IN THE INDIANA COURT OF APPEALS

	CAUSE NO	
CITY OF KOKOMO, INDIANA)	
Appellant (Plaintiff be	low),)	Appeal from the Howard Superior Court, No. 2
v.)	Trial Court Case No.:34D02-1612-PL000937
ESTATE OF AUDRA NEWTO	N,)	The Honorable Brant J. Parry, Judge.
Appellee (Defendant b	pelow).	
	NOTICE OF (Appear	
Party Information Name: City of Kokom Address: 100 South Un Kokomo, Indi The following party inform Tel. No.: E-Mail: Requesting service of orde	ion Street ana 46901 nation <i>only if not repres</i> Fax No.:	:Court by:
In forma pauperis: 🗌 Ye		choose one)
	an s & Hollister LLP, One In Indiana 46204 O Fax N	ndiana Square, Suite 3500
	Indiana 46204 O Fax N	ndiana Square, Suite 3500 No.: (317) 713-3699
	s & Hollister LLP, One In Indiana 46204 O Fax N	ndiana Square, Suite 3500 No.: (317) 713-3699
	•	ndiana Square, Suite 3500
Tel. No.: (317) 713-350 E-Mail: sshockley@ta	o Fax	No.: (317) &13-3699

IMPORTANT: Each attorney specified above:

- (a) certifies that the contact information listed for him/her on the Indiana Supreme Court Roll of Attorneys is current and accurate as of the date this Notice of Appeal is filed;
- (b) acknowledges that all orders, opinions, and notices in this matter will be sent to the attorney at the email address(es) specified by the attorney on the Roll of Attorneys regardless of the contact information listed above for the attorney; and
- (c) understands that he/she is solely responsible for keeping his/her Roll of Attorneys contact information accurate, see Ind. Admis. Disc. R. 2(A).

Attorneys can review and update their Roll of Attorneys contact information on the Indiana Courts Portal

INFORMATION FOR JUDGMENT/ORDER BEING APPEALED
Date of Judgment/Order being appealed: March 15, 2019
Title of Judgment/Order being appealed: Judgment (final judgment entered on jury verdict)
Date Motion to Correct Error denied $\underline{\mathbf{X}}$ or deemed denied $\underline{\mathbf{I}}$, if applicable: May 21, 2019
If case was heard by a magistrate, date trial judge approved judgment or order:
Basis for Appellate Jurisdiction:
$\underline{\mathbf{X}}$ Appeal from a Final Judgment, as defined by Appellate Rule 2(H) and 9(I)
Appeal from an interlocutory order, taken as of right pursuant to Appellate Rule 14(A) or 14(D)
Appeal from an interlocutory order, accepted by discretion pursuant to Appellate Rule $14(B)(3)$ or $14(C)(5)$
Expedited Appeal, taken pursuant to Appellate Rule 14.1
This appeal will be taken to:
X Court of Appeals of Indiana, pursuant to Appellate Rule 5
☐ Indiana Supreme Court, pursuant to Appellate Rule 4
☐ This is an appeal in which a sentence of death or life imprisonment without parole
imposed under Ind. Code § 35-50-2-9 or a post conviction relief case in which the
sentence was death
☐ This is an interlocutory appeal authorized under Rule 14 involving the death penalt
or a life without parole case raising a question of interpretation of Ind. Code § 35-50
2-9
This is an appeal from an order declaring a statute unconstitutional
This is an appeal involving a waiver of parental consent to abortion under Rule 62
☐ This is an appeal involving mandate of funds
Trial Court Clerk/Administrative Agency/Court Reporter Instructions
Pursuant to Appellate Rule 10 or 14.1(C), the clerk of the Howard Superior Court, No. 2, is requested
to assemble the Clerk's Record, as defined in Appellate Rule 2(E).
Pursuant to Appellate Rule 11 or 14.1(C), the Court Reporter of the Howard Superior Court, No. 2, is
requested to transcribe, certify, and file with the clerk of the Howard Superior Court, No. 2, the
following hearings of record, including exhibits: The transcript of the jury trial and all
proceedings of record held on March 13-15, 2019, in the above captioned cause,
including all exhibits offered or admitted.
7. 1. 1
Public Access
Was the entire trial court or agency record sealed or excluded from public access?
\square Yes $\underline{\mathbf{X}}$ No
Was a portion of the trial court or agency record sealed or excluded from public access?
\square Yes $\underline{\mathbf{X}}$ No If yes, which provision in Administrative Rule 9(G) provides the basis for this exclusion:
if yes, which provision in Administrative Rule 9(G) provides the basis for this exclusion:
If Administrative Rule 9(G)(4) provides the basis for this exclusion, was the trial court or agency
order issued in accordance with the requirements of Administrative Rule 9(G)(4)(a-d)?
Tyes Tho

Appellate Alternative Dispute Resolution If civil case, is Appellant willing to participate in Yes X No If yes, provide a brief statement of the facts of the	
The following SHALL be attached to this Notice of Copy of the trial court or Administrative Copy of the sentencing order (in crimina Yaman Copy of all orders and entries relating to information from public access If proceeding pursuant to Appellate Rule accepting jurisdiction over interlocutory The documents required by Rule 40(C), Certification By signing below, I certify that: (1) This case does Xaman does to this Notice of Court of the sentencing or continuous court of the sentencing of the sentencing or continuous court of the sentencing or continuous court of the sentencing or continuous court or continuous court of the sentencing or continuous court	ed (Judgment and jury verdict attached) of Appeal if applicable (check if applicable): Agency's findings and conclusion (in civil cases) or, if deemed denied, copy of Motion to Correct Error the trial court or agency's decision to seal or exclude e 14(B)(3), copy of Order from Court of Appeals appeal if proceeding in forma pauperis in interlocutory appeal; issues of child custody, determination that a child is in need of services, weal entitled to priority by rule or statute. continue to comply, with the requirements of
	ements for any Transcripts ordered in this Notice of
	Respectfully submitted,
	/s/ Blake J. Burgan Attorney No. 18350-49 Taft Stettinius & Hollister LLP, One Indiana Square, Suite 3500 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204 (317) 713-3500

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 10th day of June, 2019, I electronically filed the foregoing Notice of Appeal with the Clerk of the Indiana Supreme Court, Court of Appeals, and Tax Court using the Indiana E-File System.

I also certify that on this 10th day of June, 2019, the foregoing Notice of Appeal was served upon the following by the means specified below:

By First Class, United States mail, postage prepaid, upon

Brian J. Zaiger Katherine J. Noel

William J. Barkhimer 101 N. Washington Street

Krieg DeVault, LLP P.O. Box 826

12800 N. Meridian Street Kokomo, Indiana 46901

Suite 300

Carmel, Indiana, 46032

By First Class, Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, upon the following:

The Honorable Brant J. Parry, Judge Debbie Stewart, Clerk

Howard Superior Court, No. 2
Howard County Superior Court, No. 2
104 N. Buckeye Street, Room 304
Howard County Superior Court, No. 2
104 N. Buckeye Street, Room 114

Kokomo, Indiana 46901 Kokomo, Indiana 46901

By First Class, Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, and by electronic transmission (email), upon the following:

Debbie Booth, Court Reporter Howard Superior Court, No. 2 104 N. Buckeye Street, Room 304 Kokomo, Indiana 46901 debbie.booth@howardcountyin.gov

/s/ Blake J. Burgan

Blake J. Burgan

STATE OF INDIANA

IN THE HOWARD SUPERIOR

COURT II

COUNTY OF HOWARD

CAUSE NO.: 4D02-1612-PL-000937

CITY OF KOKOMO, INDIANA, PLAINTIFF

V.

ESTATE OF AUDRA R. NEWTON, DEFENDANT IN OPEN COURT

MAR 15 2019

HOWARD SUPERIOR COURT

DIVISION 2

JUDGMENT

The Jury having returned the verdict in this cause, the Court now enters JUDGMENT in favor of the Defendant, Estate of Audra R. Newton, and against the Plaintiff, City of Kokomo, Indiana, in the sum of \$305,600.00 with interest accruing at the rate of 8% per annum from the date the City of Kokomo, Indiana took possession of the property on August 8, 2017.

Court now awards the Defendant, Estate of Audra R. Newton, litigation

expenses in the amount of \$25,000.00.

SO ORDERED THIS 15TH DAY OF MARCH, 2019.

BRANT J. PARRY, NO DGE

HOWARD SUPERIOR COURT II

Distribution:

Brian J. Zaiger, Esq. William J. Barkimer, Esq. Katherine J. Noel, Esq.

STATE OF INDIANA)	IN T	HE :	HOWARD	SUPERIOR	COURT	ΙI
)ss:						
COUNTY OF HOWARD)	CAUS	SE N	0.: 3	4D02-1612	-PL-009	37
						patient description	

CITY OF KOKOMO

ν.

THE ESTATE OF AUDRA R. NEWTON

IN OPEN COURT

MAR 1 5 2019

HOWARD SUPERIOR COURT
DIVISION 2

VERDICT

We, the Jury, decide that the Defendant property owner's damages are \$ 305,600 .

03/15/2019

Date

Presiding Juror

STATE OF INDIANA))SS:	IN THE HOWARD SUPERIOR COURT II
COUNTY OF HOWARD)	CAUSE NO.: 34D02-1612-PL-00937
CITY OF KOKOMO, Plaintiff		FILED
V.		May 21, 2019
		BR

ESTATE OF AUDRA NEWTON
Defendants

ORDER ON MOTIONS TO CORRECT ERROR

Comes now the Plaintiff, the City of Kokomo, by counsel, and files their Motion to Correct Error. The Defendant, the Estate of Audra Newton, by counsel, files their Response and their own Motion to Correct Error. The Court having reviewed said Motions and Response, and being duly advised, now Orders as follows:

- 1. The Plaintiff, the City of Kokomo's, Motion to Correct Error is DENIED.
- 2. The Defendant, The Estate of Audra Newton's, Motion to Correct Error is also DENIED.

ALL OF WHICH IS ORDERED THIS 21st DAY OF MAY, 2019.

BRANT J. PARRY

JUDGE, HOWARD SUPERIOR COURT II

Distribution:

Katherine Noel

Blake Burgan

Huber, Teresa

From: efilingmail@tylerhost.net

Sent: Monday, June 10, 2019 3:32 PM

To: Huber, Teresa

Subject: Filing Submitted for Case: 8330178; ; Envelope Number: 8330178



Notice of Electronic Filing

Envelope Number: 8330178 Case Number: 8330178 Case Style:

The filing below has been submitted to the clerk's office for review. Please allow 24 - 48 hours for clerk office processing.

Filing Details		
Court	Appellate Courts	
Date/Time Submitted	6/10/2019 3:31 PM EST	
Filing Type	Notice of Appeal	
Filing Description	Notice of Appeal	
Type of Filing	EFileAndServe	
Filed By	Teresa Huber	
Filing Attorney	Blake Burgan	

Fee Details

Your account is never charged until your filing is accepted. If you see any pending charges on your account prior to acceptance, this is an authorization hold to ensure the funds are available so your filing can be accepted without delay.

If the filing is canceled or rejected these funds will be released and will return to your account according to your financial institution's policies (typically 3-10 business days).

This envelope is pending review and fees may change.

Case Fee Information\$8.12Payment Service Fees\$8.12Notice of Appeal\$250.00Court Fees\$250.00

Total:\$258.12 (The envelope still has pending filings and the fees are subject to change)

Document Details

Lead Document	2019-06-10 - Notice of Appeal.pdf	
Lead Document Page Count	7	
File Copy	Download Document	
This link is active for 45 days.		

For technical assistance, contact your service provider

Service Provider: Tyler Technologies Odyssey File and Serve Need Help? Email: efiling.support@tylertech.com

Please do not reply to this email. It was automatically generated.