



Sahuarita Police Department

Detail Incident Report for S23090418

Incident: S23090418

Nature: SUSP ACT

Location: Sahuarita PD Beat 1

Address: 315 W SAHUARITA CENTER WY

Sahuarita AZ 85629

Offense Codes: 5602

Received By: Kirch,Jordan

How Received: On-Site

Agency: SPD

Responding Officers: Cota,Ivan

Responsible Officers: Cota,Ivan

Disposition: Closed 10/16/23

When Reported: 10:12:30 09/19/23

Occurred Between: 10:12:18 09/19/23 and 10:12:18 09/19/23

Assigned To:

Detail:

Date Assigned: **/**/**

Status:

Status Date: **/**/**

Due Date: **/**/**

Case History

Cota,Ivan	09/19/23	ACA	09/20/23	10/03/23
-----------	----------	-----	----------	----------

NARRATIVE:

CASE NUMBER: S23090418

SYNOPSIS: A detective conducted investigative follow-up reference a report of suspicious activity from the [REDACTED] W. Golden Meadow Place. An incident documented under SPD case number S23040568 was alleged to be a false report from an estranged coworker made with malicious intent. A victim interview was conducted, and further follow-up will be done.

INVESTIGATION: On Monday, September 11th, at approximately 1130 hours, I (Det. Cota #SP281) was on duty, dressed in plain-clothes and assigned to the SPD Investigations Bureau as a detective when I conducted investigative follow-up reference a report of suspicious activity/false reporting. The victim in the matter alleged a false report was made against him when he was subject to a check welfare/domestic violence investigation documented under SPD case number S23040568. I had previously scheduled an in-person interview with the alleged victim, who was identified as v-Stephen Ellis Aiken, at which point he arrived on today's date to speak on the matter.

I met with v-Stephen Ellis Aiken at the SPD main lobby where I introduced

PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST
RELEASED FROM SAHUARITA P.D. RECORDS
SECONDARY DISSEMINATION
GOVERNED BY FEDERAL & STATE LAW

myself. I escorted him into an interview room located within the SPD lobby, at which point I activated my Axon Capture application and began audio recording the interview. The following was his in-substance statement through some prompted questions. I explained to him that I had received the letter he drafted and sent to our Chief of Police, John Noland. In said letter, he outlined several concerns that led him to believe that the investigation that was conducted on Wednesday, April 19th, 2023, was false and made against him in an attempt to disrupt his peace and falsely accuse him of domestic violence related crimes.

In his letter, he outlined that he believed the false report made to SPD officers was by s-Angela Williams. He also speculated that s-Angela Williams did this after being manipulated by his daughter, io-Stephanie Miramontes Garcia. Both of which worked at his church (52 W. Calle Las Tiendas) several years ago. According to him, he did not have much interaction with s-Angela Williams. However, he did explain why he had his suspicions that this false report was made by her. He added that io-Stephanie Miramontes Garcia potentially manipulated her in committing the false report against him. After reviewing the 911 audio from that initial report, he explained that he found it very suspicious that he heard a "young white female" had made the report. "And then I heard the 911 call and I'm listening to it. A young white female. Who the hell lives on my street that matches even this voice, mostly Hispanic. I'm like, what the heck?"

He continued to say that a fellow church administrator had mentioned that the voice on the 911 call sounded like s-Angela Williams. After which, he added that he wanted to be "real careful" in order to not "endanger" two other people that he felt would be subject to harassment if they were to have any involvement. I asked him if he meant that he did not want to identify or make mention of who exactly confirmed the voice on the 911 call was s-Angela Williams. He stated that I was correct, he did not wish to identify anyone else who recognized her voice. However, he did want me to make note that these people he would not identify also believed it was s-Angela Williams on the 911 call.

He also explained that he reviewed his surveillance footage cameras from his home and found no such female walking by his residence as previously stated in the original 911 call from S23040568. I asked him if he had the surveillance footage saved to disprove that there was ever a female in the area. He stated that while reviewing the surveillance footage, he accidentally deleted it.

I asked him about his suspicion that io-Stephanie Miramontes Garcia potentially influenced s-Angela Williams. "I would not put that in writing, but if you're asking me if I think that, yes, I do. This has all the earmarks of my daughter

putting her up to it." I then confirmed my understanding of how s-Angela Williams was identified as the main suspect, and asked if he himself recognized the voice to be hers. "Correct, yeah. Ya know, look. I'm not stupid, I know I was dealing with a white male, white female. Uuuhh, probably had an east coast background. Umm, in her 30's, maybe a mom, maybe not. You can do the Google on that and come up with that much of a profile on this girl. Yeah, well, there's nobody on my neighborhood that talks like that. Nobody! They're good people on my neighborhood!"

He continued and described how io-Stephanie Miramontes Garcia manipulated s-Angela Williams. "She was in control of s-Angela Williams like there was no tomorrow. My daughter's all about control. S-Angela Williams is the type my daughter goes after. Overweight, low self-esteem, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah. He then went on to say that he unwillingly paid for io-Stephanie Miramontes Garcias wedding, and that she stole approximately \$8,000.00 somehow.

"My daughter is the most convincing, psychotic liar I've ever met in my life. She'll look right through your soul and lie about your children. She's just a horrible person. She's been involved in witchcraft, satanism, cloth-crystal this, crystal that. And it's just in complete rebellion to my orthodox Christian roots, my orthodox Christian practices. We're not nuts, we're just normal red-blooded Americans. We love our god, and we love our country. Just wanna be left alone. I was in bed when the cops showed up at my house."

I then addressed his suggestion regarding a cell phone ping of the 911 caller and explained that this did not meet the criteria to conduct such an investigative tool. "Well, when you interview her the Supreme Court has been pretty clear. You're allowed to lie. She doesn't know all that." I clarified with him and asked him if he was suggesting that I was allowed to lie during this investigation. "I believe the Supreme Court has made that clear. Police are allowed to lie, very clear." I explained to him that in order to retain the integrity of my investigations, lying was not something employed lightly during investigations. He stated that he was not "suggesting" I lie, but described his understanding of an interrogation technique that could help in convincing the accused of one set of circumstances while exploring another.

I explained that I would continue to do my workup and conduct follow-up interviews. He made it clear he did not want to identify anyone that positively identified the voice on the 911 call as being s-Angela Williams. If this information was not being provided, I suggested I would canvas his neighborhood in order to retrieve any outstanding surveillance footage or witness statements. He appeared concerned and asked, "What are you gonna tell my neighbors?" I explained that during an investigation such as this, a generic response as to

what the investigation is without mentioning any names is typically how I question anyone being interviewed who was not directly involved in the incident. He appeared concerned and stated, "Well, they're gonna inevitably gonna ask. I would just appreciate as much candor as you can give me. Or at least give me a heads up so I can be prepared for any unexpected questions. I mean, I have the right to live in peace and that's all I'm asking here. Tell me, what are my neighbors gonna hear?" I asked him if he was against me speaking to his neighbors. He stated that he was in agreement that I do what is needed to further this investigation.

After this last exchange, he abruptly stood up from his chair and asked, "I appreciate your time. We good?" He then exited the room, and I concluded the interview while also deactivating the Axon Capture app. I suspected that v-Stephen Ellis Aiken was anxious or frustrated with my approach after having asked him to identify the others who believed s-Angela Williams was the 911 caller from listening to the audio recording he retrieved. Not being able to speak with those two unidentified potential witnesses, I suggested neighborhood canvassing. He objected at first and was apprehensive based on his concerns for his right to, "Live in peace," before ultimately stating that he was in support. Also, the alleged surveillance footage he had but accidentally deleted that would have negated any sort of evidence that the 911 caller was in fact not outside his home was of no use. Interviews will be conducted with io-Stephanie Miramontes Garcia and s-Angela Williams at a later time and date.

CHARGES INVESTIGATED: A.R.S 13-2907.01 False reporting to law enforcement

DISPOSITION: Open and assigned to Det. Cota

Radiolog:

Unit: K316

Enroute:

Arrived: 10:12:30 09/19/23

Completed:10:17:12 09/19/23

PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST
RELEASED FROM SAHUARITA P.D. RECORDS
SECONDARY DISSEMINATION
GOVERNED BY FEDERAL & STATE LAW

INVOLVEMENTS

<u>Type</u>	<u>Record</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Relationship</u>
dsmain	S117471	10/13/23	REQUEST
dsmain	S118095	11/08/23	DISSEMINATION LOG
nmmain	[REDACTED]	10/16/23	OTHER
nmmain	[REDACTED]	10/16/23	OTHER
nmmain	[REDACTED]	10/16/23	OTHER
nmmain	[REDACTED]	09/21/23	VICTIM
nmmain	[REDACTED]	10/16/23	SUSPECT
nmmain	[REDACTED]	10/16/23	OTHER
lwmain	S23040568	09/20/23	C/U CASE
cdcall	8150674	09/19/23	Initiating Call

PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST
RELEASED FROM SAHUARITA P.D. RECORDS
SECONDARY DISSEMINATION
GOVERNED BY FEDERAL & STATE LAW

VICTIMS:

Name: AIKEN, STEPHEN
E.

Name Number: [REDACTED]

Race: 5

Sex: M

DOB: [REDACTED]

Address: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Home Phone: [REDACTED]

Work Phone: [REDACTED]

PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST
RELEASED FROM SAHUARITA P.D. RECORDS
SECONDARY DISSEMINATION
GOVERNED BY FEDERAL & STATE LAW

OTHER:**Name:** AIKEN,
DEBORAH A.**Name Number:** [REDACTED]**Race:** 5**Sex:** F**DOB:** [REDACTED]**Address:** [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]**Home Phone:** [REDACTED]**Work Phone:** () -**Name:** GARCIA,
STEPHANIE E.**Name Number:** [REDACTED]**Race:** 1**Sex:** F**DOB:** [REDACTED]**Address:** [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]**Home Phone:** [REDACTED]**Work Phone:** [REDACTED]**Name:** RIESGO, SARAH
A.**Name Number:** [REDACTED]**Race:** 5**Sex:** F**DOB:** [REDACTED]**Address:** [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]**Home Phone:** [REDACTED]**Work Phone:** () -**Name:** MANJARREZ,
MARIO J.**Name Number:** [REDACTED]**Race:** 1**Sex:** M**DOB:** [REDACTED]**Address:** [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]**Home Phone:** () -**Work Phone:** () -

PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST
RELEASED FROM SAHUARITA P.D. RECORDS
SECONDARY DISSEMINATION
GOVERNED BY FEDERAL & STATE LAW

SUSPECTS:

Name: WILLIAMS, ANGELA C.

Name Number: [REDACTED]

Race: 5

Sex: F

DOB: [REDACTED]

Height: 5'04"

Weight: 180

Hair: BRO

Eyes: HAZ

Address: [REDACTED]

:

Home Phone: [REDACTED]

Work Phone: () -

PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST
RELEASED FROM SAHUARITA P.D. RECORDS
SECONDARY DISSEMINATION
GOVERNED BY FEDERAL & STATE LAW


SUPPLEMENTAL NARRATIVE:**Name:** Cota,Ivan**Date:** 12:37:09 10/16/23**CASE NUMBER:** S23090418**CONNECT-UP CASE:** S23040568

SYNOPSIS: Detectives conducted investigative follow-up reference a report of false reporting from the 1000 block of W. Golden Meadow Place. The neighborhood was canvased in search of surveillance footage and/or unaccounted witness statements. The gathered facts were documented under this supplemental report and additional follow-up will be conducted.

SUPPLEMENTAL: On Tuesday, October 3rd, at approximately 1000, I (Det. Cota #SP281) was assigned to the Investigation's Bureau and on-duty at the Sahuarita Police Department (SPD). I conducted investigative follow-up in the form of neighborhood canvasing in search of any outstanding witnesses that may have surveillance footage relevant to this investigation. According to v-Stephen Ellis Aiken there was allegedly never a woman walking her dog outside or near his residence during the initial investigation documented under S23040568. The canvasing was in search of any footage pertaining to someone walking in the neighborhood that may be identified as the reporting party.

Additionally, v-Stephen Ellis Aiken had claimed in a prior interview that he had surveillance footage from his own cameras positioned outside his residence. During his review of his own surveillance footage, he previously stated that there was never any other person seen outside or near his residence. The absence of the alleged reporting party walking outside his residence to make the initial report led him to believe this was a malicious act committed against him to disturb his piece. However, during his review of the surveillance footage he alleged that he accidentally deleted it. The following addresses were canvased, and a disposition rendered in their information below.

***Surveillance cameras in place



- No answer
- No answer
- No events recorded ***
- No answer
- No events recorded ***
- No answer
- No answer
- No events recorded ***

PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST
RELEASED FROM SAHUARITA P.D. RECORDS
SECONDARY DISSEMINATION
GOVERNED BY FEDERAL & STATE LAW

[REDACTED] - No answer
[REDACTED] - No events recorded ***

The address of [REDACTED] had the most capable surveillance camera which faced the street. The residence in question where the original incident occurred was [REDACTED] which was northeast of [REDACTED]. In-person contact was made with io-Mario Manjarez, where he allowed me to manipulate his cell phone in search of the surveillance footage from his Ring app. The date and time in question was August 19th, 2023, at approximately 2120 hours. There were no recorded incidents that entire day. I thanked him for his time and left the area.

I returned to the SPD and reviewed the case file and compared some of the information collected through the entirety of this investigation, to include the connect-up case. During my review, I noted that the reporting party was documented as "Dulce - anon - n" by the 911 dispatcher. After listening to the 911 audio recording, the caller expressed their concern to remain anonymous. Ultimately, the name of "Dulce" was given to the dispatcher and no contact back was requested. The phone number logged by the 911 dispatcher was documented as [REDACTED]. A query was searched reference the phone number previously mentioned and through several investigative tools the phone number was associated with a female subject not yet positively identified as "Sarah Riesgo." According to the family lineage, "Sarah Riesgo" is one of v-Stephen Ellis Aiken's daughters who currently resides in Idaho.

During the original incident, detective Chiquete took a statement from v-Stephen Ellis Aiken reference the alleged false reporting. During their interview he expressed his belief that the false report made against him was by another one of his daughters who he identified as io-Stephanie Elise Garcia. During that same interview v-Stephen Ellis Aiken expressed that out of all his daughters, he had the best relationship with "Sarah Riesgo" and did not suspect her having any involvement in the alleged false reporting.

Cross referencing this information with the alleged suspect v-Stephen Ellis Aiken identified, the phone number listed for s-Angela Williams was [REDACTED] which was found through previous interactions she has had with SPD officers. The initial reporting party was contacted by Ofc. Dixon the night of the original incident on April 19th, 2023, at approximately 2120 hours. In his involvement, he telephonically contacted a female subject at [REDACTED] who explained the same circumstances that were initially reported to the 911 dispatcher.

The disposition from the original incident rendered no arrests. Probable cause

was not established to effect an arrest against anyone that evening. However, evidence collected from within the residence after officers forced entry led first responders to believe there was some sort of unreported incident based on their findings. This included the couches that appeared disheveled in the living room, broken glass, and spots of blood. Both v-Stephen Ellis Aiken and his wife io-Deborah Aiken denied having been involved in any sort of domestic violence incident, which is the reason for the alleged false reporting that was maliciously done to disturb his peace.

Noticing no discrepancy between the information collected by the 911 dispatcher reference the original caller and s-Angela Williams, I decided to attempt telephonic contact with her. I activated my Axon Capture app from within my office and contacted s-Angela Williams at [REDACTED] on October 12th, 2023, at approximately 1202 hours. I received an answer and introduced myself. The following was her in-substance statement prompted through several questions. I explained the reason for my call and asked her to explain her involvement and experience while working with v-Stephen Ellis Aiken. She stated that she worked next door to v-Stephen Ellis Aiken on fabric alterations, however both the alterations facility and the church were run jointly. She continued to say that she had been hired to work there on October 2021 to June of 2022. Her best friend io-Stephanie Elise Garcia had helped her obtain this position, but quickly noticed that there was "drama" amongst the family. She alluded to problematic relationships amongst family members, specifically between v-Stephen Ellis Aiken and io-Stephanie Elise Garcia, as they are daughter and father to one another.

Throughout her time there she observed v-Stephen Ellis Aiken and io-Stephanie Elise Garcia argue and witnessed unsavory business practices that involved reimbursement discrepancies. She also added that she herself is a blunt person and would often voice her opinion, which she suspected was a reason v-Stephen Ellis Aiken did not like her working there. According to her, there was no specific incident that she could recall which had a significant impact against v-Stephen Ellis Aiken in such a negative context. She would see v-Stephen Ellis Aiken regularly, but not speak to him if she did not need to.

She explained additional circumstances where she believed that v-Stephen Ellis Aiken was having an affair with someone within his church she referred to as [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] commented on his prior criminal convictions involving sex offense related criminal charges, described him as "a giant asshole," and expressed that he would tell his congregation that his daughter (io-Stephanie Elise Garcia) is a witch who has a pentagram painted on her floor. Even with her personal interactions along with the way she perceived v-Stephen Ellis Aiken, there was no specific incident that led either of them to be malicious towards each other

at any point. According to her understanding, v-Stephen Ellis Aiken did not like her for her association with io-Stephanie Elise Garcia.

After leaving sometime in June of 2022, she stated that she never had any additional interactions with v-Stephen Ellis Aiken. However, she was informed about the incident that involved the alleged domestic violence against v-Stephen Ellis Aiken. She stated that io-Stephanie Elise Garcia had texted her the day following the incident telling her that officers had kicked a door in. I asked her if she knew how io-Stephanie Elise Garcia became aware of the original incident, adding that the reporting party self-identified as "Dulce." She stated that "Dulce" sounded familiar and added that she believed this was a friend of io-Sarah Riesgo's. She continued to say that io-Sarah Riesgo was another one of v-Stephen Ellis Aiken's daughters, however she was currently residing in Idaho according to her knowledge. According to her understanding, io-Sarah Riesgo has a better relationship with v-Stephen Ellis Aiken in comparison to his relationship with io-Stephanie Elise Garcia.

According to io-Angela Williams, she did not dial 911 and falsely report a crime against v-Stephen Ellis Aiken. I confirmed that there was no association with her current phone number and the number that was logged as having made the 911 call to dispatch the night of the incident. She also explained that she was aware of v-Stephen Ellis Aiken's suspicions that she was the person who falsely dialed 911. Shortly after the original incident and having been told through io-Stephanie Elise Garcia what had occurred, she contemplated contacting the SPD to early on to dismiss any claims made against her. She ultimately decided not to contact police and instead wait should anything come from the false suspicions against her. As I reached the end of my interview with her, she asked if she could file a report against v-Stephen Ellis Aiken for false reporting. I explained to her that she was within her right to do so, however my findings for this investigation will verify the facts of the matter and determine if probable cause exists to effect an arrest against anyone involved. I thanked her for her time and concluded the interview.

Shortly after the telephonic interview I met with s-Angela Williams at her residence located at [REDACTED]. I briefly spoke with her in person and verified this was in fact her residence. For clarification, the residence is not near the original incident location which was [REDACTED]. Once more, she explained what was previously stated over our telephonic interview. She suggested I contact io-Stephanie Elise Garcia and question her for additional information. I thanked her for her time and left her residence.

Once back at the office, I attempted telephonic contact with the documented

phone number listed as the original 911 caller from S23040568. I dialed [REDACTED] and had no answer. I left a message requesting a callback and then attempted telephonic contact with io-Stephanie Elise Garcia. I received an answer and introduced myself. I activated my Axon Capture app and explained to her the reason for my phone call. The following was her in-substance statement after several prompted questions.

I explained the allegations of false reporting and the association of phone numbers, one of which was connected to her sister io-Sarah Riesgo. Having made these connections, I asked her if she could explain the reason 911 was dialed for a report of a domestic violence incident, which was allegedly made by her sister io-Sarah Riesgo. She explained that at the time of the original incident involving her father v-Stephen Ellis Aiken, she was at the local Northwest Hospital in Sahuarita with her son when she received a phone call from her sister. IO-Sarah Riesgo had allegedly told her that she planned to call 911 after having a growing concern for her mother io-Deborah Aiken.

According to her understanding of the situation, io-Sarah Riesgo had received a phone call from her mother io-Deborah Aiken on Wednesday, April 19th, 2023, before 2120 hours. The line was silent however she could hear v-Stephen Ellis Aiken yelling and io-Deborah Aiken crying/pleading in the background. As she continued to listen but get no response from io-Deborah Aiken, it raised concern for her well-being as it sounded like an incident of domestic violence was currently underway. She dialed 911 and passed on the information via third party. IO-Sarah Riesgo resides in Idaho with another female who has not been positively identified as "Dulce." According to her recollection of events, "Dulce" may have been the reporting party on io-Sarah Riesgo's behalf to avoid additional conflict in the future.

Continuing this line of questioning, I asked her to explain why it would create problems if the 911 call was not made anonymously. She explained that out of all of her sisters io-Sarah Riesgo is the only one who carries a cordial relationship with v-Stephen Ellis Aiken, however it is kept only to have a line of connection/relationship with io-Deborah Aiken. She expressed that their family as a whole suspects v-Stephen Ellis Aiken treats io-Deborah Aiken poorly, and domestic violence has been an issue in the past that they've witnessed. This reported incident was no different, however io-Sarah Riesgo would have preferred to keep the report anonymous in an attempt to have io-Stephen Ellis Aiken from lashing out. According to her belief, once v-Stephen Ellis Aiken discovers that io-Sarah Riesgo was the person who reported the incident, he will cut all communication off with them as a whole and not allow any relationships to exist with their mother io-Deborah Aiken.

I explained to her that I attempted telephonic contact with io-Sarah Riesgo prior to calling her, but I received no answer. She stated that she would more than likely not speak with me to avoid further conflicts or dilemmas involving the sensitive nature of everyone's relationship. I encouraged her to speak with io-Sarah Riesgo and have her call me so that I may conduct a telephonic interview. Shortly after this I concluded the telephonic interview and thanked her for her time.

At approximately 1558 hours this same day I received a phone call from [REDACTED] on my office line and was greeted by io-Sarah Riesgo. I activated my Axon Capture app and thanked her for her call-back. I explained to her the reason for my call when she asked, "Umm, is this like on the records stuff that Steve could obtain from his lawyer." I stated that once a case is closed it is released as public record and this was no different. I also explained that she did not have to speak with me if she should choose not to, however I was investigating an allegation of false reporting. I asked her what prompted her to call 911 the night of April 19th, 2023.

"I didn't call though. It was somebody else, because of something that I had told them." I explained that I was aware this was done via third party with her alleged roommate, "Dulce." She confirmed that "Dulce" was her roommate and made the call for her. I asked what exactly raised concern to the point she felt she needed to have police respond to her parents' home. "I mean, I think it's just gonna make it more messy and I don't think anything would get done though. I don't think anybody would be able to help the situation."

She was reluctant to share any information with me and expressed her concern how this would strain her family dynamic. I asked her very clearly if she had "Dulce" call 911 to report the alleged domestic violence incident on her behalf due to a phone call io-Deborah Aiken had made to her which concerned her for her safety. She stated this was true, and confirmed domestic violence has been a concern between v-Stephen Ellis Aiken and io-Deborah Aiken in the past. She became particularly interested in the fact that this report would become public record at some point, which would cause additional issues amongst her and v-Stephen Ellis Aiken. I explained to her that all police reports become public record whenever investigations reach a disposition. She believed it was counterintuitive to investigate an alleged crime, only to have the details of said investigation released to the public. This included the alleged offenders/victims in the matter who she believed could face retaliation if they were "murderers." I asked her if she believed v-Stephen Ellis Aiken would travel to Idaho to cause her any harm. She stated that she was no concerned for her safety, but continued to explain that this would create problems among the family dynamic.

I did confirm that according to her, there was an imminent fear for io-Deborah Aiken's safety the night of the incident where she had "Dulce" report the alleged domestic violence. She asked if it was a crime to report such an incident. I explained that it was not a crime to report the suspicion of any crime, however I was investigating the validity of the 911 call. I asked her if I could speak with "Dulce" reference the report she made on her behalf, however she declined to assist in allowing me to speak with her. Once again, she felt this investigation would only cause additional problems amongst her family dynamic with v-Stephen Ellis Aiken. The remainder of the interview rendered no additional information that was of any evidentiary value as io-Sarah Riesgo was clearly reluctant to share any more information. I thanked her for her time and concluded the interview.

Based on the information collected throughout this interview, it was found that the caller who reported this incident may have been an unidentified female referred to as "Dulce," who may be a housemate with io-Sarah Riesgo. More importantly, io-Sarah Riesgo confirmed that reporting the alleged domestic violence from a third-party standpoint was appropriate given what she had heard over the phone with io-Deborah Aiken. The information documented during the original incident was correct and concise, however the false reporting allegation brought forth by v-Stephen Ellis Aiken prompted investigators to ensure the information was correct by confirming everyone's involvement.

The initial incident (S23040568) documented that io-Deborah Aiken denied any allegations of having been involved in a domestic violence incident with v-Stephen Ellis Aiken. After officers forced entry into the residence, several findings led them to believe there may have been an incident of domestic violence to include broken glass, stains of blood, and couches appearing disheveled. That evening, no probable cause existed to effect an arrest, even with the physical findings inside the residence. Under this investigation (S23090418) for alleged false reporting, more evidence suggested there was in fact a domestic violence incident between v-Stephen Ellis Aiken and io-Deborah Aiken. IO-Sarah Riesgo had placed the 911 call via third party, advocating her housemate "Dulce" to report what she believed was io-Deborah Aiken in clear need of assistance from a domestic violence incident involving v-Stephen Ellis Aiken. Even so, io-Deborah Aiken declined being involved in any sort of altercation the night of the incident.

It was not determined how/why s-Angela Williams was deemed the suspect in this matter by io-Stephen Ellis Aiken. As previously documented in the initial report of this investigation, v-Stephen Ellis Aiken commented on his suspicions it was s-Angela Williams. "She was in control of s-Angela Williams like there was no

tomorrow. My daughters all about control. S-Angela Williams is the type my daughter goes after. Overweight, low self-esteem, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah." No supporting evidence suggested s-Angela Williams had falsely reported a domestic violence incident against v-Stephen Ellis Aiken. This includes the claim made by v-Stephen Ellis Aiken where he stated that several members of his church recognized the voice found on the 911 recording as s-Angela Williams. Of these alleged several church members, v-Stephen Ellis Aiken refused to identify them and expressed concern they would be harassed if he did so.

This investigation was prompted by v-Stephen Ellis Aiken on September 1st, 2023, when he drafted and disseminated a letter to the SPD pertaining to his suspicions of a false report made against him. Prior to this, v-Stephen Ellis Aiken had outlined in his letter that he reviewed the 911 audio recording and determined the caller was s-Angela Williams. A review of the police report and records pertaining to case S23040568 show the caller was identified as, "Dulce - anon - N." The phone number associated with said caller was documented as [REDACTED], also listed and logged in the original report. It is unknown whether or not v-Stephen Ellis Aiken read through the reports listed under case S23040568 thoroughly. Ofc. Pelayo assisted in the investigation and documented in detail the involvement io-Sarah Riesgo had in this incident. She described in detail the belief that she heard an incident of domestic violence when io-Deborah Aiken had called her and left the line open for her to listen to v-Stephen Ellis Aiken go "ballistic."

Based on the facts collected throughout this investigation, no probable cause was found to effect an arrest against s-Angela Williams. Also, the identity of the original 911 caller was discovered to be a third-party report made on behalf of io-Sarah Riesgo. A true emergency situation was perceived by io-Sarah Riesgo, nullifying the claims this was a false report. Ofc. Pelayo documented a portion of these facts' days following the original incident, which have now been verified by the investigating detective.

DISPOSITION: Closed.

PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST
RELEASED FROM SAHUARITA P.D. RECORDS
SECONDARY DISSEMINATION
GOVERNED BY FEDERAL & STATE LAW