



LEED v4.1 for Communities: Existing

The New York Olympic Region

New York, USA

Project ID: 1000108480

Date: August 5, 2026

FINAL REVIEW REPORT



LEED Certification Review Report

This report contains the results of the technical review of an application for LEED® certification submitted for the specified project. LEED certification is an official recognition that a project complies with the requirements prescribed within the LEED rating systems as created and maintained by the U.S. Green Building Council® (USGBC®). The LEED certification program is administered by Green Business Certification Inc. (GBCI®).



GOLD CERTIFIED

CERTIFIED: 40-49, SILVER: 50-59, GOLD: 60-79, PLATINUM: 80+

The New York Olympic Region

Project ID: 1000108480
Rating System & version: LEED v4.1 Communities: Existing
Project Registration Date: May 29, 2018

LEED v4.1 COMMUNITIES: EXISTING

ATTEMPTED: 84, NOT AWARDED: 13, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 71 OF 110 POINTS



INTEGRATIVE PROCESS

1 OF 5

Integrative Planning and Leadership	1/1
Green Building Policy and Incentives	0/4



NATURAL SYSTEMS AND ECOLOGY

9 OF 9

Ecosystem Assessment	Y
Green Spaces	2/2
Natural Resources Conservation and Restoration	2/2
Light Pollution Reduction	1/1
Resilience Planning	4/4



TRANSPORTATION AND LAND USE

8 OF 15

Transportation Performance	6/6
Compact, Mixed Use and Transit Oriented Development	0/2
Access to Quality Transit	0/1
Alternative Fuel Vehicles	0/2
Smart Mobility and Transportation Policy	0/2
High-Priority Site	2/2



WATER EFFICIENCY

7 OF 11

Water Access and Quality	Y
Water Performance	5/6
Integrated Water Management	0/1
Stormwater Management	2/2
Smart Water Systems	0/2



ENERGY AND GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

24 OF 31

Power Access, Reliability and Resiliency	Y
Energy and Greenhouse Gas Emissions Performance	18/18
Energy Efficiency	2/4
Renewable Energy	4/6
Low Carbon Economy	---
Grid Harmonization	0/2



MATERIALS AND RESOURCES

6 OF 10

Solid Waste Management	Y
Waste Performance	5/5
Special Waste Streams Management	0/1
Responsible Sourcing for Infrastructure	1/2
Material Recovery	-
Smart Waste Management Systems	0/2



QUALITY OF LIFE

10 OF 20

Demographic Assessment	Y
Quality of Life Performance	5/6
Trend Improvements	4/4
Distributional Equity	0/4
Environmental Justice	0/1
Housing and Transportation Affordability	1/2
Civic and Community Engagement	0/2
Civil and Human Rights	0/1



INNOVATION

4 OF 6

Innovation	4/6
------------	-----



REGIONAL PRIORITY

2 OF 4

Regional Priority	2/4
-------------------	-----

CREDIT DETAILS

PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Information

Awarded

EXISTING FINAL REVIEW

The documentation sufficiently describes the project information and population of the city for the years 2020, 2021 and 2022.

EXISTING PRELIMINARY REVIEW

1. The source of the permanent population values for the years 2020, 2021 and 2022 is unclear from the supporting documentation.

Provide a narrative with references describing the source of the permanent population values for the community for all reporting years. Provide an updated USGBC Population Calculator, if needed.

2. The referenced document “Roost Leisure Travel Study for Adirondacks and the Community Housing and Needs Assessment” for the transient working and visitor population could not be found.

Provide supporting documentation with sources highlighting the transient working (part-time and full-time) and visitor population values for all reporting years. Provide an updated USGBC Population Calculator, if needed.

3. It is unclear how the average stay (number of days) has been determined for tourist visitors within the community for the years 2020, 2021 and 2022.

Provide a narrative with references for the average stay (number of days) for tourist visitor population within the community. Provide an updated USGBC Population Calculator, if needed.

4. The source for the working hours considered for part-time workers could not be found.

Provide the source for the working hours for part-time workers.

Items that do not require a response for this submittal, but should be considered for future submissions:

1. The visitor population between the two population calculators submitted in the documents “20240903 USGBC-Population-Calculator.xlsx” and “1_LFC Existing Communities_PROJECT INFO” do not match. In future submissions, ensure that data across all documentation is consistent.



Integrative Process

Integrative Planning and Leadership

Awarded: 1

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

ATTEMPTED: 1, NOT AWARDED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 1

EXISTING FINAL REVIEW

Awarded.

EXISTING PRELIMINARY REVIEW

1. The comprehensive plan provided "[Village of Lake Placid/Town of North Elba Comprehensive Plan](#)" was adopted in August 2014. It is required that the comprehensive plan has been adopted, reviewed, revised or updated within the last five years.

Provide an updated comprehensive plan that has been adopted, reviewed, revised or updated within the last five years that establishes a clear vision and strategy for the future.

Green Building Policy and Incentives

Not Awarded

POSSIBLE POINTS: 4

ATTEMPTED: 1, NOT AWARDED: 1, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 0

EXISTING PRELIMINARY REVIEW

Option 1. Buildings Owned and/or Operated by the local government or development authority. 9.52%

Not awarded. The supporting documentation does not demonstrate compliance with the minimum threshold requirement for this option.



Ecosystem Assessment

Awarded

EXISTING PRELIMINARY REVIEW

Awarded.

Green Spaces

Awarded: 2

POSSIBLE POINTS: 2

ATTEMPTED: 2, NOT AWARDED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 2

EXISTING FINAL REVIEW

Awarded.

EXISTING PRELIMINARY REVIEW

1. The following observations were made:

- a. The USGBC Population calculator is pending clarification due to issues with the permanent and total population.
- b. The permanent population value considered to calculate the per capita green space in the supporting documentation "NS&E_Green Spaces_9.19.24.docx" does not match the permanent population value entered in the USGBC Population Calculator.

Refer to the comments under Project Information at the beginning of the document. Revise the per capita green space calculation using the updated population, as necessary.

Natural Resources Conservation and Restoration

Awarded: 2

POSSIBLE POINTS: 2

ATTEMPTED: 2, NOT AWARDED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 2

EXISTING FINAL REVIEW / MID REVIEW CLARIFICATION

Option 1. Natural Resource Acreage

Path 1. Maintain natural resource acreage at 861 square feet per capita.

Awarded.

EXISTING PRELIMINARY REVIEW

Option 1. Natural Resource Acreage

1. The following observations were made:

- a. The USGBC Population calculator is pending clarification due to issues with the permanent and total population.
- b. The permanent population value considered to calculate the natural resource acreage per capita in the supporting documentation “NS&E_Green Spaces_9.19.24.docx” does not match the permanent population value entered in the USGBC Population Calculator.

Refer to the comments under Project Information at the beginning of the document. Revise the resource acreage per capita calculation using the updated population, as necessary.

Light Pollution Reduction

Awarded: 1

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

ATTEMPTED: 1, NOT AWARDED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 1

EXISTING PRELIMINARY REVIEW

Option 2. Limit Sky Glow

Awarded.

Resilience Planning

Awarded: 4

POSSIBLE POINTS: 4

ATTEMPTED: 4, NOT AWARDED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 4

EXISTING PRELIMINARY REVIEW

Vulnerability and Capacity Assessment

Awarded.

Resilience Plan

Awarded.



Transportation and Land Use

Transportation Performance

Awarded: 6

POSSIBLE POINTS: 6

ATTEMPTED: 6, NOT AWARDED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 6

EXISTING FINAL REVIEW

Awarded with a Transportation Performance Score of 93.

EXISTING PRELIMINARY REVIEW

1. The USGBC Population Calculator is pending clarification due to issues with the permanent and total population.

Refer to the comments under Project Information at the beginning of the document. Revise the daily VMT per capita calculation using the updated population, as necessary.

Items that do not require a response for this submittal, but should be considered for future submissions:

1. It appears from the supporting worksheet “4_LFC_Existing Communities_TRANSPORTATION.xlsx”, that the project has used daily per capita VMT value as the Total VMT value. Given that value entered in Arc is correct and matches with the supporting reference document “T&LU_Transportation Performance_9.25.2024.docx”, this documentation is being accepted for the given submission only. For all future submissions ensure to provide correct and consistent data in all supporting documentation.

Compact, Mixed Use and Transit Oriented Development

Not Attempted

POSSIBLE POINTS: 2

Access to Quality Transit

Not Attempted

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

Alternative Fuel Vehicles

Not Awarded

POSSIBLE POINTS: 2

ATTEMPTED: 1, NOT AWARDED: 1, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 0

EXISTING FINAL REVIEW

Option 2. Alternative Fuel Stations

Not Awarded. The supporting documentation does not demonstrate compliance with the requirements of this credit. EV stations can be considered to demonstrate compliance only under Option 1 of this credit. Option 2 specifies requirements for alternative fuel stations such as hydrogen, propane, compressed natural gas, liquid natural gas, methanol, and ethanol.

EXISTING PRELIMINARY REVIEW

Option 2. Alternative Fuel Stations

1. The supporting documentation indicates location of 35 EV stations to demonstrate compliance with Option 2 of this credit. However, EV stations are considered only under Option 1 of this credit. Option 2 specifies requirements for Alternative fuel stations. Alternative fuel refers to low-polluting, non-gasoline fuels such as hydrogen, propane, compressed natural gas, liquid natural gas, methanol, and ethanol.

Provide updated documentation for alternative fuel stations to demonstrate compliance with Option 2 of the credit.

Items that do not require a response for this submittal, but should be considered for future submissions:

1. The supporting documentation “4_LFC_Existing Communities_TRANSPORTATION.xlsx” indicates that the project is attempting Option 1. Electric Vehicle Charging Facilities”. However, the supporting documentation “T&LU_Alternative Fuel Vehicles_9.23.2024.docx” indicates that the project is attempting “Option 2. Alternative Fuel Stations”. Given that this mismatch does not impact the credit compliance, this documentation is being accepted for the given submission only. For all future submissions, ensure to provide correct and consistent information in all supporting documentation.

Smart Mobility and Transportation Policy

Not Attempted

POSSIBLE POINTS: 2

High-Priority Site

Awarded: 2

POSSIBLE POINTS: 2

ATTEMPTED: 2, NOT AWARDED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 2

EXISTING PRELIMINARY REVIEW

Option 1. Historic Preservation

Awarded.



Water Efficiency

Water Access and Quality

Awarded

EXISTING PRELIMINARY REVIEW

Water and Sanitation Access

Case 1. Water and Sanitation Access to All Buildings

Awarded.

Drinking Water Quality

Awarded.

Treated Wastewater Quality

Awarded.

Stormwater Quality

Awarded.

Items that do not require a response for this submittal, but should be considered for future submissions:

1. The following website links cited in the supporting documentation found to be inaccessible-

- a. <https://migration.revize.com/revize/northelba/Document%20Center/Department/Community%20Development/Projects/Land%20Use%20Code%20-%20Technical%20Changes/Sections%20of%20Code/Section-4.LUC.pdf>
- b. https://migration.revize.com/revize/northelba/code_regulations/index.php#outer-102

This is being accepted for the current submission only as the documentation contains the required information to meet the prerequisite compliance. Ensure that accessible links are provided for all cited websites.

Water Performance

Awarded: 5

POSSIBLE POINTS: 6

ATTEMPTED: 5, NOT AWARDED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 5

EXISTING FINAL REVIEW

Performance Score, 82

Awarded.

EXISTING PRELIMINARY REVIEW

1. The USGBC Population Calculator is pending clarification due to issues with the total population.

Refer to the comments under Project Information section and provide updated per capita total water consumption value for the community. Revise the value in Arc, if necessary.

Items that do not require a response for this submittal, but should be considered for future submissions:

1. The daily per capita total water consumption value for the year 2022 (50.2 gallons per day), as indicated in the supporting documentation, does not match the value entered in Arc (53 gallons per day). Since the difference is minor and does not impact the points already achieved by the community, this documentation is being accepted for the current submission only. Ensure that the correct value is entered in Arc for future submissions.

Integrated Water Management

Not Awarded

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

ATTEMPTED: 1, NOT AWARDED: 1, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 0

EXISTING FINAL REVIEW

Not Awarded. The supporting documentation does not demonstrate compliance with the credit requirements.

EXISTING PRELIMINARY REVIEW

1. It is unclear how the reported total amount of freshwater resources (35,200,100.2 gallons) is lower than the volume of water withdrawals for human use (297,238,630 gallons).

Provide updated documentation confirming the accurate total amount of freshwater resources available for use and the total volume of water withdrawn for potable use from natural resources by the community.

Stormwater Management

Awarded: 2

POSSIBLE POINTS: 2

ATTEMPTED: 2, NOT AWARDED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 2

EXISTING PRELIMINARY REVIEW

Option 2. Green Stormwater Infrastructure, 90.3%

Awarded.

Smart Water Systems

Not Attempted

POSSIBLE POINTS: 2



Energy and Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Power Access, Reliability and Resiliency

Awarded

EXISTING FINAL REVIEW

Reliability Performance Monitoring

Awarded.

EXISTING PRELIMINARY REVIEW

Access

Case 1. Electricity Access

Awarded.

Reliability Performance Monitoring

1. The supporting documentation “E&GHG_Power Access, Reliability and Resiliency_9.23.2024.docx” provides SAIFI and SAIDI data for the national grid and NYSEG. However, information on the strategies adopted by the community for continuous monitoring (automatic or manual) and recording of interruptions data at the project level could not be found in the supporting documentation.

Provide updated documentation describing the strategies adopted by the community for continuous monitoring (automatic or manual) and recording of interruptions data at the project level.

Power Surety and Resiliency

Awarded.

Energy and Greenhouse Gas Emissions Performance

Awarded: 18

POSSIBLE POINTS: 14

ATTEMPTED: 18, NOT AWARDED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 18

EXISTING FINAL REVIEW

Performance score, 100

Awarded.

EXISTING PRELIMINARY REVIEW

1. The USGBC Population Calculator is pending clarification due to issues with the permanent and total population for the reporting year.

Refer to the comments under Project Information section and revise the per capita Greenhouse Gas Emissions calculations using the updated total population. Revise the value in Arc, if necessary.

2. The sources/brief calculations describing values for the following could not be found in the supporting documentation 'E&GHG_~4.doc'.

- a. the quantity of "Diesel Fuel" and "Gasoline" for the Town of North Elba and Village of Lake Placid Facilities mentioned under Table 1 and LPCSD buses mentioned under Table 5.
- b. Vehicle miles travelled by cars and trucks of NYOR vehicles.
- c. the quantity of Gasoline, Diesel, Kerosene and Propane used by ORDA equipment.
- d. total electricity usage for ORDA and for TNE and VLP.

Provide updated documentation clearly highlighting the data points for the above-mentioned values.

Energy Efficiency

Awarded: 2

POSSIBLE POINTS: 4

ATTEMPTED: 4, NOT AWARDED: 2, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 2

EXISTING FINAL REVIEW

Option 1. Street Lighting and Public Area Lighting

Awarded.

Option 2. Water and Wastewater

No additional documentation has been provided for the final review. The supporting documentation does not demonstrate compliance.

EXISTING PRELIMINARY REVIEW

Option 1. Street Lighting and Public Area Lighting

1. It is unclear from the supporting documentation 'E&GHG_Energy Efficiency_9.29.2024.docx' whether 70% of the street lighting meets the minimum luminous efficacy requirement of 100 lumens per watt.

Provide updated declaration from municipal official with authority for energy infrastructure confirming 70% of the street lighting meets the minimum luminous efficacy requirement of 100 lumens per watt.

Option 2. Water and Wastewater

1. The supporting documentation 'E&GHG_Energy Efficiency_9.29.2024.docx' provides information on the motor efficiency. However, PEI rating for the pump models used in the water and wastewater facilities within the community could not be found.

Provide updated documentation describing the PEI rating for the pump models used in the water and wastewater facilities within the community.

Renewable Energy

Awarded: 4

POSSIBLE POINTS: 6

ATTEMPTED: 4, NOT AWARDED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 4

EXISTING PRELIMINARY REVIEW

Awarded, 34.3%. Points have been awarded based on renewable energy contribution made outside of NYPA hydro allotment (65%) as only small hydroelectric limited to capacity of 25 MW or as per national standard is considered eligible under this credit.

Grid Harmonization

Not Attempted

POSSIBLE POINTS: 2



Materials and Resources

Solid Waste Management

Awarded

EXISTING FINAL REVIEW

Construction and Demolition Waste

Awarded.

Items that do not require a response for this submittal, but should be considered for future submissions:

1. This documentation is being accepted for the current submission only. Ensure that data on construction and demolition waste generated and diverted from infrastructure works, along with details of the strategies implemented for C&D waste diversion, are provided.

EXISTING PRELIMINARY REVIEW

Access

Case 1. Waste Management Services to All Buildings

Awarded.

Solid Waste Management Plan

Awarded.

Construction and Demolition Waste

1. The required information has not been found in the supporting documentation.

Provide updated documentation including the following information:

- a. Data for construction and demolition waste generated and diverted from infrastructure works.
 - b. Strategies for C&D waste diversion.
-

Items that do not require a response for this submittal, but should be considered for future submissions:

1. The following website link cited in the supporting documentation found to be inaccessible-
“<https://migration.revize.com/revize/northelba/Document%20Center/Department/Community%20Development>”

[ment/Projects/Land%20Use%20Code%20-%20Technical%20Changes/Sections%20of%20Code/Section-4.LUC.pdf">ment/Projects/Land%20Use%20Code%20-%20Technical%20Changes/Sections%20of%20Code/Section-4.LUC.pdf](#)". This is being accepted for the current submission only as the documentation contains the required information to meet the prerequisite compliance. Ensure that accessible links are provided for all cited websites.

Waste Performance

Awarded: 5

POSSIBLE POINTS: 5

ATTEMPTED: 5, NOT AWARDED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 5

EXISTING FINAL REVIEW

Performance Score, 98

Awarded.

EXISTING PRELIMINARY REVIEW

1. It is unclear how the waste generated value of 151.07 tons for the ORDA municipality was estimated. Ensure that construction and demolition waste, land clearing debris, soil and landscaping materials must be excluded from the total municipal solid waste generated for the community.

Provide the rationale and methodology used to calculate waste generated value for the ORDA municipality.

2. The community waste data in Figure 6 indicates a waste diverted value of 330.40 tons. However, a value of 334.75 tons has been used to calculate the community's waste diversion rate.

Provide updated calculations for the municipal solid waste diversion rate based on accurate data. Revise the municipal solid waste diversion rate value on Arc, if necessary.

3. The following website links cited in the supporting documentation found to be inaccessible:

- a. <https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1OzMP1XClvu5bJm35zE9Nx82tHJVU74Y9/edit#gid=432673906>
- b. <https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1OzMP1XClvu5bJm35zE9Nx82tHJVU74Y9/edit#gid=432673906>
- c. <https://t.ly/0uL17>
- d. <https://t.ly/73QIF>
- e. <https://www.casella.com/>

Provide accessible links or data in accessible format confirming the reported waste generated and diverted quantities for the community.

4. The USGBC Population Calculator is pending clarification due to issues with the total population.

Refer to the comments under Project Information section and provide updated per capita municipal solid waste generation intensity and diversion rate values for the community. Revise the values in Arc, if necessary.

Special Waste Streams Management

Not Awarded

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

ATTEMPTED: 1, NOT AWARDED: 1, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 0

EXISTING PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The documentation does not demonstrate compliance as the reported waste streams do not qualify as special waste streams. Special wastes are defined as non-municipal solid waste generated within the community, including industrial, electronic, agricultural, chemical, bio-medical, hazardous waste or any other as specific to the city.

Responsible Sourcing for Infrastructure

Awarded: 1

POSSIBLE POINTS: 2

ATTEMPTED: 1, NOT AWARDED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 1

EXISTING PRELIMINARY REVIEW

Option 1. Purchase 20% by cost of permanently installed top three infrastructure materials

Awarded.

Smart Waste Management Systems

Not Attempted

POSSIBLE POINTS: 2



Quality of Life

Demographic Assessment

Awarded

EXISTING PRELIMINARY REVIEW

Awarded.

Quality of Life Performance

Awarded: 5

POSSIBLE POINTS: 6

ATTEMPTED: 5, NOT AWARDED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 5

EXISTING PRELIMINARY REVIEW

Awarded with a Quality of Life Performance Score of 90

Items that do not require a response for this submittal, but should be considered for future submissions:

The population value used to determine the violent crime per capita is inconsistent with the population values reported in the USGBC Population Calculator for the reporting year. Additionally, the population calculator is pending clarification for the sources of permanent and transient population values. Since the impact of population value in the per capita violent crime data is minor and it does not affect the points attempted by the community, this is being accepted for this submission. Ensure that the population values are consistent across the credits.

Trend Improvements

Awarded: 4

POSSIBLE POINTS: 4

ATTEMPTED: 4, NOT AWARDED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 4

EXISTING PRELIMINARY REVIEW

Population with a High School Degree

Awarded.

Graduation Rate

Awarded.

Small Businesses

Awarded.

Unemployment Rate

Awarded.

Distributional Equity

Not Awarded

POSSIBLE POINTS: 4

ATTEMPTED: 4, NOT AWARDED: 4, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 0

EXISTING FINAL REVIEW

Option 1. Equitable Per Capita Income

The median earnings of males and females, along with identified sociocultural groups, couldn't be found. The documentation does not demonstrate compliance.

Option 3. Equitable Employment

The unemployment rates for the sociocultural groups couldn't be found. The documentation does not demonstrate compliance.

Option 4. Access & Proximity

This option is applicable for communities with residential areas representing different socio-economic groups within the project boundary. The documentation demonstrates access of facilities to distressed groups. The documentation does not demonstrate compliance.

EXISTING PRELIMINARY REVIEW

Option 1. Equitable Per Capita Income

1. The median earnings of males and females, along with identified sociocultural groups couldn't be found.

Provide updated documentation that demonstrates the median earnings of male and female is not less than 5 percentage points of the total median earnings and that the disparity of income per capita amongst identified sociocultural groups is not greater than 5 percentage points.

Option 2b. Graduation Rate Equity

The high school graduation rate between male and female is greater than 5 percentage points of the overall graduation rate. Additionally, the data for sociocultural groups has not been provided. The documentation does not demonstrate compliance.

Option 3. Equitable Employment

1. The unemployment rates for the sociocultural groups couldn't be found in the supporting documentation.

Provide updated documentation highlighting the unemployment rate data for the sociocultural groups and demonstrate that these rates for the subcategories of male, female, and identified sociocultural groups are not less than 5 percentage points of the overall unemployment rate.

Option 4. Access & Proximity

1. Maps identifying two different income groups including one low-income neighborhood within the project boundary could not be found in the supporting documentation.

Provide maps identifying two different income groups including one low-income neighbourhood within the project boundary.

2. The supporting narrative indicates that all the facilities are accessible within walking distance. However, the identification of the density categories and the corresponding walking distance requirements for different economic zones within the project boundary could not be found in the supporting documentation.

Provide updated documentation indicating the density categories and the corresponding walking distance requirements for the identified economic zones with different income groups within the project boundary.

Note that the data for the subcategories of male, female, and identified sociocultural groups must be provided for Option 1, 2a, 2b and 3.

Environmental Justice

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

Not Attempted

Housing and Transportation Affordability

Awarded: 1

POSSIBLE POINTS: 2

ATTEMPTED: 1, NOT AWARDED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 1

EXISTING FINAL REVIEW

Awarded.

EXISTING PRELIMINARY REVIEW

Option 1. Comprehensive Housing Policy

1. The information on the delivery of high-quality homelessness services for the community could not be found in the supporting documentation.

Provide updated narrative describing delivery of high-quality homelessness services for short-term emergency and permanent housing solutions in coordination with non-governmental service providers for the community.

Civic and Community Engagement

Not Awarded

POSSIBLE POINTS: 2

ATTEMPTED: 2, NOT AWARDED: 2, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 0

EXISTING FINAL REVIEW

The supporting documentation does not demonstrate public engagement techniques are used that include practices that intentionally and directly engage all residents, including traditionally unrepresented or underrepresented groups, through inclusive, context-sensitive, and transparent decision-making processes. The documentation does not demonstrate compliance.

EXISTING PRELIMINARY REVIEW

1. It is unclear what public engagement techniques are used that include practices that intentionally and directly engage all residents, including traditionally unrepresented or underrepresented groups, through inclusive, context-sensitive, and transparent decision-making processes.

Provide updated documentation demonstrating public engagement techniques include practices that intentionally and directly engage all residents, including traditionally unrepresented or underrepresented groups, through inclusive, context-sensitive, and transparent decision-making processes.

Option 2. Conduct Survey on Community Impact

Awarded.

Option 4. Volunteering by Residents

Awarded.

Civil and Human Rights

Not Awarded

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

ATTEMPTED: 1, NOT AWARDED: 1, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 0

EXISTING FINAL REVIEW

No further information has been provided during the Final Review. If appealing, provide updated documentation demonstrating the presence of a local officer or Commission of Human Rights.

EXISTING PRELIMINARY REVIEW

1. It is unclear from the supporting documentation if there is a presence of a local officer or Commission on Human Rights.

Provide updated documentation demonstrating the presence of a local officer or Commission of Human Rights.



Innovation

Innovation

Awarded: 4

POSSIBLE POINTS: 6

ATTEMPTED: 4, NOT AWARDED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 4

EXISTING FINAL REVIEW

Innovation 4. Option 1 - NYOR Partnership

Awarded.

EXISTING PRELIMINARY REVIEW

Innovation 1. Option 1 - NYOR Composting

Awarded.

Innovation 2. Option 1- Green Education

Awarded.

Innovation 3. Option 1 - Sustainable Heating

Awarded.

Innovation 4. Option 1 - NYOR Partnership

1. It is unclear from the supporting documentation how the strategies mentioned are different from those listed under the Credit Integrated Planning and Leadership.

Provide updated narrative describing how the strategies mentioned are different from the Credit Integrated Planning and Leadership.



Regional Priority

Regional Priority

Awarded: 2

POSSIBLE POINTS: 4

ATTEMPTED: 2, NOT AWARDED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 2

EXISTING PRELIMINARY REVIEW

Option 2. Innovative Regional Priority

Strategy 1. Smart Snowmaking

Awarded.

Strategy 2. Road Salt Mitigation

Awarded.