SAMPLE BALLOT

OFFICIAL BALLOT
GENERAL ELECTION
November 03, 2020
GARFIELD COUNTY, OKLAHOMA

PRECINCT 240204-REGNP

TO VOTE:
FILL IN THE BOX NEXT TO YOUR CHOICE(S) LIKE THIS:



STRAIGHT PARTY

A straight party vote is a vote for all candidates of that party in partisan races.

STRAIGHT PARTY VOTING

(Vote for One)

- ☐ REPUBLICAN
- ☐ LIBERTARIAN
- ☐ DEMOCRATIC

PRESIDENTIAL

ELECTORS FOR PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT

(Vote for One)

- ☐ FOR PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT
  DONALD J. TRUMP
  MICHAEL R. PENCE
  REPUBLICAN
- ☐ FOR PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT
  JO JORGENSEN
  JEREMY SPIKE COHEN
  LIBERTARIAN
- ☐ FOR PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT
  JOSEPH R. BIDEN
  KAMALA D. HARRIS
  DEMOCRAT
- ☐ FOR PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT
  JADE SIMMONS
  CLAUDELIAH J. ROZE
  INDEPENDENT
- ☐ FOR PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT
  KANYE WEST
  MICHELLE TIDBALL
  INDEPENDENT
- ☐ FOR PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT
  BROCK PIERCE
  KARLA BALLARD
  INDEPENDENT

STATE OFFICERS

FOR CORPORATION COMMISSIONER

(Vote for One)

- ☐ TODD HIETT
  REPUBLICAN
- ☐ TODD HAGOPIAN
  LIBERTARIAN

CONGRESSIONAL OFFICERS

FOR UNITED STATES SENATOR

(Vote for One)

- ☐ JIM INHOFE
  REPUBLICAN
- ☐ ROBERT MURPHY
  LIBERTARIAN
- ☐ ABBY BROYLES
  DEMOCRAT
- ☐ JOAN FARR
  INDEPENDENT
- ☐ A. D. NESBIT
  INDEPENDENT

FOR UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 03

(Vote for One)

- ☐ FRANK D. LUCAS
  REPUBLICAN
- ☐ ZOE MIDYETT
  DEMOCRAT

JUDICIAL RETENTION

Vote separately on each justice or judge; they are not running against each other.

JUSTICES OF THE OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT

SUPREME COURT DISTRICT 1

Shall MATTHEW JOHN KANE, IV of the OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT be retained in office?

- ☐ YES
- ☐ NO

SUPREME COURT DISTRICT 6

Shall TOM COLBERT of the OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT be retained in office?

- ☐ YES
- ☐ NO

SUPREME COURT DISTRICT 9

Shall RICHARD B. DARBY of the OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT be retained in office?

- ☐ YES
- ☐ NO

JUDGES OF THE OKLAHOMA COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS DISTRICT 2

Shall ROBERT L. HUDSON of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS be retained in office?

- ☐ YES
- ☐ NO

COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS DISTRICT 3

Shall GARY L. LUMPKIN of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS be retained in office?

- ☐ YES
- ☐ NO

JUDGES OF THE OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS

COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS DISTRICT 1 - OFFICE 2

Shall JANE P. WISEMAN of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office?

- ☐ YES
- ☐ NO

COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS DISTRICT 2 - OFFICE 1

Shall DEBORAH B. BARNES of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office?

- ☐ YES
- ☐ NO

COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS DISTRICT 2 - OFFICE 2

Shall KEITH RAPP of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office?

- ☐ YES
- ☐ NO

SAMPLE

SAMPLE

OFFICIAL BALLOT
GENERAL ELECTION
November 03, 2020
GARFIELD COUNTY, OKLAHOMA

PRECINCT 240204-REGNP

STATE QUESTIONS

STATE QUESTION NO. 805 INITIATIVE PETITION NO. 421

This measure seeks to add a new Article II-A to the Oklahoma Constitution. This new Article excepts and does not apply to persons who have ever been convicted of a violent felony. It would prohibit the use of a former felony conviction to increase the statutorily allowable base range of punishment for a person subsequently convicted of a felony. Individuals who are currently incarcerated for felony sentences that were enhanced based on one or more former felony convictions, and whose sentences are greater than the maximum sentence that may currently be imposed for such felonies, may seek sentence modification in court. The new Article sets forth a detailed process for such sentence modification, including but not limited to requirements for a hearing, appointment of counsel for indigent petitioners, and notification of victims, and requires that the court impose a modified sentence no greater than the current maximum sentence which may be imposed on a person convicted of the same felony with no former felony convictions, and which results in no greater time served in prison than under the original sentence. It establishes an appeal procedure, provides an effective date, and contains a severability clause.

SHALL THE PROPOSAL BE APPROVED?

- [ ] **FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES**
- [ ] **AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO**

STATE QUESTION NO. 814 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM NO. 375

This measure seeks to amend Article 10, Section 40 of the Oklahoma Constitution (Section 40), which directs proceeds from the State's settlements with or judgments against tobacco companies. Currently, Section 40 directs 75% of proceeds to the Tobacco Settlement Endowment Trust Fund (TSET Fund), where earnings may only be used for tobacco prevention programs, cancer research, and other such programs to maintain or improve the health of Oklahomans. Meanwhile, the remaining 25% of proceeds are directed to a separate fund for the Legislature (Legislative Fund). The Legislature can also direct some of that 25% to the Attorney General.

This measure amends Section 40 to reduce the percentage of proceeds that go into the TSET Fund from 75% to 25%. As a result, the remaining 75% will go to the Legislative Fund and the Legislature may continue to direct a portion to the Attorney General.

The measure would also restrict the use of the Legislative Fund. Section 40 currently states only that the Legislative Fund is subject to legislative appropriation. If this measure passes, money from the Legislative Fund must be used to get federal matching funds for Oklahoma's Medicaid Program.

SHALL THE PROPOSAL BE APPROVED?

- [ ] **FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES**
- [ ] **AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO**

SAMPLE
SAMPLE