UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,		
)	
v.)	No
)	
EIGHTY-EIGHT THOUSAND, TWO)	
HUNDRED SIXTY-SEVEN DOLLARS)	
(\$88,267.00) U.S. CURRENCY,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

VERIFIED COMPLAINT OF FORFEITURE

Comes now plaintiff, United States of America, by and through its attorneys, Richard G. Callahan, United States Attorney for the Eastern District of Missouri, and Julia M. Wright, Assistant United States Attorney, for said district, and in a civil cause of action for forfeiture respectfully states as follows:

- 1. In this *in rem* civil action the United States seeks forfeiture of certain property, currently in the possession and custody of the plaintiff pursuant to the provisions of Title 21, United States Code, Section 881.
- 2. Subject Matter Jurisdiction of this Court is based on Title 28, United States Code, Sections 1345, 1355(a), and Title 21, United States Code, Section 881. *In rem* jurisdiction is based on Title 28, United States Code, Section 1355. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to Title 28, United States Code, Sections 1355 & 1395 and Title 21, United States Code, Section 881(j).

- 3. The defendant property was furnished or intended to be furnished in exchange for controlled substances in violation of the Controlled Substances Act, Title 21, United States Code, Section 801 *et seq.*, was proceeds traceable to such an exchange, and was used or intended to be used to facilitate such an exchange. The defendant property is therefore subject to forfeiture to the United States of America, under the provisions of Title 21, United States Code, Section 881(a)(6).
- 4. The defendant property was seized in the Eastern District of Missouri and is now, and during the pendency of this action will be in the jurisdiction of this court.
- 5. On or about October 9, 2012, the defendant currency (\$88,267.00) was seized from Eyton Senders by the St. Charles County Sheriff's Department. On October 30, 2012, the Drug Enforcement Administration adopted the seizure pursuant to an Order of Transfer from the Circuit Court of St. Charles County, Missouri. The plaintiff alleges the defendant property is subject to forfeiture and for its reasons states as follows:
- 6. On or about October 9, 2012, a St. Charles County Sheriff's Department Deputy conducted a vehicle stop of a 2013 Ford Taurus with Nevada license plates on Interstate 70 with the Eastern District of Missouri for traffic violations. The deputy approached the vehicle and made contact with the driver, who was later identified as Eyton Senders. While speaking with Senders, the deputy could detect the odor of marijuana emitting from within the vehicle. Senders advised the officer he was traveling to Los Angeles, California to visit friends. When asked about who owned the vehicle, the deputy learned that it was rented by a third party, and that Senders was not on the rental agreement.

- 7. Senders became increasingly nervous as he spoke with the officer, and began looking all around the vehicle. The deputy feared Senders may attempt to flee the scene, and asked Senders to step to the rear of the vehicle while he completed a check of Senders license and vehicle registration. The deputy asked Senders if there were any drugs in the vehicle, to which Senders stated, "Not that I know of." The deputy asked Senders if there were any large amounts of currency, to which Senders stated, "I wish, I have \$300 for gas." Due to the totality of the circumstances, the officer asked Senders for consent to search the vehicle. Senders advised that he didn't believe a search was necessary.
- 8. Another deputy officer arrived on the scene to assist in the stop. The officer deployed his drug-detecting canine to conduct an open-air search around the vehicle. The canine gave a positive alert for a narcotic odor on the trunk seam and right side wheel-well of the vehicle. At that time, the officer explained to Senders that he was going to conduct a probable cause search due to the odor of marijuana coming from the vehicle and the positive alert by the drug-detecting canine. At that time Senders made a spontaneous statement, telling officers, "I got \$75,000.00 in the trunk; can the dog really smell that?"
- 9. The deputy informed Senders of his Miranda rights, to which he stated he understood and agreed to speak with officers. Senders stated, "It's just money; you can't arrest me, right?" Upon being asked, Senders told officers he had gotten the money from a Bank of America in California. The officers then opened the trunk and located a book bag that contained large bundles of U.S. Currency that were wrapped in colored rubber bands in what looked to be \$5,000 increments, and appeared to consist of mostly small denominations. The money smelled strongly of marijuana, and there appeared to be trace amounts of marijuana in the bag. The officers told

Senders that money doesn't come from a bank in the way it was packaged. Senders put his head down and shook his head up and down. Senders was advised the Drug Enforcement Administration was going to respond to continue the interview, and Senders and his vehicle were transported from the shoulder of the highway to Superior Towing to be off the side of the highway.

- 10. At the shop, Senders became overly aggressive, and was asked to sit in a chair. Senders was handcuffed in front, and continually had to be told to sit back in the chair. Senders stated, "Just kill me with your gun; I'm in big trouble." The deputies were able to calm Senders and speak with him further. The deputies inquired about the money, to which Senders stated he received the money from his uncle, who he wouldn't identify. He stated he received a wire transfer in California and has been carrying bulk currency in the trunk. He told the deputies he took the money to Denver and Chicago, and it never left his possession.
- 11. Investigators with the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) arrived on the scene to assist. The investigators confirmed Senders was read his Miranda rights, and asked Senders to speak with them, to which he agreed. Senders restated his claim that the money had come from his uncle. Senders further stated to one of the investigators, "Please just shoot me, I'm better off dead." Investigators asked why Senders would say that, to which he stated, "I'm in trouble. I'm very scared of them sir."
- 12. Continuing with the interview, Senders stated to investigators, "I'm the money guy."

 Senders explained he just transports currency and never touches the drugs. Senders advised the

 DEA investigators that his original story that the currency had been given to him by his uncle

 was a cover story. He further stated his uncle was very wealthy and could get him out of trouble.

Investigators asked Senders to be completely honest with them, and Senders paused and appeared to be thinking. Another investigator advised that the sheriff's department was going to seize two cellular telephones Senders was traveling with. Senders began shaking his head up and down, and stated, "Ok. I'll tell you the truth."

- 13. Senders told investigators that he flew to California to pick up marijuana for a subject that resides in Ohio. Once in California, Senders advised he picked up a rental car arranged by the subject who lives in Ohio. Senders stated he met with a subject that "runs northern Cali," and received 20 pounds of marijuana. Senders then drove to Ohio and met with a friend, and exchanged the marijuana for a large bundle of currency. Senders was not clear on the total amount of currency he was carrying. He stated he was to receive \$500 per pound that he delivered. Several times during the conversation Senders changed the sum of currency, finally stating it was \$79,000.00. Senders would not reveal the identities of the subjects in California or Ohio for fear of his safety. The individuals identified on the rental agreement were Jessica Bilson, with Kamal Boarman as an authorized drivers.
- 14. The only person known to have a possible interest in the defendant property is Eyton Senders.
- 15. By reason of these premises, the defendant property is subject to forfeiture to the United States, pursuant to the provisions of Title 21, United States Code, Section 881.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that a Warrant for Arrest be issued for the defendant property and the defendant property be condemned and forfeited to the United States of America,

in accordance with the provisions of law; and that the plaintiff be awarded its costs in this action, and have such other relief as provided by law and the nature of the case may require.

Respectfully submitted,

RICHARD G. CALLAHAN United States Attorney

/s/ Julia M. Wright

JULIA M. WRIGHT, #38928MO Assistant United States Attorney 111 South Tenth Street, 20th Floor St. Louis, Missouri 63102 (314) 539-2200

VERIFICATION

I, Special Agent Sean Kassouf, hereby verify and declare under penalty of perjury that I am a Special Agent with the United States Drug Enforcement Administration, that I have read the foregoing Verified Complaint *in rem* and know the contents thereof, and that the matters contained in the Verified Complaint are true to my own knowledge, except that those matters herein stated to be alleged on information and belief and as to those matters I believe them to be true.

The sources of my knowledge and information and the grounds of my belief are the official files and records of the United States, information supplied to me by other law enforcement officers, as well as my investigation of this case, together with others, as a Special Agent of the Drug Enforcement Administration.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on:

SEAN KASSOUF

Special Agent

Drug Enforcement Administration

SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

Case: 4:13-cv-00502-CAS Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 03/15/13 Page: 1 of 2 PageID #: 8

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS				
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)				
 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) □ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party) 				I. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) PTF OEF Citizen of This State 1 1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State				
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity		Citize	en of Another State		and Principal Place 5 5 5		
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item III)		en or Subject of a reign Country	I 3 ☐ 3 Foreign Nati			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Onl		FOR	FEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Welfare	PERSONAL INJUR 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage 70 Motions to Vacat Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Oti 550 Civil Rights	TY	100 Agriculture 120 Other Food & Drug 125 Drug Related Seizure 130 Liquor Laws 130 Liquor Laws 140 R.R. & Truck 150 Airline Regs. 160 Occupational 161 Safety/Health 170 Other 171 LABOR 170 Fair Labor Standards 170 Act 170 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 170 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting 170 & Disclosure Act 170 Railway Labor Act 170 Other Labor Litigation 171 Eagle Act 172 Care Control of the Control 173 Care Care Care Care Care Care Care Care	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405 □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUIT □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintif or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act		
□1 Original □2 Re		Remanded from Appellate Court				Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO			re filing (Do not cite jurisdiction:	al statutes unless diversi	ity):		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P.	IS A CLASS ACTION 23	N D	EMAND \$	CHECK YES JURY DEMA	only if demanded in complaint: AND:		
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OF RECORD				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAC	G. JUDGE		

Case: 4:13-cv-00502-CAS Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 03/15/13 Page: 2 of 2 PageID #: 9

JS 44 Reverse (Rev. 11/04)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- **II. Jurisdiction**. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity**. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553
 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

,) plaintiff,) v.) Case No.) defendant.)
ORIGINAL FILING FORM
THIS FORM MUST BE COMPLETED AND VERIFIED BY THE FILING PARTY WHEN INITIATING A NEW CASE.
THIS CAUSE, OR A SUBSTANTIALLY EQUIVALENT COMPLAINT, WAS
PREVIOUSLY FILED IN THIS COURT AS CASE NUMBER
AND ASSIGNED TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE
NEITHER THIS CAUSE, NOR A SUBSTANTIALLY EQUIVALENT COMPLAINT,
PREVIOUSLY HAS BEEN FILED IN THIS COURT, AND THEREFORE MAY BE
OPENED AS AN ORIGINAL PROCEEDING.
The undersigned affirms that the information provided above is true and correct.
Date:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
V.)	No.
)	
EIGHTY-EIGHT THOUSAND, TWO)	
HUNDRED SIXTY-SEVEN DOLLARS)	
(\$88,267.00) U.S. CURRENCY,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

WARRANT FOR ARREST OF PROPERTY

TO: THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL AND/OR ANY OTHER DULY AUTHORIZED LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

Whereas, on March 15, 2013, the United States of America filed a Verified Complaint for Civil Forfeiture in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri, against the above-named defendant property, alleging that said property is subject to seizure and civil forfeiture to the United States for the reasons mentioned in the complaint; and

WHEREAS, the defendant property is currently in the possession, custody, or control of the United States; and

WHEREAS, in these circumstances, Supplemental Rule G(3)(b)(i) directs the Clerk of the Court to issue an arrest warrant in rem for the arrest of the defendant property; and

WHEREAS, Supplemental Rule G(3)(c) provides that the warrant of arrest in rem must be delivered to a person or organization authorized to execute it;

NOW THEREFORE, you are hereby commanded to arrest the above-named defendant property by serving a copy of this warrant on the custodian in whose possession, custody, or

control the property is presently found, and to use whatever means may be appropriate to protect and maintain it in your custody until further order of this Court,

YOU ARE FURTHER COMMANDED, promptly after execution of this process, to file the same in this Court with your return thereon, identifying the individuals upon whom copies were served and the manner employed.

JAMES WOODWARD, CLERK United States District Court