

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 451 Seventh Street, SW Washington, DC 20410 www.hud.gov espanol.hud.gov

Environmental Assessment Determinations and Compliance Findings for HUD-assisted Projects 24 CFR Part 50

Project Information

Project Name: West-Calumet---East-Chicago-Housing-Authority

HEROS Number: 90000010023524

Applicant / Grant Recipie East Chicago Housing Authority

nt:

Point of Contact: Javier R. Chavez , Director PH Operations

HUD Preparer: Tedd Taylor Termunde

Consultant (if applicabl Amereco, Inc.

e):

Point of Contact: Zachary Heine, CHMM

Project Location: 4920 Larkspur St, East Chicago, IN 46312

Additional Location Information:

West Calumet Housing Development- AMP 3- East Chicago Housing Authority, 4920 Larkspur, East Chicago, IN See, Attached Project Site Map(s)

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

For additional information, see, Project Description, Purpose, Need Objectives and Alternative Memorandum" and the HUD Form 52435 uploaded and attached in Section 4100 Environmental Assessment Analysis, "Other Documentation". Due to the known environmental conditions existing onsite, the East Chicago Housing Authority has determined that the demolition of the West Calumet Housing Complex is warranted to protect human health. The demolition of the improvements onsite will ensure that the ECHA does not provide substandard housing or housing that has the potential to

impact human health. Built in the 1972, the West Calumet Housing Complex consists of all residential structures, an administration building, a community center, maintenance facility, guardhouse, and 2 storage sheds. The demolition project will include the removal of all improvement onsite. Demolition will include the removal of hazardous building materials (asbestos), razing the structures, removal of the foundation and backfill. In addition to structure removal, all improvements from the property will be removed, including, but not limited to: roadway pavement, parking pavement, concrete and asphalt walkways, underground and overhead utilities, lighting, etc. Vegetation disturbance will be limited to that required to demolish and properly grade the site to meet storm water pollution prevention requirements. It is anticipated that Aster Avenue, Larkspur Avenue, Jonquil Lane, 150th Place, 151st Place will be removed. Gladiola Avenue and Magnolia Drive will be removed, but it is yet to be determined if they will be removed in their entirety. The activity will be limited to existing improvements; therefore, impacting previously undisturbed areas is not expected. At this time, there is no proposed reuse of the site following demolition. The site will be graded to meet storm water runoff requirements, topped with top soil and seeded. Portions of the existing fence along the perimeter may remain along with required new fencing that will be installed to secure the site. Interim controls will be put in place to ensure that the site is maintained and secured pending known future use.

Does this project involve over 200 lots, dwelling units, or beds?

No

✓ Yes (Project requires approval from the Environmental Clearance Officer (ECO))

Statement of Purpose and Need for the Proposal [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

It is HUD policy that all properties that are being proposed for use in HUD programs be free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals and gases, and radioactive substances, where a hazard could affect the health and safety of the occupants or conflict with the intended utilization of the property. This EA if for demolition only to ensure the health and safety of former residents at the Development. Once the future use of the site is known, a new NEPA environmental review will be required for future HUD assisted activities on the site. See, page 2 of "Project Description, Purpose, Need, Objectives and Alternative Memorandum" attached and uploaded in Section 4100 "Environmental Assessment Analysis".

Existing Conditions and Trends [24 CFR 58.40(a)]:

The West Calumet Complex was originally built on the former Anaconda Copper Mining Company/International Smelting and Refining Company site, which is now part of a USS Lead Superfund site. The site was placed on the National Priorities List in 2009, and the initial Record of Decision (ROD) was released on November 2012. EPA covered patches of bare dirt at the West Calumet Complex to provide a temporary, protective barrier from lead-contaminated soil. EPA's website states that there continue to be risks of exposure to high levels of lead in the soil, particularly for young children. See, "Project Description, Purpose, Need, Objectives and Alternatives Memorandum" uploaded and

attached in Section 4100 for additional detail.

Maps, photographs, and other documentation of project location and description:

<u>Property - Project Site Location Maps EC-WC Part 50.pdf</u> <u>EC Site Visits - Sept 2016 and Feb 2017 Photos.pdf</u>

Determination:

Finding of No Significant Impact [24 CFR 58.40(g)(1); 40 CFR 1508.13] The project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of human	
	environment
✓	Finding of Significant Impact

Funding Information

Grant Number HUD Program		Program Name	Funding Amount
IN36E0029501-16 PIH		Public Housing Capital Fund	\$5,159,467.00
		Other PH Cap Fund, and Other	\$1,659,173.00
XXX	Other	Funding Sources	

Estimated Total HUD Funded

\$6,818,640.87

Amount:

Estimated Total Project Cost [24 CFR 58.2 (a) \$6,818,640.87 **(5)]**:

Compliance with 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5 and §58.6 Laws and Authorities

Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5, and §58.6	Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?	Compliance determination (See Appendix A for source determinations)		
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.6				
Airport Hazards	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project site is not within 15,000		
Clear Zones and Accident		feet of a military airport or 2,500		
Potential Zones; 24 CFR Part 51		feet of a civilian airport. The project		
Subpart D		is in compliance with Airport Hazards		

	1	
		requirements. Once future use of
		the site has been determined, this
		factor will need to be re-evaluated
		and/or a new environmental review
		completed for the then known
		future use activities on the project
		site.This EA is for demolition only
		and once the future use of the site is
		known, a new NEPA environmental
		review will be required for any
		future HUD assisted activities on the
		site.
Coastal Barrier Resources Act	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project is located in a state that
Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as	L IES EL INU	does not contain CBRS units.
·		
amended by the Coastal Barrier		Therefore, this project is in
Improvement Act of 1990 [16		compliance with the Coastal Barrier
USC 3501]		Resources Act.
Flood Insurance	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description the
Flood Disaster Protection Act of		project includes no activities that
1973 and National Flood		would require further evaluation
Insurance Reform Act of 1994		under this section. The project does
[42 USC 4001-4128 and 42 USC		not require flood insurance or is
5154a]		excepted from flood insurance.
		While flood insurance may not be
		mandatory in this instance, HUD
		recommends that all insurable
		structures maintain flood insurance
		under the National Flood Insurance
		Program (NFIP). The project is in
		compliance with Flood Insurance
		requirements. The project will
		involve the demolition of the multi-
		family and non-dwelling structures
		associated with the West Calumet
		Housing Complex and there is no
		known future use nor any planned
		new construction being proposed on
		the project site at this time. The
		project area is located in Zone X,
		FEMA FIRM Map and Panel Numbers
		0038E, 18089C0038E and 0126E,
		18089C0126E. This EA is for

		demolition only and once the future use of the site is known, a new NEPA environmental review will be required for any future HUD assisted activities on the site. Current FEMA Maps will need to be re-evaluated at the time of any required subsequent
		environmental review.
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDE		ONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5
Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93	✓ Yes □ No	Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act. The project will involve the demolition of the improvements associated with the West Calumet Housing Complex and there is no known future use nor any planned new construction being proposed on the project site at this time. The Consultant provided final Asbestos Report dated 2/15/17 indicating certain materials will need to be removed and abated prior to the start of any demolition following all applicable, Local, State and Federal Law. Approval of this environmental review Part 50 for the demolition activity is conditioned on the abatement identified in the Asbestos Report as well as any and all requirements outlined in the Remedial Action Plan for Demolition approved by the EPA. This EA is for demolition only and once the future use of the site is known, a new NEPA environmental review will be required for any future HUD assisted
		activities on the site.
Coastal Zone Management Act	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description the

Coastal Zono Managament Act		project does not include any
Coastal Zone Management Act,		project does not include any
sections 307(c) & (d)		activities that would affect a Coastal
		Zone. The project is in compliance
		with the Coastal Zone Management
		Act. Consultant indicated and HUD
		verified with State of Indiana Coastal
		Zone Manager that no Federal
		Consistency Review is required for
		the proposed demolition activity of
		the West Calumet project site. Once
		future use of the site has been
		determined, the Indiana Coastal
		Zone Manager should be consulted
		for the yet to be determined future
		use activity on the Project Site to
		confirm and determine if a Federal
		Consistency Review is required for
		what the then known future use. This
		EA is for demolition only and once
		the future use of the site is known, a
		new NEPA environmental review will
		be required for any future HUD
		assisted activities on the site.
Contamination and Toxic	☑ Yes □ No	
	☑ Yes □ No	Site contamination was evaluated as
Substances		follows: ASTM Phase I ESA, ASTM
24 CFR 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)]		Phase II ESA (including a full Site
		Characterization) and ASTM Vapor
		Encroachment Screening. On-site or
		nearby toxic, hazardous, or
		radioactive substances were found
		that could affect the health and
		safety of project occupants or
		conflict with the intended use of the
		property in its current condition. The
		ASTM Phase I identified 9 REC's on
		the project site: (1) evidence of
		historic bulk petroleum storage
		onsite; (2) identified historic rail
		spurs identified onsite; (3) identified
		historic PCB containing equipment
		onsite; (4) identified historic bulk oil
		storage identified onsite (5) the

Endangered Species Act	□ Yes ☑ No	known contamination of lead and arsenic in onsite soils; (6) identified historic metal refining and industrial operations identified on (south) adjoining site; (7) historic industrial operations and bulk oil storage identified on (southeast) adjoining site; (8) The USS Lead refinery superfund site at 5300 Kennedy Ave; and (9) evidence of vapor encroachment conditions on site. The ASTM Phase I, Phase II ESA's and a REC Location Map are uploaded and attached as support documentation in this section. The adverse environmental impacts of the demolition activity can be mitigated. With mitigation, identified in the mitigation section of this review, the demolition activity will be in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements. Approval of the EA for demolition is conditioned upon the specific terms identified in the Remedial Action Plan approved by the EPA for the project site as an identified NPL site. This EA is for demolition only and once the future use of the site is known, a new NEPA environmental review will be required for any future HUD assisted activities on the site. This project has been determined to
Endangered Species Act Endangered Species Act of 1973,	∟ Yes ⊻ No	This project has been determined to have No Effect on listed species. This
particularly section 7; 50 CFR		project is in compliance with the
Part 402		Endangered Species Act without
1 410 402		mitigation. This EA is for demolition
		only and once the future use of the
		I
		site is known, a new NEPA environmental review will be
		required for any future HUD assisted

Form HUD-4128A 05/25/2017 17:02 **Page 7 of 58**

		activities on the site including a review of potential adverse impacts to then known listed endangered or threatened species and known critical habitats.
Explosive and Flammable Hazards Above-Ground Tanks)[24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements. AST's have been identified that are not within statutory/regulatory range from the Project Site. The Project will involve the demolition of the improvements associated with the West Calumet Housing Complex and there is no known future use nor any planned new construction being proposed on the project site at this time. This EA is for demolition only. Once future use of the site is known, a new NEPA environmental review will be required for any future HUD assisted activities on the site.
Farmlands Protection Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981, particularly sections 1504(b) and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a nonagricultural use. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act. Project is not located within Indiana Farmlands. Zoning for Project is Multi-Family Residential. The project will involve the demolition of the multi-family and non-dwelling structures associated with the West Calumet Housing Complex and there is no known future use nor any planned new construction being proposed on the project site at this time. This EA is for demolition only and once the

		future use of the site is known, a new NEPA environmental review will be required for any future HUD assisted activities on the site.
Floodplain Management Executive Order 11988, particularly section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55	▼ Yes □ No	This project does not occur in a floodplain. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11988. Zone X, FEMA FIRM Map and Panel Numbers 0038E, 18089C0038E and 0126E, 18089C0126E. The project will involve the demolition of the multi-family and non-dwelling structures associated with the West Calumet Housing Complex and there is no known future use nor any planned new construction being proposed on the project site at this time. While the West Calumet Development is not in a designated floodplain, the project is adjacent to a canal listed and designated by FEMA as Zone A. Demolition of the project site is conditioned upon such activities be completed and appropriate measures taken that will not disturb the adjacent Zone A and any other measures or conditions as outlined in the EPA approved demolition remedial action plan. PHA contracts for demolition activities must contain provisions covering the avoidance of Zone A. This EA is for demolition only and once the future use of the site is known, a new NEPA environmental review will be required for any future HUD assisted activities on the site.
Historic Preservation	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on Section 106 consultation
National Historic Preservation		there are No Historic Properties
Act of 1966, particularly sections		Affected because there are no
106 and 110; 36 CFR Part 800		historic properties present. The

		project is in compliance with Section
		106. The project will involve the
		demolition of the multi-family and
		non-dwelling structures associated
		with the West Calumet Housing
		1
		Complex and there is no known
		future use at this time at the project
		site at this time. The Indiana SHPO
		clearance provides that if during the
		course of the demolition activity any
		prehistoric or historic or
		archaeological artifacts or human
		remains are uncovered during
		construction, demolition,
		earthmoving activities, Indiana State
		Law requires that the discovery must
		be reported within two (2) business
		days to the Indiana Department of
		Natural Resources. Similarly, the
		consultation process with affected
		Indian Tribes and Tribal Historic
		Preservation Officers (THPO's) in
		Lake County, Indiana resulted
		responses to HUD as R/E that also
		requires that the demolition activity
		adhere to the Inadvertent Discovery
		Provisions provisions outlined under
		Indiana State Law (Indiana Code 14-
		21-1-27 and 29). PHA demolition
		contracts must contain provisions
		the will provide notice to the Indiana
		SHPO, Indian Tribes and THPO's if
		artifacts or remains are found and
		uncovered. This EA is for demolition
		only and once the future use of the
		site is known, a new NEPA
		environmental review will be
		required for any future HUD assisted
		activities on the site.
Noise Abatement and Control	☑ Yes □ No	This is a demolition only EA.
Noise Control Act of 1972, as		Demolition activities are required to
amended by the Quiet		comply with existing local permitting
amenaca by the Quiet		Comply with chisting local permitting

Communities Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart B		requirements including any and all noise related conditions and the provisions outlined on page 15 of the environmental demolition monitoring RAP. Once the future use of the site is known, a new NEPA environmental review will be required for any future HUD assisted activities on the site.
Sole Source Aquifers Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended, particularly section 1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149	□ Yes ☑ No	The project is not located on a sole source aquifer area. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements. The site is served by Municipal Water and Sewer.
Wetlands Protection Executive Order 11990, particularly sections 2 and 5	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project will not impact on or off site wetlands. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990. The project will involve the demolition of the multi-family and non-dwelling structures associated with the West Calumet Housing Complex and there is no known future use nor any planned new construction being proposed on the project site at this time and the project is not located in a designated National Wetland. See attached FWS-National Wetlands Inventory Map.
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968, particularly section 7(b) and (c)	□ Yes ☑ No	This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act. Indiana has no nationally designated wild and scenic rivers (See Attachment 1 Support Documentation). The NPS has identified 1 Study River Segment located in Lake County, Indiana not within proximity of the project site. (See Attachment 2 Support Documentation). This EA is for demolition only and once the future

Form HUD-4128A 05/25/2017 17:02 **Page 11 of 58**

		use of the site is known, a new NEPA environmental review will be required for any future HUD assisted activities on the site.
HUD HO	USING ENVIRONMEI	NTAL STANDARDS
	ENVIRONMENTAL	JUSTICE
Environmental Justice Executive Order 12898	☐ Yes ☑ No	It is HUD policy that all properties used in HUD programs be free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals and gases, and radioactive substances, where a hazard could affect the health and safety of the occupants or conflict with the intended utilization of the property. Demolition of the project site will not create an adverse environmental impact that is disproportionate to a low-income or minority community. The proposed demolition and site stabilization are beneficial to the overall environment and health and safety of former residents as well as the community at large. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898. This EA is for demolition only and once the future use of the site is known, a new NEPA environmental review will be required for any future HUD assisted activities on the site.

Environmental Assessment Factors [24 CFR 58.40; Ref. 40 CFR 1508.8 &1508.27]

Impact Codes: An impact code from the following list has been used to make the determination of impact for each factor.

- (1) Minor beneficial impact
- (2) No impact anticipated
- (3) Minor Adverse Impact May require mitigation
- **(4)** Significant or potentially significant impact requiring avoidance or modification which may require an Environmental Impact Statement.

Environmental	Impact	Impact	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code	Evaluation	
	LAND	DEVELOPMENT	
Conformance with Plans /		Demolition	Demolition Only EA - Future
Compatible Land Use and		Only- Future	Use Unknown at this time.
Zoning / Scale and Urban		Use Unknown	
Design		at this time	
Soil Suitability / Slope/		Demolition	Demolition Only EA - Future
Erosion / Drainage and		Only- Future	Use Unknown-Engineering
Storm Water Runoff		Use Unknown	Controls under EPA Approved
		at this time	demolition RAP will be
			implemented as mitigation for
			demolition related activities
			that impact or effect this
			factor.
Hazards and Nuisances		Demolition	Demolition Only EA - Future
including Site Safety and		Only- Future	Use Unknown-Engineering
Site-Generated Noise		Use Unknown	Controls under EPA Approved
		at this time	RAP will be implemented as
			mitigation for demolition
			related activities that impact or
			effect this factor.
Energy		Demolition	Demolition Only EA - Future
Consumption/Energy		Only- Future	Use Unknown
Efficiency		Use Unknown	
		at this time	
	SO	CIOECONOMIC	
Employment and Income		Demolition	Demolition Only EA - Future
Patterns		Only- Future	Use Unknown
		Use Unknown	
		at this time	
Demographic Character		Demolition	Demolition Only EA - Future
Changes / Displacement		Only-Future	Use Unknown
		Use Unknown	
	MUNITY	FACILITIES AND S	
Educational and Cultural		Demolition	Demolition Only EA - Future
Facilities (Access and		Only- Future	Use Unknown
Capacity)		Use Unknown	
Commercial Facilities		Demolition	Demolition Only EA - Future
(Access and Proximity)		Only- Future	Use Unknown

Environmental	Impact	Impact	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code	Evaluation	
	LAND	DEVELOPMENT	
		Use Unknown	
Health Care / Social		Demolition	Demolition Only EA - Future
Services (Access and		Only- Future	Use Unknown
Capacity)		Use Unknown	
Solid Waste Disposal and		Demolition	Demolition Only EA - Future
Recycling (Feasibility and		Only-Future	Use Unknown
Capacity)		Use Unknown	
Waste Water and Sanitary		Demolition	Demolition Only EA - Future
Sewers (Feasibility and		Only- Future	Use Unknown-Engineering
Capacity)		Use Unknown	Controls under EPA Approved
,			RAP will be implemented as
			mitigation for demolition
			related activities that impact or
			effect this factor.
Water Supply (Feasibility		Demolition	Demolition Only EA - Future
and Capacity)		Only- Future	Use Unknown- Engineering
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Use Unknown	Controls under EPA Approved
			demolition RAP will be
			implemented as mitigation for
			demolition related activities
			that impact or effect this
			factor.
Public Safety - Police, Fire		Demolition	Demolition Only EA - Future
and Emergency Medical		Only-Future	Use Unknown - Engineering
		Use Unknown	Controls under EPA Approved
			RAP will be implemented as
			mitigation for demolition
			related activities that any
			impact or effect this factor.
Parks, Open Space and		Demolition	Demolition Only EA - Future
Recreation (Access and		Only-Future	Use Unknown
Capacity)		Use Unknown	
Transportation and		Demolition	Demolition Only EA -Future
Accessibility (Access and		Only- Future	Use Unknown- Engineering
Capacity)		Use Unknown	Controls under EPA Approved
			demolition RAP will be
			implemented as mitigation for
			demolition related activities
			that impact or effect this

Environmental	Impact	Impact	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code	Evaluation	
	LAND	DEVELOPMENT	
			factor.
	NAT	URAL FEATURES	
Unique Natural Features		Demolition	Demolition Only EA - Future
/Water Resources		Only- Future	Use Unknown- Engineering
		Use Unknown	Controls under EPA Approved
			Demolition RAP will be
			implemented as mitigation for
			demolition related activities
			that any impact or effect this
			factor.
Vegetation / Wildlife		Demolition	Demolition Only EA - Future
(Introduction,		Only- Future	Use Unknown- Engineering
Modification, Removal,		Use Unknown	Controls under EPA Approved
Disruption, etc.)			demolition RAP will be
			implemented as mitigation for
			demolition related activities
			that impact or effect this
			factor.
Other Factors		Demolition	Demolition Only EA - Future
		Only- Future	Use Unknown
		Use Unknown	

Supporting documentation

4 13 17 RAP Appendix A.pdf

4 13 17 Final Remedial Action Plan Demolition Monitoring Submission to EPA.pdf

4 27 17 EPA Letter to PHA on EPA Monitoring of Demolition Activities in Zone 1 USS

Lead(1).pdf

East Chicago Remedial Stablization Monitoring Plan Cost Estimate.pdf

4-13-17 RAP Appendix E - WMP.pdf

4 13-17 RAP Appendix D - AMSP.pdf

4 13 17 RAP Appendix C - ACM Survey.pdf

4 13 17 Appendix B - HASP (1).pdf

4-13-17 RAP Memorandum.pdf

Appendix E - WMP.pdf

Appendix D - AMSP.pdf

Appendix C - ACM Survey.pdf

Appendix B - HASP (1).pdf

RAP-EC Appendix A.pdf

Remedial Action Plan.pdf

Additional Studies Performed:

The following documents have been uploaded and attached here as part of the Environmental Review Record for this Part 50 Demolition-Only EA: 1.Project Description, Justification, Purpose and Need and Alternatives Memorandum 2. HUD Form 52345 3. 24 CFR 970 .13 Certification of PHA of No Known Future Use

PHA 970.13 Certification 1-4-17.pdf

HUD Form 52345 1-4-17 (2) (003).docx

West Calumet Project Description Justification; Purpose and Need; and Alternatives Doc 031317.docx

Field Inspection [Optional]: Date and completed

by:

Vahl; Sotirchos; Termunde 2/14/2017 12:00:00 AM

EC Site Visits - Sept 2016 and Feb 2017 Photos.pdf

List of Sources, Agencies and Persons Consulted [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

ECHA, EPA, HUD, SHPO, INDIAN TRIBES AND TDHO'S, CZM, USFWS, CITY, COUNTY, STATE

List of Permits Obtained:

All required local demolition permitting, reviews and approvals will be required at the time of demolition.

Public Outreach [24 CFR 58.43]:

Ongoing outreach has occurred during the planning for the proposed demolition and would continue during the planning of the yet to be determined future use.

!Final FONSI EC EA Notice (004)ch.sas.docx

Cumulative Impact Analysis [24 CFR 58.32]:

Demolition activity only-no known future use. This EA is for demolition only and once the future use of the site is known, a new NEPA environmental review will be required for any future HUD assisted activities on the site.

Alternatives [24 CFR 58.40(e); 40 CFR 1508.9]

Alternatives considered are described in the attached and uploaded "Project Description, Justification, Purpose and Need and Alternatives Memorandum" and

summarized below: 1. No Action Alternative (See box below and uploaded " "Project Description, Justification, Purpose and Need and Alternatives Memorandum" attachment) 2. Partial Demolition Structures and Hardscape: Under this alternative, the ECHA would limit demolition activities to demolition of all residential structures, nondwelling structures, parking lots, sidewalks and streets. Under this partial demolition scenario, a RAP will have to be prepared by ECHA and agreed to by the EPA because it is a Superfund site. Post demolition, the EPA may then proceed with an enforcement action to clean up the site to appropriate standards. 3. Complete Demolition of All Structures, Hardscape and Infrastructure Under this alternative, the ECHA would demolish all improvements on the West Calumet site, including all residential structures, an administration building, a community center, maintenance facility, guardhouse, and two storage sheds. The demolition project would include the removal of all improvements onsite and the removal of hazardous building materials (asbestos), razing the structures, and removing foundations. The grading would then be leveled onsite, with backfill to the appropriate standard occurring. A limited quantity of backfill may be brought onsite to ensure adequate vegetative growth (primarily black dirt) and to ensure that there are no significant depressions that pose a safety concern. In addition to structure removal, all improvements from the property will be removed, including roadway pavement, parking pavement, concrete and asphalt walkways, underground and overhead utilities, and lighting. If ECHA pursues demolition users of the property will not be exposed to contamination. It will also reduce the likelihood of exposure due to trespassing. To reduce the risk of trespassing, fencing off the property and posting signage regarding the current conditions of the site will be required. Under this scenario, a RAP will have to be prepared by ECHA and agreed to by EPA because it is a Superfund site.

No Action Alternative [24 CFR 58.40(e)]

If the ECHA does not demolish the property the West Calumet site will continue to be exposed to contamination until a new contamination clean-up plan is renegotiated with the EPA. If the ECHA does not demolish the property, the buildings will continue to deteriorate and it is unlikely ECHA will have the funds to remediate the contaminated property. As part of HUD's physical obsolescence test, HUD considers the cost of rehabilitation compared to the cost of new construction. Unless demolition occurs, ECHA will continue to spend available funds on basic maintenance and upkeep items, while attempting to mitigate harmful effects identified on the site and would unlikely be able to target bigger capital repairs of systems and components that are at the end of or already past their useful life. Due to the presence of contaminants, ECHA would not be allowed to spend any federal or non-federal funds on rehabilitation activities until the clean-up occurs. If no rehabilitation activities could occur, the property would continue to physically deteriorate, making any future rehabilitation costlier and more complex. If rehabilitation is allowed to occur, and if the site is not demolished, the cost to substantially rehabilitate the buildings and remediate the site would cost more than

building new. Due to the existing environmental conditions at the site and extensive media and public attention of these conditions, it is likely that the ECHA would face substantial marketing challenges and experience substantially reduced occupancy at the property as families will not want to move into the units. Reduced occupancy will, in the long-term, make it difficult to effectively manage and operate the development hindering the financial sustainability of the West Calumet development and ECHA operations.

Summary of Findings and Conclusions:

Potential impacts of the proposed demolition are beneficial overall to the environment and health and safety of users as well as the community at large. As part of the demolition the project site will be stabilized and protected in accordance with the ECHA and EPA agreed upon demolition remedial action monitoring plan and oversight by the EPA as the Regulatory Agency for the NPL Site.

Mitigation Measures and Conditions [CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law, Authority, or Factor	Mitigation Measure or Condition	Comments on Completed Measures	Complete
Contamination and Toxic Substances	Engineering Controls and EPA Approved Remedial Action Plan.	N/A	
Noise Abatement and Control	This is a demolition only EA. Demolition activities are required to comply with existing local permitting requirements including any and all noise related conditions and the provisions outlined on page 15 of the environmental demolition monitoring RAP. Once the future use of the site is known, a new NEPA environmental review will be required for any future HUD assisted activities on the site.	N/A	
Conformance with	Demolition Only EA - Future Use	N/A	

Plans / Compatible Land Use and Zoning / Scale and Urban Design	Unknown at this time.	
Soil Suitability / Slope/ Erosion / Drainage and Storm Water Runoff	Demolition Only EA - Future Use Unknown-Engineering Controls under EPA Approved demolition RAP will be implemented as mitigation for demolition related activities that impact or effect this factor.	N/A
Hazards and Nuisances including Site Safety and Site- Generated Noise	Demolition Only EA - Future Use Unknown-Engineering Controls under EPA Approved RAP will be implemented as mitigation for demolition related activities that impact or effect this factor.	N/A
Energy Consumption/Energy Efficiency	Demolition Only EA - Future Use Unknown	N/A
Employment and Income Patterns	Demolition Only EA - Future Use Unknown	N/A
Demographic Character Changes / Displacement	Demolition Only EA - Future Use Unknown	N/A
Educational and Cultural Facilities (Access and Capacity)	Demolition Only EA - Future Use Unknown	N/A
Commercial Facilities (Access and Proximity)	Demolition Only EA - Future Use Unknown	N/A
Health Care / Social Services (Access and Capacity)	Demolition Only EA - Future Use Unknown	N/A
Solid Waste Disposal and Recycling (Feasibility and Capacity)	Demolition Only EA - Future Use Unknown	N/A
Waste Water and Sanitary Sewers	Demolition Only EA - Future Use Unknown-Engineering Controls	N/A

T		
(Feasibility and	under EPA Approved RAP will be	
Capacity)	implemented as mitigation for	
	demolition related activities that	
	impact or effect this factor.	
	Demolition Only EA - Future Use	
	Unknown- Engineering Controls	
Water Supply	under EPA Approved demolition	
(Feasibility and	RAP will be implemented as	N/A
Capacity)	mitigation for demolition related	
	activities that impact or effect this	
	factor.	
	Demolition Only EA - Future Use	
D 1-11- C-1	Unknown - Engineering Controls	
Public Safety -	under EPA Approved RAP will be	2.70
Police, Fire and	implemented as mitigation for	N/A
Emergency Medical	demolition related activities that	
	any impact or effect this factor.	
Parks, Open Space	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
and Recreation	Demolition Only EA - Future Use	
(Access and	Unknown	N/A
Capacity)		
	Demolition Only EA -Future Use	
	Unknown- Engineering Controls	
Transportation and	under EPA Approved demolition	
Accessibility (Access	RAP will be implemented as	N/A
and Capacity)	mitigation for demolition related	,
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	activities that impact or effect this	
	factor.	
	Demolition Only EA - Future Use	
	Unknown- Engineering Controls	
Unique Natural	under EPA Approved Demolition	
Features /Water	RAP will be implemented as	N/A
Resources	mitigation for demolition related	
Resources	activities that any impact or effect	
	this factor.	
	Demolition Only EA - Future Use	
Vegetation / Wildlife	Unknown- Engineering Controls	
(Introduction,	under EPA Approved demolition	
Modification,	RAP will be implemented as	N/A
Removal, Disruption,	mitigation for demolition related	14/7
etc.)	activities that impact or effect this	
Cic.j	factor.	
	Iaciui.	

Form HUD-4128A 05/25/2017 17:02 **Page 20 of 58**

Other Factors	Demolition Only EA - Future Use Unknown	N/A
Permits, reviews and approvals	All required local demolition permitting, reviews and approvals will be required at the time of demolition.	N/A

Mitigation Plan

Mitigation Plan Measures consist of Engineering and Site Controls and EPA Approved Remedial Action Plan. This EA is conditioned upon ECHA compliance with the terms and provisions of the final EPA approved demolition RAP, EPA Comfort Letter to the ECHA, EPA Cost Estimates to monitor demolition activities, and a written agreement between EPA and ECHA describing the oversight process and respective roles and responsibilities. This EA is for Demolition Only, with no known re-use. Once a future use is determined, a new NEPA environmental review will be required for any HUD assisted activities on the site.

4-13-17 RAP Memorandum(1).pdf

4 13 17 RAP Appendix E - WMP.pdf

4 13 17 RAP Appendix D - AMSP.pdf

4 13 17 RAP Appendix C - ACM Survey(1).pdf

4 13 17 Appendix B - HASP (1).pdf

4 13 17 RAP Appendix A(1).pdf

4 13 17 Final Remedial Action Plan Demolition Monitoring Submission to EPA(1).pdf

East Chicago Remedial Stablization Monitoring Plan Cost Estimate(1).pdf

<u>4 27 17 EPA Letter to PHA on EPA Monitoring of Demolition Activities in Zone 1 USS</u> Lead.pdf

Supporting documentation on completed measures

APPENDIX A: Related Federal Laws and Authorities

Airport Hazards

General policy Legislation Regulation

It is HUD's policy to apply standards to prevent incompatible development around civil airports and military airfields.

24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D

1. To ensure compatible land use development, you must determine your site's proximity to civil and military airports. Is your project within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload the map showing that the site is not within the applicable distances to a military or civilian airport below

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements. Once future use of the site has been determined, this factor will need to be re-evaluated and/or a new environmental review completed for the then known future use activities on the project site. This EA is for demolition only and once the future use of the site is known, a new NEPA environmental review will be required for any future HUD assisted activities on the site.

Supporting documentation

Airport Location MAPS IN 026 West Calumet DDA 0007571.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Form HUD-4128A 05/25/2017 17:02 **Page 23 of 58**

Coastal Barrier Resources

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD financial assistance may not be	Coastal Barrier Resources Act	
used for most activities in units of	(CBRA) of 1982, as amended	
the Coastal Barrier Resources	by the Coastal Barrier	
System (CBRS). See 16 USC 3504 for	Improvement Act of 1990 (16	
limitations on federal expenditures	USC 3501)	
affecting the CBRS.		

This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRA units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.

Compliance Determination

This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRS units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Flood Insurance

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Certain types of federal financial assistance may	Flood Disaster	24 CFR 50.4(b)(1)
not be used in floodplains unless the community	Protection Act of	and 24 CFR
participates in National Flood Insurance Program	1973 as amended	58.6(a) and (b);
and flood insurance is both obtained and	(42 USC 4001-4128)	24 CFR 55.1(b).
maintained.		

1. Does this project involve <u>financial assistance for construction, rehabilitation, or acquisition of a mobile home, building, or insurable personal property?</u>

No. This project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this

Yes

Screen Summary

section.

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with Flood Insurance requirements. The project will involve the demolition of the multi-family and non-dwelling structures associated with the West Calumet Housing Complex and there is no known future use nor any planned new construction being proposed on the project site at this time. The project area is located in Zone X, FEMA FIRM Map and Panel Numbers 0038E, 18089C0038E and 0126E, 18089C0126E. This EA is for demolition only and once the future use of the site is known, a new NEPA environmental review will be required for any future HUD assisted activities on the site. Current FEMA Maps will need to be re-evaluated at the time of any required subsequent environmental review.

Supporting documentation

FEMA Floodplain MAPS (Zone X) IN 026 West Calumet DDA 0007571.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Form HUD-4128A 05/25/2017 17:02 **Page 26 of 58**

West-CalumetEast-	East Chicago, IN	900000010023524
Chicago-Housing-Authority		

Air Quality

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Clean Air Act is	Clean Air Act (42 USC 7401 et	40 CFR Parts 6, 51
administered by the U.S.	seq.) as amended particularly	and 93
Environmental Protection	Section 176(c) and (d) (42 USC	
Agency (EPA), which sets	7506(c) and (d))	
national standards on ambient		
pollutants. In addition, the		
Clean Air Act is administered by		
States, which must develop		
State Implementation Plans		
(SIPs) to regulate their state air		
quality. Projects funded by HUD		
must demonstrate that they		
conform to the appropriate SIP.		

Does your project include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act. The project will involve the demolition of the improvements associated with the West Calumet Housing Complex and there is no known future use nor any planned new construction being proposed on the project site at this time. The Consultant provided final Asbestos Report dated 2/15/17 indicating certain materials will need to be removed and abated prior to the start of any demolition following all applicable, Local, State and Federal Law. Approval of this environmental review Part 50 for the demolition activity is conditioned on the abatement identified in the Asbestos Report as well as any and all requirements outlined in the Remedial Action Plan for Demolition approved by the EPA. This EA is for demolition only and once the future use of the site is known, a new NEPA environmental review will be required for any future HUD assisted activities

on the site.

Supporting documentation

Asbestos Report IN 026 West Calumet AMP 3 DDA 000751.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

✓ Yes

No

Coastal Zone Management Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Federal assistance to	Coastal Zone Management	15 CFR Part 930
applicant agencies for	Act (16 USC 1451-1464),	
activities affecting any coastal	particularly section 307(c)	
use or resource is granted	and (d) (16 USC 1456(c)	
only when such activities are	and (d))	
consistent with federally		
approved State Coastal Zone		
Management Act Plans.		
	General requirements Federal assistance to applicant agencies for activities affecting any coastal use or resource is granted only when such activities are consistent with federally approved State Coastal Zone	General requirements Federal assistance to applicant agencies for activities affecting any coastal use or resource is granted only when such activities are consistent with federally approved State Coastal Zone Legislation Coastal Zone Management Act (16 USC 1451-1464), particularly section 307(c) and (d) (16 USC 1456(c) and (d))

1. Is the project located in, or does it affect, a Coastal Zone as defined in your state Coastal Management Plan?

✓	Yes	
	No	

2. Does this project include new construction, conversion, major rehabilitation, or substantial improvement activities?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description the project does not include any activities that would affect a Coastal Zone. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act. Consultant indicated and HUD verified with State of Indiana Coastal Zone Manager that no Federal Consistency Review is required for the proposed demolition activity of the West Calumet project site. Once future use of the site has been determined, the Indiana Coastal Zone Manager should be consulted for the yet to be determined future

use activity on the Project Site to confirm and determine if a Federal Consistency Review is required for what the then known future use. This EA is for demolition only and once the future use of the site is known, a new NEPA environmental review will be required for any future HUD assisted activities on the site.

Supporting documentation

CZM Support Documents IN 026 West Calumet 0007571.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Form HUD-4128A 05/25/2017 17:02 **Page 30 of 58**

Contamination and Toxic Substances

General requirements	Legislation	Regulations	
It is HUD policy that all properties that are		24 CFR	
being proposed for use in HUD programs be		58.5(i)(2)	
free of hazardous materials, contamination,		24 CFR 50.3(i)	
toxic chemicals and gases, and radioactive			
substances, where a hazard could affect the			
health and safety of the occupants or conflict			
with the intended utilization of the property.			

- 1. How was site contamination evaluated? Select all that apply. Document and upload documentation and reports and evaluation explanation of site contamination below.
- ✓ American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA)
- ✓ ASTM Phase II ESA
- ✓ Remediation or clean-up plan
- ✓ ASTM Vapor Encroachment Screening None of the Above
- 2. Were any on-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property? (Were any recognized environmental conditions or RECs identified in a Phase I ESA and confirmed in a Phase II ESA?)

No

✓ Yes

3. Mitigation

Document and upload the mitigation needed according to the requirements of the appropriate federal, state, tribal, or local oversight agency. If the adverse environmental effects cannot be mitigated, then HUD assistance may not be used for the project at this site.

Can adverse environmental impacts be mitigated?

Adverse environmental impacts cannot feasibly be mitigated.

- Yes, adverse environmental impacts can be eliminated through mitigation. Document and upload all mitigation requirements below.
- 4. Describe how compliance was achieved in the text box below. Include any of the following that apply: State Voluntary Clean-up Program, a No Further Action letter, use of engineering controls, or use of institutional controls.

Engineering Controls and EPA Approved Remedial Action Plan.

If a remediation plan or clean-up program was necessary, which standard does it follow?

Complete removal

Risk-based corrective action (RBCA)

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Site contamination was evaluated as follows: ASTM Phase I ESA, ASTM Phase II ESA (including a full Site Characterization) and ASTM Vapor Encroachment Screening. On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances were found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property in its current condition. The ASTM Phase I identified 9 REC's on the project site: (1) evidence of historic bulk petroleum storage onsite; (2) identified historic rail spurs identified onsite; (3) identified historic PCB containing equipment onsite; (4) identified historic bulk oil storage identified onsite (5) the known contamination of lead and arsenic in onsite soils; (6) identified historic metal refining and industrial operations identified on (south) adjoining site; (7) historic industrial operations and bulk oil storage identified on (southeast) adjoining site; (8) The USS Lead refinery superfund site at 5300 Kennedy Ave; and (9) evidence of vapor encroachment conditions on site.The ASTM Phase II ESA's and a REC Location Map are uploaded and attached as support documentation in this section. The adverse environmental impacts of the demolition activity can be mitigated. With mitigation, identified in the mitigation section of this

review, the demolition activity will be in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements. Approval of the EA for demolition is conditioned upon the specific terms identified in the Remedial Action Plan approved by the EPA for the project site as an identified NPL site. This EA is for demolition only and once the future use of the site is known, a new NEPA environmental review will be required for any future HUD assisted activities on the site.

Supporting documentation

Segment 005 of Phase II Report - West Calumet Housing - 17.1151.2.pdf
Segment 004 of Phase II Report - West Calumet Housing - 17.1151.2(1).pdf
Segment 004 of Phase II Report - West Calumet Housing - 17.1151.2.pdf
Segment 003 of Phase II Report - West Calumet Housing - 17.1151.2.pdf
Segment 002 of Phase II Report - West Calumet Housing - 17.1151.2.pdf
Segment 001 of Phase II Report - West Calumet Housing - 17.1151.2.pdf
West Calumet Housing Authority E Chicago Phase 1 - 16.1151.2.2.pdf
3 13 17 Draft Summary of Proposed EPA RAP by Section.pdf
Location MAPS of RECs Identified WC-EC part 50.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

✓ Yes

No

Endangered Species

General requirements	ESA Legislation	Regulations	
Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA)	The Endangered	50 CFR Part	
mandates that federal agencies ensure that	Species Act of 1973	402	
actions that they authorize, fund, or carry out	(16 U.S.C. 1531 et		
shall not jeopardize the continued existence	seq.); particularly		
of federally listed plants and animals or result	section 7 (16 USC		
in the adverse modification or destruction of	1536).		
designated critical habitat. Where their			
actions may affect resources protected by the			
ESA, agencies must consult with the Fish and			
Wildlife Service and/or the National Marine			
Fisheries Service ("FWS" and "NMFS" or "the			
Services").			

1. Does the project involve any activities that have the potential to affect specifies or habitats?

No, the project will have No Effect due to the nature of the activities involved in the project.

No, the project will have No Effect based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office

✓ Yes, the activities involved in the project have the potential to affect species and/or habitats.

2. Are federally listed species or designated critical habitats present in the action area?

No, the project will have No Effect due to the absence of federally listed species and designated critical habitat

✓ Yes, there are federally listed species or designated critical habitats present in the action area.

3. What effects, if any, will your project have on federally listed species or designated critical habitat?

Form HUD-4128A 05/25/2017 17:02 **Page 34 of 58**

✓ No Effect: Based on the specifics of both the project and any federally listed species in the action area, you have determined that the project will have absolutely no effect on listed species or critical habitat. in the action area.

Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Documentation should include a species list and explanation of your conclusion, and may require maps, photographs, and surveys as appropriate

May Affect, Not Likely to Adversely Affect: Any effects that the project may have on federally listed species or critical habitats would be beneficial, discountable, or insignificant.

Likely to Adversely Affect: The project may have negative effects on one or more listed species or critical habitat.

6. For the project to be brought into compliance with this section, all adverse impacts must be mitigated. Explain in detail the exact measures that must be implemented to mitigate for the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation. This information will be automatically included in the Mitigation summary for the environmental review. If negative effects cannot be mitigated, cancel the project using the button at the bottom of this screen.

Mitigation as follows will be implemented:

✓ No mitigation is necessary.

Explain why mitigation will not be made here:

The proposed demolition activity of the project site will not

include disturbance of any identified habitats of Federally listed species or designated Habitat. No Federally-Listed Threatened, Endangered habitats were identified within the project site/action area. See, uploaded and attached January 3, 2017 USFWS Letter.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project has been determined to have No Effect on listed species. This project is in compliance with the Endangered Species Act without mitigation. This EA is for demolition only and once the future use of the site is known, a new NEPA environmental review will be required for any future HUD assisted activities on the site including a review of potential adverse impacts to then known listed endangered or threatened species and known critical habitats.

Supporting documentation

US FWS End Species Doc WC IN 029 DDA 0007571.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Explosive and Flammable Hazards

General requirements		Legislation	Regulation
HUD-assisted projects must meet	N/A		24 CFR Part 51
Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD)			Subpart C
requirements to protect them from			
explosive and flammable hazards.			

1. Is the proposed HUD-assisted project a hazardous facility (a facility that mainly stores, handles or processes flammable or combustible chemicals), i.e. bulk fuel storage facilities, refineries, etc.?

✓	No
	Ves

2. Does this project include any of the following activities: development, construction, rehabilitation that will increase residential densities, or conversion?

✓	No	
		Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.
,	/es	

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements. AST's have been identified that are not within statutory/regulatory range from the Project Site. The Project will involve the demolition of the improvements associated with the West Calumet Housing Complex and there is no known future use nor any planned new construction being proposed on the project site at this time. This EA is for demolition only. Once future use of the site is known, a new NEPA environmental review will be required for any future HUD assisted activities on the site.

Supporting documentation

AST Locations Map IN 026 West Calumet DDA007571.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?
Yes

Farmlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Farmland Protection	Farmland Protection	7 CFR Part 658
Policy Act (FPPA)	Policy Act of 1981 (7	
discourages federal	U.S.C. 4201 et seq.)	
activities that would		
convert farmland to		
nonagricultural purposes.		

1. Does your project include any activities, including new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, that could convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use?

Yes

✓ No

If your project includes new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, explain how you determined that agricultural land would not be converted:

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act. Project is not located within Indiana Farmlands. Zoning for Project is Multi-Family Residential. The project will involve the demolition of the multi-family and non-dwelling structures associated with the West Calumet Housing Complex and there is no known future use nor any planned new construction being proposed on the project site at this time. This EA is for demolition only and once the future use of the site is known, a new NEPA environmental review will be required for any future HUD assisted activities on the site.

Supporting documentation

Farmland Land and Authority Source Doc- WC-EC Part 50.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Floodplain Management

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11988,	Executive Order 11988	24 CFR 55
Floodplain Management,		
requires federal activities to		
avoid impacts to floodplains		
and to avoid direct and		
indirect support of		
floodplain development to		
the extent practicable.		

1. Do any of the following exemptions apply? Select the applicable citation? [only one selection possible]

55.12(c)(3)

55.12(c)(4)

55.12(c)(5)

55.12(c)(6)

55.12(c)(7)

55.12(c)(8)

55.12(c)(9)

55.12(c)(10)

55.12(c)(11)

✓ None of the above

2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

FEMA Floodplain MAPS (Zone X) IN 026 West Calumet DDA 0007571.pdf

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The FEMA Map Service Center provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use **the best available information** to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site.

Does your project occur in a floodplain?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project does not occur in a floodplain. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11988. Zone X, FEMA FIRM Map and Panel Numbers 0038E, 18089C0038E and 0126E, 18089C0126E. The project will involve the demolition of the multi-family and non-dwelling structures associated with the West Calumet Housing Complex and there is no known future use nor any planned new construction being proposed on the project site at this time. While the West Calumet Development is not in a designated floodplain, the project is adjacent to a canal listed and designated by FEMA as Zone A. Demolition of the project site is conditioned upon such activities be completed and appropriate measures taken that will not disturb the adjacent Zone A and any other measures or conditions as outlined in the EPA approved demolition remedial action plan. PHA contracts for demolition activities must contain provisions covering the avoidance of Zone A. This EA is for demolition only and once the future use of the site is known, a new NEPA environmental review will be required for any future HUD assisted activities on the site.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

✓ Yes

No

Historic Preservation

General	Legislation	Regulation
requirements		
Regulations under	Section 106 of the	36 CFR 800 "Protection of Historic
Section 106 of the	National Historic	Properties"
National Historic	Preservation Act	http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/w
Preservation Act	(16 U.S.C. 470f)	aisidx 10/36cfr800 10.html
(NHPA) require a		
consultative process		
to identify historic		
properties, assess		
project impacts on		
them, and avoid,		
minimize, or		
mitigate adverse		
effects		

Threshold

Is Section 106 review required for your project?

No, because the project consists solely of activities listed as exempt in a Programmatic Agreement (PA). (See the PA Database to find applicable PAs.)

No, because the project consists solely of activities included in a No Potential to Cause Effects memo or other determination [36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)].

✓ Yes, because the project includes activities with potential to cause effects (direct or indirect).

Step 1 – Initiate Consultation Select all consulting parties below (check all that apply):

✓ State Historic Preservation Offer (SHPO) Completed

✓ Indian Tribes, including Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs) or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs)

Other Consulting Parties

Describe the process of selecting consulting parties and initiating consultation here:

1. HUD as R/E Submission of Request for Section 106 review to State of Indiana, Department of Natural Resources (INDNR) Historic Preservation Division; and 2. TDAT Tribal Directory- Lake County Indiana notified (Export of LAKE COUNTY INDIANA affected tribes attached in support documentation)

Document and upload all correspondence, notices and notes (including comments and objections received below).

Step 2 – Identify and Evaluate Historic Properties

1. Define the Area of Potential Effect (APE), either by entering the address(es) or uploading a map depicting the APE below:

See Attached Bird's Eye Arial Maps that have been uploaded with Indiana SHPO Clearance Letter

In the chart below, list historic properties identified and evaluated in the APE. Every historic property that may be affected by the project should be included in the chart.

Upload the documentation (survey forms, Register nominations, concurrence(s) and/or objection(s), notes, and photos) that justify your National Register Status determination below.

Address / Location	National Register	SHPO Concurrence	Sensitive
/ District	Status		Information

Additional Notes:

2.	Was a survey of historic buildings and/or archeological sites done as part of the
	project?

Yes

✓ No

Step 3 –Assess Effects of the Project on Historic Properties

Only properties that are listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places receive further consideration under Section 106. Assess the effect(s) of the project by applying the Criteria of Adverse Effect. (36 CFR 800.5)] Consider direct and indirect effects as applicable as per guidance on <u>direct and indirect effects</u>.

Choose one of the findings below - No Historic Properties Affected, No Adverse Effect, or Adverse Effect; and seek concurrence from consulting parties.

✓ No Historic Properties Affected

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload concurrence(s) or objection(s) below.

Document reason for finding:

✓ No historic properties present.

Historic properties present, but project will have no effect upon them.

No Adverse Effect

Adverse Effect

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106.

The project will involve the demolition of the multi-family and non-dwelling structures associated with the West Calumet Housing Complex and there is no known future use at this time at the project site at this time. The Indiana SHPO clearance provides that if during the course of the demolition activity any prehistoric or historic or archaeological artifacts or human remains are uncovered during construction, demolition, earthmoving activities, Indiana State Law requires that the discovery must be reported within two (2) business days to the Indiana Department of Natural Resources. Similarly, the consultation process with affected Indian Tribes and Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPO's) in Lake County, Indiana resulted responses to HUD as R/E that also requires that the demolition activity adhere to the Inadvertent Discovery Provisions provisions outlined under Indiana State Law (Indiana Code 14-21-1-27 and 29). PHA demolition contracts must contain provisions the will provide notice to the Indiana SHPO, Indian Tribes and THPO's if artifacts or remains are found and uncovered. This EA is for demolition only and once the future use of the site is known, a new NEPA environmental review will be required for any future HUD assisted activities on the site.

Supporting documentation

West Calumet-APE- MAPS -Section 106.pdf

TDAT- Export Lake County, Indiana.pdf

Tribal Response EC TDHO Forest Park Potowatami 1 20 2017.pdf

Tribal Response TDHO Miami of Oklahoma January 6, 2017.pdf

Section 106 Letter IN-DNR - DDA 0007571 ER Part 50.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

۷es

Noise Abatement and Control

Legislation	Regulation
Noise Control Act of 1972	Title 24 CFR 51
	Subpart B
General Services	
Administration Federal	
Management Circular 75-2:	
"Compatible Land Uses at	
Federal Airfields"	
	Noise Control Act of 1972 General Services Administration Federal Management Circular 75-2: "Compatible Land Uses at

1. What activities does your project involve? Check all that apply:

New construction for residential use

Rehabilitation of an existing residential property

A research demonstration project which does not result in new construction or reconstruction

An interstate land sales registration

Any timely emergency assistance under disaster assistance provision or appropriations which are provided to save lives, protect property, protect public health and safety, remove debris and wreckage, or assistance that has the effect of restoring facilities substantially as they existed prior to the disaster

✓ None of the above

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This is a demolition only EA. Demolition activities are required to comply with existing local permitting requirements including any and all noise related conditions and the provisions outlined on page 15 of the environmental demolition monitoring RAP. Once the future use of the site is known, a new NEPA environmental review will be required for any future HUD assisted activities on the site.

Supporting documentation

Noise Documents- Future Use- IN 026 West Calumet DDA 0007571.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

✓ Yes

No

Sole Source Aquifers

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Safe Drinking Water Act of	Safe Drinking Water	40 CFR Part 149
1974 protects drinking water	Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C.	
systems which are the sole or	201, 300f et seq., and	
principal drinking water source for	21 U.S.C. 349)	
an area and which, if		
contaminated, would create a		
significant hazard to public health.		

1. Is the project located on a sole source aquifer (SSA)?

A sole source aquifer is defined as an aquifer that supplies at least 50 percent of the drinking water consumed in the area overlying the aquifer. This includes streamflow source areas, which are upstream areas of losing streams that flow into the recharge area.

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload documentation used to make your determination, such as a map of your project (or jurisdiction, if appropriate) in relation to the nearest SSA and its source area, below.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project is not located on a sole source aquifer area. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements. The site is served by Municipal Water and Sewer.

Supporting documentation

Sole Source Aquifier MAP IN 026 West Calumet DDA0007571.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Form HUD-4128A 05/25/2017 17:02 **Page 50 of 58**

Wetlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11990 discourages direct or	Executive Order	24 CFR 55.20 can
indirect support of new construction	11990	be used for general
impacting wetlands wherever there is a		guidance regarding
practicable alternative. The Fish and Wildlife		the 8 Step Process.
Service's National Wetlands Inventory can be		
used as a primary screening tool, but		
observed or known wetlands not indicated		
on NWI maps must also be processed Off-site		
impacts that result in draining, impounding,		
or destroying wetlands must also be		
processed.		

1. Does this project involve new construction as defined in Executive Order 11990, expansion of a building's footprint, or ground disturbance? The term "new construction" shall include draining, dredging, channelizing, filling, diking, impounding, and related activities and any structures or facilities begun or authorized after the effective date of the Order

No

✓ Yes

2. Will the new construction or other ground disturbance impact an on- or off-site wetland? The term "wetlands" means those areas that are inundated by surface or ground water with a frequency sufficient to support, and under normal circumstances does or would support, a prevalence of vegetative or aquatic life that requires saturated or seasonally saturated soil conditions for growth and reproduction. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas such as sloughs, potholes, wet meadows, river overflows, mud flats, and natural ponds.

"Wetlands under E.O. 11990 include isolated and non-jurisdictional wetlands."

✓ No, a wetland will not be impacted in terms of E.O. 11990's definition of new construction.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload a map or any other relevant documentation below which explains your determination

Yes, there is a wetland that be impacted in terms of E.O. 11990's definition of new construction.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project will not impact on or off site wetlands. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990. The project will involve the demolition of the multi-family and non-dwelling structures associated with the West Calumet Housing Complex and there is no known future use nor any planned new construction being proposed on the project site at this time and the project is not located in a designated National Wetland. See attached FWS-National Wetlands Inventory Map.

Supporting documentation

Wetlands MAP- NW Inventory - IN 026 - West Calumet DDA 0007571.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	The Wild and Scenic Rivers	36 CFR Part 297
provides federal protection for	Act (16 U.S.C. 1271-1287),	
certain free-flowing, wild,	particularly section 7(b)	
scenic and recreational rivers	and (c) (16 U.S.C. 1278(b)	
designated as components or	and (c))	
potential components of the		
National Wild and Scenic Rivers		
System (NWSRS) from the		
effects of construction or		
development.		

1. Is your project within proximity of a NWSRS river?

✓ No

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Designated Wild and Scenic River or Study Wild and Scenic River.

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) River.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act. Indiana has no nationally designated wild and scenic rivers (See Attachment 1 Support Documentation). The NPS has identified 1 Study River Segment located in Lake County, Indiana not within proximity of the project site. (See Attachment 2 Support Documentation). This EA is for demolition only and once the future use of the site is known, a new NEPA environmental review will be required for any future HUD assisted activities on the site.

Supporting documentation

NPS Segmented Study Scenic Rivers Support Documentation # 2.pdf Scenic Rivers EA Support Documentation - 1.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

√ No

Housing Requirements

General requirements	Legislation	Regulations
It is HUD policy that all properties that are		24 CFR 50.3(i)
being proposed for use in HUD programs be		
free of hazardous materials, contamination,		
toxic chemicals and gases, and radioactive		
substances, where a hazard could affect the		
health and safety of the occupants or conflict		
with the intended utilization of the property.		

Will Multifamily Accelerated Processing (MAP) be used?

Yes

No

Hazardous Substances

Requirements for evaluating site contamination vary by program. If applicable, for each of the following factors describe how compliance was met and upload any relevant documents such as reports, surveys, and letters. Refer to program guidance for the specific requirements.

Lead	l-ba	asec	d pa	int
------	------	------	------	-----

Radon

Asbestos

Other

Mitigation

Describe all mitigation measures that will be taken for the Housing Requirements.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Environmental Justice

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Determine if the project	Executive Order 12898	
creates adverse		
environmental impacts upon		
a low-income or minority		
community. If it does,		
engage the community in		
meaningful participation		
about mitigating the impacts		
or move the project.		

HUD strongly encourages starting the Environmental Justice analysis only after all other laws and authorities, including Environmental Assessment factors if necessary, have been completed.

1.	Were any adverse environmental impacts identified in any other compliance
review	portion of this project's total environmental review?

✓ Yes

No

2. Were these adverse environmental impacts disproportionately high for low-income and/or minority communities?

Yes

✓ No

Explain:

It is HUD policy that all properties used in HUD programs be free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals and gases, and radioactive substances, where a hazard could affect the health and safety of the occupants or conflict with the intended utilization of the property. Demolition of the project site will not create [disproportionate] adverse environmental impacts upon a low-income or minority community. The proposed demolition is beneficial to the overall environment and health and safety of former resident as well as the community at large. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898. This EA if for

demolition only and once the future use of the site is known, a new NEPA environmental review will be required for future any HUD assisted activities on the site.* [Demographics Site]

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload any supporting documentation below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

It is HUD policy that all properties used in HUD programs be free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals and gases, and radioactive substances, where a hazard could affect the health and safety of the occupants or conflict with the intended utilization of the property. Demolition of the project site will not create an adverse environmental impact that is disproportionate to a low-income or minority community. The proposed demolition and site stabilization are beneficial to the overall environment and health and safety of former residents as well as the community at large. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898. This EA is for demolition only and once the future use of the site is known, a new NEPA environmental review will be required for any future HUD assisted activities on the site.

Supporting documentation

eiscreen SOE PDF.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

√ No