25,	105:18, 105:19,
139:1, 140:15	3:8, 3:12, 4:1, 5:2,	141:18	86:12, 93:14, 145:13	123:8, 123:12,
issued [3] - 86:21,	5:10, 5:11, 8:11,	KURTZBAN [1] -	legal [19] - 35:5,	124:25, 129:25,
91:1, 117:18	56:22, 71:13, 77:14,	141:18	36:17, 36:25, 37:23,	130:6, 130:8,
issues [2] - 73:21,	102:16, 106:24,	141.10	59:9, 73:20, 80:5,	130:19, 131:3,
155:1	132:18, 155:24,	L	84:10, 84:12, 94:14,	132:19, 134:13,
issuing [3] - 120:25,	161:5, 161:13, 162:1		95:21, 95:23,	135:2
121:2, 135:2	<b>Joop 's</b> [1] - 3:6	<b>L.A</b> [1] - 11:24	154:17, 154:19, 154:25, 155:17	Linda 's [3] - 76:1,
itself [2] - 40:13,	judgment [1] - 158:17	L.L.C [1] - 1:6	154:25, 155:17,	104:13, 104:17
127:24	<b>Julie</b> [3] - 79:4,	L.L.P [3] - 2:5, 2:9, 4:2	158:14, 158:21, 150:14	line [1] - 13:25
ITV [1] - 19:23	104:16, 106:17	labor [2] - 17:15,	159:14	liquor [7] - 13:22,
	JULIE [1] - 2:8	17:17	lend [2] - 61:10, 61:12	13:23, 13:24, 14:1,
J	jumped [1] - 105:11	lack [1] - 141:20	lent [1] - 108:3	14:4
J	June [1] - 157:22	lady [1] - 148:19	less [5] - 14:13, 48:11,	listed [1] - 160:8
<b>J.P</b> [4] - 12:7, 12:12,	<b>valio</b> [i] = 101.22	laid [1] - 24:10	141:22, 143:25	listen [3] - 142:21,
			lethargic [1] - 143:10	131011 [J] - 142.21,

51 of 61 sheets

Case 1:17-cv-01	DOZ-CBK Docume	nt 173-1 Filed 10/1	0/18 Page 52 of 6:	. PagelD #: 2510
144:6, 146:19	15:17, 22:11, 31:6,	management [15] -	matter [4] - 26:21,	70:21,83:2 11
listening [1] - 68:15	43:2, 102:7, 126:23	10:15, 78:10, 78:14,	70:6, 125:3, 139:22	mention [2] - 106:8,
listing [1] - 43:5	looking [15] - 15:18,	80:1, 83:10, 98:5,	Maurice [1] - 30:9	117:3
litigation [3] - 71:19,	16:5, 18:9, 26:19,	121:15, 125:25,	Maury 's [1] - 32:5	mentioned [15] - 21:9,
137:2, 141:19	30:3, 33:25, 34:1,	126:3, 126:4,	maximum [1] - 117:15	33:23, 45:24, 47:5,
live [3] - 20:9, 25:12,	54:21, 57:6, 81:15,	126:10, 126:14,	mayor [2] - 16:18,	53:6, 60:18, 68:7,
29:5	109:14, 113:8,	127:4, 127:22,	16:20	81:11, 81:23, 84:5,
lives [2] - 30:16, 30:17	117:4, 143:13, 156:9	129:14	<b>MBA</b> [2] - 10:17, 10:18	98:6, 99:8, 147:24,
LLC [29] - 72:2, 77:11,	<b>looks</b> [4] - 43:19,	manages [1] - 82:20	MCCOMSEY [1] -	149:24, 155:5
77:12, 77:17, 77:22,	75:15, 103:6, 104:2	managing [4] - 35:9,	163:5	merely [1] - 78:8
78:2, 78:12, 79:16,	loop [1] - 154:11	60:6, 79:22, 82:15	McComsey [3] - 1:24,	merit [1] - 50:18
79:19, 80:2, 80:16,	loosened [1] - 66:11	mandamus [4] -	4:5, 163:21	<b>mess</b> [3] - 99:4, 146:5,
81:1, 81:4, 81:7,	losing [1] - 69:8	141:20, 147:6,	mean [23] - 9:6, 18:14,	146:10
82:9, 82:11, 82:20,	low [2] - 46:8, 132:12	152:25, 153:7	22:17, 33:10, 44:3,	<b>met</b> [6] - 14:23, 30:7,
83:3, 100:10,	loyalty [1] - 87:13	manipulate [1] - 56:9	81:10, 84:21, 87:24,	53:8, 55:13, 58:20,
100:11, 100:13,	LP [2] - 82:17, 100:11	manner [1] - 149:18	104:23, 104:25,	63:16
100:17, 101:12, 101:16, 101:17,	<b>LP1</b> [6] - 81:8, 82:5,	manufactured [1] -	107:13, 119:15,	<b>Meyer</b> [8] - 73:19,
108:5, 108:10,	82:25, 100:5,	18:16	127:23, 130:9,	85:17, 91:5, 91:18,
108:11, 108:14	100:11, 103:8	manufacturing [5] - 18:23, 24:20, 25:2,	130:12, 131:24, 133:25, 134:22,	91:20, 91:22, 92:3 MICHAEL [1] - 1:8
LLC 2 [5] - 1:14,	<b>LP2</b> [8] - 81:8, 82:25, 100:5, 103:9,	18.23, 24.20, 25.2, 25:7, 25:15	133:25, 134:22, 142:14, 142:23,	Michigan [2] - 29:1,
126:12, 127:3,	100:5, 103:9, 105:15, 107:24,	MARC [1] - 1:9	142:14, 142:23, 142:24, 143:5,	35:18
127:5, 129:13	108:2, 108:6	March [2] - 1:18, 4:4	142.24, 143.3, 149:22	micro [1] - 142:2
LLCs [1] - 99:25	LP 3 [3] - 81:9, 82:25,	March [2] - 1.18, 4.4 Mark [1] - 148:6	mean -spirited [3] -	middle [2] - 80:10,
loan [21] - 66:7, 66:14,	103:9	mark [3] - 151:9,	142:14, 142:23,	159:20
66:15, 66:19, 67:6,	LP4 [2] - 82:25, 103:9	153:16, 154:12	142:24	<b>might</b> [12] - 13:4, 64:5,
67:13, 68:4, 68:5,	LP6 [1] - 65:17	marked [16] - 3:7,	meaning [1] - 158:6	70:18, 77:7, 77:18,
68:10, 72:6, 99:8,	LPs [1] - 148:13	4:24, 70:25, 71:17,	means [2] - 17:16,	97:16, 118:21,
106:15, 112:3,	lunch [2] - 56:16,	72:14, 106:5,	158:10	124:21, 129:22,
112:20, 118:25,	56:18	114:17, 115:1,	meant [1] - 159:13	139:19, 144:2, 149:3
121:13, 121:23,	LYNN [2] - 1:21, 2:2	117:5, 117:7, 117:8,	mechanisms [1] -	migration [1] - 75:18
145:9, 145:14,				
, TTO. 17, TTO. 17,		151:11, 153:17,	158:19	Mike [1] - 63:2
153:15	м	. 151:11, 153:17, 154:13, 155:22,	158:19 <b>meet</b> [8] - 11:13,	
	М			<b>Mike</b> [1] - 63:2
153:15 <b>local</b> [6] - 52:7, 52:12, 57:22, 57:25, 64:20,	M-A-U-R-I-C-E [1] -	154:13, 155:22,	<b>meet</b> [8] - 11:13,	Mike [1] - 63:2 military [4] - 39:14,
153:15 <b>local</b> [6] - 52:7, 52:12,	<b>M-A-U-R-I-C-E</b> [1] - 30:9	154:13, 155:22, 156:8	<b>meet</b> [8] - 11:13, 14:21, 21:14, 30:6,	Mike [1] - 63:2 military [4] - 39:14, 40:2, 143:8, 149:25
153:15 local [6] - 52:7, 52:12, 57:22, 57:25, 64:20, 125:12 located [1] - 19:18	M-A-U-R-I-C-E [1] - 30:9 macro [2] - 142:1,	154:13, 155:22, 156:8 <b>market</b> [9] - 18:4, 26:20, 28:11, 62:12, 74:5, 74:9, 75:22,	<b>meet</b> [8] - 11:13, 14:21, 21:14, 30:6, 30:14, 31:23, 44:11,	Mike [1] - 63:2 military [4] - 39:14, 40:2, 143:8, 149:25 milk [4] - 26:17, 28:11,
153:15 local [6] - 52:7, 52:12, 57:22, 57:25, 64:20, 125:12 located [1] - 19:18 location [2] - 34:15,	M-A-U-R-I-C-E [1] - 30:9 macro [2] - 142:1, 142:5	154:13, 155:22, 156:8 <b>market</b> [9] - 18:4, 26:20, 28:11, 62:12, 74:5, 74:9, 75:22, 114:10, 124:11	<b>meet</b> [8] - 11:13, 14:21, 21:14, 30:6, 30:14, 31:23, 44:11, 46:4	Mike [1] - 63:2 military [4] - 39:14, 40:2, 143:8, 149:25 milk [4] - 26:17, 28:11, 36:2, 50:5
153:15 local [6] - 52:7, 52:12, 57:22, 57:25, 64:20, 125:12 located [1] - 19:18 location [2] - 34:15, 46:25	M-A-U-R-I-C-E [1] - 30:9 macro [2] - 142:1, 142:5 mail [13] - 3:10, 3:11,	154:13, 155:22, 156:8 <b>market</b> [9] - 18:4, 26:20, 28:11, 62:12, 74:5, 74:9, 75:22, 114:10, 124:11 <b>marketable</b> [7] -	<pre>meet [8] - 11:13, 14:21, 21:14, 30:6, 30:14, 31:23, 44:11, 46:4 meeting [11] - 63:15,</pre>	Mike [1] - 63:2 military [4] - 39:14, 40:2, 143:8, 149:25 milk [4] - 26:17, 28:11, 36:2, 50:5 million [17] - 14:3,
153:15 local [6] - 52:7, 52:12, 57:22, 57:25, 64:20, 125:12 located [1] - 19:18 location [2] - 34:15, 46:25 locked [1] - 162:8	M-A-U-R-I-C-E [1] - 30:9 macro [2] - 142:1, 142:5 mail [13] - 3:10, 3:11, 3:11, 3:12, 25:10,	154:13, 155:22, 156:8 market [9] - 18:4, 26:20, 28:11, 62:12, 74:5, 74:9, 75:22, 114:10, 124:11 marketable [7] - 25:17, 109:22,	<pre>meet [8] - 11:13, 14:21, 21:14, 30:6, 30:14, 31:23, 44:11, 46:4 meeting [11] - 63:15, 66:13, 66:24, 98:20, 148:25, 153:20, 154:3, 154:5,</pre>	Mike [1] - 63:2 military [4] - 39:14, 40:2, 143:8, 149:25 milk [4] - 26:17, 28:11, 36:2, 50:5 million [17] - 14:3, 46:12, 47:18, 62:8, 65:11, 65:18, 65:22, 93:17, 116:2, 116:9,
153:15 local [6] - 52:7, 52:12, 57:22, 57:25, 64:20, 125:12 located [1] - 19:18 location [2] - 34:15, 46:25 locked [1] - 162:8 log [1] - 160:9	M-A-U-R-I-C-E [1] - 30:9 macro [2] - 142:1, 142:5 mail [13] - 3:10, 3:11, 3:11, 3:12, 25:10, 93:23, 140:3, 140:6,	154:13, 155:22, 156:8 market [9] - 18:4, 26:20, 28:11, 62:12, 74:5, 74:9, 75:22, 114:10, 124:11 marketable [7] - 25:17, 109:22, 110:7, 110:8,	<pre>meet [8] - 11:13, 14:21, 21:14, 30:6, 30:14, 31:23, 44:11, 46:4 meeting [11] - 63:15, 66:13, 66:24, 98:20, 148:25, 153:20, 154:3, 154:5, 154:15, 157:19,</pre>	Mike [1] - 63:2 military [4] - 39:14, 40:2, 143:8, 149:25 milk [4] - 26:17, 28:11, 36:2, 50:5 million [17] - 14:3, 46:12, 47:18, 62:8, 65:11, 65:18, 65:22, 93:17, 116:2, 116:9, 117:15, 117:21,
153:15 local [6] - 52:7, 52:12, 57:22, 57:25, 64:20, 125:12 located [1] - 19:18 location [2] - 34:15, 46:25 locked [1] - 162:8 log [1] - 160:9 logic [5] - 90:14,	M-A-U-R-I-C-E [1] - 30:9 macro [2] - 142:1, 142:5 mail [13] - 3:10, 3:11, 3:11, 3:12, 25:10, 93:23, 140:3, 140:6, 140:10, 141:3,	154:13, 155:22, 156:8 market [9] - 18:4, 26:20, 28:11, 62:12, 74:5, 74:9, 75:22, 114:10, 124:11 marketable [7] - 25:17, 109:22, 110:7, 110:8, 110:10, 113:15,	<pre>meet [8] - 11:13, 14:21, 21:14, 30:6, 30:14, 31:23, 44:11, 46:4 meeting [11] - 63:15, 66:13, 66:24, 98:20, 148:25, 153:20, 154:3, 154:5, 154:15, 157:19, 159:16</pre>	Mike [1] - 63:2 military [4] - 39:14, 40:2, 143:8, 149:25 milk [4] - 26:17, 28:11, 36:2, 50:5 million [17] - 14:3, 46:12, 47:18, 62:8, 65:11, 65:18, 65:22, 93:17, 116:2, 116:9, 117:15, 117:21, 118:2, 118:14,
153:15 local [6] - 52:7, 52:12, 57:22, 57:25, 64:20, 125:12 located [1] - 19:18 location [2] - 34:15, 46:25 locked [1] - 162:8 log [1] - 160:9 logic [5] - 90:14, 122:17, 151:18,	M-A-U-R-I-C-E [1] - 30:9 macro [2] - 142:1, 142:5 mail [13] - 3:10, 3:11, 3:11, 3:12, 25:10, 93:23, 140:3, 140:6, 140:10, 141:3, 141:8, 154:15,	154:13, 155:22, 156:8 market [9] - 18:4, 26:20, 28:11, 62:12, 74:5, 74:9, 75:22, 114:10, 124:11 marketable [7] - 25:17, 109:22, 110:7, 110:8, 110:10, 113:15, 130:22	<pre>meet [8] - 11:13, 14:21, 21:14, 30:6, 30:14, 31:23, 44:11, 46:4 meeting [11] - 63:15, 66:13, 66:24, 98:20, 148:25, 153:20, 154:3, 154:5, 154:15, 157:19, 159:16 member [4] - 101:17,</pre>	Mike [1] - 63:2 military [4] - 39:14, 40:2, 143:8, 149:25 milk [4] - 26:17, 28:11, 36:2, 50:5 million [17] - 14:3, 46:12, 47:18, 62:8, 65:11, 65:18, 65:22, 93:17, 116:2, 116:9, 117:15, 117:21, 118:2, 118:14, 128:6, 128:20
153:15 local [6] - 52:7, 52:12, 57:22, 57:25, 64:20, 125:12 located [1] - 19:18 location [2] - 34:15, 46:25 locked [1] - 162:8 log [1] - 160:9 logic [5] - 90:14, 122:17, 151:18, 152:17, 152:19	M-A-U-R-I-C-E [1] - 30:9 macro [2] - 142:1, 142:5 mail [13] - 3:10, 3:11, 3:11, 3:12, 25:10, 93:23, 140:3, 140:6, 140:10, 141:3, 141:8, 154:15, 156:10	154:13, 155:22, 156:8 market [9] - 18:4, 26:20, 28:11, 62:12, 74:5, 74:9, 75:22, 114:10, 124:11 marketable [7] - 25:17, 109:22, 110:7, 110:8, 110:10, 113:15, 130:22 marketed [3] - 105:5,	<pre>meet [8] - 11:13, 14:21, 21:14, 30:6, 30:14, 31:23, 44:11, 46:4 meeting [11] - 63:15, 66:13, 66:24, 98:20, 148:25, 153:20, 154:3, 154:5, 154:15, 157:19, 159:16 member [4] - 101:17, 108:11, 108:14,</pre>	Mike [1] - 63:2 military [4] - 39:14, 40:2, 143:8, 149:25 milk [4] - 26:17, 28:11, 36:2, 50:5 million [17] - 14:3, 46:12, 47:18, 62:8, 65:11, 65:18, 65:22, 93:17, 116:2, 116:9, 117:15, 117:21, 118:2, 118:14, 128:6, 128:20 mind [6] - 37:21,
153:15 local [6] - 52:7, 52:12, 57:22, 57:25, 64:20, 125:12 located [1] - 19:18 location [2] - 34:15, 46:25 locked [1] - 162:8 log [1] - 160:9 logic [5] - 90:14, 122:17, 151:18, 152:17, 152:19 logical [2] - 118:7,	M-A-U-R-I-C-E [1] - 30:9 macro [2] - 142:1, 142:5 mail [13] - 3:10, 3:11, 3:11, 3:12, 25:10, 93:23, 140:3, 140:6, 140:10, 141:3, 141:8, 154:15, 156:10 mailed [1] - 156:21	154:13, 155:22, 156:8 market [9] - 18:4, 26:20, 28:11, 62:12, 74:5, 74:9, 75:22, 114:10, 124:11 marketable [7] - 25:17, 109:22, 110:7, 110:8, 110:10, 113:15, 130:22 marketed [3] - 105:5, 112:11, 133:1	<pre>meet [8] - 11:13, 14:21, 21:14, 30:6, 30:14, 31:23, 44:11, 46:4 meeting [11] - 63:15, 66:13, 66:24, 98:20, 148:25, 153:20, 154:3, 154:5, 154:15, 157:19, 159:16 member [4] - 101:17, 108:11, 108:14, 126:6</pre>	Mike [1] - 63:2 military [4] - 39:14, 40:2, 143:8, 149:25 milk [4] - 26:17, 28:11, 36:2, 50:5 million [17] - 14:3, 46:12, 47:18, 62:8, 65:11, 65:18, 65:22, 93:17, 116:2, 116:9, 117:15, 117:21, 118:2, 118:14, 128:6, 128:20 mind [6] - 37:21, 37:25, 39:13, 95:18,
153:15 local [6] - 52:7, 52:12, 57:22, 57:25, 64:20, 125:12 located [1] - 19:18 location [2] - 34:15, 46:25 locked [1] - 162:8 log [1] - 160:9 logic [5] - 90:14, 122:17, 151:18, 152:17, 152:19 logical [2] - 118:7, 136:2	M-A-U-R-I-C-E [1] - 30:9 macro [2] - 142:1, 142:5 mail [13] - 3:10, 3:11, 3:11, 3:12, 25:10, 93:23, 140:3, 140:6, 140:10, 141:3, 141:8, 154:15, 156:10 mailed [1] - 156:21 mails [3] - 85:12,	154:13, 155:22, 156:8 market [9] - 18:4, 26:20, 28:11, 62:12, 74:5, 74:9, 75:22, 114:10, 124:11 marketable [7] - 25:17, 109:22, 110:7, 110:8, 110:10, 113:15, 130:22 marketed [3] - 105:5, 112:11, 133:1 marketing [6] - 76:3,	<pre>meet [8] - 11:13, 14:21, 21:14, 30:6, 30:14, 31:23, 44:11, 46:4 meeting [11] - 63:15, 66:13, 66:24, 98:20, 148:25, 153:20, 154:3, 154:5, 154:15, 157:19, 159:16 member [4] - 101:17, 108:11, 108:14, 126:6 members [1] - 118:13</pre>	Mike [1] - 63:2 military [4] - 39:14, 40:2, 143:8, 149:25 milk [4] - 26:17, 28:11, 36:2, 50:5 million [17] - 14:3, 46:12, 47:18, 62:8, 65:11, 65:18, 65:22, 93:17, 116:2, 116:9, 117:15, 117:21, 118:2, 118:14, 128:6, 128:20 mind [6] - 37:21, 37:25, 39:13, 95:18, 95:21, 154:20
153:15 local [6] - 52:7, 52:12, 57:22, 57:25, 64:20, 125:12 located [1] - 19:18 location [2] - 34:15, 46:25 locked [1] - 162:8 log [1] - 160:9 logic [5] - 90:14, 122:17, 151:18, 152:17, 152:19 logical [2] - 118:7, 136:2 London [1] - 12:20	M-A-U-R-I-C-E [1] - 30:9 macro [2] - 142:1, 142:5 mail [13] - 3:10, 3:11, 3:11, 3:12, 25:10, 93:23, 140:3, 140:6, 140:10, 141:3, 141:8, 154:15, 156:10 mailed [1] - 156:21 mails [3] - 85:12, 93:22, 133:7	154:13, 155:22, 156:8 market [9] - 18:4, 26:20, 28:11, 62:12, 74:5, 74:9, 75:22, 114:10, 124:11 marketable [7] - 25:17, 109:22, 110:7, 110:8, 110:10, 113:15, 130:22 marketed [3] - 105:5, 112:11, 133:1 marketing [6] - 76:3, 102:10, 103:4,	<pre>meet [8] - 11:13, 14:21, 21:14, 30:6, 30:14, 31:23, 44:11, 46:4 meeting [11] - 63:15, 66:13, 66:24, 98:20, 148:25, 153:20, 154:3, 154:5, 154:15, 157:19, 159:16 member [4] - 101:17, 108:11, 108:14, 126:6 members [1] - 118:13 members '[1] - 118:1</pre>	Mike [1] - 63:2 military [4] - 39:14, 40:2, 143:8, 149:25 milk [4] - 26:17, 28:11, 36:2, 50:5 million [17] - 14:3, 46:12, 47:18, 62:8, 65:11, 65:18, 65:22, 93:17, 116:2, 116:9, 117:15, 117:21, 118:2, 118:14, 128:6, 128:20 mind [6] - 37:21, 37:25, 39:13, 95:18, 95:21, 154:20 minded [1] - 31:18
153:15 local [6] - 52:7, 52:12, 57:22, 57:25, 64:20, 125:12 located [1] - 19:18 location [2] - 34:15, 46:25 locked [1] - 162:8 log [1] - 160:9 logic [5] - 90:14, 122:17, 151:18, 152:17, 152:19 logical [2] - 118:7, 136:2 London [1] - 12:20 long -term [1] - 21:21	M-A-U-R-I-C-E [1] - 30:9 macro [2] - 142:1, 142:5 mail [13] - 3:10, 3:11, 3:11, 3:12, 25:10, 93:23, 140:3, 140:6, 140:10, 141:3, 141:8, 154:15, 156:10 mailed [1] - 156:21 mails [3] - 85:12,	154:13, 155:22, 156:8 market [9] - 18:4, 26:20, 28:11, 62:12, 74:5, 74:9, 75:22, 114:10, 124:11 marketable [7] - 25:17, 109:22, 110:7, 110:8, 110:10, 113:15, 130:22 marketed [3] - 105:5, 112:11, 133:1 marketing [6] - 76:3, 102:10, 103:4, 103:16, 104:12,	<pre>meet [8] - 11:13, 14:21, 21:14, 30:6, 30:14, 31:23, 44:11, 46:4 meeting [11] - 63:15, 66:13, 66:24, 98:20, 148:25, 153:20, 154:3, 154:5, 154:15, 157:19, 159:16 member [4] - 101:17, 108:11, 108:14, 126:6 members [1] - 118:13 members '[1] - 118:1</pre>	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Mike} \ [1] - 63:2 \\ \textbf{military} \ [4] - 39:14, \\ 40:2, 143:8, 149:25 \\ \textbf{milk} \ [4] - 26:17, 28:11, \\ 36:2, 50:5 \\ \textbf{million} \ [17] - 14:3, \\ 46:12, 47:18, 62:8, \\ 65:11, 65:18, 65:22, \\ 93:17, 116:2, 116:9, \\ 117:15, 117:21, \\ 118:2, 118:14, \\ 128:6, 128:20 \\ \textbf{mind} \ [6] - 37:21, \\ 37:25, 39:13, 95:18, \\ 95:21, 154:20 \\ \textbf{minded} \ [1] - 31:18 \\ \textbf{mine} \ [3] - 146:18, \\ \end{array}$
153:15 local [6] - 52:7, 52:12, 57:22, 57:25, 64:20, 125:12 located [1] - 19:18 location [2] - 34:15, 46:25 locked [1] - 162:8 log [1] - 160:9 logic [5] - 90:14, 122:17, 151:18, 152:17, 152:19 logical [2] - 118:7, 136:2 London [1] - 12:20 long -term [1] - 21:21 look [29] - 26:7, 26:17,	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{M-A-U-R-I-C-E} \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	154:13, 155:22, 156:8 market [9] - 18:4, 26:20, 28:11, 62:12, 74:5, 74:9, 75:22, 114:10, 124:11 marketable [7] - 25:17, 109:22, 110:7, 110:8, 110:10, 113:15, 130:22 marketed [3] - 105:5, 112:11, 133:1 marketing [6] - 76:3, 102:10, 103:4, 103:16, 104:12, 133:2	<pre>meet [8] - 11:13, 14:21, 21:14, 30:6, 30:14, 31:23, 44:11, 46:4 meeting [11] - 63:15, 66:13, 66:24, 98:20, 148:25, 153:20, 154:3, 154:5, 154:15, 157:19, 159:16 member [4] - 101:17, 108:11, 108:14, 126:6 members [1] - 118:13 members '[1] - 118:13 Memorandum [21] - 3:10, 64:12, 64:16,</pre>	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Mike} \ [1] - 63:2 \\ \textbf{military} \ [4] - 39:14, \\ 40:2, 143:8, 149:25 \\ \textbf{milk} \ [4] - 26:17, 28:11, \\ 36:2, 50:5 \\ \textbf{million} \ [17] - 14:3, \\ 46:12, 47:18, 62:8, \\ 65:11, 65:18, 65:22, \\ 93:17, 116:2, 116:9, \\ 117:15, 117:21, \\ 118:2, 118:14, \\ 128:6, 128:20 \\ \textbf{mind} \ [6] - 37:21, \\ 37:25, 39:13, 95:18, \\ 95:21, 154:20 \\ \textbf{minded} \ [1] - 31:18 \\ \textbf{mine} \ [3] - 146:18, \\ 151:6 \\ \end{array}$
153:15 local [6] - 52:7, 52:12, 57:22, 57:25, 64:20, 125:12 located [1] - 19:18 location [2] - 34:15, 46:25 locked [1] - 162:8 log [1] - 160:9 logic [5] - 90:14, 122:17, 151:18, 152:17, 152:19 logical [2] - 118:7, 136:2 London [1] - 12:20 long -term [1] - 21:21 look [29] - 26:7, 26:17, 26:22, 28:8, 29:16,	M-A-U-R-I-C-E [1] - 30:9 macro [2] - 142:1, 142:5 mail [13] - 3:10, 3:11, 3:11, 3:12, 25:10, 93:23, 140:3, 140:6, 140:10, 141:3, 141:8, 154:15, 156:10 mailed [1] - 156:21 mails [3] - 85:12, 93:22, 133:7 Main [3] - 1:25, 4:3,	154:13, 155:22, 156:8 market [9] - 18:4, 26:20, 28:11, 62:12, 74:5, 74:9, 75:22, 114:10, 124:11 marketable [7] - 25:17, 109:22, 110:7, 110:8, 110:10, 113:15, 130:22 marketed [3] - 105:5, 112:11, 133:1 marketing [6] - 76:3, 102:10, 103:4, 103:16, 104:12, 133:2 marketplace [1] -	<pre>meet [8] - 11:13, 14:21, 21:14, 30:6, 30:14, 31:23, 44:11, 46:4 meeting [11] - 63:15, 66:13, 66:24, 98:20, 148:25, 153:20, 154:3, 154:5, 154:15, 157:19, 159:16 member [4] - 101:17, 108:11, 108:14, 126:6 members [1] - 118:13 members '[1] - 118:13 members '[1] - 118:14</pre>	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Mike} \ [1] - 63:2 \\ \textbf{military} \ [4] - 39:14, \\ 40:2, 143:8, 149:25 \\ \textbf{milk} \ [4] - 26:17, 28:11, \\ 36:2, 50:5 \\ \textbf{million} \ [17] - 14:3, \\ 46:12, 47:18, 62:8, \\ 65:11, 65:18, 65:22, \\ 93:17, 116:2, 116:9, \\ 117:15, 117:21, \\ 118:2, 118:14, \\ 128:6, 128:20 \\ \textbf{mind} \ [6] - 37:21, \\ 37:25, 39:13, 95:18, \\ 95:21, 154:20 \\ \textbf{minded} \ [1] - 31:18 \\ \textbf{mine} \ [3] - 146:18, \\ 151:6 \\ \textbf{minimum} \ [1] - 60:24 \\ \end{array}$
153:15 local [6] - 52:7, 52:12, 57:22, 57:25, 64:20, 125:12 located [1] - 19:18 location [2] - $34:15$ , 46:25 locked [1] - 162:8 log [1] - 160:9 logic [5] - 90:14, 122:17, 151:18, 152:17, 152:19 logical [2] - 118:7, 136:2 London [1] - 12:20 long -term [1] - 21:21 look [29] - 26:7, 26:17, 26:22, 28:8, 29:16, 32:8, 35:18, 36:24,	M-A-U-R-I-C-E [1] - 30:9 macro [2] - 142:1, 142:5 mail [13] - 3:10, 3:11, 3:11, 3:12, 25:10, 93:23, 140:3, 140:6, 140:10, 141:3, 141:8, 154:15, 156:10 mailed [1] - 156:21 mails [3] - 85:12, 93:22, 133:7 Main [3] - 1:25, 4:3, 5:12 main [3] - 7:22, 18:11,	154:13, 155:22, 156:8 market [9] - 18:4, 26:20, 28:11, 62:12, 74:5, 74:9, 75:22, 114:10, 124:11 marketable [7] - 25:17, 109:22, 110:7, 110:8, 110:10, 113:15, 130:22 marketed [3] - 105:5, 112:11, 133:1 marketing [6] - 76:3, 102:10, 103:4, 103:16, 104:12, 133:2 marketplace [1] - 114:15	<pre>meet [8] - 11:13, 14:21, 21:14, 30:6, 30:14, 31:23, 44:11, 46:4 meeting [11] - 63:15, 66:13, 66:24, 98:20, 148:25, 153:20, 154:3, 154:5, 154:15, 157:19, 159:16 member [4] - 101:17, 108:11, 108:14, 126:6 members [1] - 118:13 members '[1] - 118:13 members '[1] - 118:13 Memorandum [21] - 3:10, 64:12, 64:16, 64:17, 65:1, 70:10, 70:12, 70:15, 77:11,</pre>	$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{Mike} \ [1] - 63:2 \\ \textbf{military} \ [4] - 39:14, \\ 40:2, 143:8, 149:25 \\ \textbf{milk} \ [4] - 26:17, 28:11, \\ 36:2, 50:5 \\ \textbf{million} \ [17] - 14:3, \\ 46:12, 47:18, 62:8, \\ 65:11, 65:18, 65:22, \\ 93:17, 116:2, 116:9, \\ 117:15, 117:21, \\ 118:2, 118:14, \\ 128:6, 128:20 \\ \textbf{mind} \ [6] - 37:21, \\ 37:25, 39:13, 95:18, \\ 95:21, 154:20 \\ \textbf{minded} \ [1] - 31:18 \\ \textbf{mine} \ [3] - 146:18, \\ 151:6 \\ \textbf{minimum} \ [1] - 60:24 \\ \textbf{Minneapolis} \ [1] - \\ \end{array}$
153:15 local [6] - 52:7, 52:12, 57:22, 57:25, 64:20, 125:12 located [1] - 19:18 location [2] - 34:15, 46:25 locked [1] - 162:8 log [1] - 160:9 logic [5] - 90:14, 122:17, 151:18, 152:17, 152:19 logical [2] - 118:7, 136:2 London [1] - 12:20 long -term [1] - 21:21 look [29] - 26:7, 26:17, 26:22, 28:8, 29:16, 32:8, 35:18, 36:24, 41:16, 43:5, 58:2,	M-A-U-R-I-C-E [1] - 30:9 macro [2] - 142:1, 142:5 mail [13] - 3:10, 3:11, 3:11, 3:12, 25:10, 93:23, 140:3, 140:6, 140:10, 141:3, 141:8, 154:15, 156:10 mailed [1] - 156:21 mails [3] - 85:12, 93:22, 133:7 Main [3] - 1:25, 4:3, 5:12 main [3] - 7:22, 18:11, 19:13	154:13, 155:22, 156:8 market [9] - 18:4, 26:20, 28:11, 62:12, 74:5, 74:9, 75:22, 114:10, 124:11 marketable [7] - 25:17, 109:22, 110:7, 110:8, 110:10, 113:15, 130:22 marketed [3] - 105:5, 112:11, 133:1 marketing [6] - 76:3, 102:10, 103:4, 103:16, 104:12, 133:2 marketplace [1] - 114:15 married [1] - 14:25	<pre>meet [8] - 11:13, 14:21, 21:14, 30:6, 30:14, 31:23, 44:11, 46:4 meeting [11] - 63:15, 66:13, 66:24, 98:20, 148:25, 153:20, 154:3, 154:5, 154:15, 157:19, 159:16 member [4] - 101:17, 108:11, 108:14, 126:6 members [1] - 118:13 members '[1] - 118:13 members '[1] - 118:1 Memorandum [21] - 3:10, 64:12, 64:16, 64:17, 65:1, 70:10, 70:12, 70:15, 77:11, 77:24, 81:20, 115:6,</pre>	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Mike} \ [1] - 63:2 \\ \textbf{military} \ [4] - 39:14, \\ 40:2, 143:8, 149:25 \\ \textbf{milk} \ [4] - 26:17, 28:11, \\ 36:2, 50:5 \\ \textbf{million} \ [17] - 14:3, \\ 46:12, 47:18, 62:8, \\ 65:11, 65:18, 65:22, \\ 93:17, 116:2, 116:9, \\ 117:15, 117:21, \\ 118:2, 118:14, \\ 128:6, 128:20 \\ \textbf{mind} \ [6] - 37:21, \\ 37:25, 39:13, 95:18, \\ 95:21, 154:20 \\ \textbf{minded} \ [1] - 31:18 \\ \textbf{mine} \ [3] - 146:18, \\ 151:6 \\ \textbf{minimum} \ [1] - 60:24 \\ \textbf{Minneapolis} \ [1] - \\ 14:24 \\ \end{array}$
153:15 local [6] - 52:7, 52:12, 57:22, 57:25, 64:20, 125:12 located [1] - 19:18 location [2] - 34:15, 46:25 locked [1] - 162:8 log [1] - 160:9 logic [5] - 90:14, 122:17, 151:18, 152:17, 152:19 logical [2] - 118:7, 136:2 London [1] - 12:20 long -term [1] - 21:21 look [29] - 26:7, 26:17, 26:22, 28:8, 29:16, 32:8, 35:18, 36:24, 41:16, 43:5, 58:2, 58:9, 66:13, 71:7,	M-A-U-R-I-C-E [1] - 30:9 macro [2] - 142:1, 142:5 mail [13] - 3:10, 3:11, 3:11, 3:12, 25:10, 93:23, 140:3, 140:6, 140:10, 141:3, 141:8, 154:15, 156:10 mailed [1] - 156:21 mails [3] - 85:12, 93:22, 133:7 Main [3] - 1:25, 4:3, 5:12 main [3] - 7:22, 18:11, 19:13 maintain [1] - 85:23	154:13, 155:22, 156:8 market [9] - 18:4, 26:20, 28:11, 62:12, 74:5, 74:9, 75:22, 114:10, 124:11 marketable [7] - 25:17, 109:22, 110:7, 110:8, 110:10, 113:15, 130:22 marketed [3] - 105:5, 112:11, 133:1 marketing [6] - 76:3, 102:10, 103:4, 103:16, 104:12, 133:2 marketplace [1] - 114:15 married [1] - 14:25 Mary [3] - 6:9, 43:3,	<pre>meet [8] - 11:13, 14:21, 21:14, 30:6, 30:14, 31:23, 44:11, 46:4 meeting [11] - 63:15, 66:13, 66:24, 98:20, 148:25, 153:20, 154:3, 154:5, 154:15, 157:19, 159:16 member [4] - 101:17, 108:11, 108:14, 126:6 members [1] - 118:13 members '[1] - 118:13 members '[1] - 118:1 Memorandum [21] - 3:10, 64:12, 64:16, 64:17, 65:1, 70:10, 70:12, 70:15, 77:11, 77:24, 81:20, 115:6, 115:16, 115:20,</pre>	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Mike} \ [1] - 63:2 \\ \textbf{military} \ [4] - 39:14, \\ 40:2, 143:8, 149:25 \\ \textbf{milk} \ [4] - 26:17, 28:11, \\ 36:2, 50:5 \\ \textbf{million} \ [17] - 14:3, \\ 46:12, 47:18, 62:8, \\ 65:11, 65:18, 65:22, \\ 93:17, 116:2, 116:9, \\ 117:15, 117:21, \\ 118:2, 118:14, \\ 128:6, 128:20 \\ \textbf{mind} \ [6] - 37:21, \\ 37:25, 39:13, 95:18, \\ 95:21, 154:20 \\ \textbf{minded} \ [1] - 31:18 \\ \textbf{mine} \ [3] - 146:18, \\ 151:6 \\ \textbf{minimum} \ [1] - 60:24 \\ \textbf{Minneapolis} \ [1] - \\ 14:24 \\ \textbf{Minnesota} \ [1] - 1:22 \\ \end{array}$
153:15 local [6] - 52:7, 52:12, 57:22, 57:25, 64:20, 125:12 located [1] - 19:18 location [2] - 34:15, 46:25 locked [1] - 162:8 log [1] - 160:9 logic [5] - 90:14, 122:17, 151:18, 152:17, 152:19 logical [2] - 118:7, 136:2 London [1] - 12:20 long -term [1] - 21:21 look [29] - 26:7, 26:17, 26:22, 28:8, 29:16, 32:8, 35:18, 36:24, 41:16, 43:5, 58:2, 58:9, 66:13, 71:7, 73:6, 77:5, 91:23,	M-A-U-R-I-C-E [1] - 30:9 macro [2] - 142:1, 142:5 mail [13] - 3:10, 3:11, 3:11, 3:12, 25:10, 93:23, 140:3, 140:6, 140:10, 141:3, 141:8, 154:15, 156:10 mailed [1] - 156:21 mails [3] - 85:12, 93:22, 133:7 Main [3] - 1:25, 4:3, 5:12 main [3] - 7:22, 18:11, 19:13 maintain [1] - 85:23 majority [5] - 88:23,	154:13, 155:22, 156:8 market [9] - 18:4, 26:20, 28:11, 62:12, 74:5, 74:9, 75:22, 114:10, 124:11 marketable [7] - 25:17, 109:22, 110:7, 110:8, 110:10, 113:15, 130:22 marketed [3] - 105:5, 112:11, 133:1 marketing [6] - 76:3, 102:10, 103:4, 103:16, 104:12, 133:2 marketplace [1] - 114:15 married [1] - 14:25 Mary [3] - 6:9, 43:3, 141:4	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{meet} [8] - 11:13, \\ 14:21, 21:14, 30:6, \\ 30:14, 31:23, 44:11, \\ 46:4 \\ \textbf{meeting} [11] - 63:15, \\ 66:13, 66:24, 98:20, \\ 148:25, 153:20, \\ 154:3, 154:5, \\ 154:15, 157:19, \\ 159:16 \\ \textbf{member} [4] - 101:17, \\ 108:11, 108:14, \\ 126:6 \\ \textbf{members} [1] - 118:13 \\ \textbf{members} '[1] - 118:13 \\ \textbf{members} '[1] - 118:13 \\ \textbf{members} '[1] - 118:13 \\ \textbf{membors} '[1] - 118:14, \\ 126:6 \\ \textbf{members} [21] - 3:10, 64:12, 64:16, \\ 64:17, 65:1, 70:10, \\ 70:12, 70:15, 77:11, \\ 77:24, 81:20, 115:6, \\ 115:16, 115:20, \\ 116:5, 118:4, \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Mike} [1] - 63:2 \\ \textbf{military} [4] - 39:14, \\ 40:2, 143:8, 149:25 \\ \textbf{milk} [4] - 26:17, 28:11, \\ 36:2, 50:5 \\ \textbf{million} [17] - 14:3, \\ 46:12, 47:18, 62:8, \\ 65:11, 65:18, 65:22, \\ 93:17, 116:2, 116:9, \\ 117:15, 117:21, \\ 118:2, 118:14, \\ 128:6, 128:20 \\ \textbf{mind} [6] - 37:21, \\ 37:25, 39:13, 95:18, \\ 95:21, 154:20 \\ \textbf{minded} [1] - 31:18 \\ \textbf{mine} [3] - 146:18, \\ 151:6 \\ \textbf{minimum} [1] - 60:24 \\ \textbf{Minneapolis} [1] - \\ 14:24 \\ \textbf{Minnesota} [1] - 1:22 \\ \textbf{minor} [2] - 121:10, \\ \end{array}$
153:15 local [6] - 52:7, 52:12, 57:22, 57:25, 64:20, 125:12 located [1] - 19:18 location [2] - 34:15, 46:25 locked [1] - 162:8 log [1] - 160:9 logic [5] - 90:14, 122:17, 151:18, 152:17, 152:19 logical [2] - 118:7, 136:2 London [1] - 12:20 long -term [1] - 21:21 look [29] - 26:7, 26:17, 26:22, 28:8, 29:16, 32:8, 35:18, 36:24, 41:16, 43:5, 58:2, 58:9, 66:13, 71:7, 73:6, 77:5, 91:23, 92:2, 102:13,	M-A-U-R-I-C-E [1] - 30:9 macro [2] - 142:1, 142:5 mail [13] - 3:10, 3:11, 3:11, 3:12, 25:10, 93:23, 140:3, 140:6, 140:10, 141:3, 141:8, 154:15, 156:10 mailed [1] - 156:21 mails [3] - 85:12, 93:22, 133:7 Main [3] - 1:25, 4:3, 5:12 main [3] - 7:22, 18:11, 19:13 maintain [1] - 85:23 majority [5] - 88:23, 105:19, 105:22,	154:13, 155:22, 156:8 market [9] - 18:4, 26:20, 28:11, 62:12, 74:5, 74:9, 75:22, 114:10, 124:11 marketable [7] - 25:17, 109:22, 110:7, 110:8, 110:10, 113:15, 130:22 marketed [3] - 105:5, 112:11, 133:1 marketing [6] - 76:3, 102:10, 103:4, 103:16, 104:12, 133:2 marketplace [1] - 114:15 married [1] - 14:25 Mary [3] - 6:9, 43:3, 141:4 Maryland [1] - 30:18	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{meet} [8] - 11:13, \\ 14:21, 21:14, 30:6, \\ 30:14, 31:23, 44:11, \\ 46:4 \\ \textbf{meeting} [11] - 63:15, \\ 66:13, 66:24, 98:20, \\ 148:25, 153:20, \\ 154:3, 154:5, \\ 154:15, 157:19, \\ 159:16 \\ \textbf{member} [4] - 101:17, \\ 108:11, 108:14, \\ 126:6 \\ \textbf{members} [1] - 118:13 \\ \textbf{members} [1] - 118:13 \\ \textbf{membors} [1] - 118:13 \\ \textbf{membors} [1] - 118:11 \\ \textbf{Memorandum} [21] - \\ 3:10, 64:12, 64:16, \\ 64:17, 65:1, 70:10, \\ 70:12, 70:15, 77:11, \\ 77:24, 81:20, 115:6, \\ 115:16, 115:20, \\ 116:5, 118:4, \\ 118:20, 119:3, \\ \end{array}$	$\label{eq:million} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{Mike} [1] - 63:2 \\ \textbf{military} [4] - 39:14, \\ 40:2, 143:8, 149:25 \\ \textbf{milk} [4] - 26:17, 28:11, \\ 36:2, 50:5 \\ \textbf{million} [17] - 14:3, \\ 46:12, 47:18, 62:8, \\ 65:11, 65:18, 65:22, \\ 93:17, 116:2, 116:9, \\ 117:15, 117:21, \\ 118:2, 118:14, \\ 128:6, 128:20 \\ \textbf{mind} [6] - 37:21, \\ 37:25, 39:13, 95:18, \\ 95:21, 154:20 \\ \textbf{minded} [1] - 31:18 \\ \textbf{mine} [3] - 146:18, \\ 151:6 \\ \textbf{minimum} [1] - 60:24 \\ \textbf{Minnesota} [1] - \\ 14:24 \\ \textbf{Minnesota} [1] - 1:22 \\ \textbf{minor} [2] - 121:10, \\ 124:2 \\ \end{array}$
153:15 local [6] - 52:7, 52:12, 57:22, 57:25, 64:20, 125:12 located [1] - 19:18 location [2] - 34:15, 46:25 locked [1] - 162:8 log [1] - 160:9 logic [5] - 90:14, 122:17, 151:18, 152:17, 152:19 logical [2] - 118:7, 136:2 London [1] - 12:20 long -term [1] - 21:21 look [29] - 26:7, 26:17, 26:22, 28:8, 29:16, 32:8, 35:18, 36:24, 41:16, 43:5, 58:2, 58:9, 66:13, 71:7, 73:6, 77:5, 91:23, 92:2, 102:13, 110:12, 112:24,	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{M-A-U-R-I-C-E} \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	154:13, 155:22, 156:8 market $[9] - 18:4$ , 26:20, 28:11, 62:12, 74:5, 74:9, 75:22, 114:10, 124:11 marketable $[7] -$ 25:17, 109:22, 110:7, 110:8, 110:10, 113:15, 130:22 marketed $[3] - 105:5$ , 112:11, 133:1 marketing $[6] - 76:3$ , 102:10, 103:4, 103:16, 104:12, 133:2 marketplace $[1] -$ 114:15 married $[1] - 14:25$ Mary $[3] - 6:9, 43:3$ , 141:4 Maryland $[1] - 30:18$ massive $[1] - 157:14$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{meet} [8] - 11:13, \\ 14:21, 21:14, 30:6, \\ 30:14, 31:23, 44:11, \\ 46:4 \\ \textbf{meeting} [11] - 63:15, \\ 66:13, 66:24, 98:20, \\ 148:25, 153:20, \\ 154:3, 154:5, \\ 154:15, 157:19, \\ 159:16 \\ \textbf{member} [4] - 101:17, \\ 108:11, 108:14, \\ 126:6 \\ \textbf{members} [1] - 118:13 \\ \textbf{members} '[1] - 118:14, \\ 126:6 \\ \textbf{members} [21] - 3:10, 64:12, 64:16, \\ 64:17, 65:1, 70:10, \\ 70:12, 70:15, 77:11, \\ 77:24, 81:20, 115:6, \\ 115:16, 115:20, \\ 116:5, 118:4, \\ \end{array}$	$\label{eq:million} \begin{array}{l} \text{Mike} [1] - 63:2 \\ \text{millitary} [4] - 39:14, \\ 40:2, 143:8, 149:25 \\ \text{milk} [4] - 26:17, 28:11, \\ 36:2, 50:5 \\ \text{million} [17] - 14:3, \\ 46:12, 47:18, 62:8, \\ 65:11, 65:18, 65:22, \\ 93:17, 116:2, 116:9, \\ 117:15, 117:21, \\ 118:2, 118:14, \\ 128:6, 128:20 \\ \text{mind} [6] - 37:21, \\ 37:25, 39:13, 95:18, \\ 95:21, 154:20 \\ \text{minded} [1] - 31:18 \\ \text{mine} [3] - 146:18, \\ 151:6 \\ \text{minimum} [1] - 60:24 \\ \text{Minnesota} [1] - \\ 14:24 \\ \text{Minnesota} [1] - 1:22 \\ \text{minor} [2] - 121:10, \\ 124:2 \\ \text{minus} [1] - 113:2 \\ \end{array}$
153:15 local [6] - 52:7, 52:12, 57:22, 57:25, 64:20, 125:12 located [1] - 19:18 location [2] - 34:15, 46:25 locked [1] - 162:8 log [1] - 160:9 logic [5] - 90:14, 122:17, 151:18, 152:17, 152:19 logical [2] - 118:7, 136:2 London [1] - 12:20 long -term [1] - 21:21 look [29] - 26:7, 26:17, 26:22, 28:8, 29:16, 32:8, 35:18, 36:24, 41:16, 43:5, 58:2, 58:9, 66:13, 71:7, 73:6, 77:5, 91:23, 92:2, 102:13,	M-A-U-R-I-C-E [1] - 30:9 macro [2] - 142:1, 142:5 mail [13] - 3:10, 3:11, 3:11, 3:12, 25:10, 93:23, 140:3, 140:6, 140:10, 141:3, 141:8, 154:15, 156:10 mailed [1] - 156:21 mails [3] - 85:12, 93:22, 133:7 Main [3] - 1:25, 4:3, 5:12 main [3] - 7:22, 18:11, 19:13 maintain [1] - 85:23 majority [5] - 88:23, 105:19, 105:22, 105:23, 121:3 man [1] - 109:16	154:13, 155:22, 156:8 market $[9] - 18:4$ , 26:20, 28:11, 62:12, 74:5, 74:9, 75:22, 114:10, 124:11 marketable $[7] -$ 25:17, 109:22, 110:7, 110:8, 110:10, 113:15, 130:22 marketed $[3] - 105:5$ , 112:11, 133:1 marketing $[6] - 76:3$ , 102:10, 103:4, 103:16, 104:12, 133:2 marketplace $[1] -$ 114:15 married $[1] - 14:25$ Mary $[3] - 6:9, 43:3$ , 141:4 Maryland $[1] - 30:18$ massive $[1] - 10:14$	<pre>meet [8] - 11:13, 14:21, 21:14, 30:6, 30:14, 31:23, 44:11, 46:4 meeting [11] - 63:15, 66:13, 66:24, 98:20, 148:25, 153:20, 154:3, 154:5, 154:15, 157:19, 159:16 member [4] - 101:17, 108:11, 108:14, 126:6 members [1] - 118:13 members [1] - 118:13 members '[1] - 118:11 Memorandum [21] - 3:10, 64:12, 64:16, 64:17, 65:1, 70:10, 70:12, 70:15, 77:11, 77:24, 81:20, 115:6, 115:16, 115:20, 116:5, 118:4, 118:20, 119:3, 119:15, 137:25,</pre>	$\label{eq:million} \begin{array}{l} \text{Mike} [1] - 63:2 \\ \text{millitary} [4] - 39:14, \\ 40:2, 143:8, 149:25 \\ \text{milk} [4] - 26:17, 28:11, \\ 36:2, 50:5 \\ \text{million} [17] - 14:3, \\ 46:12, 47:18, 62:8, \\ 65:11, 65:18, 65:22, \\ 93:17, 116:2, 116:9, \\ 117:15, 117:21, \\ 118:2, 118:14, \\ 128:6, 128:20 \\ \text{mind} [6] - 37:21, \\ 37:25, 39:13, 95:18, \\ 95:21, 154:20 \\ \text{minded} [1] - 31:18 \\ \text{mine} [3] - 146:18, \\ 151:6 \\ \text{minimum} [1] - 60:24 \\ \text{Minneapolis} [1] - \\ 14:24 \\ \text{Minnesota} [1] - 1122 \\ \text{minor} [2] - 121:10, \\ 124:2 \\ \text{minus} [1] - 113:2 \\ \text{minutes} [3] - 157:19, \\ \end{array}$
153:15 local [6] - 52:7, 52:12, 57:22, 57:25, 64:20, 125:12 located [1] - 19:18 location [2] - 34:15, 46:25 locked [1] - 162:8 log [1] - 160:9 logic [5] - 90:14, 122:17, 151:18, 152:17, 152:19 logical [2] - 118:7, 136:2 London [1] - 12:20 long -term [1] - 21:21 look [29] - 26:7, 26:17, 26:22, 28:8, 29:16, 32:8, 35:18, 36:24, 41:16, 43:5, 58:2, 58:9, 66:13, 71:7, 73:6, 77:5, 91:23, 92:2, 102:13, 110:12, 112:24, 113:1, 113:3, 117:8,	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{M-A-U-R-I-C-E} \ \ [1] - \\ 30:9 \\ \textbf{macro} \ \ [2] - 142:1, \\ 142:5 \\ \textbf{mail} \ \ [13] - 3:10, \ 3:11, \\ 3:11, \ 3:12, \ 25:10, \\ 93:23, \ 140:3, \ 140:6, \\ 140:10, \ 141:3, \\ 141:8, \ 154:15, \\ 156:10 \\ \textbf{mailed} \ \ [1] - 156:21 \\ \textbf{mails} \ \ [3] - 85:12, \\ 93:22, \ 133:7 \\ \textbf{Main} \ \ [3] - 1:25, \ 4:3, \\ 5:12 \\ \textbf{main} \ \ [3] - 7:22, \ 18:11, \\ 19:13 \\ \textbf{maintain} \ \ [1] - 85:23 \\ \textbf{majority} \ \ [5] - 88:23, \\ 105:19, \ 105:22, \\ 105:23, \ 121:3 \\ \textbf{man} \ \ [1] - 109:16 \\ \textbf{manage} \ \ [9] - 24:6, \\ \end{array}$	154:13, 155:22, 156:8 market [9] - 18:4, 26:20, 28:11, 62:12, 74:5, 74:9, 75:22, 114:10, 124:11 marketable [7] - 25:17, 109:22, 110:7, 110:8, 110:10, 113:15, 130:22 marketed [3] - 105:5, 112:11, 133:1 marketing [6] - 76:3, 102:10, 103:4, 103:16, 104:12, 133:2 marketplace [1] - 114:15 married [1] - 14:25 Mary [3] - 6:9, 43:3, 141:4 Maryland [1] - 30:18 massive [1] - 157:14 master [1] - 10:14 master 's [1] - 11:1	<pre>meet [8] - 11:13, 14:21, 21:14, 30:6, 30:14, 31:23, 44:11, 46:4 meeting [11] - 63:15, 66:13, 66:24, 98:20, 148:25, 153:20, 154:3, 154:5, 154:15, 157:19, 159:16 member [4] - 101:17, 108:11, 108:14, 126:6 members [1] - 118:13 members '[1] - 118:13 members '[1] - 118:13 Memorandum [21] - 3:10, 64:12, 64:16, 64:17, 65:1, 70:10, 70:12, 70:15, 77:11, 77:24, 81:20, 115:6, 115:16, 115:20, 116:5, 118:4, 118:20, 119:3, 119:15, 137:25, 138:8</pre>	$\label{eq:million} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{Mike} [1] - 63:2 \\ \textbf{military} [4] - 39:14, \\ 40:2, 143:8, 149:25 \\ \textbf{milk} [4] - 26:17, 28:11, \\ 36:2, 50:5 \\ \textbf{million} [17] - 14:3, \\ 46:12, 47:18, 62:8, \\ 65:11, 65:18, 65:22, \\ 93:17, 116:2, 116:9, \\ 117:15, 117:21, \\ 118:2, 118:14, \\ 128:6, 128:20 \\ \textbf{mind} [6] - 37:21, \\ 37:25, 39:13, 95:18, \\ 95:21, 154:20 \\ \textbf{minded} [1] - 31:18 \\ \textbf{mine} [3] - 146:18, \\ 151:6 \\ \textbf{minimum} [1] - 60:24 \\ \textbf{Minneapolis} [1] - \\ 14:24 \\ \textbf{Minnesota} [1] - 1:22 \\ \textbf{minot} [2] - 121:10, \\ 124:2 \\ \textbf{minutes} [3] - 157:19, \\ 157:22, 160:10 \\ \end{array}$
153:15 local [6] - 52:7, 52:12, 57:22, 57:25, 64:20, 125:12 located [1] - 19:18 location [2] - 34:15, 46:25 locked [1] - 162:8 log [1] - 160:9 logic [5] - 90:14, 122:17, 151:18, 152:17, 152:19 logical [2] - 118:7, 136:2 London [1] - 12:20 long -term [1] - 21:21 look [29] - 26:7, 26:17, 26:22, 28:8, 29:16, 32:8, 35:18, 36:24, 41:16, 43:5, 58:2, 58:9, 66:13, 71:7, 73:6, 77:5, 91:23, 92:2, 102:13, 110:12, 112:24, 113:1, 113:3, 117:8, 134:23, 144:9,	M-A-U-R-I-C-E [1] - 30:9 macro [2] - 142:1, 142:5 mail [13] - 3:10, 3:11, 3:11, 3:12, 25:10, 93:23, 140:3, 140:6, 140:10, 141:3, 141:8, 154:15, 156:10 mailed [1] - 156:21 mails [3] - 85:12, 93:22, 133:7 Main [3] - 1:25, 4:3, 5:12 main [3] - 7:22, 18:11, 19:13 maintain [1] - 85:23 majority [5] - 88:23, 105:19, 105:22, 105:23, 121:3 man [1] - 109:16 manage [9] - 24:6, 24:9, 39:12, 81:15,	154:13, 155:22, 156:8 market $[9] - 18:4$ , 26:20, 28:11, 62:12, 74:5, 74:9, 75:22, 114:10, 124:11 marketable $[7] -$ 25:17, 109:22, 110:7, 110:8, 110:10, 113:15, 130:22 marketed $[3] - 105:5$ , 112:11, 133:1 marketing $[6] - 76:3$ , 102:10, 103:4, 103:16, 104:12, 133:2 marketplace $[1] -$ 114:15 married $[1] - 14:25$ Mary $[3] - 6:9, 43:3$ , 141:4 Maryland $[1] - 30:18$ massive $[1] - 10:14$	<pre>meet [8] - 11:13, 14:21, 21:14, 30:6, 30:14, 31:23, 44:11, 46:4 meeting [11] - 63:15, 66:13, 66:24, 98:20, 148:25, 153:20, 154:3, 154:5, 154:15, 157:19, 159:16 member [4] - 101:17, 108:11, 108:14, 126:6 members [1] - 118:13 members '[1] - 118:13 members '[1] - 118:13 Memorandum [21] - 3:10, 64:12, 64:16, 64:17, 65:1, 70:10, 70:12, 70:15, 77:11, 77:24, 81:20, 115:6, 115:16, 115:20, 116:5, 118:4, 119:15, 137:25, 138:8 Memorandums [1] -</pre>	$\label{eq:million} \begin{array}{l} \text{Mike} [1] - 63:2 \\ \text{millitary} [4] - 39:14, \\ 40:2, 143:8, 149:25 \\ \text{milk} [4] - 26:17, 28:11, \\ 36:2, 50:5 \\ \text{million} [17] - 14:3, \\ 46:12, 47:18, 62:8, \\ 65:11, 65:18, 65:22, \\ 93:17, 116:2, 116:9, \\ 117:15, 117:21, \\ 118:2, 118:14, \\ 128:6, 128:20 \\ \text{mind} [6] - 37:21, \\ 37:25, 39:13, 95:18, \\ 95:21, 154:20 \\ \text{minded} [1] - 31:18 \\ \text{mine} [3] - 146:18, \\ 151:6 \\ \text{minimum} [1] - 60:24 \\ \text{Minneapolis} [1] - \\ 14:24 \\ \text{Minnesota} [1] - 1122 \\ \text{minor} [2] - 121:10, \\ 124:2 \\ \text{minus} [1] - 113:2 \\ \text{minutes} [3] - 157:19, \\ \end{array}$

Case 1:17-cv-01	002-CBK Docume	nt 173-1 Filed 10/1	0/18 Page 53 of 61	PagelD #: 2511
mission [2] - 59:1,	140:16, 140:25,	162:4, 162:7, 162:9	108:5, 111:12	nickel -and -diming [1] 12
93:7	142:21, 144:6,	multi [1] - 8:24	negotiations [10] -	- 125:14
misstate [1] - 95:8	144:7, 144:9,	multi -tier [1] - 8:24	107:9, 107:13,	nitty [1] - 141:2
misstates [1] - 94:20	144:13, 144:15,	multiple [4] - 28:3,	107:15, 107:18,	nitty -gritty [1] - 141:2
mistake [4] - 117:25,	144:19, 145:18,	28:4, 104:15, 124:18	130:6, 130:10,	nobody [6] - 86:11,
118:5, 118:17,	145:22, 145:24,	multiplier [3] - 48:8,	130:11, 132:23,	92:20, 98:8, 145:17,
118:18	146:1, 146:22,	49:17, 143:14	148:4, 159:6	145:19, 161:3
mistakes [3] - 116:25,	146:23, 147:5	multipliers [2] - 48:1,	net [6] - 117:19,	none [2] - 86:9, 97:23
120:18, 149:22	Morris [1] - 110:19	49:8	117:20, 118:1,	nonimmigrant [1] -
Mitchell [1] - 20:11	MORRIS [1] - 1:8	must [5] - 72:4, 92:15,	118:6, 118:7, 118:13	27:2
MITCHELL [1] - 1:8	mortgage [2] - 116:13,	114:24, 115:23,	Netherlands [13] -	nonimmigrants [1] -
model [30] - 41:1,	117:16	149:6	8:12, 8:23, 9:17,	28:19
41:23, 43:20, 43:23,	<b>most</b> [13] - 24:8,	mutual [3] - 32:13,	12:7, 12:8, 12:10,	noon [1] - 161:22
43:24, 45:22, 47:8,	28:10, 40:22, 53:5,	80:7, 80:11	26:16, 27:7, 27:8,	normal [2] - 74:20,
47:14, 57:6, 66:8,	55:14, 55:25, 61:19,		28:23, 35:22, 35:24,	150:6
66:14,66:15,67:7,	110:13, 123:8,	Ν	35:25	normally [3] - 63:16,
67:13, 67:24, 68:4,	135:11, 154:25		never [34] - 23:9, 48:2,	64:2, 69:25
68:5, 68:10, 72:7,	<b>MOU</b> [2] - 59:18, 78:7	naive [1] - 97:10	55:20, 59:20, 70:8,	North [1] - 5:12
99:8, 99:10, 100:9,	Mountain [2] - 106:25,	name [25] - 5:9, 16:25,	73:13, 73:22, 75:24,	NORTHERN [1] - 1:2
112:1, 112:3,	117:17	17:2, 27:21, 28:18,	78:20, 79:6, 79:15,	Northern [49] - 5:21,
112:20, 143:20,	<b>move</b> [6] - 12:5, 15:12,	30:8, 30:9, 35:3,	80:1,80:17,80:18,	16:5, 16:8, 16:19,
145:9, 145:14,	88:10, 89:14,	36:17, 36:25, 37:2,	81:9, 81:11, 83:1,	17:18, 20:2, 22:9,
150:13	107:16, 150:8	80:21, 85:9, 91:7,	89:20, 96:14, 97:11,	22:11, 22:15, 22:18,
moment [2] - 47:14,	moved [3] - 15:11,	94:3, 94:18, 94:21,	97:15, 99:4, 100:2,	22:20, 22:24, 23:3,
139:8	112:3, 147:13	95:16, 95:17, 95:22,	114:12, 128:13,	24:4, 33:16, 36:22,
monetary [1] - 46:4	<b>MR</b> [13] - 71:11, 77:13,	134:8, 148:20,	130:1, 131:16,	55:16, 67:1, 69:17,
money [37] - 12:2,	102:17, 103:19,	154:20	133:23, 137:8,	69:19, 69:21, 69:23,
13:12, 17:18, 52:11,	106:2, 110:7,	named [1] - 94:10	143:4, 146:13,	73:10, 73:19, 73:20,
52:15, 57:21, 57:25,	159:23, 160:6,	names [2] - 51:23,	146:14, 149:14	83:18, 85:2, 85:13,
61:10, 62:3, 63:23,	160:16, 161:19,	95:24	<b>New</b> [7] - 12:3, 12:11,	85:14,90:3,90:20,
82:7,85:10,90:19,	161:20, 161:25,	nasty [1] - 142:14	12:20, 15:1, 48:18,	90:21, 91:3, 92:21,
93:12, 98:11,	162:5	National [3] - 61:17, 61:18, 69:13	62:15, 62:23	93:15, 93:23, 96:11,
101:22, 108:2,	<b>MS</b> [67] - 5:1, 5:8,	nationwide [1] - 19:7	<b>new</b> [41] - 8:21, 20:22,	97:2,98:7,98:16,
108:3, 113:1, 113:5,	56:12, 56:17, 71:13,	<b>NBP</b> [2] - 58:9, 113:12	39:3, 39:4, 42:14,	98:21,99:16,
113:21, 113:22,	72:25, 74:19, 75:3,	necessarily [2] -	52:15, 54:14, 58:23,	108:22, 111:25,
121:4, 121:7, 123:5,	77:14, 78:25, 79:3,	47:19, 158:14	63:17, 72:6, 78:4, 78:10, 79:5, 79:9,	112:9, 112:12,
123:23, 127:2,	80:5, 88:2, 88:3,		79:25, 83:8, 83:10,	157:3, 157:4
127:6, 129:13,	88:5, 88:25, 89:2,	necessary [2] - 139:4, 150:2	99:10, 110:14,	Northern 's [3] - 91:13,
135:12, 136:1,	89:6, 89:8, 89:10, 80:12, 80:13, 04:20	need [17] - 18:21,	112:3, 113:13,	91:16, 98:13
136:6, 136:14, 136:23	89:12, 89:13, 94:20, 94:22, 95:5, 95:8,	32:21, 42:1, 62:4,	114:2, 118:6,	NOS [1] - 3:5
money 's [1] - 98:14	94.22, 95.5, 95.8, 102:15, 102:19,	68:6, 82:6, 82:24,	128:12, 143:1,	<b>Notary</b> [3] - 4:6, 163:7,
monies [1] - 62:2	102:25, 103:20,	82:25, 85:18, 88:15,	143:2, 143:4, 143:5,	163:22
months [6] - 12:11,	104:16, 104:18,	91:21, 93:8, 98:14,	143:23, 143:24,	note [1] - 116:25
12:23, 12:25, 13:2,	104:20, 104:22,	142:24, 144:8,	146:2, 146:3, 146:7,	notes [1] - 163:12
14:15, 85:22	104:23, 105:4,	152:10, 158:7	149:16, 149:20,	<b>nothing</b> [7] - 26:9,
Moody [1] - 45:18	106:3, 106:6,	needed [18] - 46:16,	150:4, 150:10,	90:10,96:24,136:8, 137:15,140:9,
Morgan [4] - 12:7,	106:17, 106:20,	61:13, 63:1, 64:25,	150:11, 152:23	163:10
12:12, 12:16, 13:16	106:23, 106:24,	68:17, 69:7, 69:11,	newer [1] - 57:6	Notice [1] - 3:6
morning [2] - 43:3,	114:23, 123:22,	73:9, 81:23, 81:25,	next [20] - 5:23, 18:23,	noticed [1] - 157:3
161:21	131:23, 132:14,	82:4,84:8,109:25,	28:16, 35:15, 45:8,	<b>NPII</b> [9] - 22:24, 73:18,
<b>Morrie</b> [40] - 30:7,	132:15, 132:17,	147:23, 151:22,	64:1,65:12,72:13,	84:2, 84:3, 91:14,
31:2, 31:5, 31:23,	132:18, 155:18,	152:7, 153:1	74:25, 75:15, 76:9,	91:18, 91:20, 93:14,
32:3, 32:14, 32:25,	155:23, 155:24,	needs [1] - 105:2	76:14, 81:18, 103:1,	94:2
34:9, 40:7, 40:23,	157:10, 159:4,	negotiate [2] - 128:17,	103:2, 104:2, 104:3,	number [3] - 105:22,
48:23, 48:24, 49:1,	159:25,160:14,	158:21	104:9, 109:13, 153:5	115:2, 159:23
66:12, 66:22, 66:24,	160:18, 161:5,	negotiating [2] -	nickel [2] - 124:23,	numbers [4] - 27:19,
67:14, 68:1, 68:2,	161:9, 161:11,	72:10, 148:21	125:14	49:3, 49:4, 152:8
131:9, 131:11,	161:15, 161:18,	negotiation [4] -	nickel -and -dime [1] -	nurtured [1] - 55:15
139:11, 140:3,	161:24, 162:1,	107:7,107:24,	124 :23	.,

Case 1:17-cv-01	002-CBK Docume	nt 173-1 Filed 10/1	0/18 Page 54 of 61	PagelD #: 2512
0	oiled [1] - 134:5	one -page [1] - 142:3	148:10	<b>84:24, 89:16, 107:5,</b> 13
•	<b>old</b> [2] - 8:17, 9:10	one -time [1] - 122:4	owned [9] - 74:15,	107:21, 107:24,
oath [1] - 5:6	older [1] - 42:13	ones [4] - 90:24,	74:21,84:17,84:20,	119:23, 123:8,
<b>OBERG</b> [3] - 1:21,	<b>Olivier</b> [1] - 3:3	90:25, 104:15,	90:24, 100:22,	137:20, 139:20,
103:19, 110:7	<b>OLIVIER</b> [45] - 2:5,	126:19	108:21, 126:6,	142:17, 142:18
object [5] - 88:12,	2:5, 5:1, 5:8, 56:12,	ongoing [1] - 21:5	129:25	partially [1] - 87:12
159:5, 159:7, 160:6,	56:17, 71:13, 72:25,	Onida [1] - 1:25	owner [1] - 89:16	participating [2] -
160:14	74:19, 75:3, 77:14,	online [3] - 102:19,	owners [1] - 130:2	78:10, 78:14
objected [1] - 92:20	79:3, 88:3, 89:6,	104:25, 110:12	owns [3] - 74:23,	particular [8] - 5:24,
objection [6] - 79:4,	89:10, 89:13, 94:22,	,	94:14, 130:3	18:17, 68:17, 70:12,
80:5, 88:2, 88:25,		<b>open</b> [3] - 31:20, 52:6,	94.14, 150.5	110:14, 138:23,
94:20, 95:5	102:15, 102:19,	97:7		145:5, 161:1
observation [1] -	102:25, 103:20,	opened [1] - 62:14	Р	
125:17	104:16, 104:20,	operate [1] - 99:11	<b>P.C</b> [2] - 1:21, 2:2	particularly [1] - 142:14
	104:23, 106:3,	<b>operating</b> [4] - 34:3,	<b>p.m</b> [3] - 56:18,	
obstruction [2] -	106:6, 106:17,	35:8, 79:22, 82:15	162:10, 162:12	parties [4] - 68:11,
144:3, 150:14	106:20, 106:23,	operation [1] - 147:14	<b>P.O</b> [2] - 2:3, 2:9	80:9, 80:12, 163:15
obstructive [1] -	106:24, 114:23,	opinion [1] - 135:11		partner [15] - 52:16,
143:25	123:22, 132:14,	opportunities [2] -	<b>page</b> [14] - 43:11, 71:23, 77:19, 77:21,	100:13, 100:18,
obtain [2] - 18:25,	132:17, 132:18,	19:2, 51:12		101:7, 101:12,
58:18	155:18, 155:23,	opportunity [6] -	79:19, 80:9, 104:2,	101:16, 101:19,
obtained [2] - 50:21,	155:24, 159:25,	52:21, 53:9, 54:8,	107:16, 117:4,	108:9, 120:6,
139:4	160:14, 160:18,	55:23, 57:19, 63:24	142:3, 151:2,	120:24, 157:20,
obviously [1] - 40:21	161:5, 161:9,	opposed [1] - 143:15	156:20, 159:16,	158:3, 158:23,
occasions [1] -	161:15, 162:9	option [1] - 158:5	159:21	158:25, 159:3
149:16	<b>OM</b> [4] - 110:1,	options [2] - 124:18,	<b>PAGE</b> [2] - 3:2, 3:5	partners [20] - 68:20,
occurred [1] - 93:21	116:20, 119:11,	157 :25	pages [2] - 7:16,	87:25, 105:14,
October [1] - 159:17	119:15	oral [1] - 6:13	163:11	105:17, 117:18,
<b>OF</b> [3] - 1:1, 163:1,	<b>OMs</b> [1] - 126:18	order [4] - 36:2, 48:20,	paid [28] - 87:17,	125:6, 125:7,
163:3	<b>once</b> [10] - 28:22,	73:7, 113:14	100:24, 120:24,	127:23, 128:2,
offer [1] - 67:5	39:18, 41:19, 57:19,	organized [2] - 79:20,	121:2, 122:21,	129:2, 129:8, 141:6,
offered [1] - 125:10	68:13,99:23,	82:11	125:25, 126:2,	150:15, 152:14,
Offering [18] - 3:9,	121:14, 130:16,	origination [2] -	126:3, 126:4,	154:17, 158:4,
64:12,64:16,64:17,	130:22, 133:3	121:13, 121:24	126:14, 127:2,	158:13, 159:10,
65:1,70:10,70:12,	<b>one</b> [66] - 16:21,	<b>OSWALD</b> [1] - 1:9	127:18, 127:25,	160:21, 160:23
70:15, 115:6,	17:21, 19:13, 26:15,	Oswald [1] - 148:6	128:2, 128:4, 128:9,	partnership [18] -
115:15, 115:20,	31:24, 33:8, 38:5,	ourselves [2] - 62:24,	128:10, 128:13,	41:13, 82:19, 82:21,
116:5, 118:3,	42:17, 42:21, 43:24,	64:18	128:19, 128:20,	100:15, 101:20,
118:20, 119:3,	44:1,45:24,51:24,	outcome [3] - 136:3,	129:1, 129:13,	119:19, 120:8,
119:15, 137:25,	58:9, 58:15, 58:19,	137:8, 163:16	129:16, 135:24,	121:14, 122:22,
138:7	59:23, 67:3, 69:12,	outfit [1] - 110:19	136:22, 137:1,	126:1, 127:17,
offering [2] - 70:20,	69:25, 70:1, 74:10,	outfits [1] - 106:15	150:17	127:24, 144:5,
118:15	75:9, 75:15, 75:20,	outreach [2] - 16:9,	paper [1] - 155:20	148:8, 148:25,
offhand [5] - 105:13,	76:9, 76:12, 76:15,	17:3	papers [1] - 86:11	149:4, 157:20,
105:14, 105:17,	76:24, 77:4, 78:2,		paragraph [3] - 158:3,	157 :23
126:19, 157:12	82:9, 82:11, 88:11,	<b>outside</b> [7] - 6:5, 47:3, 47:16, 50:19, 81:15,	159:13, 159:19	PARTNERSHIP [2] -
Office [8] - 16:13,	91:6, 95:6, 95:25,		parents [1] - 9:22	1:3, 1:13
32:4, 33:17, 39:2,	97:18, 100:1, 103:6,	89:4	<b>park</b> [6] - 53:6, 53:8,	Partnership [2] - 1:4,
94:16, 98:2, 98:23,	103:20, 104:9,	overlap [2] - 58:10,	53:21, 54:4, 54:11,	1:14
98:25	104:13, 104:15,	72:10	59:24	partnership 's [1] -
office [14] - 4:2, 17:10,	106:8, 114:18,	oversaw [1] - 21:5	Park [19] - 51:24, 52:1,	158:11
17:12, 18:2, 20:1,	114:25, 117:4,	overseas [7] - 72:21,	56:23, 58:15, 58:20,	partnerships [6] -
34:25, 35:1, 35:12,	118:11, 121:11,	103:7, 103:16,	59:21, 64:4, 79:11,	50:17,81:16,82:5,
37:1, 37:3, 53:7,	122:4, 125:1,	104:12, 110:16,	80:24, 80:25, 81:3,	83:12, 99:25, 101:7
72:24, 85:18, 91:23	126:20, 126:22,	121:2, 121:6	84:7,84:21,85:19,	<b>Party</b> [4] - 1:11, 1:16,
official [3] - 35:13,	135:22, 135:25,	Oviatt [1] - 26:8	91:8, 96:8, 96:12,	2:7, 2:10
55:20, 163:18	136:1, 142:3,	own [20] - 13:5, 41:12,	131:15, 131:16	party [1] - 160:9
officially [1] - 17:8	143:21, 145:17,	41:13, 41:14, 41:25,	Park 's [1] - 59:1	past [11] - 33:25,
often [1] - 67:16	151:4, 151:5,	42:2, 42:22, 46:1,	part [18] - 13:12,	43:20, 43:24, 48:9,
<b>Ohio</b> [2] - 29:1, 35:19	155:25, 156:3	46:18, 50:18, 54:21,	36:22, 40:23, 44:25,	109:16, 112:1,
oil [1] - 134:3	one 's [1] - 145:11	57:12, 57:13, 63:23,	45:3, 63:22, 65:8,	128:24, 128:25,
ין וין יידטי.ט		74:25,87:2,146:20,		
			-	-

Case 1:17-cv-01	002-CBK Docume	nt 173-1 Filed 10/1	0/18 Page 55 of 61	PagelD #: 2513
150:5, 150:7, 161:22	perception [1] -	Plaintiffs [2] - 1:11,	55:16, 152:22	104:21, 119:21 <u>1</u> 4
Patel [11] - 11:11,	112:16	2:7	prelude [4] - 81:8,	product [1] - 44:18
13:3, 14:18, 86:15,	perfect [1] - 133:22	plan [3] - 26:11, 26:13,	81:10, 82:5, 100:2	production [1] - 105:1
87:2,87:3,89:15,	perfectly [1] - 120:21	26:23	prepare [3] - 8:9, 9:8,	productivity [2] - 76:1,
100:22, 100:25,	perform [2] - 81:25,	plans [4] - 25:9, 25:14,	118:20	103:15
106 :9	82:1	39:15, 143:8	prepared [7] - 26:13,	products [2] - 19:3,
<b>pay</b> [7] - 23:3, 87:15,	performing [1] - 148:8	plant [23] - 63:9,	43:17, 64:17, 70:20,	25:17
122:8, 122:10,	period [4] - 12:13,	63:10, 64:13, 64:16,	70:22, 118:21,	professional [2] - 9:5,
148:19, 149:5,	26:3, 84:25, 85:20	65:3,65:14,65:15,	137:18	21:24
153:15	peripheral [2] - 139:9,	65:16, 68:24, 70:2,	preparing [1] - 142:1	Professional [3] - 4:5,
paying [3] - 82:2,	142 :5	70:3, 70:5, 70:16,	present [3] - 160:1,	163:6, 163:21
124 : 12	permanent [1] - 66:18	72:3, 72:4, 72:8,	160:25, 161:4	profit [7] - 33:14,
payment [2] - 20:18,	permission [2] - 73:9,	72:9, 72:11, 72:12,	presentation [1] -	99:12, 99:19, 99:23,
20:22	73:15	85:11, 98:11, 134:17	68:15	101:6, 108:23,
<b>pays</b> [3] - 108:25,	person [4] - 63:8,	<b>play</b> [3] - 64:19, 78:4,	presented [1] - 63:21	127:12
124:19, 125:4	84:22, 131:14,	158:19	President [1] - 23:23	profitable [6] - 99:15,
pending [1] - 151:21	153 :20	played [1] - 90:7	president [2] - 22:13,	109:2, 109:4, 109:5,
Pennsylvania [15] -	personal [11] - 65:24,	player [1] - 79:25	98:20	110:3, 110:8
32:2, 32:14, 33:6,	66:2, 70:5, 70:11,	plead [1] - 129:24	pretty [28] - 10:3, 14:5,	profits [2] - 100:24,
34:2, 34:9, 54:18,	109:23, 110:3,	<b>plug</b> [3] - 68:18,	15:25, 17:4, 26:16,	109:7
55:1, 58:25, 59:5,	111:20, 112:5,	68:24,70:14	29:14, 29:22, 35:20,	program [46] - 12:17,
62:20, 77:7, 77:8,	112:20, 117:18,	<b>plus</b> [4] - 47:1, 113:7,	53:20, 55:10, 60:13,	12:21, 12:25, 13:13,
125:4, 145:20,	148:16	121:5, 128:7	61:11, 62:20, 64:11,	13:15, 13:18, 16:8,
146:17	personally [2] - 23:20,	point [39] - 9:4, 13:4,	66:15, 87:4, 90:6,	16:9, 16:17, 17:3,
Pennsylvania 's [1] -	126:2	16:14, 18:10, 22:2,	99:16, 123:4,	17:19, 29:14, 30:12,
146:18	personnel [1] - 39:12	26:8, 26:11, 31:15,	124:15, 126:9,	31:4, 31:6, 31:7,
peon [1] - 131:5	perspective [3] - 40:7,	35:8, 52:25, 54:23,	128:22, 143:7,	31:13, 31:20, 33:14,
people [25] - 20:14,	54:9, 69:22	57:3, 59:18, 64:7,	144:7, 149:24,	33:21, 39:7, 40:19,
28:20, 33:13, 36:4,	persuaded [1] - 66:23	78:19, 93:6, 96:14,	154:10, 155:6, 161:4	40:21, 40:22, 43:7,
36:6, 39:14, 40:18,	persuasive [1] - 66:16	97:9, 97:24, 99:5,	<b>previously</b> [2] - 3:6,	44:25, 45:1, 45:4,
42:13, 43:1, 46:20,	Peter [1] - 140:4	99:7, 100:4, 100:9,	71:16	45:23, 46:19, 46:21,
47:23, 50:25, 58:17,	petitions [5] - 29:21,	107:6, 107:19,	primarily [3] - 38:24,	48:2, 48:3, 57:16,
64:19, 64:20, 69:12,	29:22, 40:13,	107:21, 108:7,	129:18, 130:4	58:23, 62:21, 63:3,
109:18, 110:12,	141:16, 144:18	108:20, 109:24,	principal [2] - 149:5,	66:9, 68:15, 74:6,
113:10, 114:9, 120:18, 142:8	philosophy [1] - 111:9	113:16, 115:21,	149:11	78:3, 79:23, 82:16,
130:18, 143:8,	<b>phone</b> [3] - 21:15,	124:25, 143:11,	private [9] - 32:8,	107:3, 113:16, 150:3
146:1, 149:25, 160:1	58:14, 142:22	145:5, 148:18,	33:8, 33:22, 34:2,	programs [5] - 17:24,
people 's [1] - 148:15	phonetic [2] - 15:18,	150:5, 154:9, 158:6	62:22, 90:6, 92:11,	18:1, 22:21, 48:17,
<b>per</b> [8] - 49:8, 126:23,	148 :20	pointing [1] - 93:19 political [4] - 32:4,	98:22, 98:24	76:4 progress [1] - 141:9
126:24, 126:25, 129:3, 129:6, 129:9,	physical [3] - 34:15,	141:22, 145:18,	privately [1] - 99:18	progressed [1] -
129:10	37:3, 47:22	147:13	privilege [1] - 159:6	138:16
perceived [4] -	physically [1] - 75:12	pong [1] - 111:12	privileged [2] - 160:7, 160:9	project [97] - 41:1,
110:15, 112:16,	picture [6] - 24:3,	pops [1] - 149:16	probability [2] -	41:23, 60:12, 60:17,
132:11, 135:9	24:6, 24:15, 24:16,	portion [2] - 70:3,	113:22, 144:16	61:10, 62:1, 62:19,
percent [26] - 38:10,	24:17, 142:6	86:18	problem [4] - 39:11,	63:10, 63:13, 63:20,
87:5, 88:21, 105:24,	piece [1] - 16:21	<b>position</b> [3] - 16:23,	106:10, 107:3, 161:3	63:22, 64:5, 64:8,
105:25, 106:1,	<b>Pierre</b> [7] - 23:19,	16:25, 18:2	problems [2] - 36:2,	64:9, 64:22, 65:1,
121:5, 121:21,	25:10, 25:11, 25:12,	positive [1] - 52:23	99:2	65:13, 65:20, 68:9,
122:12, 122:19,	63:16, 107:2, 109:16	possible [2] - 6:11,	proceed [1] - 142:18	68:10, 68:13, 68:17,
126:17, 126:19,	<b>pilot</b> [2] - 79:23, 82:16	7:3	proceeds [5] - 116:6,	68:19, 68:20, 68:25,
127:18, 127:20,	ping [1] - 111:11	post -2007 [1] - 58:1	116:10, 116:12,	69:5, 69:12, 69:14,
127:23, 127:25,	pinpoint [1] - 34:25	potential [1] - 118:10	117:14, 117:16	70:4, 70:7, 72:5,
128:3, 128:5, 128:6,	<b>pitch</b> [1] - 113:10	power [1] - 148:24	process [5] - 33:12,	74:10, 79:21, 81:25,
129:3, 129:4,	<b>place</b> [5] - 5:1, 92:6,	powerful [1] - 110:23	84:11, 141:10,	82:7, 82:13, 93:12,
129:10, 131:13,	113:21, 130:16, 153:2	practicality [1] - 78:16	141:25, 158:16	103:7, 103:8, 105:6,
145:6	153:2 Plains 131 - 22:18	practice [1] - 155:11	processing [2] -	105:7, 105:10,
percentage [1] - 122:9	Plains [3] - 22:18, 69:17, 69:23	praying [1] - 153:10	119:14, 138:17	105:11, 107:14,
percentages [1] -	<b>Plaintiff</b> [3] - 1:5, 1:23,	precision [1] - 1:25	produced [5] - 75:24,	107:17,109:15,
122:11	2:4	predicament [2] -	102:18, 102:25,	109:22, 110:13,
	<b>-</b> .7			

Case 1:17-cv-01	002-CBK Docume	nt 173-1 Filed 10/1	0/18 Page 56 of 61	. PagelD #: 2514
110:14, 111:3,	115:25, 129:18,	137:10, 137:22,	read [16] - 32:20,	recommended [2] - 15
111:19, 111:22,	129:21, 130:4,	139:13, 144:11,	56:19,97:15,116:4,	145:10, 145:20
111:23, 112:17,	130:7, 130:8,	149:19	116:15, 117:12,	<b>record</b> [14] - 5:9, 6:23,
113:13, 113:15,	130:17, 131:1,	putting [6] - 20:13,	118:22, 119:21,	51:16, 56:21, 103:3,
114:2, 114:6, 114:8,	133:20	39:5, 113:1, 113:5,	133:7, 142:7, 142:8,	106:4, 106:6,
114:12, 115:7,	promotion -related [1]	113:6, 132:19	142:19, 158:7,	106:21, 106:22,
119:20, 121:9,	- 84:4	<b>Pyush</b> [6] - 11:11,	159:12, 162:1	119:17, 132:17,
122:5, 123:4,	promotional [4] -	13:22, 86:15, 87:2,	reading [1] - 141.24	135:6, 155:23,
129:19, 130:5,	130:23, 131:4,	89:15, 100:22	ready [1] - 12:19	162:11
130:13, 130:22,	131:18, 132:20		real [3] - 16:22, 47:5,	record 's [1] - 131:23
131:11, 131:16,	pronounce [2] - 75:1	Q	48:11	recorded [2] - 124:4,
131:24, 132:4,	properly [3] - 30:9,	3	<b>Real</b> [2] - 10:1, 10:6	124:5
132:8, 132:13,	99:9, 155:15	<b>QDNG</b> [1] - 134:8	reality [4] - 39:16,	records [2] - 75:25,
132:18, 132:21,	property [2] - 106:16,	QIANJIN [1] - 76:11	125:7, 136:20,	147:18
132:25, 133:4,	117:17	qualified [1] - 143:9	136:21	recruit [3] - 29:2,
133:21, 134:25,	proposal [1] - 39:1	qualify [9] - 46:2,	realize [2] - 50:16,	70:13, 74:17
135:8, 135:22,	propose [1] - 161:20	46:20, 46:21, 48:10,	150:2	recruited [6] - 44:17,
136:5, 136:24,	• • • • •	60:13, 60:14, 60:23,		74:13, 74:14, 85:10,
136:25, 137:7,	protection [1] - 158:19	143:24	realized [6] - 68:16, 69:7, 97:9, 97:11,	105:18, 123:6
137:9, 138:16,		quarter [3] - 122:12,		
138:23, 140:13,	provide [6] - 18:12,	122:13, 122:19	98:18, 143:11	recruiter [1] - 105:5
148:16, 150:21,	109:25, 111:4, 111:0, 112:15, 126:2	questioned [1] - 73:13	really [39] - 6:14,	recruiters [4] - 105:10,
153:11	111:9, 112:15, 136:3	questions [6] -	12:17, 16:2, 19:7,	105:12, 105:14,
projects [37] - 50:17,	provided [9] - 19:2,	153:25, 160:13,	19:20, 23:20, 24:18,	105:16
60:9, 61:7, 61:8,	19:3, 41:11, 67:14,	161:10, 161:15,	24:22, 28:23, 29:11,	recruiting [1] - 75:6
61:9, 61:20, 61:23,	76:5, 104:16, 115:7,	161:18, 161:19	31:14, 32:13, 33:5,	referring [3] - 117:22,
63:1, 68:7, 68:9,	119:2, 157:10	quick [1] - 32:25	33:11, 33:24, 45:19,	138:21, 138:22
69:1, 69:2, 69:3,	proxies [2] - 160:24,	quickly [7] - 7:2, 19:4,	46:5, 46:15, 56:3,	refined [1] - 82:23
72:10, 74:8, 74:12,	161:1	31:11, 32:15, 34:10,	60:2, 61:8, 69:25,	regard [1] - 115:7
75:22, 76:23, 79:12,	proxy [1] - 160:1	64:11, 124:11	80:15, 85:4, 90:10,	regarding [1] - 160:13
81:16, 101:21,	<b>Public</b> [3] - 4:6, 163:7,	quiet [1] - 52:18	96:14, 97:21, 97:22,	regards [1] - 93:3
101:24, 101:25,	163:22	quieted [1] - 52:24	105:9, 108:5, 124:6,	<b>Regents</b> [10] - 85:8,
112:19, 122:14,	<b>public</b> [2] - 33:4,	quieted [1] = 32.24 quit [1] - 28:22	125:8, 135:13,	85:23, 91:3, 91:25,
125:9, 125:24,	104 : 19	quite [8] - 18:19,	139:8, 143:9,	92:22, 92:24, 93:7,
131:10, 131:25,	publication [1] - 49:19	28:13, 46:13, 75:23,	143:15, 150:12	93:25, 98:9, 98:17
132:1, 132:2, 132:3,	published [1] - 49:4		realm [1] - 73:23	region [2] - 32:9, 48:9
132:20, 133:12,	publishes [3] - 49:2,	112:10, 130:18,	<b>Realtime</b> [3] - 4:6,	regional [56] - 32:7,
133:13, 134:24,	49:20, 51:6	145:11	163:6, 163:22	32:24, 33:3, 33:11,
135:20	pull [2] - 68:23, 121:12	quivering [1] - 133:16	rearrange [1] - 155:19	33:13, 33:22, 34:2,
prominent [1] -	pulled [2] - 68:18,	quota [1] - 26:18	reason [5] - 47:5,	34:8, 34:10, 34:16,
141:18	70:14		75:11, 96:14, 96:17,	34:17, 35:10, 37:8,
	purchased [2] - 13:23,	R	139:9	37:12, 37:21, 38:8,
promised [1] - 149:6	116:11	raise [1] - 62:1	reasonably [1] - 89:9	38:9, 39:22, 41:5,
promote [16] - 27:13,	pure [1] - 42:3	ran [1] - 35:25	reasons [3] - 59:24,	41:12, 46:11, 46:14,
76:8, 76:22, 77:6, 103:7, 110:25,	purely [1] - 40:15	range [2] - 49:14, 62:7	66:15, 69:11	47:1, 47:3, 47:6,
, , ,	purest [1] - 46:17	<b>Rapid</b> [3] - 2:3, 20:11,	rebate [1] - 125:23	47:12, 47:16, 48:12,
111:1, 114:3, 110:10, 130:14	purpose [10] - 22:19,	67:2	receive [6] - 12:25,	50:21, 51:5, 51:7,
119:19, 130:14, 131:11, 132:13	48:13, 48:14, 69:20,	rate [2] - 128:12,	52:23, 121:21,	54:6, 58:19, 60:6,
131:11, 132:13, 133:10, 134:22	73:17, 79:20, 82:12,	128:17	127:17, 127:25,	62:13, 76:22, 77:1,
133:19, 134:22, 135:1, 135:4	83:7, 83:9, 84:5	rated [1] - 135:7	146:5	78:11, 78:15, 83:11,
135:1, 135:4	purposes [1] - 84:6		received [8] - 10:6,	94:4, 94:12, 94:13,
promoted [7] - 64:9,	pursuant [1] - 121:20	rating [4] - 113:2,	47:8, 52:6, 53:5,	94:15, 95:1, 96:4,
107:14, 112:25,	pursuing [1] - 10:13	113:4, 113:7, 135:8	127:14, 127:15,	96:5, 97:25, 98:4,
131:16, 131:20, 133:13, 137:8	<b>PURTELL</b> [1] - 2:5	RDT [1] - 19:16	156:11, 157:1	99:5, 99:11, 102:2,
133:13, 137:8	<b>push</b> [2] - 34:10,	<b>RDTN</b> [5] - 19:11,	receiving [2] - 121:23,	110:11, 121:18,
promoting [5] - 54:17,	133:11	19:17, 19:18, 19:19, 20:2	157 :2	124:12, 125:2
64:8, 112:9, 114:11,	put [18] - 17:5, 23:12,	20:2	recent [1] - 142:16	Regional [18] - 34:12,
134:6	26:11, 42:14, 56:14,	reach [1] - 154:14	recess [3] - 56:16,	35:2, 35:14, 38:2,
promotion [16] - 22:7,	62:19, 65:1, 72:23,	reached [1] - 154:23	132:16, 155:21	93:11, 94:7, 94:8,
22:10, 38:1, 39:3,	78:7, 82:6, 84:7,	reaction [3] - 143:18,	recognize [1] - 103:11	94:11,94:18,94:23,
59:12,84:4,85:2,	91:7, 109:25,	150:6, 150:8	recollect [1] - 53:20	95:4,95:9,95:13,
	· , ·····,			

Case 1:17-cv-01	002-CBK Docume	nt 173-1 Filed 10/1	0/18 Page 57 of 61	PagelD #: 2515
95:18,95:22,95:25,	6:15, 6:23, 7:2,	22:16, 120:25,	route [1] - 66:23	<b>65</b> :17, 77:11, 77:12, <u>1</u> 6
96:6,96:7	56:19, 117:12	121:8, 124:14	<b>RPR</b> [1] - 1:24	77:22, 78:1, 78:12,
Register [1] - 32:20	reporter 's [1] - 56:12	revenues [1] - 14:3	rules [7] - 6:8, 88:11,	79:16, 79:19, 80:2,
Registered [3] - 4:5,	Reporting [1] - 1:25	review [13] - 7:10,	143:2, 143:24,	80:16, 81:1, 81:4,
163:5, 163:21	reports [2] - 19:5,	7:12, 7:17, 8:2,	149:20, 150:11,	81:8, 81:9, 82:5,
registered [2] - 86:5,	22:23	26:12, 43:10, 43:12,	152:24	82:9, 82:11, 82:17,
96:8	represent [3] - 75:8,	119:18, 120:17,	<b>run</b> [1] - 57:13	83:2, 103:8
regular [1] - 67:17	105:19, 105:21	139:14, 140:6,	running [1] - 36:1	<b>SDRC</b> [114] - 1:12, 3:7,
regularly [1] - 67:17	representation [1] -	140:22, 144:15	rural [1] - 47:20	3:8, 3:12, 67:19,
regulation [1] - 78:3	80:11	reviewed [4] - 7:13,		67:20, 67:21, 67:25,
related [7] - 5:24,	representations [1] -	8:6, 140:20, 144:12	S	68:2, 68:6, 69:11,
38:16, 84:4, 84:6,	80:8	reviewing [3] - 39:15,		69:20, 71:8, 72:1,
89:5, 140:15, 163:15	representatives [1] -	143:8, 150:5	safe [2] - 135:9, 136:9	78:2, 78:22, 80:15,
relationship [20] -	19:15	revisions [1] - 104:14	safest [1] - 136:2	81:21, 81:24, 82:4,
16:10, 19:6, 20:24,	represented [2] -	revitalization [1] -	sales [2] - 44:19,	82:24, 83:3, 83:12,
21:23, 22:2, 22:11,	83:19, 95:18	31:8	113:10	83:15, 83:23, 83:25,
22:13, 23:7, 26:6,	represents [1] -	revitalize [1] - 31:5	salespeople [1] -	84:2,84:5,84:17,
32:13, 37:7, 55:11,	110:20	<b>RFE</b> [17] - 139:5,	44:19	84:19,84:24,85:14,
55:20, 56:22, 60:2,	reputation [2] -	139:8, 139:10,	satellite [3] - 19:14,	85:19, 85:20, 85:24,
60:5, 73:7, 87:4,	109:17, 140:14	139:13, 139:14,	19:21, 20:10	85:25, 86:8, 86:23,
133:8	requested [4] - 11:12,	140:9, 140:18,	savings [1] - 28:21	89:16, 89:19, 90:3,
relationships [3] -	11:17, 72:23, 142:15	140:22, 142:1,	<b>saw</b> [9] - 31:6, 52:10,	90:8, 90:13, 90:16,
20:23, 21:10, 21:22	requests [1] - 104:24	142:3, 142:7, 142:8,	52:12, 63:23, 64:15,	91:1, 91:6, 91:21,
relative [1] - 163:10	require [1] - 61:9	142:10, 142:14,	64:18, 93:10, 93:17	92:18, 92:19, 93:10,
relatively [2] - 112:17,	required [6] - 70:11,	142:24, 144:11,	scared [3] - 85:7,	94:1, 94:3, 94:5,
137:6	99:11, 113:14,	144:17	97:3, 98:19	95:10, 95:12, 95:21,
relevance [1] - 88:25	132:9, 143:4, 152:6	Rich [1] - 110:19	scenario [1] - 148:11	96:2, 96:3, 96:9,
relied [1] - 68:11	requirement [3] -	rich [1] - 52:8	schedule [2] - 133:6,	97:24, 98:2, 98:3,
rely [1] - 125:11	41:24, 42:9, 83:14	Richard [2] - 98:21,	154 :14	98:5, 99:12, 99:17,
remain [1] - 46:22	requirements [3] -	131:10	school [13] - 9:15,	99:23, 99:24,
remaining [1] - 116:12	47:4, 60:24, 115:14	rights [1] - 92:17	9:17, 9:19, 9:24,	100:19, 100:20,
remember [23] - 15:9,	research [1] - 21:19	<b>RIMS</b> [6] - 48:5, 48:22,	10:2, 10:3, 10:5,	100:24, 101:6,
20:16, 22:12, 26:14,	resentment [1] - 52:7	48:24, 49:7, 49:18	10:6, 10:7, 10:12,	101:10, 101:17,
27:22, 27:23, 45:6,	respect [1] - 6:20	ringing [1] - 97:1	11:15, 23:24, 36:22	101:19, 107:5,
45:7, 51:23, 57:1,	respond [4] - 91:25,	risk [9] - 39:6, 93:2,	schooling [2] - 8:22,	107:19, 107:21,
72:11, 78:23, 86:7,	139:5, 149:18,	101:23, 110:15,	87:15	107:25, 108:6,
87:9, 87:10, 129:23,	149:19	132:11, 135:21,	SCHUTZ [1] - 2:9	108:7, 108:17,
134:9, 143:6, 147:4,	response [8] - 68:1,	136:13, 136:25,	Schutz [3] - 4:2,	109:6, 114:17,
148:6, 148:11,	68:2, 110:22,	137:3	86:18, 89:24	116:1, 120:24,
153:24, 157:2	139:13, 140:15,	risky [6] - 92:24,	scope [1] - 89:6	121:8, 121:14,
remind [1] - 6:16	142:3, 153:1, 157:11	101:22, 113:18,	<b>SCOTT</b> [1] - 2:5	121:17, 121:19,
removal [1] - 141:10	responses [1] - 157:8	136:1, 136:12,	scroll [1] - 77:19	121:21, 122:2,
renewable [1] - 23:2	responsibility [2] -	136:23	<b>SD</b> [8] - 1:14, 72:1,	122:13, 123:23, 126:2, 126:6, 126:7
rent [1] - 106:16	60:11, 140:24	road [2] - 144:2,	81:23, 103:8,	126:3, 126:6, 126:7, 126:10, 126:11
rentals [1] - 106:12	responsible [1] -	150:14	126:12, 127:2,	126:10, 126:11,
repay [1] - 137:7	143:16	rocky [1] - 133:9	127:5, 129:13	127:7, 127:8, 139:23, 139:25,
repeat [1] - 82:10	responsive [1] -	role [2] - 60:5, 60:6	<b>SDI</b> [8] - 80:14, 81:7,	
repercussions [1] -	104:24	rolicali [1] - 159:20	82:25, 99:25, 100:5,	140:14, 141:8, 147:1, 147:2
158:5	rest [2] - 24:1, 56:14	rolls [1] - 153:12	107:24, 108:2, 108:6	147:1, 147:2, 150:22, 153:16,
replaced [1] - 80:15	restarting [1] - 56:17	Ron [8] - 107:1, 109:9,	<b>SDIBI</b> [19] - 36:16,	155:25, 156:9,
reply [1] - 148:12	retained [3] - 109:6,	109:14, 109:16,	37:2, 37:7, 37:9,	157:16, 159:25,
report [1] - 141:9	146:23, 156:16	109:24, 110:18,	37:11, 37:25, 38:8,	161:23
<b>Reported</b> [1] - 1:24	retire [2] - 29:6, 145:3	111:2	38:10, 38:16, 39:5,	SDRC 's [1] - 120:25
reported [1] - 83:14	retired [2] - 144 :24,	<b>RONALD</b> [1] - 1:9	63:18, 69:17, 73:3,	seal [1] - 163:18
REPORTER [2] -	145:1	Rosenfeld [1] - 145:20	74:5, 77:11, 81:5,	searching [4] - 13:7,
36:13, 123:21	retroactively [4] -	rough [1] - 70:18	81:21, 82:9, 95:22	28:24, 29:12, 51:10
<b>Reporter</b> [6] - 4:6,	143:3, 149:20,	roughly [6] - 45:18,	SDIBI /DEDR [1] -	second [7] - 43:5,
163:6, 163:21,	150:10, 152:24	126:20, 128:3,	79:21	56:14, 82:11,
163:22	returning [1] - 113:22	128:19, 129:3, 129:4	<b>SDIC</b> [1] - 107:5	56:14, 82:11, 112:12, 121:11,
reporter [6] - 5:3,	revenue [5] - 22:15,	Rounds [1] - 63:2	<b>SDIF</b> [24] - 1:3, 1:13,	112.12, 121.11,

	DUZ-CBR DUCUME	it 173-1 Filed 10/1	0/18 Page 58 of 61	. PagelD #: 2516
140:6, 158:3	series [3] - 18:24,	<b>shows</b> [4] - 27:24,	40:4, 40:6, 52:21,	<b>35:2, 35:14, 35:20,</b> 17
secondary [2] -	19:8, 106:13	44:23, 44:24, 136:12	113:13, 119:1,	36:20, 37:20, 38:2,
125:16, 135:17	service [4] - 75:4,	shrieking [1] - 85:6	124:6, 161:4	39:7, 41:5, 41:6,
secretary [3] - 38:15,	76:11, 76:15, 147:11	SHULTZ [2] - 1:21, 2:2	smaller [1] - 135:5	43:25, 44:7, 44:18,
50:7, 98:3	services [1] - 84:4	sic] [1] - 154:21	smooth [1] - 6:11	45:20, 50:22, 52:19,
section [1] - 153:4	session [1] - 56:18	side [2] - 24:21, 31:19	smoothly [1] - 85:1	53:10, 55:2, 55:6,
sections [1] - 111:25	set [24] - 9:8, 16:6,	Siegel [3] - 4:2, 86:17,	soccer [4] - 41:2,	55:8, 56:10, 59:5,
sector [1] - 61:1	22:18, 25:16, 27:13,	89:24	64:18, 64:19, 99:6	59:11, 60:12, 62:13,
sectors [1] - 26:14	27:14, 28:21, 33:11,	<b>SIEGEL</b> [2] - 2:5, 2:9	social [1] - 23:20	62:16, 66:12, 72:15,
secured [5] - 116:6,	41:13, 42:21, 45:25,	sign [3] - 41:9, 75:13,	<b>sold</b> [2] - 89:20,	74:6, 74:8, 75:22,
116:10, 116:13,	46:18, 50:17, 65:12,	128:16	113:10	76:4, 78:15, 80:22,
117:14, 117:16	69:11, 69:18, 77:8,	signator [2] - 92:20,	<b>sole</b> [3] - 108:11,	93:10, 94:7, 94:11,
securities [1] - 13:1	83:8, 83:9, 86:9,	97:14	108:13, 126:6	94:18,94:23,95:4,
security [6] - 115:13,	130:16, 131:6,	signature [3] - 77:21,	<b>solid</b> [3] - 109:17,	95:9, 95:13, 95:18,
116:4, 116:5,	150:2, 163:17	102:24, 103:11	112:17, 114:7	95:21,95:25,96:5,
116:10, 116:14,	setting [6] - 31:16,	signed [22] - 56:1,	<b>solution</b> [4] - 29:9,	96:7, 108:13,
117:9	49:18, 56:2, 56:6,	59:18, 65:25, 69:16,	29:11, 141:23,	108:22, 112:14,
see [27] - 13:10, 17:4,	69:19, 93:5	70:8, 75:11, 75:14,	158:12	122:9, 122:10,
18:4, 25:23, 43:4,	settle [1] - 135:3	75:24, 79:9, 81:21,	someone [2] - 29:4,	124:15, 146:21,
43:23, 56:12, 56:20,	settled [1] - 85:22	92:10, 92:12, 92:13,	146:15	150:20, 163:7
82:22, 92:6, 94:1,	settlement [2] -	92:19, 97:15, 98:1,	sometime [2] - 54:25,	speaker [1] - 67:4
94:9, 94:17, 97:14,	158:21, 159:6	99:17, 102:13,	86:17	speakers [1] - 19:14
99:22, 114:22,	several [5] - 8:25,	103:12, 104:15,	sometimes [2] -	speaking [5] - 121:7,
115:23, 117:25,	19:14, 28:1, 52:9,	153:9	74:17, 146:3	121:9, 121:19,
119:7, 120:3,	69:11	signing [6] - 55:17,	somewhat [2] - 112 :9,	122:19, 133:6
121:20, 137:7,	shaking [1] - 6:15	80:3, 82:3, 84:22,	154 :11	Spearfish [1] - 20:11
140:23, 142:2,	shall [1] - 49:15	98:3, 99:2	somewhere [5] -	specific [1] - 91:22
143:3, 143:23,	Shanghai [3] - 74:6,	similar [5] - 19:22,	15:13, 27:6, 44:13,	specifically [2] -
148:13	76:9, 76:13	48:17, 78:22, 95:24,	49:14, 72:5	27:20, 131:24
seeing [3] - 78:23,	share [1] - 141:13	103:12	<b>soon</b> [3] - 102:20,	specifics [1] - 31:13
80:14, 138:12				1-t 10 0
00.14, 100.12	shareholder [1] -	<b>simply</b> [1] - 136:25	123:4, 145:23	<b>speculate</b> [2] - 40:6,
seem [2] - 94:17,	shareholder [1] - 86:16	simply [1] - 136 :25 simultaneously [2] -	123:4, 145:23 sophisticated [1] -	<b>speculate</b> [2] - 40:6, 81:14
				-
seem [2] - 94:17,	86:16	simultaneously [2] -	sophisticated [1] -	81:14
<b>seem</b> [2] - 94:17, 141:24	86:16 shareholders [6] -	simultaneously [2] - 76:24, 133:14	sophisticated [1] - 158:17	81:14 speculating [3] -
seem [2] - 94:17, 141:24 sell [3] - 15:25, 89:18,	86:16 shareholders [6] - 86:8, 86:12, 86:14,	simultaneously [2] - 76:24, 133:14 single [1] - 122:3	sophisticated [1] - 158 :17 Sorry [3] - 36 :15,	81:14 <b>speculating</b> [3] - 23:17, 40:15, 48:15
seem [2] - 94:17, 141:24 sell [3] - 15:25, 89:18, 133:18	86:16 <b>shareholders</b> [6] - 86:8, 86:12, 86:14, 101:4, 109:1, 127:13	simultaneously [2] - 76:24, 133:14 single [1] - 122:3 Sioux [3] - 1:22, 2:6,	sophisticated [1] - 158:17 Sorry [3] - 36:15, 123:22	81:14 <b>speculating</b> [3] - 23:17, 40:15, 48:15 <b>speed</b> [1] - 158:7
<ul> <li>seem [2] - 94:17, 141:24</li> <li>sell [3] - 15:25, 89:18, 133:18</li> <li>seminar [3] - 20:16,</li> </ul>	86:16 <b>shareholders</b> [6] - 86:8, 86:12, 86:14, 101:4, 109:1, 127:13 <b>shares</b> [9] - 86:19,	simultaneously [2] - 76:24, 133:14 single [1] - 122:3 Sioux [3] - 1:22, 2:6, 20:11	sophisticated [1] - 158:17 Sorry [3] - 36:15, 123:22 sorry [10] - 52:5,	81:14 speculating [3] - 23:17, 40:15, 48:15 speed [1] - 158:7 speeds [1] - 84:10
<pre>seem [2] - 94:17, 141:24 sell [3] - 15:25, 89:18, 133:18 seminar [3] - 20:16, 53:6, 54:4</pre>	86:16 <b>shareholders</b> [6] - 86:8, 86:12, 86:14, 101:4, 109:1, 127:13 <b>shares</b> [9] - 86:19, 86:20, 86:21, 86:24,	simultaneously [2] - 76:24, 133:14 single [1] - 122:3 Sioux [3] - 1:22, 2:6, 20:11 site [6] - 19:13, 37:9,	sophisticated [1] - 158:17 Sorry [3] - 36:15, 123:22 sorry [10] - 52:5, 103:1, 104:6,	81:14 speculating [3] - 23:17, 40:15, 48:15 speed [1] - 158:7 speeds [1] - 84:10 spell [1] - 30:8
<pre>seem [2] - 94:17, 141:24 sell [3] - 15:25, 89:18, 133:18 seminar [3] - 20:16, 53:6, 54:4 seminars [8] - 19:25,</pre>	86:16 <b>shareholders</b> [6] - 86:8, 86:12, 86:14, 101:4, 109:1, 127:13 <b>shares</b> [9] - 86:19, 86:20, 86:21, 86:24, 89:18, 89:20, 92:7,	simultaneously [2] - 76:24, 133:14 single [1] - 122:3 Sioux [3] - 1:22, 2:6, 20:11 site [6] - 19:13, 37:9, 37:11, 37:13, 41:19,	<pre>sophisticated [1] - 158:17 Sorry [3] - 36:15, 123:22 sorry [10] - 52:5, 103:1, 104:6, 125:21, 132:3,</pre>	81:14 speculating [3] - 23:17, 40:15, 48:15 speed [1] - 158:7 speeds [1] - 84:10 spell [1] - 30:8 spelling [1] - 134:9
<pre>seem [2] - 94:17, 141:24 sell [3] - 15:25, 89:18, 133:18 seminar [3] - 20:16, 53:6, 54:4 seminars [8] - 19:25, 20:13, 25:21, 25:24,</pre>	86:16 <b>shareholders</b> [6] - 86:8, 86:12, 86:14, 101:4, 109:1, 127:13 <b>shares</b> [9] - 86:19, 86:20, 86:21, 86:24, 89:18, 89:20, 92:7, 92:9	simultaneously [2] - 76:24, 133:14 single [1] - 122:3 Sioux [3] - 1:22, 2:6, 20:11 site [6] - 19:13, 37:9, 37:11, 37:13, 41:19, 121:9	<pre>sophisticated [1] - 158:17 Sorry [3] - 36:15, 123:22 sorry [10] - 52:5, 103:1, 104:6, 125:21, 132:3, 134:20, 142:13,</pre>	81:14 speculating [3] - 23:17, 40:15, 48:15 speed [1] - 158:7 speeds [1] - 84:10 spell [1] - 30:8 spelling [1] - 134:9 spend [3] - 22:20,
seem [2] - 94:17, 141:24 sell [3] - 15:25, 89:18, 133:18 seminar [3] - 20:16, 53:6, 54:4 seminars [8] - 19:25, 20:13, 25:21, 25:24, 36:8, 58:20, 133:6, 134:14 send [5] - 20:21,	86:16 <b>shareholders</b> [6] - 86:8, 86:12, 86:14, 101:4, 109:1, 127:13 <b>shares</b> [9] - 86:19, 86:20, 86:21, 86:24, 89:18, 89:20, 92:7, 92:9 <b>shed</b> [1] - 90:4	simultaneously [2] - 76:24, 133:14 single [1] - 122:3 Sioux [3] - 1:22, 2:6, 20:11 site [6] - 19:13, 37:9, 37:11, 37:13, 41:19, 121:9 sites [7] - 19:14,	<pre>sophisticated [1] - 158:17 Sorry [3] - 36:15, 123:22 sorry [10] - 52:5, 103:1, 104:6, 125:21, 132:3, 134:20, 142:13, 156:18, 159:1,</pre>	81:14 speculating [3] - 23:17, 40:15, 48:15 speed [1] - 158:7 speeds [1] - 84:10 spell [1] - 30:8 spelling [1] - 134:9 spend [3] - 22:20, 39:5, 134:2 spin [1] - 85:24 spirited [3] - 142:14,
<pre>seem [2] - 94:17, 141:24 sell [3] - 15:25, 89:18, 133:18 seminar [3] - 20:16, 53:6, 54:4 seminars [8] - 19:25, 20:13, 25:21, 25:24, 36:8, 58:20, 133:6, 134:14 send [5] - 20:21, 78:17, 123:3, 125:5,</pre>	86:16 <b>shareholders</b> [6] - 86:8, 86:12, 86:14, 101:4, 109:1, 127:13 <b>shares</b> [9] - 86:19, 86:20, 86:21, 86:24, 89:18, 89:20, 92:7, 92:9 <b>shed</b> [1] - 90:4 <b>Shekleton</b> [1] - 85:9	simultaneously [2] - 76:24, 133:14 single [1] - 122:3 Sioux [3] - 1:22, 2:6, 20:11 site [6] - 19:13, 37:9, 37:11, 37:13, 41:19, 121:9 sites [7] - 19:14, 19:21, 20:10, 28:8,	sophisticated [1] - 158:17 Sorry [3] - 36:15, 123:22 sorry [10] - 52:5, 103:1, 104:6, 125:21, 132:3, 134:20, 142:13, 156:18, 159:1, 159:24	81:14 speculating [3] - 23:17, 40:15, 48:15 speed [1] - 158:7 speeds [1] - 84:10 spell [1] - 30:8 spelling [1] - 134:9 spend [3] - 22:20, 39:5, 134:2 spin [1] - 85:24 spirited [3] - 142:14, 142:23, 142:24
<pre>seem [2] - 94:17, 141:24 sell [3] - 15:25, 89:18, 133:18 seminar [3] - 20:16, 53:6, 54:4 seminars [8] - 19:25, 20:13, 25:21, 25:24, 36:8, 58:20, 133:6, 134:14 send [5] - 20:21, 78:17, 123:3, 125:5, 148:13</pre>	86:16 shareholders [6] - 86:8, 86:12, 86:14, 101:4, 109:1, 127:13 shares [9] - 86:19, 86:20, 86:21, 86:24, 89:18, 89:20, 92:7, 92:9 shed [1] - 90:4 Shekleton [1] - 85:9 shell [3] - 86:13, 90:8,	simultaneously [2] - 76:24, 133:14 single [1] - 122:3 Sioux [3] - 1:22, 2:6, 20:11 site [6] - 19:13, 37:9, 37:11, 37:13, 41:19, 121:9 sites [7] - 19:14, 19:21, 20:10, 28:8, 28:10, 41:17, 42:3	<pre>sophisticated [1] - 158:17 Sorry [3] - 36:15, 123:22 sorry [10] - 52:5, 103:1, 104:6, 125:21, 132:3, 134:20, 142:13, 156:18, 159:1, 159:24 sort [1] - 67:5</pre>	81:14 speculating [3] - 23:17, 40:15, 48:15 speed [1] - 158:7 speeds [1] - 84:10 spell [1] - 30:8 spelling [1] - 134:9 spend [3] - 22:20, 39:5, 134:2 spin [1] - 85:24 spirited [3] - 142:14,
seem [2] - 94:17, 141:24 sell [3] - 15:25, 89:18, 133:18 seminar [3] - 20:16, 53:6, 54:4 seminars [8] - 19:25, 20:13, 25:21, 25:24, 36:8, 58:20, 133:6, 134:14 send [5] - 20:21, 78:17, 123:3, 125:5, 148:13 sense [17] - 6:24,	86:16 shareholders [6] - 86:8, 86:12, 86:14, 101:4, 109:1, 127:13 shares [9] - 86:19, 86:20, 86:21, 86:24, 89:18, 89:20, 92:7, 92:9 shed [1] - 90:4 Shekleton [1] - 85:9 shell [3] - 86:13, 90:8, 90:16	simultaneously [2] - 76:24, 133:14 single [1] - 122:3 Sioux [3] - 1:22, 2:6, 20:11 site [6] - 19:13, 37:9, 37:11, 37:13, 41:19, 121:9 sites [7] - 19:14, 19:21, 20:10, 28:8, 28:10, 41:17, 42:3 sitting [2] - 136:12,	sophisticated [1] - 158:17 Sorry [3] - 36:15, 123:22 sorry [10] - 52:5, 103:1, 104:6, 125:21, 132:3, 134:20, 142:13, 156:18, 159:1, 159:24 sort [1] - 67:5 sound [1] - 129:11	81:14 speculating [3] - 23:17, 40:15, 48:15 speed [1] - 158:7 speeds [1] - 84:10 spell [1] - 30:8 spelling [1] - 134:9 spend [3] - 22:20, 39:5, 134:2 spin [1] - 85:24 spirited [3] - 142:14, 142:23, 142:24 split [2] - 9:3, 100:24 spun [2] - 108:15,
seem [2] - 94:17, 141:24 sell [3] - 15:25, 89:18, 133:18 seminar [3] - 20:16, 53:6, 54:4 seminars [8] - 19:25, 20:13, 25:21, 25:24, 36:8, 58:20, 133:6, 134:14 send [5] - 20:21, 78:17, 123:3, 125:5, 148:13 sense [17] - 6:24, 13:8, 13:25, 21:17,	86:16 shareholders [6] - 86:8, 86:12, 86:14, 101:4, 109:1, 127:13 shares [9] - 86:19, 86:20, 86:21, 86:24, 89:18, 89:20, 92:7, 92:9 shed [1] - 90:4 Shekleton [1] - 85:9 shell [3] - 86:13, 90:8, 90:16 Shi [2] - 153:18, 154:2	simultaneously [2] - 76:24, 133:14 single [1] - 122:3 Sioux [3] - 1:22, 2:6, 20:11 site [6] - 19:13, 37:9, 37:11, 37:13, 41:19, 121:9 sites [7] - 19:14, 19:21, 20:10, 28:8, 28:10, 41:17, 42:3 sitting [2] - 136:12, 136:14	sophisticated [1] - 158:17 Sorry [3] - 36:15, 123:22 sorry [10] - 52:5, 103:1, 104:6, 125:21, 132:3, 134:20, 142:13, 156:18, 159:1, 159:24 sort [1] - 67:5 sound [1] - 129:11 sounds [3] - 58:4,	81:14 speculating [3] - 23:17, 40:15, 48:15 speed [1] - 158:7 speeds [1] - 84:10 spell [1] - 30:8 spelling [1] - 134:9 spend [3] - 22:20, 39:5, 134:2 spin [1] - 85:24 spirited [3] - 142:14, 142:23, 142:24 split [2] - 9:3, 100:24 spun [2] - 108:15, 133:4
seem [2] - 94:17, 141:24 sell [3] - 15:25, 89:18, 133:18 seminar [3] - 20:16, 53:6, 54:4 seminars [8] - 19:25, 20:13, 25:21, 25:24, 36:8, 58:20, 133:6, 134:14 send [5] - 20:21, 78:17, 123:3, 125:5, 148:13 sense [17] - 6:24, 13:8, 13:25, 21:17, 28:9, 28:10, 39:16,	86:16 shareholders [6] - 86:8, 86:12, 86:14, 101:4, 109:1, 127:13 shares [9] - 86:19, 86:20, 86:21, 86:24, 89:18, 89:20, 92:7, 92:9 shed [1] - 90:4 Shekleton [1] - 85:9 shell [3] - 86:13, 90:8, 90:16 Shi [2] - 153:18, 154:2 shop [4] - 28:21,	simultaneously [2] - 76:24, 133:14 single [1] - 122:3 Sioux [3] - 1:22, 2:6, 20:11 site [6] - 19:13, 37:9, 37:11, 37:13, 41:19, 121:9 sites [7] - 19:14, 19:21, 20:10, 28:8, 28:10, 41:17, 42:3 sitting [2] - 136:12, 136:14 situation [10] - 29:4,	<pre>sophisticated [1] - 158:17 Sorry [3] - 36:15, 123:22 sorry [10] - 52:5, 103:1, 104:6, 125:21, 132:3, 134:20, 142:13, 156:18, 159:1, 159:24 sort [1] - 67:5 sound [1] - 129:11 sounds [3] - 58:4, 129:12, 161:24</pre>	81:14 speculating [3] - 23:17, 40:15, 48:15 speed [1] - 158:7 speeds [1] - 84:10 spell [1] - 30:8 spelling [1] - 134:9 spend [3] - 22:20, 39:5, 134:2 spin [1] - 85:24 spirited [3] - 142:14, 142:23, 142:24 split [2] - 9:3, 100:24 spun [2] - 108:15, 133:4 squeaking [2] - 134:3,
seem [2] - 94:17, 141:24 sell [3] - 15:25, 89:18, 133:18 seminar [3] - 20:16, 53:6, 54:4 seminars [8] - 19:25, 20:13, 25:21, 25:24, 36:8, 58:20, 133:6, 134:14 send [5] - 20:21, 78:17, 123:3, 125:5, 148:13 sense [17] - 6:24, 13:8, 13:25, 21:17, 28:9, 28:10, 39:16, 54:9, 63:21, 77:7,	86:16 shareholders [6] - 86:8, 86:12, 86:14, 101:4, 109:1, 127:13 shares [9] - 86:19, 86:20, 86:21, 86:24, 89:18, 89:20, 92:7, 92:9 shed [1] - 90:4 Shekleton [1] - 85:9 shell [3] - 86:13, 90:8, 90:16 Shi [2] - 153:18, 154:2 shop [4] - 28:21, 42:22, 46:1, 46:18	<pre>simultaneously [2] - 76:24, 133:14 single [1] - 122:3 Sioux [3] - 1:22, 2:6, 20:11 site [6] - 19:13, 37:9, 37:11, 37:13, 41:19, 121:9 sites [7] - 19:14, 19:21, 20:10, 28:8, 28:10, 41:17, 42:3 sitting [2] - 136:12, 136:14 situation [10] - 29:4, 56:24, 57:11, 59:16, 59:21, 64:2, 68:9, 92:1, 92:3, 148:24</pre>	<pre>sophisticated [1] - 158:17 Sorry [3] - 36:15, 123:22 sorry [10] - 52:5, 103:1, 104:6, 125:21, 132:3, 134:20, 142:13, 156:18, 159:1, 159:24 sort [1] - 67:5 sound [1] - 129:11 sounds [3] - 58:4, 129:12, 161:24 SOUTH [2] - 1:1,</pre>	81:14 speculating [3] - 23:17, 40:15, 48:15 speed [1] - 158:7 speeds [1] - 84:10 spell [1] - 30:8 spelling [1] - 134:9 spend [3] - 22:20, 39:5, 134:2 spin [1] - 85:24 spirited [3] - 142:14, 142:23, 142:24 split [2] - 9:3, 100:24 spun [2] - 108:15, 133:4 squeaking [2] - 134:3, 134:4
seem [2] - 94:17, 141:24 sell [3] - 15:25, 89:18, 133:18 seminar [3] - 20:16, 53:6, 54:4 seminars [8] - 19:25, 20:13, 25:21, 25:24, 36:8, 58:20, 133:6, 134:14 send [5] - 20:21, 78:17, 123:3, 125:5, 148:13 sense [17] - 6:24, 13:8, 13:25, 21:17, 28:9, 28:10, 39:16, 54:9, 63:21, 77:7, 81:7, 82:14, 84:8,	86:16 shareholders [6] - 86:8, 86:12, 86:14, 101:4, 109:1, 127:13 shares [9] - 86:19, 86:20, 86:21, 86:24, 89:18, 89:20, 92:7, 92:9 shed [1] - 90:4 Shekleton [1] - 85:9 shell [3] - 86:13, 90:8, 90:16 Shi [2] - 153:18, 154:2 shop [4] - 28:21, 42:22, 46:1, 46:18 shopping [1] - 27:16 short [10] - 84:25, 85:20, 96:2, 96:4,	<pre>simultaneously [2] - 76:24, 133:14 single [1] - 122:3 Sioux [3] - 1:22, 2:6, 20:11 site [6] - 19:13, 37:9, 37:11, 37:13, 41:19, 121:9 sites [7] - 19:14, 19:21, 20:10, 28:8, 28:10, 41:17, 42:3 sitting [2] - 136:12, 136:14 situation [10] - 29:4, 56:24, 57:11, 59:16, 59:21, 64:2, 68:9, 92:1, 92:3, 148:24 six [2] - 14:14, 43:1</pre>	sophisticated [1] - 158:17 Sorry [3] - 36:15, 123:22 sorry [10] - 52:5, 103:1, 104:6, 125:21, 132:3, 134:20, 142:13, 156:18, 159:1, 159:24 sort [1] - 67:5 sound [1] - 129:11 sounds [3] - 58:4, 129:12, 161:24 SOUTH [2] - 1:1, 163:1 south [1] - 156:24 South [94] - 1:4, 1:6,	81:14 speculating [3] - 23:17, 40:15, 48:15 speed [1] - 158:7 speeds [1] - 84:10 spell [1] - 30:8 spelling [1] - 134:9 spend [3] - 22:20, 39:5, 134:2 spin [1] - 85:24 spirited [3] - 142:14, 142:23, 142:24 split [2] - 9:3, 100:24 spun [2] - 108:15, 133:4 squeaking [2] - 134:3, 134:4 squeeze [1] - 133:24
<pre>seem [2] - 94:17, 141:24 sell [3] - 15:25, 89:18, 133:18 seminar [3] - 20:16, 53:6, 54:4 seminars [8] - 19:25, 20:13, 25:21, 25:24, 36:8, 58:20, 133:6, 134:14 send [5] - 20:21, 78:17, 123:3, 125:5, 148:13 sense [17] - 6:24, 13:8, 13:25, 21:17, 28:9, 28:10, 39:16, 54:9, 63:21, 77:7, 81:7, 82:14, 84:8, 101:25, 118:8,</pre>	86:16 shareholders [6] - 86:8, 86:12, 86:14, 101:4, 109:1, 127:13 shares [9] - 86:19, 86:20, 86:21, 86:24, 89:18, 89:20, 92:7, 92:9 shed [1] - 90:4 Shekleton [1] - 85:9 shell [3] - 86:13, 90:8, 90:16 Shi [2] - 153:18, 154:2 shop [4] - 28:21, 42:22, 46:1, 46:18 shopping [1] - 27:16 short [10] - 84:25, 85:20, 96:2, 96:4, 106:7, 132:14,	<pre>simultaneously [2] - 76:24, 133:14 single [1] - 122:3 Sioux [3] - 1:22, 2:6, 20:11 site [6] - 19:13, 37:9, 37:11, 37:13, 41:19, 121:9 sites [7] - 19:14, 19:21, 20:10, 28:8, 28:10, 41:17, 42:3 sitting [2] - 136:12, 136:14 situation [10] - 29:4, 56:24, 57:11, 59:16, 59:21, 64:2, 68:9, 92:1, 92:3, 148:24 six [2] - 14:14, 43:1 skill [3] - 9:8, 25:16,</pre>	<pre>sophisticated [1] - 158:17 Sorry [3] - 36:15, 123:22 sorry [10] - 52:5, 103:1, 104:6, 125:21, 132:3, 134:20, 142:13, 156:18, 159:1, 159:24 sort [1] - 67:5 sound [1] - 129:11 sounds [3] - 58:4, 129:12, 161:24 SOUTH [2] - 1:1, 163:1 south [1] - 156:24 South [94] - 1:4, 1:6, 1:12, 1:13, 1:14,</pre>	81:14 speculating [3] - 23:17, 40:15, 48:15 speed [1] - 158:7 speeds [1] - 84:10 spell [1] - 30:8 spelling [1] - 134:9 spend [3] - 22:20, 39:5, 134:2 spin [1] - 85:24 spirited [3] - 142:14, 142:23, 142:24 split [2] - 9:3, 100:24 spun [2] - 108:15, 133:4 squeeking [2] - 134:3, 134:4 squeeze [1] - 133:24 SS [1] - 163:2
<pre>seem [2] - 94:17, 141:24 sell [3] - 15:25, 89:18, 133:18 seminar [3] - 20:16, 53:6, 54:4 seminars [8] - 19:25, 20:13, 25:21, 25:24, 36:8, 58:20, 133:6, 134:14 send [5] - 20:21, 78:17, 123:3, 125:5, 148:13 sense [17] - 6:24, 13:8, 13:25, 21:17, 28:9, 28:10, 39:16, 54:9, 63:21, 77:7, 81:7, 82:14, 84:8, 101:25, 118:8, 125:14, 136:2</pre>	86:16 shareholders [6] - 86:8, 86:12, 86:14, 101:4, 109:1, 127:13 shares [9] - 86:19, 86:20, 86:21, 86:24, 89:18, 89:20, 92:7, 92:9 shed [1] - 90:4 Shekleton [1] - 85:9 shell [3] - 86:13, 90:8, 90:16 Shi [2] - 153:18, 154:2 shop [4] - 28:21, 42:22, 46:1, 46:18 shopping [1] - 27:16 short [10] - 84:25, 85:20, 96:2, 96:4, 106:7, 132:14, 132:16, 155:18,	<pre>simultaneously [2] - 76:24, 133:14 single [1] - 122:3 Sioux [3] - 1:22, 2:6, 20:11 site [6] - 19:13, 37:9, 37:11, 37:13, 41:19, 121:9 sites [7] - 19:14, 19:21, 20:10, 28:8, 28:10, 41:17, 42:3 sitting [2] - 136:12, 136:14 situation [10] - 29:4, 56:24, 57:11, 59:16, 59:21, 64:2, 68:9, 92:1, 92:3, 148:24 six [2] - 14:14, 43:1 skill [3] - 9:8, 25:16, 150:2</pre>	<pre>sophisticated [1] - 158:17 Sorry [3] - 36:15, 123:22 sorry [10] - 52:5, 103:1, 104:6, 125:21, 132:3, 134:20, 142:13, 156:18, 159:1, 159:24 sort [1] - 67:5 sound [1] - 129:11 sounds [3] - 58:4, 129:12, 161:24 SOUTH [2] - 1:1, 163:1 south [1] - 156:24 South [94] - 1:4, 1:6, 1:12, 1:13, 1:14, 1:22, 1:22, 1:25, 2:3,</pre>	81:14 speculating [3] - 23:17, 40:15, 48:15 speed [1] - 158:7 speeds [1] - 84:10 spell [1] - 30:8 spelling [1] - 134:9 spend [3] - 22:20, 39:5, 134:2 spin [1] - 85:24 spirited [3] - 142:14, 142:23, 142:24 split [2] - 9:3, 100:24 spun [2] - 108:15, 133:4 squeaking [2] - 134:3, 134:4 squeeze [1] - 133:24 SS [1] - 163:2 stadium [1] - 41:3
<pre>seem [2] - 94:17, 141:24 sell [3] - 15:25, 89:18, 133:18 seminar [3] - 20:16, 53:6, 54:4 seminars [8] - 19:25, 20:13, 25:21, 25:24, 36:8, 58:20, 133:6, 134:14 send [5] - 20:21, 78:17, 123:3, 125:5, 148:13 sense [17] - 6:24, 13:8, 13:25, 21:17, 28:9, 28:10, 39:16, 54:9, 63:21, 77:7, 81:7, 82:14, 84:8, 101:25, 118:8, 125:14, 136:2 sent [4] - 14:23, 25:10,</pre>	86:16 shareholders [6] - 86:8, 86:12, 86:14, 101:4, 109:1, 127:13 shares [9] - 86:19, 86:20, 86:21, 86:24, 89:18, 89:20, 92:7, 92:9 shed [1] - 90:4 Shekleton [1] - 85:9 shell [3] - 86:13, 90:8, 90:16 Shi [2] - 153:18, 154:2 shop [4] - 28:21, 42:22, 46:1, 46:18 shopping [1] - 27:16 short [10] - 84:25, 85:20, 96:2, 96:4, 106:7, 132:14, 132:16, 155:18, 155:21, 161:23	simultaneously [2] - 76:24, 133:14 single [1] - 122:3 Sioux [3] - 1:22, 2:6, 20:11 site [6] - 19:13, 37:9, 37:11, 37:13, 41:19, 121:9 sites [7] - 19:14, 19:21, 20:10, 28:8, 28:10, 41:17, 42:3 sitting [2] - 136:12, 136:14 situation [10] - 29:4, 56:24, 57:11, 59:16, 59:21, 64:2, 68:9, 92:1, 92:3, 148:24 six [2] - 14:14, 43:1 skill [3] - 9:8, 25:16, 150:2 skips [2] - 151:6	sophisticated [1] - 158:17 Sorry [3] - 36:15, 123:22 sorry [10] - 52:5, 103:1, 104:6, 125:21, 132:3, 134:20, 142:13, 156:18, 159:1, 159:24 sort [1] - 67:5 sound [1] - 129:11 sounds [3] - 58:4, 129:12, 161:24 SOUTH [2] - 1:1, 163:1 south [1] - 156:24 South [94] - 1:4, 1:6, 1:12, 1:13, 1:14, 1:22, 1:22, 1:25, 2:3, 2:6, 2:10, 4:2, 4:3,	81:14 speculating [3] - 23:17, 40:15, 48:15 speed [1] - 158:7 speeds [1] - 84:10 spell [1] - 30:8 spelling [1] - 134:9 spend [3] - 22:20, 39:5, 134:2 spin [1] - 85:24 spirited [3] - 142:14, 142:23, 142:24 split [2] - 9:3, 100:24 spun [2] - 108:15, 133:4 squeaking [2] - 134:3, 134:4 squeeze [1] - 133:24 SS [1] - 163:2 stadium [1] - 41:3 stage [3] - 65:9, 72:10,
seem [2] - 94:17, 141:24 sell [3] - 15:25, 89:18, 133:18 seminar [3] - 20:16, 53:6, 54:4 seminars [8] - 19:25, 20:13, 25:21, 25:24, 36:8, 58:20, 133:6, 134:14 send [5] - 20:21, 78:17, 123:3, 125:5, 148:13 sense [17] - 6:24, 13:8, 13:25, 21:17, 28:9, 28:10, 39:16, 54:9, 63:21, 77:7, 81:7, 82:14, 84:8, 101:25, 118:8, 125:14, 136:2 sent [4] - 14:23, 25:10, 141:6, 151:12	86:16 shareholders [6] - 86:8, 86:12, 86:14, 101:4, 109:1, 127:13 shares [9] - 86:19, 86:20, 86:21, 86:24, 89:18, 89:20, 92:7, 92:9 shed [1] - 90:4 Shekleton [1] - 85:9 shell [3] - 86:13, 90:8, 90:16 Shi [2] - 153:18, 154:2 shop [4] - 28:21, 42:22, 46:1, 46:18 shopping [1] - 27:16 short [10] - 84:25, 85:20, 96:2, 96:4, 106:7, 132:14, 132:16, 155:18, 155:21, 161:23 show [9] - 27:20,	simultaneously [2] - 76:24, 133:14 single [1] - 122:3 Sioux [3] - 1:22, 2:6, 20:11 site [6] - 19:13, 37:9, 37:11, 37:13, 41:19, 121:9 sites [7] - 19:14, 19:21, 20:10, 28:8, 28:10, 41:17, 42:3 sitting [2] - 136:12, 136:14 situation [10] - 29:4, 56:24, 57:11, 59:16, 59:21, 64:2, 68:9, 92:1, 92:3, 148:24 six [2] - 14:14, 43:1 skill [3] - 9:8, 25:16, 150:2 skips [2] - 151:6 slaughterhouse [1] -	sophisticated [1] - 158:17 Sorry [3] - 36:15, 123:22 sorry [10] - 52:5, 103:1, 104:6, 125:21, 132:3, 134:20, 142:13, 156:18, 159:1, 159:24 sort [1] - 67:5 sound [1] - 129:11 sounds [3] - 58:4, 129:12, 161:24 SOUTH [2] - 1:1, 163:1 south [1] - 156:24 South [94] - 1:4, 1:6, 1:12, 1:13, 1:14, 1:22, 1:22, 1:25, 2:3, 2:6, 2:10, 4:2, 4:3, 4:7, 5:22, 14:9,	81:14 speculating [3] - 23:17, 40:15, 48:15 speed [1] - 158:7 speeds [1] - 84:10 spell [1] - 30:8 spelling [1] - 134:9 spend [3] - 22:20, 39:5, 134:2 spin [1] - 85:24 spirited [3] - 142:14, 142:23, 142:24 split [2] - 9:3, 100:24 spun [2] - 108:15, 133:4 squeaking [2] - 134:3, 134:4 squeeze [1] - 133:24 SS [1] - 163:2 stadium [1] - 41:3 stage [3] - 65:9, 72:10, 112:12
<pre>seem [2] - 94:17, 141:24 sell [3] - 15:25, 89:18, 133:18 seminar [3] - 20:16, 53:6, 54:4 seminars [8] - 19:25, 20:13, 25:21, 25:24, 36:8, 58:20, 133:6, 134:14 send [5] - 20:21, 78:17, 123:3, 125:5, 148:13 sense [17] - 6:24, 13:8, 13:25, 21:17, 28:9, 28:10, 39:16, 54:9, 63:21, 77:7, 81:7, 82:14, 84:8, 101:25, 118:8, 125:14, 136:2 sent [4] - 14:23, 25:10, 141:6, 151:12 Seoul [2] - 53:20,</pre>	86:16 shareholders [6] - 86:8, 86:12, 86:14, 101:4, 109:1, 127:13 shares [9] - 86:19, 86:20, 86:21, 86:24, 89:18, 89:20, 92:7, 92:9 shed [1] - 90:4 Shekleton [1] - 85:9 shell [3] - 86:13, 90:8, 90:16 Shi [2] - 153:18, 154:2 shop [4] - 28:21, 42:22, 46:1, 46:18 shopping [1] - 27:16 short [10] - 84:25, 85:20, 96:2, 96:4, 106:7, 132:14, 132:16, 155:18, 155:21, 161:23 show [9] - 27:20, 27:21, 28:6, 72:21,	simultaneously [2] - 76:24, 133:14 single [1] - 122:3 Sioux [3] - 1:22, 2:6, 20:11 site [6] - 19:13, 37:9, 37:11, 37:13, 41:19, 121:9 sites [7] - 19:14, 19:21, 20:10, 28:8, 28:10, 41:17, 42:3 sitting [2] - 136:12, 136:14 situation [10] - 29:4, 56:24, 57:11, 59:16, 59:21, 64:2, 68:9, 92:1, 92:3, 148:24 six [2] - 14:14, 43:1 skill [3] - 9:8, 25:16, 150:2 skips [2] - 151:6 slaughterhouse [1] - 63:5	sophisticated [1] - 158:17 Sorry [3] - 36:15, 123:22 sorry [10] - 52:5, 103:1, 104:6, 125:21, 132:3, 134:20, 142:13, 156:18, 159:1, 159:24 sort [1] - 67:5 sound [1] - 129:11 sounds [3] - 58:4, 129:12, 161:24 SOUTH [2] - 1:1, 163:1 south [1] - 156:24 South [94] - 1:4, 1:6, 1:12, 1:13, 1:14, 1:22, 1:22, 1:25, 2:3, 2:6, 2:10, 4:2, 4:3, 4:7, 5:22, 14:9, 16:12, 18:7, 18:12,	81:14 speculating [3] - 23:17, 40:15, 48:15 speed [1] - 158:7 speeds [1] - 84:10 spell [1] - 30:8 spelling [1] - 134:9 spend [3] - 22:20, 39:5, 134:2 spin [1] - 85:24 spirited [3] - 142:14, 142:23, 142:24 split [2] - 9:3, 100:24 spun [2] - 108:15, 133:4 squeaking [2] - 134:3, 134:4 squeeze [1] - 133:24 SS [1] - 163:2 stadium [1] - 41:3 stage [3] - 65:9, 72:10, 112:12 stages [3] - 65:5, 65:6,
seem [2] - 94:17, 141:24 sell [3] - 15:25, 89:18, 133:18 seminar [3] - 20:16, 53:6, 54:4 seminars [8] - 19:25, 20:13, 25:21, 25:24, 36:8, 58:20, 133:6, 134:14 send [5] - 20:21, 78:17, 123:3, 125:5, 148:13 sense [17] - 6:24, 13:8, 13:25, 21:17, 28:9, 28:10, 39:16, 54:9, 63:21, 77:7, 81:7, 82:14, 84:8, 101:25, 118:8, 125:14, 136:2 sent [4] - 14:23, 25:10, 141:6, 151:12 Seoul [2] - 53:20, 53:21	86:16 shareholders [6] - 86:8, 86:12, 86:14, 101:4, 109:1, 127:13 shares [9] - 86:19, 86:20, 86:21, 86:24, 89:18, 89:20, 92:7, 92:9 shed [1] - 90:4 Shekleton [1] - 85:9 shell [3] - 86:13, 90:8, 90:16 Shi [2] - 153:18, 154:2 shop [4] - 28:21, 42:22, 46:1, 46:18 shopping [1] - 27:16 short [10] - 84:25, 85:20, 96:2, 96:4, 106:7, 132:14, 132:16, 155:18, 155:21, 161:23 show [9] - 27:20, 27:21, 28:6, 72:21, 73:7, 131:5, 131:6,	simultaneously [2] - 76:24, 133:14 single [1] - 122:3 Sioux [3] - 1:22, 2:6, 20:11 site [6] - 19:13, 37:9, 37:11, 37:13, 41:19, 121:9 sites [7] - 19:14, 19:21, 20:10, 28:8, 28:10, 41:17, 42:3 sitting [2] - 136:12, 136:14 situation [10] - 29:4, 56:24, 57:11, 59:16, 59:21, 64:2, 68:9, 92:1, 92:3, 148:24 six [2] - 14:14, 43:1 skill [3] - 9:8, 25:16, 150:2 skips [2] - 151:6 slaughterhouse [1] - 63:5 slow [1] - 143:10	sophisticated [1] - 158:17 Sorry [3] - 36:15, 123:22 sorry [10] - 52:5, 103:1, 104:6, 125:21, 132:3, 134:20, 142:13, 156:18, 159:1, 159:24 sort [1] - 67:5 sound [1] - 129:11 sounds [3] - 58:4, 129:12, 161:24 SOUTH [2] - 1:1, 163:1 south [1] - 156:24 South [94] - 1:4, 1:6, 1:12, 1:13, 1:14, 1:22, 1:22, 1:25, 2:3, 2:6, 2:10, 4:2, 4:3, 4:7, 5:22, 14:9, 16:12, 18:7, 18:12, 20:23, 20:25, 24:7,	81:14 speculating [3] - 23:17, 40:15, 48:15 speed [1] - 158:7 speeds [1] - 84:10 spell [1] - 30:8 spelling [1] - 134:9 spend [3] - 22:20, 39:5, 134:2 spin [1] - 85:24 spirited [3] - 142:14, 142:23, 142:24 split [2] - 9:3, 100:24 spun [2] - 108:15, 133:4 squeaking [2] - 134:3, 134:4 squeeze [1] - 133:24 SS [1] - 163:2 stadium [1] - 41:3 stage [3] - 65:9, 72:10, 112:12 stages [3] - 65:5, 65:6, 132:22
seem [2] - 94:17, 141:24 sell [3] - 15:25, 89:18, 133:18 seminar [3] - 20:16, 53:6, 54:4 seminars [8] - 19:25, 20:13, 25:21, 25:24, 36:8, 58:20, 133:6, 134:14 send [5] - 20:21, 78:17, 123:3, 125:5, 148:13 sense [17] - 6:24, 13:8, 13:25, 21:17, 28:9, 28:10, 39:16, 54:9, 63:21, 77:7, 81:7, 82:14, 84:8, 101:25, 118:8, 125:14, 136:2 sent [4] - 14:23, 25:10, 141:6, 151:12 Seoul [2] - 53:20, 53:21 separate [3] - 37:14,	86:16 shareholders [6] - 86:8, 86:12, 86:14, 101:4, 109:1, 127:13 shares [9] - 86:19, 86:20, 86:21, 86:24, 89:18, 89:20, 92:7, 92:9 shed [1] - 90:4 Shekleton [1] - 85:9 shell [3] - 86:13, 90:8, 90:16 Shi [2] - 153:18, 154:2 shop [4] - 28:21, 42:22, 46:1, 46:18 shopping [1] - 27:16 short [10] - 84:25, 85:20, 96:2, 96:4, 106:7, 132:14, 132:16, 155:18, 155:21, 161:23 show [9] - 27:20, 27:21, 28:6, 72:21, 73:7, 131:5, 131:6, 131:8	simultaneously [2] - 76:24, 133:14 single [1] - 122:3 Sioux [3] - 1:22, 2:6, 20:11 site [6] - 19:13, 37:9, 37:11, 37:13, 41:19, 121:9 sites [7] - 19:14, 19:21, 20:10, 28:8, 28:10, 41:17, 42:3 sitting [2] - 136:12, 136:14 situation [10] - 29:4, 56:24, 57:11, 59:16, 59:21, 64:2, 68:9, 92:1, 92:3, 148:24 six [2] - 14:14, 43:1 skill [3] - 9:8, 25:16, 150:2 skips [2] - 151:6 slaughterhouse [1] - 63:5 slow [1] - 143:10 slowed [2] - 84:14,	sophisticated [1] - 158:17 Sorry [3] - 36:15, 123:22 sorry [10] - 52:5, 103:1, 104:6, 125:21, 132:3, 134:20, 142:13, 156:18, 159:1, 159:24 sort [1] - 67:5 sound [1] - 129:11 sounds [3] - 58:4, 129:12, 161:24 SOUTH [2] - 1:1, 163:1 south [1] - 156:24 South [94] - 1:4, 1:6, 1:12, 1:13, 1:14, 1:22, 1:22, 1:25, 2:3, 2:6, 2:10, 4:2, 4:3, 4:7, 5:22, 14:9, 16:12, 18:7, 18:12, 20:23, 20:25, 24:7, 25:17, 26:1, 26:22,	81:14 speculating [3] - 23:17, 40:15, 48:15 speed [1] - 158:7 speeds [1] - 84:10 spell [1] - 30:8 spelling [1] - 134:9 spend [3] - 22:20, 39:5, 134:2 spin [1] - 85:24 spirited [3] - 142:14, 142:23, 142:24 split [2] - 9:3, 100:24 spun [2] - 108:15, 133:4 squeeking [2] - 134:3, 134:4 squeeze [1] - 133:24 SS [1] - 163:2 stadium [1] - 41:3 stage [3] - 65:9, 72:10, 112:12 stages [3] - 65:5, 65:6, 132:22 stamp [5] - 71:12,
seem [2] - 94:17, 141:24 sell [3] - 15:25, 89:18, 133:18 seminar [3] - 20:16, 53:6, 54:4 seminars [8] - 19:25, 20:13, 25:21, 25:24, 36:8, 58:20, 133:6, 134:14 send [5] - 20:21, 78:17, 123:3, 125:5, 148:13 sense [17] - 6:24, 13:8, 13:25, 21:17, 28:9, 28:10, 39:16, 54:9, 63:21, 77:7, 81:7, 82:14, 84:8, 101:25, 118:8, 125:14, 136:2 sent [4] - 14:23, 25:10, 141:6, 151:12 Seoul [2] - 53:20, 53:21 separate [3] - 37:14, 95:2, 151:9	86:16 shareholders [6] - 86:8, 86:12, 86:14, 101:4, 109:1, 127:13 shares [9] - 86:19, 86:20, 86:21, 86:24, 89:18, 89:20, 92:7, 92:9 shed [1] - 90:4 Shekleton [1] - 85:9 shell [3] - 86:13, 90:8, 90:16 Shi [2] - 153:18, 154:2 shop [4] - 28:21, 42:22, 46:1, 46:18 shopping [1] - 27:16 short [10] - 84:25, 85:20, 96:2, 96:4, 106:7, 132:14, 132:16, 155:18, 155:21, 161:23 show [9] - 27:20, 27:21, 28:6, 72:21, 73:7, 131:5, 131:6, 131:8 showed [1] - 100:1	simultaneously [2] - 76:24, 133:14 single [1] - 122:3 Sioux [3] - 1:22, 2:6, 20:11 site [6] - 19:13, 37:9, 37:11, 37:13, 41:19, 121:9 sites [7] - 19:14, 19:21, 20:10, 28:8, 28:10, 41:17, 42:3 sitting [2] - 136:12, 136:14 situation [10] - 29:4, 56:24, 57:11, 59:16, 59:21, 64:2, 68:9, 92:1, 92:3, 148:24 six [2] - 14:14, 43:1 skill [3] - 9:8, 25:16, 150:2 skips [2] - 151:6 slaughterhouse [1] - 63:5 slow [1] - 143:10 slowed [2] - 84:14, 105:9	<pre>sophisticated [1] - 158:17 Sorry [3] - 36:15, 123:22 sorry [10] - 52:5, 103:1, 104:6, 125:21, 132:3, 134:20, 142:13, 156:18, 159:1, 159:24 sort [1] - 67:5 sound [1] - 129:11 sounds [3] - 58:4, 129:12, 161:24 SOUTH [2] - 1:1, 163:1 south [1] - 156:24 South [94] - 1:4, 1:6, 1:12, 1:13, 1:14, 1:22, 1:22, 1:25, 2:3, 2:6, 2:10, 4:2, 4:3, 4:7, 5:22, 14:9, 16:12, 18:7, 18:12, 20:23, 20:25, 24:7, 25:17, 26:1, 26:22, 27:13, 28:7, 28:8,</pre>	81:14 speculating [3]- 23:17, 40:15, 48:15 speed [1] - 158:7 speeds [1] - 84:10 spell [1] - 30:8 spelling [1] - 134:9 spend [3] - 22:20, 39:5, 134:2 spin [1] - 85:24 spirited [3] - 142:14, 142:23, 142:24 split [2] - 9:3, 100:24 spun [2] - 108:15, 133:4 squeaking [2] - 134:3, 134:4 squeeze [1] - 133:24 SS [1] - 163:2 stadium [1] - 41:3 stage [3] - 65:9, 72:10, 112:12 stages [3] - 65:5, 65:6, 132:22 stamp [5] - 71:12, 114:17, 114:21,
seem [2] - 94:17, 141:24 sell [3] - 15:25, 89:18, 133:18 seminar [3] - 20:16, 53:6, 54:4 seminars [8] - 19:25, 20:13, 25:21, 25:24, 36:8, 58:20, 133:6, 134:14 send [5] - 20:21, 78:17, 123:3, 125:5, 148:13 sense [17] - 6:24, 13:8, 13:25, 21:17, 28:9, 28:10, 39:16, 54:9, 63:21, 77:7, 81:7, 82:14, 84:8, 101:25, 118:8, 125:14, 136:2 sent [4] - 14:23, 25:10, 141:6, 151:12 Seoul [2] - 53:20, 53:21 separate [3] - 37:14, 95:2, 151:9 separated [1] - 102:1	86:16 shareholders [6] - 86:8, 86:12, 86:14, 101:4, 109:1, 127:13 shares [9] - 86:19, 86:20, 86:21, 86:24, 89:18, 89:20, 92:7, 92:9 shed [1] - 90:4 Shekleton [1] - 85:9 shell [3] - 86:13, 90:8, 90:16 Shi [2] - 153:18, 154:2 shop [4] - 28:21, 42:22, 46:1, 46:18 shopping [1] - 27:16 short [10] - 84:25, 85:20, 96:2, 96:4, 106:7, 132:14, 132:16, 155:18, 155:21, 161:23 show [9] - 27:20, 27:21, 28:6, 72:21, 73:7, 131:5, 131:6, 131:8 showed [1] - 100:1 showing [2] - 79:17,	simultaneously [2] - 76:24, 133:14 single [1] - 122:3 Sioux [3] - 1:22, 2:6, 20:11 site [6] - 19:13, 37:9, 37:11, 37:13, 41:19, 121:9 sites [7] - 19:14, 19:21, 20:10, 28:8, 28:10, 41:17, 42:3 sitting [2] - 136:12, 136:14 situation [10] - 29:4, 56:24, 57:11, 59:16, 59:21, 64:2, 68:9, 92:1, 92:3, 148:24 six [2] - 14:14, 43:1 skill [3] - 9:8, 25:16, 150:2 skips [2] - 151:6 slaughterhouse [1] - 63:5 slow [1] - 143:10 slowed [2] - 84:14, 105:9 slowly [2] - 39:21,	<pre>sophisticated [1] - 158:17 Sorry [3] - 36:15, 123:22 sorry [10] - 52:5, 103:1, 104:6, 125:21, 132:3, 134:20, 142:13, 156:18, 159:1, 159:24 sort [1] - 67:5 sound [1] - 129:11 sounds [3] - 58:4, 129:12, 161:24 SOUTH [2] - 1:1, 163:1 south [1] - 156:24 South [94] - 1:4, 1:6, 1:12, 1:13, 1:14, 1:22, 1:22, 1:25, 2:3, 2:6, 2:10, 4:2, 4:3, 4:7, 5:22, 14:9, 16:12, 18:7, 18:12, 20:23, 20:25, 24:7, 25:17, 26:1, 26:22, 27:13, 28:7, 28:8, 28:25, 31:4, 32:5,</pre>	81:14 speculating [3] - 23:17, 40:15, 48:15 speed [1] - 158:7 speeds [1] - 84:10 spell [1] - 30:8 spelling [1] - 134:9 spend [3] - 22:20, 39:5, 134:2 spin [1] - 85:24 spirited [3] - 142:14, 142:23, 142:24 split [2] - 9:3, 100:24 spun [2] - 108:15, 133:4 squeaking [2] - 134:3, 134:4 squeeze [1] - 133:24 SS [1] - 163:2 stadium [1] - 41:3 stage [3] - 65:9, 72:10, 112:12 stages [3] - 65:5, 65:6, 132:22 stamp [5] - 71:12, 114:17, 114:21, 117:5, 159:23
seem [2] - 94:17, 141:24 sell [3] - 15:25, 89:18, 133:18 seminar [3] - 20:16, 53:6, 54:4 seminars [8] - 19:25, 20:13, 25:21, 25:24, 36:8, 58:20, 133:6, 134:14 send [5] - 20:21, 78:17, 123:3, 125:5, 148:13 sense [17] - 6:24, 13:8, 13:25, 21:17, 28:9, 28:10, 39:16, 54:9, 63:21, 77:7, 81:7, 82:14, 84:8, 101:25, 118:8, 125:14, 136:2 sent [4] - 14:23, 25:10, 141:6, 151:12 Seoul [2] - 53:20, 53:21 separate [3] - 37:14, 95:2, 151:9	86:16 shareholders [6] - 86:8, 86:12, 86:14, 101:4, 109:1, 127:13 shares [9] - 86:19, 86:20, 86:21, 86:24, 89:18, 89:20, 92:7, 92:9 shed [1] - 90:4 Shekleton [1] - 85:9 shell [3] - 86:13, 90:8, 90:16 Shi [2] - 153:18, 154:2 shop [4] - 28:21, 42:22, 46:1, 46:18 shopping [1] - 27:16 short [10] - 84:25, 85:20, 96:2, 96:4, 106:7, 132:14, 132:16, 155:18, 155:21, 161:23 show [9] - 27:20, 27:21, 28:6, 72:21, 73:7, 131:5, 131:6, 131:8 showed [1] - 100:1	simultaneously [2] - 76:24, 133:14 single [1] - 122:3 Sioux [3] - 1:22, 2:6, 20:11 site [6] - 19:13, 37:9, 37:11, 37:13, 41:19, 121:9 sites [7] - 19:14, 19:21, 20:10, 28:8, 28:10, 41:17, 42:3 sitting [2] - 136:12, 136:14 situation [10] - 29:4, 56:24, 57:11, 59:16, 59:21, 64:2, 68:9, 92:1, 92:3, 148:24 six [2] - 14:14, 43:1 skill [3] - 9:8, 25:16, 150:2 skips [2] - 151:6 slaughterhouse [1] - 63:5 slow [1] - 143:10 slowed [2] - 84:14, 105:9	<pre>sophisticated [1] - 158:17 Sorry [3] - 36:15, 123:22 sorry [10] - 52:5, 103:1, 104:6, 125:21, 132:3, 134:20, 142:13, 156:18, 159:1, 159:24 sort [1] - 67:5 sound [1] - 129:11 sounds [3] - 58:4, 129:12, 161:24 SOUTH [2] - 1:1, 163:1 south [1] - 156:24 South [94] - 1:4, 1:6, 1:12, 1:13, 1:14, 1:22, 1:22, 1:25, 2:3, 2:6, 2:10, 4:2, 4:3, 4:7, 5:22, 14:9, 16:12, 18:7, 18:12, 20:23, 20:25, 24:7, 25:17, 26:1, 26:22, 27:13, 28:7, 28:8,</pre>	81:14 speculating [3] - 23:17, 40:15, 48:15 speed [1] - 158:7 speeds [1] - 84:10 spell [1] - 30:8 spelling [1] - 134:9 spend [3] - 22:20, 39:5, 134:2 spin [1] - 85:24 spirited [3] - 142:14, 142:23, 142:24 split [2] - 9:3, 100:24 spun [2] - 108:15, 133:4 squeaking [2] - 134:3, 134:4 squeeze [1] - 133:24 SS [1] - 163:2 stadium [1] - 41:3 stage [3] - 65:9, 72:10, 112:12 stages [3] - 65:5, 65:6, 132:22 stamp [5] - 71:12, 114:17, 114:21,

Case 1:17-cv-01	002-CBK Docume	nt 173-1 Filed 10/1	0/18 Page 59 of 61	PagelD #: 2517
standard [2] - 42:24	statewide [1] - 19:19	study [2] - 17:19,	155:2, 155:7, 155:8	<b>69:9</b> 18
standards [1] - 142:16	stating [1] - 41:22	87:16	sway [1] - 33:19	testified [1] - 5:6
standing [1] - 160:15	status [1] - 50:21	stuff [11] - 56:4, 73:5,	swear [1] - 5:3	testify [1] - 163:9
standpoint [3] - 21:24,	stay [5] - 10:2, 28:5,	87:5, 92:16, 99:3,	Swier 's [1] - 45:17	testimony [2] - 6:5,
24:13, 79:16	115:4, 145:21,	119:5, 120:18,	switch [6] - 25:2, 26:4,	163:17
standstill [2] - 141.16,	146:15	124:24, 141:1,	38:22, 38:24, 62:10,	<b>THE</b> [11] - 1:1, 1:1,
142:11	steer [3] - 125:6,	146:19, 162:5	62:12	36:13, 79:2, 106:19,
start [16] - 12:5, 17:6,	125:12, 125:13	stumble [1] - 79:14	switched [2] - 62:11,	106:22, 123:21,
29:15, 30:3, 35:22,	stenotype [1] - 163:12	submission [1] -	69:5	132:1, 161:8, 162:3,
39:9, 52:15, 57:12,	step [3] - 28:16, 41:19,	119:8	switching [1] - 68:10	162:10
57:14, 83:25, 90:12,	92:4	submit [4] - 38:18,	Switzerland [1] -	themselves [5] -
98:14, 125:14,	<b>STEVEN</b> [1] - 1:21	41:22, 119:6, 119:12	12:20	23:14, 33:14, 50:18,
133:2, 133:5, 161:20	<b>still</b> [34] - 5:21, 13:11,	submitted [11] - 39:1,	<b>sworn</b> [3] - 5:5, 6:5,	105:7, 155:14
start -up [1] - 57:14	21:5, 26:8, 29:10,	47:8, 119:9, 137:12,	163:9	therefore [11] - 25:19,
started [40] - 11:23,	34:3, 47:20, 52:25,	138:1, 138:4, 138:5,	system [7] - 8:24,	48:10, 60:1, 67:24,
12:6, 13:7, 17:9,	55:1, 58:6, 59:4,	138:8, 138:14,	19:19, 26:18, 36:2,	80:10, 105:10,
21:4, 22:1, 25:21,	68:25, 80:23, 82:6,	144:13, 144:15	48:19, 56:9, 93:9	110:15, 111:16,
28:24, 29:12, 29:16,	83:24, 103:25,	subscription [10] -		125:13, 134:3,
35:24, 36:5, 36:11,	105:16, 107:5,	116:6, 116:9,	Т	134 :23
39:8, 39:10, 39:15,	108:17, 109:11,	116:12, 116:22,	_	thick [1] - 142:4
39:17, 39:19, 39:21,	112:9, 133:23,	117:14, 117:16,	<b>Tab</b> [6] - 71:5, 71:20,	thinking [3] - 33:20,
40:25, 50:22, 52:7,	136:12, 136:16,	123:16, 123:18,	72:13, 83:22, 102:9,	52:8, 93:16
52:10, 57:21, 57:24,	136:19, 140:11,	123:19, 124:1	103:16	third [1] - 160:9
62:21, 62:22, 63:8,	140:12, 144:2,	succeed [1] - 68:21	tab [6] - 72:13, 77:10,	Third [4] - 1:11, 1:16,
68:13, 72:3, 82:23,	145:10, 146:19,	success [2] - 23:10,	81:18, 104:3, 104:8,	2:7, 2:10
85:5, 89:20, 99:24,	151:19, 151:22,	25:23	104 :10	third -party [1] - 160:9
112:8, 112:19,	152:18	successes [1] - 39:19	tabbed [1] - 157:16	Third -Party [4] - 1:11,
130:17, 130:23,	stockholders [1] -	successful [4] - 21:1,	talks [1] - 115:13	1:16, 2:7, 2:10
143:8, 148:21	101 :1	62:13, 124:10,	targeted [1] - 27:20	threat [1] - 93:10
starting [1] - 51:17	stocks [1] - 90:25	125:24	task [1] - 125:10	three [7] - 5:18, 5:25,
starts [3] - 93:19,	<b>stop</b> [3] - 93:20,	successfully [1] -	teach [1] - 18:24	6:1, 12:22, 14:14,
143:13, 159:19	106:2, 106:19	144 :17	teams [1] - 134:16	17:23
state [8] - 5:9, 23:8,	stopped [2] - 85:16,	successor [1] - 96:8	technical [1] - 9:2	threshold [1] - 48:9
33:3, 33:15, 33:16,	138:17	suddenly [6] - 39:15,	tendency [1] - 7:1	thrown [1] - 82:25
63:6, 147:10, 163:1	store [7] - 13:22,	62:16, 69:7, 135:21,	<b>Tentexkota</b> [29] - 3:9,	tied [1] - 97:15
<b>STATE</b> [1] - 1:1	13:23, 13:24, 14:1,	143:12, 150:5	105:5, 108:3,	tier [1] - 8:24
<b>State</b> [45] - 4:7, 5:21,	14:2, 14:4	<b>sue</b> [1] - 152:5	111:15, 111:17,	tightened [1] - 66:11
5:22, 7:13, 7:14,	stores [2] - 106:13,	sufficient [2] - 62:1,	112:20, 123:24,	tilapia [12] - 68:9,
16:5, 16:8, 16:19,	106:14	69:6	128:4, 129:2,	68:13, 68:24, 69:10,
20:3, 22:11, 22:15,	straight [1] - 119:13	suggested [4] - 16:18,	129:18, 131:24,	70:2, 70:4, 70:5,
22:25, 23:3, 23:4,	strategy [1] - 66:16	16:23, 91:8, 145:14	132:2, 132:4, 132:8, 132:12, 133:17,	70:15, 72:8, 72:11,
27:12, 30:22, 32:9,	stream [2] - 22:15,	suggestion [2] -	133:19, 134:23,	111:21
33:6, 39:24, 55:18, 63:18, 63:20, 63:23,	22:16	152:16, 152:20	147:23, 148:9,	<b>Tim</b> [1] - 26:8
82:2, 83:18, 85:13,	street [1] - 156:25	suit [2] - 97:7, 158:21	148:22, 149:5,	<b>TIMOTHY</b> [1] - 1:7
90:20, 90:21, 92:16,	<b>Street</b> [3] - 2:6, 4:3,	Suite [1] - 2:6	151:22, 152:2,	title [1] - 106:15
96:11, 99:16, 100:6,	5:12	<b>SULLY</b> [1] - 163:3	152:18, 153:20,	today [5] - 7:8, 8:9,
107:8, 108:13,	<b>strong</b> [2] - 109:18, 152:22	summarize [1] - 37:25	154:3, 158:12,	81:13, 136:14,
108:16, 108:21,	structure [4] - 17:4,	supervise [1] - 38:17	158:22	143:14
108:22, 109:6,	67:6, 67:12, 100:12	<b>supposed</b> [6] - 68:22,	TENTEXKOTA [1] -	together [23] - 11:24,
109:7, 112:14,	structured [1] - 102:3	84:20, 87:21, 98:11,	1:6	17:5, 26:11, 40:23, 65:1, 65:2, 72:23
122:8, 122:10,	struggles [1] - 158:14	121:21, 128:12 surprise [2] - 96:23,	Tentexkota 's [1] -	65:1, 65:2, 72:23, 78:7, 84:7, 88:22,
122:20, 157:4, 163:7	STUCK [1] - 2:2	155:10	154:14	88:24, 101:3,
states [9] - 29:1,	student [5] - 9:23,	surprised [1] - 96:19	term [1] - 21:21	101:24, 109:21,
35:21, 62:14, 62:15,	9:24, 11:1, 17:15,	suspect [6] - 76:13,	terminated [1] - 39:24	110:1, 131:2,
62:21, 62:23, 80:7,	9.24, 11.1, 17.13, 17:17	76:17, 81:6, 83:13,	terms [9] - 20:18,	132:19, 132:21,
102:2, 116:5	students [6] - 17:14,	102:4, 119:11	20:22, 38:4, 90:10,	137:10, 137:22,
States [8] - 8:18, 9:22,	17:17, 17:18, 17:21,	<b>Suz</b> [2] - 75:15, 75:16	130:13, 133:4,	139:12, 139:13,
10:2, 10:4, 12:15,	17:24, 93:8	<b>SUZ</b> [1] - 75:18	136:3, 149:3, 161:13	144:12
I				
50:25, 74:2, 158:15	studies [1] - 87:13	<b>Sveen</b> [4] - 154:25,	territory [2] - 18:7,	<b>Tom</b> [2] - 145:20,

Case 1:17-cv-01	002-CBK Docume	nt 173-1 Filed 10/1	0/18 Page 60 of 61	PagelD #: 2518
148:21	163:11	under [22] - 5:6, 22:6,	139:21, 143:2,	<b>View</b> [1] - 58:6 19
tonight [1] - 162:6	Trust [1] - 61:18	23:1, 41:24, 43:16,	149:16, 158:7,	virgin [1] - 18:7
tons [3] - 93:22,	truth [3] - 163:9,	46:5, 48:22, 55:21,	161:2, 161:6, 162:8	<b>visa</b> [26] - 11:1, 11:3,
93:23, 98:12	163:10	59:22, 59:25, 71:19,	update [1] - 141:8	11:4, 12:16, 13:9,
took [5] - 45:19,	<b>try</b> [9] - 7:2, 41:17,	72:6, 73:18, 76:23,	updated [4] - 49:24,	13:12, 13:13, 26:25,
85:21, 86:18, 90:18,	76:22, 124:23,	79:16, 84:3, 98:22,	49:25, 50:2, 143:21	27:2, 27:4, 28:18,
154:11	133:10, 134:16,	112:20, 125:25,	upper [1] - 98:13	29:16, 35:19, 41:21,
tool [4] - 31:7, 41:8,	143:2, 149:20,	136:19, 136:20,	ups [1] - 73:19	46:3, 46:5, 46:22,
60:7, 64:21	158:20	143:24	<b>USCIS</b> [36] - 30:5,	57:14, 57:18, 75:18,
tools [3] - 18:12,	trying [8] - 19:4, 23:9,	underestimate [1] -	30:13, 31:14, 33:12,	131:17, 149:10,
25:18, 25:19	29:2, 59:1, 129:23,	159:14	39:11, 39:13, 40:1,	149:12, 149:13,
<b>top</b> [2] - 117:9, 128:10	132:20, 133:24,	underestimating [1] -	60:24, 66:11, 78:5,	152:18
total [3] - 62:7,	148:6	158:4	78:8, 78:18, 79:25,	visas [3] - 11:5, 29:16,
121:22, 123:23	<b>Ts</b> [1] - 145:25	understood [1] -	83:11, 83:14,	29:20
touch [2] - 110:17,	turkey [7] - 72:3, 72:4,	111:8	113:19, 119:2,	visit [2] - 53:23, 54:1
145:19	72:9, 72:12, 85:11,	unemployment [1] -	119:13, 137:13,	Vivian [1] - 134:7
tough [2] - 26:18,	98:11	47:19	138:1, 138:17,	<b>void</b> [3] - 54:22, 59:1,
114:13	turmoil [7] - 52:19,	unit [1] - 122:22	139:13, 141:15,	59:2
tour [1] - 28:8	52:24, 85:22, 91:23,	<b>United</b> [8] - 8:18, 9:22,	141:20, 142:16,	volunteered [1] - 84:9
toured [2] - 28:15,	92:1, 96:10, 98:7	10:2, 10:4, 12:15,	142:25, 143:7,	voted [2] - 149:7,
28:17	<b>turn</b> [21] - 43:19, 71:5,	50:25, 74:2, 158:15	143:15, 143:20,	149:8
tourist [1] - 13:11	72:13, 77:10, 83:22,	<b>UNITED</b> [1] - 1:1	144:1, 144:13,	<b>voting</b> [2] - 160:4,
towards [3] - 22:1,	102:9, 103:15,	University [20] - 5:22,	145:2, 145:10,	160:20
27:20, 66:14	104:2, 105:2,	16:5, 16:19, 20:3,	146:3, 149:15,	vouch [1] - 120:21
town [5] - 27:15,	114:16, 114:21,	22:15, 22:25, 23:3,	152:23	<b>vs</b> [3] - 1:5, 1:11, 5:22
55:10, 112:10,	115:3, 115:13,	84:18, 84:19, 84:21,	<b>USDA</b> [2] - 63:22,	<b>vo</b> [0] - 1.0, 1.11, 0.22
146:3, 146:7	116:1, 139:23,	90:20, 90:22, 91:2,	112:15	W
track [7] - 9:1, 9:2,	140:18, 141:3,	96:11, 107:6,		VV
9:5, 9:8, 38:2, 135:6	150:22, 155:24,	107:11, 107:22,	V	W-A-I-L-I-A-N [1] -
trade [6] - 18:13,	156:20, 157:13	108:22, 109:11,		74:19
	turned [4] - 10:17,	157 :4	valid [1] - 79:4	Wailian [12] - 74:15,
18:25, 25:18, 25:19,	<b>turned</b> [4] - 10:17, 105:8, 157:17,	157:4	valid [1] - 79:4 Valley [1] - 58:6	Wailian [12] - 74:15, 74:21, 77:3, 105:7,
18:25, 25:18, 25:19, 44:23, 44:24	105:8, 157:17,	157:4 university [9] - 9:2,		• •
18:25, 25:18, 25:19, 44:23, 44:24 training [8] - 12:12,	105:8, 157:17, 157:19	157:4 <b>university</b> [9] - 9:2, 9:8, 23:13, 67:1,	Valley [1] - 58:6	74:21, 77:3, 105:7,
18:25, 25:18, 25:19, 44:23, 44:24 training [8] - 12:12, 12:16, 12:17, 12:21,	105:8, 157:17,	157:4 <b>university</b> [9] - 9:2, 9:8, 23:13, 67:1, 83:17, 83:25, 84:1	Valley [1] - 58:6 valuable [1] - 31:4	74:21, 77:3, 105:7, 123:9, 123:10,
18:25, 25:18, 25:19, 44:23, 44:24 training [8] - 12:12, 12:16, 12:17, 12:21, 12:24, 13:15, 13:18,	105:8, 157:17, 157:19 <b>two</b> [12] - 5:18, 11:8,	157:4 university [9] - 9:2, 9:8, 23:13, 67:1, 83:17, 83:25, 84:1 University 's [1] -	Valley [1] - 58:6 valuable [1] - 31:4 value [3] - 24:25, 25:1,	74:21, 77:3, 105:7, 123:9, 123:10, 125:3, 129:20,
18:25, 25:18, 25:19, 44:23, 44:24 training [8] - 12:12, 12:16, 12:17, 12:21, 12:24, 13:15, 13:18, 29:13	105:8, 157:17, 157:19 <b>two</b> [12] - 5:18, 11:8, 16:2, 37:14, 42:24, 69:25, 74:24, 113:6,	157:4 university [9] - 9:2, 9:8, 23:13, 67:1, 83:17, 83:25, 84:1 University 's [1] - 84:12	Valley [1] - 58:6 valuable [1] - 31:4 value [3] - 24:25, 25:1, 73:5	74:21, 77:3, 105:7, 123:9, 123:10, 125:3, 129:20, 130:24, 133:5,
18:25, 25:18, 25:19, 44:23, 44:24 training [8] - 12:12, 12:16, 12:17, 12:21, 12:24, 13:15, 13:18, 29:13 transaction [3] -	105:8, 157:17, 157:19 <b>two</b> [12] - 5:18, 11:8, 16:2, 37:14, 42:24, 69:25, 74:24, 113:6, 126:9, 127:21, 142:5	157:4 university [9] - 9:2, 9:8, 23:13, 67:1, 83:17, 83:25, 84:1 University 's [1] - 84:12 unless [3] - 61:10,	Valley [1] - 58:6 valuable [1] - 31:4 value [3] - 24:25, 25:1, 73:5 Van [3] - 45:10, 45:14,	74:21, 77:3, 105:7, 123:9, 123:10, 125:3, 129:20, 130:24, 133:5, 141:16
18:25, 25:18, 25:19, 44:23, 44:24 training [8] - 12:12, 12:16, 12:17, 12:21, 12:24, 13:15, 13:18, 29:13 transaction [3] - 16:21, 67:13, 123:24	105:8, 157:17, 157:19 <b>two</b> [12] - 5:18, 11:8, 16:2, 37:14, 42:24, 69:25, 74:24, 113:6, 126:9, 127:21, 142:5 <b>type</b> [4] - 12:24, 18:14,	157:4 university [9] - 9:2, 9:8, 23:13, 67:1, 83:17, 83:25, 84:1 University 's [1] - 84:12 unless [3] - 61:10, 88:12, 88:14	Valley [1] - 58:6 valuable [1] - 31:4 value [3] - 24:25, 25:1, 73:5 Van [3] - 45:10, 45:14, 45:16	74:21, 77:3, 105:7, 123:9, 123:10, 125:3, 129:20, 130:24, 133:5, 141:16 wailian [1] - 74:19
18:25, 25:18, 25:19, 44:23, 44:24 training [8] - 12:12, 12:16, 12:17, 12:21, 12:24, 13:15, 13:18, 29:13 transaction [3] - 16:21, 67:13, 123:24 transcript [2] - 162:2,	105:8, 157:17, 157:19 <b>two</b> [12] - 5:18, 11:8, 16:2, 37:14, 42:24, 69:25, 74:24, 113:6, 126:9, 127:21, 142:5 <b>type</b> [4] - 12:24, 18:14, 25:14, 86:2	157:4 university [9] - 9:2, 9:8, 23:13, 67:1, 83:17, 83:25, 84:1 University 's [1] - 84:12 unless [3] - 61:10, 88:12, 88:14 unmic [1] - 114:25	Valley [1] - 58:6 valuable [1] - 31:4 value [3] - 24:25, 25:1, 73:5 Van [3] - 45:10, 45:14, 45:16 variety [1] - 106:12	74:21, 77:3, 105:7, 123:9, 123:10, 125:3, 129:20, 130:24, 133:5, 141:16 wailian [1] - 74:19 wait [5] - 88:14,
18:25, 25:18, 25:19, 44:23, 44:24 training [8] - 12:12, 12:16, 12:17, 12:21, 12:24, 13:15, 13:18, 29:13 transaction [3] - 16:21, 67:13, 123:24 transcript [2] - 162:2, 163:12	105:8, 157:17, 157:19 <b>two</b> [12] - 5:18, 11:8, 16:2, 37:14, 42:24, 69:25, 74:24, 113:6, 126:9, 127:21, 142:5 <b>type</b> [4] - 12:24, 18:14,	157:4 university [9] - 9:2, 9:8, 23:13, 67:1, 83:17, 83:25, 84:1 University 's [1] - 84:12 unless [3] - 61:10, 88:12, 88:14 unmic [1] - 114:25 unprofitable [1] -	Valley [1] - 58:6 valuable [1] - 31:4 value [3] - 24:25, 25:1, 73:5 Van [3] - 45:10, 45:14, 45:16 variety [1] - 106:12 vast [2] - 88:23, 121:3	74:21, 77:3, 105:7, 123:9, 123:10, 125:3, 129:20, 130:24, 133:5, 141:16 wailian [1] - 74:19 wait [5] - 88:14, 106:17, 141:12,
18:25, 25:18, 25:19, 44:23, 44:24 training [8] - 12:12, 12:16, 12:17, 12:21, 12:24, 13:15, 13:18, 29:13 transaction [3] - 16:21, 67:13, 123:24 transcript [2] - 162:2, 163:12 transferred [2] - 10:8,	105:8, 157:17, 157:19 two [12] - 5:18, 11:8, 16:2, 37:14, 42:24, 69:25, 74:24, 113:6, 126:9, 127:21, 142:5 type [4] - 12:24, 18:14, 25:14, 86:2 typical [2] - 57:6,	157:4 university [9] - 9:2, 9:8, 23:13, 67:1, 83:17, 83:25, 84:1 University 's [1] - 84:12 unless [3] - 61:10, 88:12, 88:14 unmic [1] - 114:25 unprofitable [1] - 109:5	Valley [1] - 58:6 valuable [1] - 31:4 value [3] - 24:25, 25:1, 73:5 Van [3] - 45:10, 45:14, 45:16 variety [1] - 106:12 vast [2] - 88:23, 121:3 Veblen [1] - 45:18	74:21, 77:3, 105:7, 123:9, 123:10, 125:3, 129:20, 130:24, 133:5, 141:16 wailian [1] - 74:19 wait [5] - 88:14, 106:17, 141:12, 149:8, 151:20
18:25, 25:18, 25:19, 44:23, 44:24 training [8] - 12:12, 12:16, 12:17, 12:21, 12:24, 13:15, 13:18, 29:13 transaction [3] - 16:21, 67:13, 123:24 transcript [2] - 162:2, 163:12 transferred [2] - 10:8, 22:8	105:8, 157:17, 157:19 two [12] - 5:18, 11:8, 16:2, 37:14, 42:24, 69:25, 74:24, 113:6, 126:9, 127:21, 142:5 type [4] - 12:24, 18:14, 25:14, 86:2 typical [2] - 57:6, 150:8	157:4 university [9] - 9:2, 9:8, 23:13, 67:1, 83:17, 83:25, 84:1 University 's [1] - 84:12 unless [3] - 61:10, 88:12, 88:14 unmic [1] - 114:25 unprofitable [1] -	Valley [1] - 58:6 valuable [1] - 31:4 value [3] - 24:25, 25:1, 73:5 Van [3] - 45:10, 45:14, 45:16 variety [1] - 106:12 vast [2] - 88:23, 121:3 Veblen [1] - 45:18 version [11] - 96:6,	74:21, 77:3, 105:7, 123:9, 123:10, 125:3, 129:20, 130:24, 133:5, 141:16 wailian [1] - 74:19 wait [5] - 88:14, 106:17, 141:12, 149:8, 151:20 waive [1] - 162:4
18:25, 25:18, 25:19, 44:23, 44:24 training [8] - 12:12, 12:16, 12:17, 12:21, 12:24, 13:15, 13:18, 29:13 transaction [3] - 16:21, 67:13, 123:24 transcript [2] - 162:2, 163:12 transferred [2] - 10:8, 22:8 transition [1] - 146:8	105:8, 157:17, 157:19 two [12] - 5:18, 11:8, 16:2, 37:14, 42:24, 69:25, 74:24, 113:6, 126:9, 127:21, 142:5 type [4] - 12:24, 18:14, 25:14, 86:2 typical [2] - 57:6, 150:8 typically [8] - 121:7,	157:4 university [9] - 9:2, 9:8, 23:13, 67:1, 83:17, 83:25, 84:1 University 's [1] - 84:12 unless [3] - 61:10, 88:12, 88:14 unmic [1] - 114:25 unprofitable [1] - 109:5 unusual [2] - 87:14, 133:10	Valley [1] - 58:6 valuable [1] - 31:4 value [3] - 24:25, 25:1, 73:5 Van [3] - 45:10, 45:14, 45:16 variety [1] - 106:12 vast [2] - 88:23, 121:3 Veblen [1] - 45:18 version [11] - 96:6, 116:5, 116:18,	74:21, 77:3, 105:7, 123:9, 123:10, 125:3, 129:20, 130:24, 133:5, 141:16 wailian [1] - 74:19 wait [5] - 88:14, 106:17, 141:12, 149:8, 151:20 waive [1] - 162:4 waiver [1] - 13:13
18:25, 25:18, 25:19, 44:23, 44:24 training [8] - 12:12, 12:16, 12:17, 12:21, 12:24, 13:15, 13:18, 29:13 transaction [3] - 16:21, 67:13, 123:24 transcript [2] - 162:2, 163:12 transferred [2] - 10:8, 22:8 transition [1] - 146:8 transitioned [2] -	105:8, 157:17, 157:19 two [12] - 5:18, 11:8, 16:2, 37:14, 42:24, 69:25, 74:24, 113:6, 126:9, 127:21, 142:5 type [4] - 12:24, 18:14, 25:14, 86:2 typical [2] - 57:6, 150:8 typically [8] - 121:7, 121:9, 123:2,	157:4 university [9] - 9:2, 9:8, 23:13, 67:1, 83:17, 83:25, 84:1 University 's [1] - 84:12 unless [3] - 61:10, 88:12, 88:14 unmic [1] - 114:25 unprofitable [1] - 109:5 unusual [2] - 87:14,	Valley [1] - 58:6 valuable [1] - 31:4 value [3] - 24:25, 25:1, 73:5 Van [3] - 45:10, 45:14, 45:16 variety [1] - 106:12 vast [2] - 88:23, 121:3 Veblen [1] - 45:18 version [11] - 96:6, 116:5, 116:18, 116:23, 116:24,	74:21, 77:3, 105:7, 123:9, 123:10, 125:3, 129:20, 130:24, 133:5, 141:16 wailian [1] - 74:19 wait [5] - 88:14, 106:17, 141:12, 149:8, 151:20 waive [1] - 162:4 waiver [1] - 13:13 waivers [1] - 41:9
18:25, 25:18, 25:19, 44:23, 44:24 training [8] - 12:12, 12:16, 12:17, 12:21, 12:24, 13:15, 13:18, 29:13 transaction [3] - 16:21, 67:13, 123:24 transcript [2] - 162:2, 163:12 transferred [2] - 10:8, 22:8 transition [1] - 146:8 transitioned [2] - 99:8, 147:12	105:8, 157:17, 157:19 two [12] - 5:18, 11:8, 16:2, 37:14, 42:24, 69:25, 74:24, 113:6, 126:9, 127:21, 142:5 type [4] - 12:24, 18:14, 25:14, 86:2 typical [2] - 57:6, 150:8 typically [8] - 121:7, 121:9, 123:2, 130:17, 131:9,	157:4 university $[9] - 9:2,$ 9:8, 23:13, 67:1, 83:17, 83:25, 84:1 University 's $[1] -$ 84:12 unless $[3] - 61:10,$ 88:12, 88:14 unmic $[1] - 114:25$ unprofitable $[1] -$ 109:5 unusual $[2] - 87:14,$ 133:10 up $[56] - 6:17, 9:3,$ 10:25, 14:7, 16:6,	Valley [1] - 58:6 valuable [1] - 31:4 value [3] - 24:25, 25:1, 73:5 Van [3] - 45:10, 45:14, 45:16 variety [1] - 106:12 vast [2] - 88:23, 121:3 Veblen [1] - 45:18 version [11] - 96:6, 116:5, 116:18, 116:23, 116:24, 116:25, 117:2,	74:21, 77:3, 105:7, 123:9, 123:10, 125:3, 129:20, 130:24, 133:5, 141:16 wailian [1] - 74:19 wait [5] - 88:14, 106:17, 141:12, 149:8, 151:20 waive [1] - 162:4 waiver [1] - 13:13 waivers [1] - 41:9 walk [6] - 47:10,
18:25, 25:18, 25:19, 44:23, 44:24 training [8] - 12:12, 12:16, 12:17, 12:21, 12:24, 13:15, 13:18, 29:13 transaction [3] - 16:21, 67:13, 123:24 transcript [2] - 162:2, 163:12 transferred [2] - 10:8, 22:8 transition [1] - 146:8 transitioned [2] - 99:8, 147:12 transportation [1] -	105:8, 157:17, 157:19 two [12] - 5:18, 11:8, 16:2, 37:14, 42:24, 69:25, 74:24, 113:6, 126:9, 127:21, 142:5 type [4] - 12:24, 18:14, 25:14, 86:2 typical [2] - 57:6, 150:8 typically [8] - 121:7, 121:9, 123:2, 130:17, 131:9, 133:6, 139:11,	157:4 university [9] - 9:2, 9:8, 23:13, 67:1, 83:17, 83:25, 84:1 University 's [1] - 84:12 unless [3] - 61:10, 88:12, 88:14 unmic [1] - 114:25 unprofitable [1] - 109:5 unusual [2] - 87:14, 133:10 up [56] - 6:17, 9:3,	Valley [1] - 58:6 valuable [1] - 31:4 value [3] - 24:25, 25:1, 73:5 Van [3] - 45:10, 45:14, 45:16 variety [1] - 106:12 vast [2] - 88:23, 121:3 Veblen [1] - 45:18 version [11] - 96:6, 116:5, 116:18, 116:23, 116:24, 116:25, 117:2, 117:22, 119:12,	74:21, 77:3, 105:7, 123:9, 123:10, 125:3, 129:20, 130:24, 133:5, 141:16 wailian [1] - 74:19 wait [5] - 88:14, 106:17, 141:12, 149:8, 151:20 waive [1] - 162:4 waiver [1] - 13:13 waivers [1] - 41:9 walk [6] - 47:10, 47:13, 65:6, 70:24,
18:25, 25:18, 25:19, 44:23, 44:24 training [8] - 12:12, 12:16, 12:17, 12:21, 12:24, 13:15, 13:18, 29:13 transaction [3] - 16:21, 67:13, 123:24 transcript [2] - 162:2, 163:12 transferred [2] - 10:8, 22:8 transitione [1] - 146:8 transitioned [2] - 99:8, 147:12 transportation [1] - 28:12	105:8, 157:17, 157:19 two [12] - 5:18, 11:8, 16:2, 37:14, 42:24, 69:25, 74:24, 113:6, 126:9, 127:21, 142:5 type [4] - 12:24, 18:14, 25:14, 86:2 typical [2] - 57:6, 150:8 typically [8] - 121:7, 121:9, 123:2, 130:17, 131:9, 133:6, 139:11, 144:12	157:4 university $[9] - 9:2,$ 9:8, 23:13, 67:1, 83:17, 83:25, 84:1 University 's $[1] -$ 84:12 unless $[3] - 61:10,$ 88:12, 88:14 unmic $[1] - 114:25$ unprofitable $[1] -$ 109:5 unusual $[2] - 87:14,$ 133:10 up $[56] - 6:17, 9:3,$ 10:25, 14:7, 16:6, 22:18, 23:22, 27:13,	Valley [1] - 58:6 valuable [1] - 31:4 value [3] - 24:25, 25:1, 73:5 Van [3] - 45:10, 45:14, 45:16 variety [1] - 106:12 vast [2] - 88:23, 121:3 Veblen [1] - 45:18 version [11] - 96:6, 116:5, 116:18, 116:23, 116:24, 116:25, 117:2, 117:22, 119:12, 138:7, 138:10	74:21, 77:3, 105:7, 123:9, 123:10, 125:3, 129:20, 130:24, 133:5, 141:16 wailian [1] - 74:19 wait [5] - 88:14, 106:17, 141:12, 149:8, 151:20 waive [1] - 162:4 waiver [1] - 13:13 waivers [1] - 41:9 walk [6] - 47:10, 47:13, 65:6, 70:24, 71:3, 148:5
18:25, 25:18, 25:19, 44:23, 44:24 training [8] - 12:12, 12:16, 12:17, 12:21, 12:24, 13:15, 13:18, 29:13 transaction [3] - 16:21, 67:13, 123:24 transcript [2] - 162:2, 163:12 transferred [2] - 10:8, 22:8 transitione [1] - 146:8 transitioned [2] - 99:8, 147:12 transportation [1] - 28:12 travel [1] - 134:15	105:8, 157:17, 157:19 two [12] - 5:18, 11:8, 16:2, 37:14, 42:24, 69:25, 74:24, 113:6, 126:9, 127:21, 142:5 type [4] - 12:24, 18:14, 25:14, 86:2 typical [2] - 57:6, 150:8 typically [8] - 121:7, 121:9, 123:2, 130:17, 131:9, 133:6, 139:11, 144:12 U	157:4 university $[9] - 9:2$ , 9:8, 23:13, 67:1, 83:17, 83:25, 84:1 University 's $[1] -$ 84:12 unless $[3] - 61:10$ , 88:12, 88:14 unmic $[1] - 114:25$ unprofitable $[1] -$ 109:5 unusual $[2] - 87:14$ , 133:10 up $[56] - 6:17, 9:3$ , 10:25, 14:7, 16:6, 22:18, 23:22, 27:13, 27:14, 28:21, 33:11,	Valley [1] - 58:6 valuable [1] - 31:4 value [3] - 24:25, 25:1, 73:5 Van [3] - 45:10, 45:14, 45:16 variety [1] - 106:12 vast [2] - 88:23, 121:3 Veblen [1] - 45:18 version [11] - 96:6, 116:5, 116:18, 116:23, 116:24, 116:25, 117:2, 117:22, 119:12, 138:7, 138:10 versus [2] - 38:8, 135:8 vet [5] - 61:16, 68:22,	74:21, 77:3, 105:7, 123:9, 123:10, 125:3, 129:20, 130:24, 133:5, 141:16 wailian [1] - 74:19 wait [5] - 88:14, 106:17, 141:12, 149:8, 151:20 waive [1] - 162:4 waiver [1] - 162:4 waivers [1] - 41:9 walk [6] - 47:10, 47:13, 65:6, 70:24, 71:3, 148:5 wall [1] - 66:22
18:25, 25:18, 25:19, 44:23, 44:24 training [8] - 12:12, 12:16, 12:17, 12:21, 12:24, 13:15, 13:18, 29:13 transaction [3] - 16:21, 67:13, 123:24 transcript [2] - 162:2, 163:12 transferred [2] - 10:8, 22:8 transition [1] - 146:8 transitioned [2] - 99:8, 147:12 transportation [1] - 28:12 travel [1] - 134:15 treaty [1] - 27:4	105:8, 157:17, 157:19 two [12] - 5:18, 11:8, 16:2, 37:14, 42:24, 69:25, 74:24, 113:6, 126:9, 127:21, 142:5 type [4] - 12:24, 18:14, 25:14, 86:2 typical [2] - 57:6, 150:8 typically [8] - 121:7, 121:9, 123:2, 130:17, 131:9, 133:6, 139:11, 144:12 U U-S-C-I-S [1] - 40:1	157:4 university $[9] - 9:2,$ 9:8, 23:13, 67:1, 83:17, 83:25, 84:1 University 's $[1] -$ 84:12 unless $[3] - 61:10,$ 88:12, 88:14 unmic $[1] - 114:25$ unprofitable $[1] -$ 109:5 unusual $[2] - 87:14,$ 133:10 up $[56] - 6:17, 9:3,$ 10:25, 14:7, 16:6, 22:18, 23:22, 27:13, 27:14, 28:21, 33:11, 35:3, 36:15, 36:18,	Valley [1] - 58:6 valuable [1] - 31:4 value [3] - 24:25, 25:1, 73:5 Van [3] - 45:10, 45:14, 45:16 variety [1] - 106:12 vast [2] - 88:23, 121:3 Veblen [1] - 45:18 version [11] - 96:6, 116:5, 116:18, 116:23, 116:24, 116:25, 117:2, 117:22, 119:12, 138:7, 138:10 versus [2] - 38:8, 135:8	74:21, 77:3, 105:7, 123:9, 123:10, 125:3, 129:20, 130:24, 133:5, 141:16 wailian [1] - 74:19 wait [5] - 88:14, 106:17, 141:12, 149:8, 151:20 waive [1] - 162:4 waiver [1] - 162:4 waivers [1] - 41:9 walk [6] - 47:10, 47:13, 65:6, 70:24, 71:3, 148:5 wall [1] - 66:22 wants [4] - 55:16,
18:25, 25:18, 25:19, 44:23, 44:24 training [8] - 12:12, 12:16, 12:17, 12:21, 12:24, 13:15, 13:18, 29:13 transaction [3] - 16:21, 67:13, 123:24 transcript [2] - 162:2, 163:12 transferred [2] - 10:8, 22:8 transition [1] - 146:8 transitioned [2] - 99:8, 147:12 transportation [1] - 28:12 travel [1] - 134:15 treaty [1] - 27:4 tremendous [2] -	105:8, 157:17, 157:19 two [12] - 5:18, 11:8, 16:2, 37:14, 42:24, 69:25, 74:24, 113:6, 126:9, 127:21, 142:5 type [4] - 12:24, 18:14, 25:14, 86:2 typical [2] - 57:6, 150:8 typically [8] - 121:7, 121:9, 123:2, 130:17, 131:9, 133:6, 139:11, 144:12 U U-S-C-I-S [1] - 40:1 U.S [10] - 15:3, 15:5,	157:4 university $[9] - 9:2,$ 9:8, 23:13, 67:1, 83:17, 83:25, 84:1 University 's $[1] -$ 84:12 unless $[3] - 61:10,$ 88:12, 88:14 unmic $[1] - 114:25$ unprofitable $[1] -$ 109:5 unusual $[2] - 87:14,$ 133:10 up $[56] - 6:17, 9:3,$ 10:25, 14:7, 16:6, 22:18, 23:22, 27:13, 27:14, 28:21, 33:11, 35:3, 36:15, 36:18, 41:13, 42:21, 45:25,	Valley [1] - 58:6 valuable [1] - 31:4 value [3] - 24:25, 25:1, 73:5 Van [3] - 45:10, 45:14, 45:16 variety [1] - 106:12 vast [2] - 88:23, 121:3 Veblen [1] - 45:18 version [11] - 96:6, 116:5, 116:18, 116:23, 116:24, 116:25, 117:2, 117:22, 119:12, 138:7, 138:10 versus [2] - 38:8, 135:8 vet [5] - 61:16, 68:22,	74:21, 77:3, 105:7, 123:9, 123:10, 125:3, 129:20, 130:24, 133:5, 141:16 wailian [1] - 74:19 wait [5] - 88:14, 106:17, 141:12, 149:8, 151:20 waive [1] - 162:4 waiver [1] - 162:4 waiver [1] - 13:13 waivers [1] - 41:9 walk [6] - 47:10, 47:13, 65:6, 70:24, 71:3, 148:5 wall [1] - 66:22 wants [4] - 55:16, 72:21, 125:6, 133:18
18:25, 25:18, 25:19, 44:23, 44:24 training [8] - 12:12, 12:16, 12:17, 12:21, 12:24, 13:15, 13:18, 29:13 transaction [3] - 16:21, 67:13, 123:24 transcript [2] - 162:2, 163:12 transferred [2] - 10:8, 22:8 transition [1] - 146:8 transitioned [2] - 99:8, 147:12 transportation [1] - 28:12 travel [1] - 134:15 treaty [1] - 27:4 tremendous [2] - 54:2, 59:23	105:8, 157:17, 157:19 two [12] - 5:18, 11:8, 16:2, 37:14, 42:24, 69:25, 74:24, 113:6, 126:9, 127:21, 142:5 type [4] - 12:24, 18:14, 25:14, 86:2 typical [2] - 57:6, 150:8 typically [8] - 121:7, 121:9, 123:2, 130:17, 131:9, 133:6, 139:11, 144:12 U U-S-C-I-S [1] - 40:1 U.S [10] - 15:3, 15:5, 16:10, 48:19, 49:2,	157:4 university $[9] - 9:2,$ 9:8, 23:13, 67:1, 83:17, 83:25, 84:1 University 's $[1] -$ 84:12 unless $[3] - 61:10,$ 88:12, 88:14 unmic $[1] - 114:25$ unprofitable $[1] -$ 109:5 unusual $[2] - 87:14,$ 133:10 up $[56] - 6:17, 9:3,$ 10:25, 14:7, 16:6, 22:18, 23:22, 27:13, 27:14, 28:21, 33:11, 35:3, 36:15, 36:18, 41:13, 42:21, 45:25, 46:18, 49:1, 50:17,	Valley [1] - 58:6 valuable [1] - 31:4 value [3] - 24:25, 25:1, 73:5 Van [3] - 45:10, 45:14, 45:16 variety [1] - 106:12 vast [2] - 88:23, 121:3 Veblen [1] - 45:18 version [11] - 96:6, 116:5, 116:18, 116:23, 116:24, 116:25, 117:2, 117:22, 119:12, 138:7, 138:10 versus [2] - 38:8, 135:8 vet [5] - 61:16, 68:22, 69:12, 81:15, 81:25	74:21, 77:3, 105:7, 123:9, 123:10, 125:3, 129:20, 130:24, 133:5, 141:16 wailian [1] - 74:19 wait [5] - 88:14, 106:17, 141:12, 149:8, 151:20 waive [1] - 162:4 waiver [1] - 162:4 waiver [1] - 13:13 waivers [1] - 41:9 walk [6] - 47:10, 47:13, 65:6, 70:24, 71:3, 148:5 wall [1] - 66:22 wants [4] - 55:16, 72:21, 125:6, 133:18 Washington [7] -
18:25, 25:18, 25:19, 44:23, 44:24 training [8] - 12:12, 12:16, 12:17, 12:21, 12:24, 13:15, 13:18, 29:13 transaction [3] - 16:21, 67:13, 123:24 transcript [2] - 162:2, 163:12 transferred [2] - 10:8, 22:8 transition [1] - 146:8 transitioned [2] - 99:8, 147:12 transportation [1] - 28:12 travel [1] - 134:15 treaty [1] - 27:4 tremendous [2] - 54:2, 59:23 tremendously [1] -	105:8, 157:17, 157:19 two [12] - 5:18, 11:8, 16:2, 37:14, 42:24, 69:25, 74:24, 113:6, 126:9, 127:21, 142:5 type [4] - 12:24, 18:14, 25:14, 86:2 typical [2] - 57:6, 150:8 typically [8] - 121:7, 121:9, 123:2, 130:17, 131:9, 133:6, 139:11, 144:12 U-S-C-I-S [1] - 40:1 U.S [10] - 15:3, 15:5, 16:10, 48:19, 49:2, 49:20, 51:6, 51:10,	157:4 university $[9] - 9:2,$ 9:8, 23:13, 67:1, 83:17, 83:25, 84:1 University 's $[1] -$ 84:12 unless $[3] - 61:10,$ 88:12, 88:14 unmic $[1] - 114:25$ unprofitable $[1] -$ 109:5 unusual $[2] - 87:14,$ 133:10 up $[56] - 6:17, 9:3,$ 10:25, 14:7, 16:6, 22:18, 23:22, 27:13, 27:14, 28:21, 33:11, 35:3, 36:15, 36:18, 41:13, 42:21, 45:25, 46:18, 49:1, 50:17, 52:8, 52:16, 54:4,	Valley [1] - 58:6 valuable [1] - 31:4 value [3] - 24:25, 25:1, 73:5 Van [3] - 45:10, 45:14, 45:16 variety [1] - 106:12 vast [2] - 88:23, 121:3 Veblen [1] - 45:18 version [11] - 96:6, 116:5, 116:18, 116:23, 116:24, 116:25, 117:2, 117:22, 119:12, 138:7, 138:10 versus [2] - 38:8, 135:8 vet [5] - 61:16, 68:22, 69:12, 81:15, 81:25 vetted [1] - 68:8	74:21, 77:3, 105:7, 123:9, 123:10, 125:3, 129:20, 130:24, 133:5, 141:16 wailian [1] - 74:19 wait [5] - 88:14, 106:17, 141:12, 149:8, 151:20 waive [1] - 162:4 waiver [1] - 162:4 waivers [1] - 41:9 walk [6] - 47:10, 47:13, 65:6, 70:24, 71:3, 148:5 wall [1] - 66:22 wants [4] - 55:16, 72:21, 125:6, 133:18 Washington [7] - 30:15, 77:9, 145:18,
18:25, 25:18, 25:19, 44:23, 44:24 training $[8] - 12:12$ , 12:16, 12:17, 12:21, 12:24, 13:15, 13:18, 29:13 transaction $[3] -$ 16:21, 67:13, 123:24 transcript $[2] - 162:2$ , 163:12 transferred $[2] -$ 10:8, 22:8 transitione $[1] - 146:8$ transitioned $[2] -$ 99:8, 147:12 transportation $[1] -$ 28:12 travel $[1] - 134:15$ treaty $[1] - 27:4$ tremendous $[2] -$ 54:2, 59:23 tremendously $[1] -$ 84:15	105:8, 157:17, 157:19 two [12] - 5:18, 11:8, 16:2, 37:14, 42:24, 69:25, 74:24, 113:6, 126:9, 127:21, 142:5 type [4] - 12:24, 18:14, 25:14, 86:2 typical [2] - 57:6, 150:8 typically [8] - 121:7, 121:9, 123:2, 130:17, 131:9, 133:6, 139:11, 144:12 U-S-C-I-S [1] - 40:1 U.S [10] - 15:3, 15:5, 16:10, 48:19, 49:2, 49:20, 51:6, 51:10, 53:8	157:4 university $[9] - 9:2,$ 9:8, 23:13, 67:1, 83:17, 83:25, 84:1 University 's $[1] -$ 84:12 unless $[3] - 61:10,$ 88:12, 88:14 unmic $[1] - 114:25$ unprofitable $[1] -$ 109:5 unusual $[2] - 87:14,$ 133:10 up $[56] - 6:17, 9:3,$ 10:25, 14:7, 16:6, 22:18, 23:22, 27:13, 27:14, 28:21, 33:11, 35:3, 36:15, 36:18, 41:13, 42:21, 45:25, 46:18, 49:1, 50:17, 52:8, 52:16, 54:4, 57:4, 57:12, 57:14,	Valley [1] - 58:6 valuable [1] - 31:4 value [3] - 24:25, 25:1, 73:5 Van [3] - 45:10, 45:14, 45:16 variety [1] - 106:12 vast [2] - 88:23, 121:3 Veblen [1] - 45:18 version [11] - 96:6, 116:5, 116:18, 116:23, 116:24, 116:25, 117:2, 117:22, 119:12, 138:7, 138:10 versus [2] - 38:8, 135:8 vet [5] - 61:16, 68:22, 69:12, 81:15, 81:25 vetted [1] - 68:8 vetting [6] - 61:14,	74:21, 77:3, 105:7, 123:9, 123:10, 125:3, 129:20, 130:24, 133:5, 141:16 wailian [1] - 74:19 wait [5] - 88:14, 106:17, 141:12, 149:8, 151:20 waive [1] - 162:4 waiver [1] - 162:4 waivers [1] - 41:9 walk [6] - 47:10, 47:13, 65:6, 70:24, 71:3, 148:5 wall [1] - 66:22 wants [4] - 55:16, 72:21, 125:6, 133:18 Washington [7] - 30:15, 77:9, 145:18, 147:12, 147:14,
18:25, 25:18, 25:19, 44:23, 44:24 training $[8] - 12:12$ , 12:16, 12:17, 12:21, 12:24, 13:15, 13:18, 29:13 transaction $[3] -$ 16:21, 67:13, 123:24 transcript $[2] - 162:2$ , 163:12 transferred $[2] -$ 10:8, 22:8 transitione $[1] -$ 146:8 transitioned $[2] -$ 99:8, 147:12 transportation $[1] -$ 28:12 travel $[1] -$ 134:15 treaty $[1] -$ 27:4 tremendous $[2] -$ 54:2, 59:23 tremendously $[1] -$ 84:15 tried $[9] -$ 36:7, 39:4,	105:8, 157:17, 157:19 two [12] - 5:18, 11:8, 16:2, 37:14, 42:24, 69:25, 74:24, 113:6, 126:9, 127:21, 142:5 type [4] - 12:24, 18:14, 25:14, 86:2 typical [2] - 57:6, 150:8 typically [8] - 121:7, 121:9, 123:2, 130:17, 131:9, 133:6, 139:11, 144:12 U-S-C-I-S [1] - 40:1 U.S [10] - 15:3, 15:5, 16:10, 48:19, 49:2, 49:20, 51:6, 51:10, 53:8 U.S.A [1] - 53:17	157:4 university $[9] - 9:2,$ 9:8, 23:13, 67:1, 83:17, 83:25, 84:1 University 's $[1] -$ 84:12 unless $[3] - 61:10,$ 88:12, 88:14 unmic $[1] - 114:25$ unprofitable $[1] -$ 109:5 unusual $[2] - 87:14,$ 133:10 up $[56] - 6:17, 9:3,$ 10:25, 14:7, 16:6, 22:18, 23:22, 27:13, 27:14, 28:21, 33:11, 35:3, 36:15, 36:18, 41:13, 42:21, 45:25, 46:18, 49:1, 50:17, 52:8, 52:16, 54:4, 57:4, 57:12, 57:14, 60:21, 62:8, 65:19,	Valley [1] - 58:6 valuable [1] - 31:4 value [3] - 24:25, 25:1, 73:5 Van [3] - 45:10, 45:14, 45:16 variety [1] - 106:12 vast [2] - 88:23, 121:3 Veblen [1] - 45:18 version [11] - 96:6, 116:5, 116:18, 116:23, 116:24, 116:25, 117:2, 117:22, 119:12, 138:7, 138:10 versus [2] - 38:8, 135:8 vet [5] - 61:16, 68:22, 69:12, 81:15, 81:25 vetted [1] - 68:8 vetting [6] - 61:14, 68:18, 69:2, 69:8,	74:21, 77:3, 105:7, 123:9, 123:10, 125:3, 129:20, 130:24, 133:5, 141:16 wailian [1] - 74:19 wait [5] - 88:14, 106:17, 141:12, 149:8, 151:20 waive [1] - 162:4 waiver [1] - 162:4 waiver [1] - 13:13 waivers [1] - 41:9 walk [6] - 47:10, 47:13, 65:6, 70:24, 71:3, 148:5 wall [1] - 66:22 wants [4] - 55:16, 72:21, 125:6, 133:18 Washington [7] - 30:15, 77:9, 145:18, 147:12, 147:14,
18:25, 25:18, 25:19, 44:23, 44:24 training $[8] - 12:12$ , 12:16, 12:17, 12:21, 12:24, 13:15, 13:18, 29:13 transaction $[3] -$ 16:21, 67:13, 123:24 transcript $[2] - 162:2$ , 163:12 transferred $[2] - 162:2$ , 163:12 transferred $[2] - 10:8$ , 22:8 transition $[1] - 146:8$ transitioned $[2] -$ 99:8, 147:12 transportation $[1] -$ 28:12 travel $[1] - 134:15$ treaty $[1] - 27:4$ tremendous $[2] -$ 54:2, 59:23 tremendously $[1] -$ 84:15 tried $[9] - 36:7, 39:4$ , 84:2, 111:21, 121:3,	105:8, 157:17, 157:19 two [12] - 5:18, 11:8, 16:2, 37:14, 42:24, 69:25, 74:24, 113:6, 126:9, 127:21, 142:5 type [4] - 12:24, 18:14, 25:14, 86:2 typical [2] - 57:6, 150:8 typically [8] - 121:7, 121:9, 123:2, 130:17, 131:9, 133:6, 139:11, 144:12 U-S-C-I-S [1] - 40:1 U.S [10] - 15:3, 15:5, 16:10, 48:19, 49:2, 49:20, 51:6, 51:10, 53:8 U.S.A [1] - 53:17 UCLA [2] - 10:8, 10:9	157:4 university $[9] - 9:2,$ 9:8, 23:13, 67:1, 83:17, 83:25, 84:1 University 's $[1] -$ 84:12 unless $[3] - 61:10,$ 88:12, 88:14 unmic $[1] - 114:25$ unprofitable $[1] -$ 109:5 unusual $[2] - 87:14,$ 133:10 up $[56] - 6:17, 9:3,$ 10:25, 14:7, 16:6, 22:18, 23:22, 27:13, 27:14, 28:21, 33:11, 35:3, 36:15, 36:18, 41:13, 42:21, 45:25, 46:18, 49:1, 50:17, 52:8, 52:16, 54:4, 57:4, 57:12, 57:14, 60:21, 62:8, 65:19, 66:12, 68:22, 69:11,	Valley [1] - 58:6 valuable [1] - 31:4 value [3] - 24:25, 25:1, 73:5 Van [3] - 45:10, 45:14, 45:16 variety [1] - 106:12 vast [2] - 88:23, 121:3 Veblen [1] - 45:18 version [11] - 96:6, 116:5, 116:18, 116:23, 116:24, 116:25, 117:2, 117:22, 119:12, 138:7, 138:10 versus [2] - 38:8, 135:8 vet [5] - 61:16, 68:22, 69:12, 81:15, 81:25 vetted [1] - 68:8 vetting [6] - 61:14, 68:18, 69:2, 69:8, 82:3, 99:9	74:21, 77:3, 105:7, 123:9, 123:10, 125:3, 129:20, 130:24, 133:5, 141:16 wailian [1] - 74:19 wait [5] - 88:14, 106:17, 141:12, 149:8, 151:20 waive [1] - 162:4 waiver [1] - 162:4 waiver [1] - 13:13 waivers [1] - 41:9 walk [6] - 47:10, 47:13, 65:6, 70:24, 71:3, 148:5 wall [1] - 66:22 wants [4] - 55:16, 72:21, 125:6, 133:18 Washington [7] - 30:15, 77:9, 145:18, 147:12, 147:14, 147:15 wasting [1] - 114:11
18:25, 25:18, 25:19, 44:23, 44:24 training [8] - 12:12, 12:16, 12:17, 12:21, 12:24, 13:15, 13:18, 29:13 transaction [3] - 16:21, 67:13, 123:24 transcript [2] - 162:2, 163:12 transferred [2] - 10:8, 22:8 transition [1] - 146:8 transitioned [2] - 99:8, 147:12 transportation [1] - 28:12 travel [1] - 134:15 treaty [1] - 27:4 tremendous [2] - 54:2, 59:23 tremendously [1] - 84:15 tried [9] - 36:7, 39:4, 84:2, 111:21, 121:3, 123:2, 124:8, 124:9,	105:8, 157:17, 157:19 two [12] - 5:18, 11:8, 16:2, 37:14, 42:24, 69:25, 74:24, 113:6, 126:9, 127:21, 142:5 type [4] - 12:24, 18:14, 25:14, 86:2 typical [2] - 57:6, 150:8 typically [8] - 121:7, 121:9, 123:2, 130:17, 131:9, 133:6, 139:11, 144:12 U-S-C-I-S [1] - 40:1 U.S [10] - 15:3, 15:5, 16:10, 48:19, 49:2, 49:20, 51:6, 51:10, 53:8 U.S.A [1] - 53:17 UCLA [2] - 10:8, 10:9 unbiased [1] - 113:9	157:4 university $[9] - 9:2,$ 9:8, 23:13, 67:1, 83:17, 83:25, 84:1 University 's $[1] -$ 84:12 unless $[3] - 61:10,$ 88:12, 88:14 unmic $[1] - 114:25$ unprofitable $[1] -$ 109:5 unusual $[2] - 87:14,$ 133:10 up $[56] - 6:17, 9:3,$ 10:25, 14:7, 16:6, 22:18, 23:22, 27:13, 27:14, 28:21, 33:11, 35:3, 36:15, 36:18, 41:13, 42:21, 45:25, 46:18, 49:1, 50:17, 52:8, 52:16, 54:4, 57:4, 57:12, 57:14, 60:21, 62:8, 65:19, 66:12, 68:22, 69:11, 69:18, 72:6, 75:24,	Valley [1] - 58:6 valuable [1] - 31:4 value [3] - 24:25, 25:1, 73:5 Van [3] - 45:10, 45:14, 45:16 variety [1] - 106:12 vast [2] - 88:23, 121:3 Veblen [1] - 45:18 version [11] - 96:6, 116:5, 116:18, 116:23, 116:24, 116:25, 117:2, 117:22, 119:12, 138:7, 138:10 versus [2] - 38:8, 135:8 vet [5] - 61:16, 68:22, 69:12, 81:15, 81:25 vetted [1] - 68:8 vetting [6] - 61:14, 68:18, 69:2, 69:8, 82:3, 99:9 via [2] - 160:1, 160:25	74:21, 77:3, 105:7, 123:9, 123:10, 125:3, 129:20, 130:24, 133:5, 141:16 wailian [1] - 74:19 wait [5] - 88:14, 106:17, 141:12, 149:8, 151:20 waive [1] - 162:4 waiver [1] - 162:4 waiver [1] - 13:13 waivers [1] - 41:9 walk [6] - 47:10, 47:13, 65:6, 70:24, 71:3, 148:5 wall [1] - 66:22 wants [4] - 55:16, 72:21, 125:6, 133:18 Washington [7] - 30:15, 77:9, 145:18, 147:12, 147:14, 147:15 wasting [1] - 114:11 watching [1] - 17:7
18:25, 25:18, 25:19, 44:23, 44:24 training [8] - 12:12, 12:16, 12:17, 12:21, 12:24, 13:15, 13:18, 29:13 transaction [3] - 16:21, 67:13, 123:24 transcript [2] - 162:2, 163:12 transferred [2] - 10:8, 22:8 transition [1] - 146:8 transitioned [2] - 99:8, 147:12 transportation [1] - 28:12 travel [1] - 134:15 treaty [1] - 27:4 tremendous [2] - 54:2, 59:23 tremendously [1] - 84:15 tried [9] - 36:7, 39:4, 84:2, 111:21, 121:3, 123:2, 124:8, 124:9, 124:20	105:8, 157:17, 157:19 two [12] - 5:18, 11:8, 16:2, 37:14, 42:24, 69:25, 74:24, 113:6, 126:9, 127:21, 142:5 type [4] - 12:24, 18:14, 25:14, 86:2 typical [2] - 57:6, 150:8 typically [8] - 121:7, 121:9, 123:2, 130:17, 131:9, 133:6, 139:11, 144:12 U-S-C-I-S [1] - 40:1 U.S [10] - 15:3, 15:5, 16:10, 48:19, 49:2, 49:20, 51:6, 51:10, 53:8 U.S.A [1] - 53:17 UCLA [2] - 10:8, 10:9 unbiased [1] - 113:9 unclear [1] - 74:16	157:4 university $[9] - 9:2,$ 9:8, 23:13, 67:1, 83:17, 83:25, 84:1 University 's $[1] -$ 84:12 unless $[3] - 61:10,$ 88:12, 88:14 unmic $[1] - 114:25$ unprofitable $[1] -$ 109:5 unusual $[2] - 87:14,$ 133:10 up $[56] - 6:17, 9:3,$ 10:25, 14:7, 16:6, 22:18, 23:22, 27:13, 27:14, 28:21, 33:11, 35:3, 36:15, 36:18, 41:13, 42:21, 45:25, 46:18, 49:1, 50:17, 52:8, 52:16, 54:4, 57:4, 57:12, 57:14, 60:21, 62:8, 65:19, 66:12, 68:22, 69:11, 69:18, 72:6, 75:24, 77:8, 79:15, 83:8,	Valley $[1] - 58:6$ valuable $[1] - 31:4$ value $[3] - 24:25, 25:1, 73:5$ Van $[3] - 45:10, 45:14, 45:16$ variety $[1] - 106:12$ vast $[2] - 88:23, 121:3$ Veblen $[1] - 45:18$ version $[11] - 96:6, 116:5, 116:18, 116:23, 116:24, 116:25, 117:2, 117:22, 119:12, 138:7, 138:10$ versus $[2] - 38:8, 135:8$ vet $[5] - 61:16, 68:22, 69:12, 81:15, 81:25$ vetted $[1] - 68:8$ vetting $[6] - 61:14, 68:18, 69:2, 69:8, 82:3, 99:9$ via $[2] - 160:1, 160:25$ viable $[3] - 61:3, 61:6, ]$	74:21, 77:3, 105:7, 123:9, 123:10, 125:3, 129:20, 130:24, 133:5, 141:16 wailian [1] - 74:19 wait [5] - 88:14, 106:17, 141:12, 149:8, 151:20 waive [1] - 162:4 waiver [1] - 162:4 waivers [1] - 41:9 walk [6] - 47:10, 47:13, 65:6, 70:24, 71:3, 148:5 wall [1] - 66:22 wants [4] - 55:16, 72:21, 125:6, 133:18 Washington [7] - 30:15, 77:9, 145:18, 147:12, 147:14, 147:15 wasting [1] - 114:11 watching [1] - 17:7 watered [1] - 22:2
18:25, 25:18, 25:19, 44:23, 44:24 training [8] - 12:12, 12:16, 12:17, 12:21, 12:24, 13:15, 13:18, 29:13 transaction [3] - 16:21, 67:13, 123:24 transcript [2] - 162:2, 163:12 transferred [2] - 10:8, 22:8 transitione [1] - 146:8 transitioned [2] - 99:8, 147:12 transportation [1] - 28:12 travel [1] - 134:15 treaty [1] - 27:4 tremendous [2] - 54:2, 59:23 tremendously [1] - 84:15 tried [9] - 36:7, 39:4, 84:2, 111:21, 121:3, 123:2, 124:8, 124:9, 124:20 trips [2] - 28:3, 28:4	105:8, 157:17, 157:19 two [12] - 5:18, 11:8, 16:2, 37:14, 42:24, 69:25, 74:24, 113:6, 126:9, 127:21, 142:5 type [4] - 12:24, 18:14, 25:14, 86:2 typical [2] - 57:6, 150:8 typically [8] - 121:7, 121:9, 123:2, 130:17, 131:9, 133:6, 139:11, 144:12 U-S-C-I-S [1] - 40:1 U.S [10] - 15:3, 15:5, 16:10, 48:19, 49:2, 49:20, 51:6, 51:10, 53:8 U.S.A [1] - 53:17 UCLA [2] - 10:8, 10:9 unbiased [1] - 113:9 unclear [1] - 74:16 uncomfortable [1] -	157:4 university $[9] - 9:2,$ 9:8, 23:13, 67:1, 83:17, 83:25, 84:1 University 's $[1] -$ 84:12 unless $[3] - 61:10,$ 88:12, 88:14 unmic $[1] - 114:25$ unprofitable $[1] -$ 109:5 unusual $[2] - 87:14,$ 133:10 up $[56] - 6:17, 9:3,$ 10:25, 14:7, 16:6, 22:18, 23:22, 27:13, 27:14, 28:21, 33:11, 35:3, 36:15, 36:18, 41:13, 42:21, 45:25, 46:18, 49:1, 50:17, 52:8, 52:16, 54:4, 57:4, 57:12, 57:14, 60:21, 62:8, 65:19, 66:12, 68:22, 69:11, 69:18, 72:6, 75:24, 77:8, 79:15, 83:8, 83:9, 84:11, 86:9,	Valley $[1] - 58:6$ valuable $[1] - 31:4$ value $[3] - 24:25, 25:1, 73:5$ Van $[3] - 45:10, 45:14, 45:16$ variety $[1] - 106:12$ vast $[2] - 88:23, 121:3$ Veblen $[1] - 45:18$ version $[11] - 96:6, 116:5, 116:18, 116:23, 116:24, 116:25, 117:2, 117:22, 119:12, 138:7, 138:10$ versus $[2] - 38:8, 135:8$ vet $[5] - 61:16, 68:22, 69:12, 81:15, 81:25$ vetted $[1] - 68:8$ vetting $[6] - 61:14, 68:18, 69:2, 69:8, 82:3, 99:9$ via $[2] - 160:1, 160:25$ viable $[3] - 61:3, 61:6, 61:10$ VIDEOGRAPHER $[3] - 106:19, 106:22, 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 100 +$	74:21, 77:3, 105:7, 123:9, 123:10, 125:3, 129:20, 130:24, 133:5, 141:16 wailian [1] - 74:19 wait [5] - 88:14, 106:17, 141:12, 149:8, 151:20 waive [1] - 162:4 waiver [1] - 162:4 waivers [1] - 41:9 walk [6] - 47:10, 47:13, 65:6, 70:24, 71:3, 148:5 wall [1] - 66:22 wants [4] - 55:16, 72:21, 125:6, 133:18 Washington [7] - 30:15, 77:9, 145:18, 147:12, 147:14, 147:15 wasting [1] - 114:11 watching [1] - 17:7 watered [1] - 22:2 Watertown [1] - 20:12
18:25, 25:18, 25:19, 44:23, 44:24 training [8] - 12:12, 12:16, 12:17, 12:21, 12:24, 13:15, 13:18, 29:13 transaction [3] - 16:21, 67:13, 123:24 transcript [2] - 162:2, 163:12 transferred [2] - 10:8, 22:8 transition [1] - 146:8 transitioned [2] - 99:8, 147:12 transportation [1] - 28:12 travel [1] - 134:15 treaty [1] - 27:4 tremendous [2] - 54:2, 59:23 tremendously [1] - 84:15 tried [9] - 36:7, 39:4, 84:2, 111:21, 121:3, 123:2, 124:8, 124:9, 124:20	105:8, 157:17, 157:19 two [12] - 5:18, 11:8, 16:2, 37:14, 42:24, 69:25, 74:24, 113:6, 126:9, 127:21, 142:5 type [4] - 12:24, 18:14, 25:14, 86:2 typical [2] - 57:6, 150:8 typically [8] - 121:7, 121:9, 123:2, 130:17, 131:9, 133:6, 139:11, 144:12 U-S-C-I-S [1] - 40:1 U.S [10] - 15:3, 15:5, 16:10, 48:19, 49:2, 49:20, 51:6, 51:10, 53:8 U.S.A [1] - 53:17 UCLA [2] - 10:8, 10:9 unbiased [1] - 113:9 unclear [1] - 74:16	157:4 university $[9] - 9:2,$ 9:8, 23:13, 67:1, 83:17, 83:25, 84:1 University 's $[1] -$ 84:12 unless $[3] - 61:10,$ 88:12, 88:14 unmic $[1] - 114:25$ unprofitable $[1] -$ 109:5 unusual $[2] - 87:14,$ 133:10 up $[56] - 6:17, 9:3,$ 10:25, 14:7, 16:6, 22:18, 23:22, 27:13, 27:14, 28:21, 33:11, 35:3, 36:15, 36:18, 41:13, 42:21, 45:25, 46:18, 49:1, 50:17, 52:8, 52:16, 54:4, 57:4, 57:12, 57:14, 60:21, 62:8, 65:19, 66:12, 68:22, 69:11, 69:18, 72:6, 75:24, 77:8, 79:15, 83:8, 83:9, 84:11, 86:9, 93:24, 95:23, 98:4,	Valley $[1] - 58:6$ valuable $[1] - 31:4$ value $[3] - 24:25, 25:1, 73:5$ Van $[3] - 45:10, 45:14, 45:16$ variety $[1] - 106:12$ vast $[2] - 88:23, 121:3$ Veblen $[1] - 45:18$ version $[11] - 96:6, 116:5, 116:18, 116:25, 117:2, 117:22, 119:12, 138:7, 138:10$ versus $[2] - 38:8, 135:8$ vet $[5] - 61:16, 68:22, 69:12, 81:15, 81:25$ vetted $[1] - 68:8$ vetting $[6] - 61:14, 68:18, 69:2, 69:8, 82:3, 99:9$ via $[2] - 160:1, 160:25$ viable $[3] - 61:3, 61:6, 61:10$ VIDEOGRAPHER $[3] -$	74:21, 77:3, 105:7, 123:9, 123:10, 125:3, 129:20, 130:24, 133:5, 141:16 wailian [1] - 74:19 wait [5] - 88:14, 106:17, 141:12, 149:8, 151:20 waive [1] - 162:4 waiver [1] - 162:4 waivers [1] - 41:9 walk [6] - 47:10, 47:13, 65:6, 70:24, 71:3, 148:5 wall [1] - 66:22 wants [4] - 55:16, 72:21, 125:6, 133:18 Washington [7] - 30:15, 77:9, 145:18, 147:12, 147:14, 147:15 wasting [1] - 114:11 watching [1] - 17:7 watered [1] - 22:2 Watertown [1] - 20:12 Wells [1] - 20:19

	002-CBK Documer 117:19, 117:20,	IL 1/3-1	LIIGO TO/TO/18	Paye of 01 01	PayeiD #. 2519
WHEELER [1] - 1:9	117.19, 117.20, 118:1, 118:6, 118:7,				
Wheeler [6] - 107:1,					
109:9, 109:14,	118:13				
109:24, 110:18,	<b>wow</b> [1] - 69:7				
111:2	writing [1] - 66:22				
Wheeler 's [1] - 109:16	written [2] - 8:2, 133:8				
whereas [3] - 33:14,	wrote [2] - 65:22,				
132:12, 142:1	153:3				
whereof [1] - 163:17					
White [1] - 44:7	Y				
white [1] - 46:5	year [18] - 10:20, 11:8,				
whole [14] - 29:5,	12:13, 15:11, 27:5,				
39:11, 69:20, 83:7,	34:12, 54:23,				
83:9, 84:17, 90:4,	126:24, 126:25,				
92:3, 97:7, 99:5,	128:11, 128:15,				
124:24, 125:15,	128:19, 129:3,				
163 :9	129:6, 129:9,				
wholly [1] - 105:7	129:10, 131:19,				
wide [2] - 31:20, 62:7	141:16				
wider [1] - 23:7	Year 's [1] - 15:2				
wife [3] - 14:6, 14:21,	yearly [2] - 49:22,				
88:9	101:2				
WILES [1] - 1:9					
willing [10] - 55:21,	years [11] - 11:8, 11:9,				
59:24,60:1,111:4,	16:2, 21:5, 21:7, 21:8, 66:17, 70:10				
111:9, 112:15,	21:8, 66:17, 79:10, 128:7, 131:10				
135:3, 148:13,	128:7, 131:19, 131:20				
148:14, 150:10	yesterday [2] - 7:13,				
<b>win</b> [5] - 150:19,	92:16				
150:20	<b>York</b> [5] - 12:3, 12:11,				
win -win [1] - 150:19	12:20, 62:15, 62:23				
Winkle [3] - 45:10,	yourself [7] - 54:9,				
45:14, 45:16	85:19, 86:24, 90:13,				
Winter [1] - 45:9	91:16, 91:21, 92:7				
wise [1] - 124:23	91.10, 91.21, 92.7				
withhold [2] - 121:4,	7				
124:8	Z				
Witness [4] - 43:13,	Zealand [1] - 48:18				
140:7, 151:17, 158:9	Zehjiang [1] - 75:2				
WITNESS [4] - 79:2,	ZEHJIANG [1] - 75:3				
132:1, 161:8, 162:3	Zwolle [1] - 27:15				
witness [5] - 5:3, 5:5,					
43:9, 163:8, 163:13					
Wittler [3] - 1:24, 4:5,					
163:21					
WITTLER [1] - 163:5					
word [2] - 91:19, 96:1					
wording [1] - 118:12					
workforce [1] - 9:9					
works [4] - 111:13,					
111:16, 111:17,					
125:2					
workshops [5] -					
18:24, 19:9, 19:10,					
21:3, 22:23					
world [2] - 26:19, 36:6					
warried to 69,10					
worried [5] - 68:19,					
114:7, 146:1, 149:8,					
114:7, 146:1, 149:8,					
114:7, 146:1, 149:8, 149:10					