

VERDICT FORM

Curtis A. Ricciardi and Dominic J. Ricciardi v. James Michael Knights, 07-158 (RBK)

(1) Has Plaintiff Dominic Ricciardi proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Officer James Michael Knights used excessive force against him?

NO

 X
YES

IF YOU ANSWERED "YES" TO QUESTION (1), PROCEED TO QUESTIONS (2) AND (3). IF YOU ANSWERED "NO" TO QUESTION (1), PROCEED TO QUESTION (4).

(2) Has Plaintiff Dominic Ricciardi proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that he should be awarded compensatory damages? If you answer "yes," set forth the amount on the line designated below. Note: if Plaintiff should be awarded only nominal damages, answer "no" and set forth nominal amount of \$1.00.

NO

 X
YES

 5,000.⁰⁰
\$ (Fill in dollar amount)

(3) Has Plaintiff Dominic Ricciardi proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that he is entitled to punitive damages from Defendant James Michael Knights?

 X
NO

YES

(4) Has Plaintiff Curtis Ricciardi proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Officer James Michael Knights failed to intervene when another unnamed police officer assaulted Plaintiff Curtis Ricciardi, despite having a reasonable opportunity and duty to do so?

NO

YES

IF YOU ANSWERED "YES" TO QUESTION (4), PROCEED TO QUESTIONS (5) AND (6). IF YOU ANSWERED "NO" TO QUESTION (4), CEASE YOUR DELIBERATIONS AND RETURN YOUR VERDICT.

(5) Has Plaintiff Curtis Ricciardi proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that he should be awarded compensatory damages? If you answer "yes," set forth the amount on the line designated below. Note: if Plaintiff should be awarded only nominal damages, answer "no" and set forth nominal amount of \$1.00.

NO

YES

175,000
\$ (Fill in dollar amount)

(6) Has Plaintiff Curtis Ricciardi proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that he is entitled to punitive damages from Defendant James Michael Knights?

NO

YES

Date: 7/28/12

CG
7/27/12