

United States District Court Western District of Wisconsin Robert W. Kastenmeier Courthouse 120 North Henry Street, Room 560 Madison, Wisconsin 53703

Chambers of James D. Peterson Chief District Judge

Telephone 608-264-5504

October 24, 2017

Tom Tiffany Wisconsin State Senator 12th Senate District P.O. Box 8952 Madison, WI 53708

Mary Felzkowski Wisconsin State Assembly 35th Assembly District P.O. Box 8952 Madison, WI 53708

Dear Senator Tiffany and Representative Felzkowski:

Thank you for your letter of October 16, 2017.

The history of problems at Lincoln Hills and Copper Lake is a matter of great importance to the citizens and the government of the State of Wisconsin. As you may imagine, I have received many letters about this case. I appreciate having the benefit of your comments, but I will have to decide the case based on evidence presented to the court. So I encourage you to share your concerns with the administration of the Department of Corrections, particularly Mr. Litscher and Mr. Paquin who are parties to the case. The parties' most recent status report to the court describes some difficulties, but it does not ask for further court action. In light of the assaults of staff reported in the news, however, I have asked the parties for updated information.

The State of Wisconsin has a duty to provide a safe and healthy environment for both the youths and the staff at Lincoln Hills and Copper Lake Schools. The injunction order was negotiated by the parties and their counsel with general directions from the court. My instructions to the parties included this: "The terms of the injunction must be consistent with the legitimate interest in maintaining the safety, security, and good order of the institution." I include a copy the order summarizing my general directions for your reference. Be assured that as the judge in this case, I will not ignore the safety of either the staff or the youths at Lincoln Hills and Copper Lake Schools.

Sincerely,

James D. Peterson Chief District Judge

JDP:skv Enclosure

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

J.J., by and through his next friend Sakeena Jackson; K.D., by and through her next friends John Levy and Meranda Davis; C.M., by and through his next friend Toinette Ducksworth; R.N., by and through his next friend Gloria Norwood; M.S., by and through his next friend Jolene Waupekanay; A.V., by and through his next friend Veronica Rocha-Montejano; M.R., by and through his next friend Autumn Rodgers; S.K., by and through her next friend Thomas Korn; and A.P., by and through her next friend Louise Plaskey,

ORDER

17-cv-47-jdp

v.

JON E. LITSCHER, JOHN D. PAQUIN, WENDY A. PETERSON, and BRIAN GUSTKE,

Defendants.

Plaintiffs,

In light of the parties' submissions, the evidence and argument presented at the hearing, and the entire record in this matter, the court concludes that plaintiffs have demonstrated their entitlement to a preliminary injunction. Accordingly, and for the reasons stated at the conclusion of the hearing, the parties are ordered to confer and to submit an agreed proposed form of injunction to the court by July 7, 2017. If the parties cannot agree on all terms of the injunction, they should set out their competing proposals in the document.

To facilitate the parties' work, the court provides the following points that illustrate likely constitutional problems with Lincoln Hills' use of restrictive housing, mechanical restraints, and incapacitating agents:

1. The court will not completely bar the use of restrictive housing for punitive purposes. But if punitive restrictive housing is to be used, sentences must be

Case: 3:17-cv-00047-jdp Document #: 85 Filed: 10/24/17 Page 3 of 4 Case: 3:17-cv-00047-jdp Document #: 65 Filed: 06/23/17 Page 2 of 3

- 2. Restrictive housing should not be routinely used for prehearing confinement. Prehearing confinement may involve isolation only to the extent needed to protect the safety of youth or staff.
- 3. Adequate time out of cell must be provided to youth in punitive restrictive housing. One hour out of cell per day is likely unconstitutional, but the court will leave it to the parties to determine an appropriate amount.
- 4. During out time, youth should not be unduly restricted. Social restraint (prohibiting interaction with peers) and mechanical restraints may be imposed only to the extent needed to protect the safety of youth or staff.
- 5. Adequate opportunity for physical exercise must be provided to those in restrictive housing. The converted cell in High Hall does not afford an adequate exercise space.
- 6. Placement in restrictive housing should not interrupt rehabilitative or educational programming.
- 7. Cell conditions in restrictive housing should be ameliorated to reduce isolation and provide adequate stimulation. Reasonable property restrictions may be appropriate, particularly as justified by safety concerns, but the current restriction to one book is excessive.
- 8. Mechanical restraints may not be used on youth during out time, unless staff determines that mechanical restraints are justified for safety reasons for that individual on that occasion.
- 9. Lincoln Hills/Copper Lake must develop a plan to reduce or eliminate the use of incapacitating agents (OC). The reasons for past excessive use of OC should be systematically evaluated, reported, and remediated. The plan should articulate alternatives to the use of OC, and provide a plan for the implementation of the alternatives. If OC is to be used, the conditions under which is it to be used must be more narrowly defined.
- 10. The terms of the injunction must be consistent with the legitimate interest in maintaining the safety, security, and good order of the institution.
- 11. The terms of the injunction, if not to be implemented immediately upon court approval, should include deadlines or schedules for implementation. The schedules for phasing in any provisions should recognize the urgency of remediating what the court has found to be acute harm to the youth at the institution.

The court will be available for teleconferences with counsel to assist them in reaching agreement on the terms of the injunction.

Case: 3:17-cv-00047-jdp Document #: 85 Filed: 10/24/17 Page 4 of 4 Case: 3:17-cv-00047-jdp Document #: 65 Filed: 06/23/17 Page 3 of 3

Entered June 23, 2017.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

JAMES D. PETERSON District Judge