1	CORY J. SWANSON Attorney at Law, L.L.C.	
2	1 S. Montana Ave., P.O. Box 866 Helena, MT 59624-0866	
3	W: (406) 449-3118	
4	F: (406) 449-0667 coryswanson@abslegal.net	
5	JAMES BROWN James Brown Law Firm PLLC	
	30 S. Ewing	
6	Suite 100 Helena, MT 59601	
7	W: 406-449-7444 thunderdomelaw@gmail.com	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
9		DICAL DISTRICT COURT COUNTY
10		COUNTY
11	CITIZENS FOR BALANCED USE; BIG GAME FOREVER, LLC; MONTANA) Hon. Nels Swandal
12	OUTFITTERS AND GUIDES ASSN.;	Cause No.:
	MONTANA SPORTSMEN FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE; and REP. ALAN REDFIELD,	
13	Plaintiffs,	SUMMONS
14		
15	vs.	
16	MONTANA FISH, WILDLIFE & PARKS COMMISSION,)
17	Defendants.	
18	THE STATE OF MONTANA SENDS GREE	TINGS TO THE ABOVE-NAMED
19	DEFENDANT:	
20	YOU, THE DEFENDANT, ARE HEREBY S	UMMONED to answer the Complaint in this
21	upon you, and to file your answer and serve a co	named Court, a copy of which is herewith served opy thereof upon Respondent's attorney within e of the day of service; and in case of your failure
22	to appear or answer, judgment will be taken aga	inst you by default, for the relief demanded in
23	the Complaint.	
		of January, 2013, at the hour of
24	o'clockm.	
25		CLERK OF COURT

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CORY J. SWANSON Attorney at Law, L.L.C. 1 S. Montana Ave., P.O. Box 866 Helena, MT 59624-0866 W: (406) 449-3118 F: (406) 449-0667 coryswanson@abslegal.net JAMES BROWN James Brown Law Firm PLLC 30 S. Ewing Suite 100 Helena, MT 59601 W: 406-449-7444 thunderdomelaw@gmail.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs
9 10 11	MONTANA SIXTH JUDICAL DISTRICT COURT PARK COUNTY
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CITIZENS FOR BALANCED USE; BIG GAME FOREVER, LLC; MONTANA OUTFITTERS AND GUIDES ASSN.; MONTANA SPORTSMEN FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE; and REP. ALAN REDFIELD, Plaintiffs, vs. MONTANA FISH, WILDLIFE & PARKS COMMISSION, Defendants.
21 22	COME NOW the above-named Plaintiffs and for their Complaint against the above-named Defendants and allege as follows:
23	I. INTRODUCTION
24	1. Plaintiffs Citizens for Balanced Use, Big Game Forever, LLC, Montana Outfitters and
25	Guides Association, Montana Sportsmen for Fish and Wildlife, and Representative Alan
	CBU v. FWP Commission, Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief, Page 1

Redfield respectfully request this Court to adjudge and declare the Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks Commission ("FWP" or "Commission") has violated their right to participate in the operation of state government and their right to know the actions of state government agencies, as guaranteed by the Montana Constitution, Art. II, §§ 8 and 9, as well as statutes and administrative rules implementing these rights, through its illegal closure of wolf hunting and trapping in certain areas of Park County, Montana without prior notice or opportunity to provide an informed comment on this action before adopting it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

2. Jurisdiction is proper in this Court because Plaintiffs seek judicial review of a state agency decision under the Montana Administrative Procedure Act, Mont. Code Ann. § 2-4-101, et. seq., and the Montana Declaratory Judgments Act, Mont. Code Ann. § 2-4-506, et. seq. This Court has jurisdiction to set aside an agency decision made in violation of Plaintiffs' fundamental rights to know and participate in government decisions, Mont. Code Ann. § 2-3-114.

3. Venue is proper in Park County, Sixth Judicial District, because the suit is against state agencies, the dispute in this case arose in Park County, and multiple plaintiffs reside in Park County. Mont. Code Ann. § 25-2-126.

II. Parties

Plaintiff Citizens for Balanced Use ("CBU") is a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization 4. 18 dedicated to the responsible shared use of public lands, the protection of private property 19 interests, and enhancing multiple use of Montana's lands and natural resources. CBU is based in 20 Gallatin Gateway, Montana, and has members and supporting organizations throughout 21 Montana, including Park County. Its members include blue and white collar workers, farmers 22 and ranchers, land owners, hunters and anglers, recreationists, veterans, and people of all walks 23 of life. CBU's members would like the opportunity to continue to hunt or trap wolves near YNP, 24 and they wish to reduce the out of control growth of wolf populations in Montana in order to 25

allow deer, elk and other game species to increase their population, and to reduce threats to livestock.

Plaintiff Big Game Forever, LLC is a national advocacy organization headquartered in 3 5 Salt Lake City, Utah, with over 2,000 individual and organizational supporters in Montana. Big 4 Game Forever's supporters in Montana are conservation-minded sportsmen whose efforts are 5 aimed to protect North American wild game populations. Big Game Forever supporters 6 7 participate not only in advocacy on behalf of wild game populations, they also participate in onthe-ground conservation efforts through regulated hunting of wolves, other predators and 8 sustainable harvest of wild game populations pursuant to the North American Model of Wildlife 9 Conservation. The efforts of Big Game Forever conservationists are targeted to: (1) ensure the legacy of wildlife abundance for future generations; (2) restore healthy big game herds in Montana and across North America; (3) stop expansion of unmanaged and undermanaged wolf populations; (4) limit excessive predation; (5) implement solutions to stop anti-management experimentation and litigation; and (6) protect vital funding mechanisms for conservation of wildlife in North America. Big Game Forever's supporters and members include conservationminded hunters who wish to hunt or trap wolves near YNP.

Plaintiff Montana Outfitters and Guides Association ("MOGA") represents over 200 of
the top Montana hunting guides, fishing guides and horseback riding pack trip outfitters in
Montana, including residents of Park County. MOGA's members include big game and wolf
hunters, as well as guides who assist hunters in enjoying Montana's outdoors through hunting
and other methods of recreation. MOGA and its members are actively involved in advocating
sound public policy to support abundant wildlife populations, limit the rapid spread and
population growth of wolves, and seeking a balanced ecosystem of game and non-game species
in Montana. MOGA's members include hunters or guides of hunters who wish to hunt or trap
wolves near YNP.

CBU v. FWP Commission, Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief, Page 3

1

7. Plaintiff Montana Sportsmen for Fish and Wildlife ("MT-SFW") is a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization that advocates effective wildlife management in Montana in order to increase the quality of hunting and outdoor recreation opportunities. MT-SFW's over 800 members include big game and wolf hunters, landowners and ranchers. MT-SFW advocates active involvement in public wildlife policy decision making as described on its web site <<u>http://www.mt-sfw.org/</u>> (accessed Jan. 31, 2012):

A major goal of Montana Sportsmen for Fish and Wildlife is to make our state's wildlife agency more accountable for its actions, or lack of actions. And we intend to do this by becoming very present, in numbers, at every Fish, Wildlife and Parks Commission meeting and hearing to insure that the agency realizes exactly what the sportsmen of this state expects from it, and those who manage our game department.

8. Plaintiff Representative Alan Redfield is a newly-elected Montana State Representative
 and a farmer and rancher in Park County, Montana. Redfield owns livestock who have been
 preyed upon by wolves on a regular and continual basis for nearly twenty years. The wolves
 who prey upon his livestock include wolves coming into Montana from Yellowstone National
 Park. Plaintiff Redfield would like to encourage hunting of wolves near YNP in order to reduce
 the risk of wolf depredations on his livestock.

9. Defendant FWP Commission is the Governor-appointed citizen commission pursuant to
Mont. Code Anno. § 2-15-3402, that is responsible for setting policies and adopting rules for
managing hunting and wildlife management, including wolf management, under the authority of
the State of Montana. Mont. Code Ann. §§ 87-1-301, -304. The Commission was the
responsible public body that closed the wolf hunting and trapping season in a selected area
within the State of Montana that is the subject of this lawsuit.

III. APPLICABLE LAW

Right of Participation: The public has the right to expect governmental agencies to afford such reasonable opportunity for citizen participation in the operation of the agencies prior to the final decision as may be provided by law. Mont. Const. Art. II, Sec. 8

CBU v. FWP Commission, Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief, Page 4

Right to Know: No person shall be deprived of the right to examine documents or to observe 1 the deliberations of all public bodies or agencies of state government and its subdivisions, except in cases in which the demand of individual privacy clearly exceeds the merits of 2 public disclosure. Mont. Const. Art. II, Sec. 9 3 The Montana Administrative Procedure Act ("MAPA"), beginning at Mont. Code Ann. § 10. 4 2-3-101, requires the people of Montana should be "afforded reasonable opportunity to 5 participate in the operation of governmental agencies prior to the final decision of the agency." 6 a. FWP has adopted the Attorney General's model procedural rules implementing MAPA. 7 A.R.M. § 12.2.101(1). 8 b. FWP has adopted a policy supporting maximum public participation in its decisions: 9 Participation of the public is to be provided for, encouraged, and assisted to the fullest extent practicable, consistent with other requirements of state law and the rights and requirements of personal privacy... The intent of these regulations is to foster a spirit of openness and a sense of mutual trust and understanding between the public and the department in efforts to maintain and enhance Montana wildlife resources and outdoor 12 recreation opportunities. 13 A.R.M. § 12.2.301(1)(emphasis added). Notice of a proposed agency action must be specifically noticed on the agenda for the 14 11. 15 applicable meeting. "[T]he agency may not take action on any matter discussed unless specific notice of that matter is included on an agenda and public comment has been allowed on that 16 matter." Mont. Code Ann. § 2-3-103(1). 17 18 12. In order to comply with Montana's Constitutional requirement to ensure citizens' right of participation and right to know, an agency must hold a proceeding in accordance with MAPA, 19 20 Mont. Code Ann. § 2-3-104(2), and must provide appropriate notice in advance of a hearing, 21 Mont. Code Ann. § 2-3-104(3). 22 MAPA requires agencies to provide a method and reasonable opportunity for interested 13. persons "to submit data, views, or arguments, orally or in written form, prior to making a final 23 24 decision that is of significant interest to the public." Mont. Code Ann. § 2-3-111(1). 25

P Commission, Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief, Page 5

 a. FWP has determined that matters of "adoption of wildlife management regulations including the setting of seasons" are department decisions "thought to be of significant interest to the public." A.R.M. § 12.2.305(1)(a).

b. For matters of significant interest to the public, FWP requires:

Opportunity for public participation shall be provided by rendering final decisions on these matters at commission meetings which are open to the public and which have been announced in advance or by offering opportunity for written comments or hearing prior to decisions which are made by the department through publication of notice pursuant to the Montana Administrative Procedure Act.

A.R.M. § 12.2.305(2)(emphasis added).

14. When an agency proposes to take an action that "directly impacts a specific community or area," the agency must hold a public hearing in an accessible facility in the affected area or community. Mont. Code Ann. § 2-3-111(2).

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

15. Wolf hunting and harvest in Montana are regulated by the Commission. The Commission has established a regular wolf hunting season which allows a hunter with a valid resident or non-resident wolf hunting license to shoot one wolf per hunting season. The Commission has established a wolf hunting and trapping season that allows resident and nonresident hunters to take one wolf with a valid wolf license. Resident and nonresident trappers can take up to three wolves with a valid trapping license, or two wolves via trapping and one wolf via hunting.

16. Wolves inside Yellowstone National Park ("YNP") are not subject to Commission hunting and trapping regulations, and are protected by federal law from hunting and trapping inside the confines of YNP. However, wolves are highly mobile animals that often travel across human political boundaries, whether as individuals or in packs, and may be exposed to differing hunting and trapping regulations, depending upon where they travel.

CBU v. FWP Commission, Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief, Page 6

17. During the wolf hunting season in the fall of 2012, multiple wolves coming out of YNP into Gallatin and Park Counties, Montana were shot by hunters in Montana. The wolves were shot in accordance with the Commission's published rules and regulations, and none of the hunters were accused of any illegal activity.

18. For wildlife management practices, research, response to livestock depredations and other 5 purposes, wolves in Montana, YNP and other states are often captured and fitted with collars 6 containing Global Positioning System ("GPS") tracking devices, which allow wildlife biologists 7 and managers to track the locations and movements of wolves. Various government agencies, 8 including the Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife & Parks, and the United States Fish and 9 Wildlife Service in cooperation with the National Park Service, participate in these wolf 10 collaring activities. The managing agencies try to collar sufficient wolves to have at least one 11 collared wolf per pack. Collaring wolves is often expensive and difficult to successfully 12 implement. 13

14
 19. Several wolves legally shot by Montana hunters outside of YNP had collars that had been
 15
 placed on them by either Montana FWP or the United States Fish and Wildlife Services.

Multiple environmental organizations and officials from federal wildlife management
 agencies publicly objected to the shooting of collared wolves outside of YNP and called on the
 Commission to close certain areas outside YNP to wolf hunting and trapping for the duration of
 the 2012-2013 season or longer.

21. The Commission scheduled a meeting by conference call for Dec. 10, 2012, to take
action on three land acquisition matters, and to hear an informational update on the 2012 wolf
hunting season. All Commission materials released to the public specified that the wolf harvest
update was an informational item only, with no notice to the public that the Commission would
take action to change or close any wolf hunting areas.

25

1

2

3

4

1	a.	The Commission issued a press release on Dec. 5, 2012, in which it announced it would
2		take action on three land acquisition issues, and would receive an information update on
3		the wolf hunting season. "The wolf hunting update will include information on the 2012
4		season's harvest so far and additional information on the upcoming trapping season,
5		which opens Dec. 15. The wolf hunting and trapping seasons will close Feb. 28, 20123."
6		Ex. 1 FWP Press Release on Dec. 10, 2012 Meeting (Dec. 5, 2012)
7		http://fwp.mt.gov/news/newsReleases/commission/nr_0114.html (accessed Dec. 29,
8		2012).
9	b.	The Commission's web site page with the Commission Meeting Agenda lists the business
10		item "Wolf Harvest Update - Informational" even after the Commission acted on Dec.
11		10, 2012. Ex. 2 FWP Commission Meeting Agenda <
12		http://fwp.mt.gov/doingBusiness/insideFwp/commission/meetings/agenda.html?meetingI
13		<u>d=27709806</u> > (accessed Dec. 29, 2012).
14	c.	The detailed Cover Sheet for the business item "Review of 2012 Wolf Harvest" describes
15		the item, in part, "The review will include data on harvest numbers, geographic
16		distribution of harvest, consideration of depredation removals, and overall wolf mortality
17		relative to wolf population model projections." The cover sheet states, "Action Needed:
18		Informational." (emphasis in original). Ex. 3 Cover Sheet for Review of 2012 Wolf
19		Harvest
20		http://fwp.mt.gov/doingBusiness/insideFwp/commission/meetings/agenda.html?covershe
21		<u>et&itemId=27709852</u> (accessed Dec. 29, 2012).
22	d.	Prior to the Dec. 10 meeting, the Commission did not publicly issue any documents
23		outlining the proposed changes to the wolf hunting season or even the fact that it was
24		considering changing the wolf hunting season. The Commission did not provide the
25		public with a map of proposed closures prior to the Dec. 10 meeting.

CBU v. FWP Commission, Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief, Page 8

ŝ

ೆನೆನ

1

2

22. During the Dec. 10, 2012 Commission meeting, Chairman Bob Ream and Commissioner Shane Colton advocated closing certain areas near YNP to wolf hunting and trapping in response to the shootings of several collared wolves near YNP. **Ex. 5 Transcript of Dec. 10 Commission Meeting**, pp. 2-3, 9, 11. Commissioners Dan Vermillion and Ron Moody both objected to taking action to change the wolf hunting rules at the Dec. 10 meeting, because the public notice had stated this was an informational item only. **Id.** at p. 2, lines 15-17; p. 3, lines 9-10; p. 10, lines 14-19; p. 11, lines 1-2. Chairman Ream stated it was his opinion and the opinion of FWP's legal counsel that they had the authority to change the wolf hunting and trapping rules at the Dec. 10 meeting. **Id.** at 3, lines 6-12.

Plaintiff CBU through its members and leadership team have been actively involved in
monitoring public wildlife management and hunting issues in Montana in recent years. Its
leaders and members often submit written comments and appear to testify in person before
Commission meetings on wildlife issues of concern to its members. If CBU had been aware of
the Commission's intention to change the wolf hunting season at the Dec. 10 meeting, its leaders
or members would have attended the meeting or submitted written comments on the matter.
Plaintiff Big Game Forever and its supporters have been actively involved in public

decision making on wolf management, both in Washington, D.C. and in Montana. If Big Game
Forever had been aware of the Commission's intention to change the wolf hunting season at the
Dec. 10 meeting, its leaders or supporters would have attended the meeting or submitted written
comments on the matter.

21 25. Plaintiff MOGA through its members and leadership team have been actively involved in
monitoring public wildlife management and hunting issues in Montana in recent years. Its
leaders and members often submit written comments and appear to testify in person before
Commission meetings on wildlife issues of concern to its members. If MOGA had been aware
of the Commission's intention to change the wolf hunting season at the Dec. 10 meeting, its

leaders or members would have attended the meeting or submitted written comments on the matter.

26. One of Plaintiff MT-SFW's leaders, Keith Kubista, was present at the FWP Region 2
Office in Missoula and participated in the Dec. 10 Commission meeting by conference call. MT-SFW had no prior notice of the Commission's intention to close wolf hunting in key areas. Keith Kubista testified and spoke against the proposed closure, and also testified that the Commission had not provided adequate notice to the public of its proposed action in advance of the meeting.
Ex. 5 Transcript at p. 23, line 24 – p. 24, line 10.

27. Plaintiff Alan Redfield has been actively involved in advocating for balanced wildlife management in Montana, including reducing the number of wolves in order to protect livestock and encourage the population growth of big game animals. Redfield's interest in these matters have caused him to run for and be elected to the Montana Legislature in order to advocate for sound wildlife management policies. If Redfield had been aware of the Commission's intention to change the wolf hunting season at the Dec. 10 meeting, he would have attended the meeting or submitted written comments on the matter.

28. At the Dec. 10 meeting, the Commission voted 4-1 (Commissioner Dan Vermillion dissenting) to close two areas in southern Park County near YNP to wolf hunting and trapping for the duration of the 2012-2013 season. The two closed areas are in Hunting District 313, part of Wolf Management Unit 390, and are depicted in the attached map. **Ex. 4 FWP 2012 Wolf Closure Map**, FWP Web Site <

http://fwp.mt.gov/hunting/planahunt/huntingGuides/wolf/closure.html > (accessed Dec. 29, 2012). The closures were effective immediately.

IV. Violations of Plaintiffs Constitutional Rights to Know and Participate in State Government Proceedings

Count 1: The Commission violated Plaintiffs' Constitutional right to participate by failing to provide prior public notice and opportunity to participate in the Commission's decision to close certain areas to wolf hunting at its Dec. 10, 2012 meeting.

29. The Commission's published notice, press release, web site and detailed cover sheet for its Dec. 10, 2012 meeting stated the wolf harvest update was an **informational** item. It provided no notice to the public that the Commission would act to close certain areas in Montana to wolf hunting and trapping. It took final action on the decision without prior notice and without giving the public and the Plaintiffs a chance to submit written comments in advance of the decision.

30. The Commission's procedures violated the Plaintiff's fundamental rights guaranteed by the Montana Constitution, as well as multiple statutes and administrative rules implementing this fundamental right.

- a. The Commission violated Art. II, § 8 of the Montana Constitution, "The public has the right to expect governmental agencies to afford such reasonable opportunity for citizen participation in the operation of the agencies prior to the final decision as may be provided by law."
- b. The Commission violated MAPA, which requires the people should be "afforded reasonable opportunity to participate in the operation of governmental agencies prior to the final decision of the agency." Mont. Code Ann. § 2-3-101.
- c. The Commission violated MAPA's requirement to provide specific notice of the action to close wolf hunting on its agenda in advance of a hearing or proceeding on a government decision. Mont. Code Ann. §§ 2-3-103(1), -104(2) & (3).
- d. The Commission violated its own administrative rules calling for maximum public involvement in decisions, "Participation of the public is to be provided for, encouraged, and assisted to the fullest extent possible..." A.R.M. § 12.2.301(1).

CBU v. FWP Commission, Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief, Page 11

e. The Commission violated MAPA's requirement for reasonable opportunity for interested persons "to submit data, views, or arguments, orally or in written form, prior to making a final decision that is of significant interest to the public." Mont. Code Ann. § 2-3-111(1). FWP has determined that matters of "adoption of wildlife management regulations including the setting of seasons" are decisions of significant interest to the public.

A.R.M. § 12.2.305(1)(a).

f. FWP violated its own internal regulations requiring notice and opportunity to participate in a decision that is of significant interest to the public. A.R.M. § 12.2.305(2).

Count 2: The Commission violated Plaintiffs' Constitutional right to participate by failing to hold a public hearing in an accessible facility in an area or community directly affected by an agency action that is of significant interest to the public.

31. There is no doubt the regulation of wolf hunting is of significant interest to the public, as demonstrated by the great amount of public involvement in the comment period of any wolf action taken by the Commission. FWP has recognized by administrative rule that decisions regarding adoption of wildlife management regulations are of significant interest to the public. A.R.M. § 12.2.305(1)(a).

32. The Commission failed to hold a public hearing, with public notice prior to the meeting, in Park County. Its failure to do so violated Mont. Code Ann. § 2-3-111(2), which requires an agency to hold a public hearing in an area that is directly affected by an agency decision that is of significant interest to the public.

Count 3: The Commission violated Plaintiffs' Constitutional right to know by failing to make the Commission's papers, data and maps related to the closure of the wolf season available to the public in advance of the Dec. 10 Commission meeting.

33. The Plaintiffs and the public did not have advance access to, or even know of the existence of, any Commission data, papers or maps describing the proposed action to close wolf hunting in certain areas. It appears at least one of the Commission members did not have access to the maps of the proposed areas, **Ex. 5 Transcript** at p. 12, lines 18-21:

1 2 it correctly. 3 The Commission's failure to provide these documents in advance of its decision violates 34. 4 5 6 7 35. 8 9 10 11 12 violates Plaintiffs' constitutional rights. 13 14 15 36. 16 17 right to know. 18 37. 19 20 21 38. 22 23 24 25

Commissioner [Vermillion]: This is Dan. I just had a quick question. Would you repeat the motion for me because I'm having to just look at the map and try and make sure I understand

the Plaintiffs' fundamental rights to examine government documents, Mont. Const. Art. II, § 9, and to have fully informed notice of the Commission's decision in accordance with MAPA and FWP's administrative regulations alleged in Counts 1 and 2 herein. The Commission, or some of its members, disclosed this proposed decision in advance of the Dec. 10 meeting to one or more organizations opposed to wolf hunting, resulting in many meeting attendees who are opponents to wolf hunting or trapping. These organizations had advance notice of the proposed action, while Plaintiffs did not. The Commission's or its members' actions to provide selective advance notice to only some wildlife advocates further

Count 4: The Commission should be required to pay Plaintiffs' court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees because they are initiating this lawsuit to protect and vindicate their Constitutional rights.

Plaintiffs are entitled to an aware of their court costs and reasonable attorney fees pursuant to Mont. Code Ann. § 2-3-221 because they are seeking to enforce their Constitutional

In addition, pursuant to Mont. Code Ann. §27-8-313, Plaintiffs are entitled to an award of their reasonable attorney's fees and costs as successful applicants for a declaration of their rights and status and the obligations of Defendants.

Attorney fees may further be awarded under the private attorney general doctrine under the following test: 1) the strength or societal importance of the public policy is vindicated by the litigation; 2) the necessity for private enforcement and the magnitude of the resultant burden on the plaintiff; 3) the number of people standing to benefit from the decision; and 4) the equity of imposing attorney fees on the party against whom fees are sought. See, Montanans for the

1	
1	Responsible Use of the Sch. Trust v. State, ex rel., Bd. Of Land Commrs, 1999 MT 263, ¶ 66,
2	296 Mont. 402, 989 P.2d 800 (citing Serrano v. Priest, 569 P.2d 1303, 1314 (Cal. 1977)); Finke
3	v. State, ex rel., McGrath, 2003 MT 48, ¶ 33, 314 Mont. 314, 65 P.3d 576.
4	39. Plaintiffs are entitled to an award of their attorneys' fees under Mont. Code Ann. § 27-8-
5	313 or alternatively under the private attorney general doctrine because: this case will vindicate
6	important societal policies; this case requires private enforcement and the magnitude of the
7	resultant burden upon Plaintiffs is great; a large number of people stand to benefit from the
8	decision in this case; it is equitable and right to impose attorney fees upon the Defendants.
9	V. Prayer for Relief
10	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for the following relief:
11	40. For a declaration affirmatively stating the Commission has violated its legal duties under
12	Mont. Const. Art. II, §§ 8 & 9, the Montana Administrative Procedure Act, and administrative
13	regulations protecting Plaintiffs' Constitutional rights to know and participate in government
14	decisions;
15	41. For injunctive relief prohibiting the FWP Commission from further implementing or
16	enforcing the December 10, 2012 Decision to close wolf hunting and trapping in the areas
17	described and depicted in Ex. 4 FWP 2012 Wolf Closure Map;
18	42. For a declaration affirmatively setting aside and declaring void the Commission's
19	decision of Dec. 10, 2012 closing certain areas in Park County to wolf hunting and trapping,
20	pursuant to Mont. Code Ann. §§ 2-3-114 & 2-3-213;
21	43. For a declaration affirmatively stating the obligation of the Commission to provide
22	specific public notice in advance of a hearing or meeting to close or change any wildlife seasons,
23	including changes to wolf hunting or trapping in Montana, and to provide public access to
24	documents, data and maps related to the decision in advance of the decision, and to provide the
25	

public opportunity to testify in person or provide written comments to the Commission before the decision;

44. For a declaration affirmatively requiring the Commission to hold a public hearing in
Park County in an accessible place prior to making a decision to close wolf hunting or trapping
that directly affects Park County as the complained-of decision does;

45. For an award to Plaintiffs of its costs and reasonable attorneys' fees as provided by law and equity; and

46. For such other relief as the Court may deem just.

Respectfully submitted and DATED this 2nd day of January, 2013.

CORY J-SWANSON JAMES BROWN

Attorney for Plaintiffs

- 1			
1	Certificate of Service		
2	I certify that a true and correct copy of the Citizens for Balanced Use, et. al. v. FWP		
3	Commission, Complaint was sent by first-class mail on January 2, 2013 and had-served on the		
4	following:		
5			
6	Rebecca Jakes Dockter Chief Legal Counsel,		
7	Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife & Parks P.O. Box 200701		
8	Helena, MT 59620-0701 Counsel for Defendants FWP Commission		
9	Counsel for Defendants I WI Commission		
10			
11	Such		
12	Cory J. Swanson JANET E. Now Counsel for Plaintiffs		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
	. ,		
	CBU v. FWP Commission, Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief, Page 16		

EXHEBET

Commission Slated to Vote on Two Region 6 Land Issues Dec. 10

Wed Dec 05 08:46:00 MST 2012 ; Commission - Region 6

The FWP Commission will meet via conference call Dec. 10 to take final action on three land matters and to hear an update on the state's wolf hunting season.

The call is set to begin at 9 a.m. Conference call connections and public comment opportunities will be offered at each <u>FWP regional office</u>; in Havre at the Great Northern Inn at 1345 1st Street; and at FWP's Helena headquarters, 1420 E. Sixth Ave.

Commissioners will consider approving the 2,992-acre Milk River Ranch purchase north of Havre; a 981-acre purchase that would become part of the existing Seven Sisters Wildlife Management Area on the Yellowstone River near Sidney; and the donation of 1,050 acres on the Missouri River southwest of Big Sandy.

The wolf hunting update will include information on the 2012 season's harvest so far and additional information on the upcoming trapping season, which opens Dec. 15. The wolf hunting and trapping seasons will close Feb. 28, 2013.

For the full agenda and additional information, visit FWP's website at <u>fwp.mt.gov</u> and click on the "<u>For Commission Information</u>" section. FWP ensures its meetings are fully accessible to individuals with special needs. To request arrangements call FWP at 406-444-3186.

EXHIBIT \mathcal{Z} .

Commission Meeting Agenda



COMMISSION MEETING AGENDA FWP Helena - Conference Call - 1420 East Sixth Ave - Helena, MT Times May Vary As Much As One Hour - Earlier or Later DECEMBER 10, 2012 FINAL

DECEMBER 10, 2012

View Cover Sheet

09:00 AM ~ ~ Call to Order ...

§ Call to Order and Pledge of Allegiance



09:05 AM ~ ~ Fish and Wildlife Division ... Ken McDonald, Bureau Chief

§ Milk River Ranch Fee Purchase - Final Part 1

§ Milk River Ranch Fee Purchase - Final Part 2

§ Seven Sisters WMA Addition - Final

§ Spring Coulee Fee Donation - Final

& Wolf Harvest Update - Informational

View Cover Sheet View Cover Sheet View Cover Sheet

.

10:25 AM ~ ~ Open Microphone - Public Opportunity to Address Issues Not on Agenda ...

NOTE: Extensive opportunity is provided for public comment on hunting, fishing and trapping regulations. As a matter of equity for citizens who cannot attend Commission meetings, when making final decisions on these regulations, the Commission will not open public comment unless a significant amendment to the tentative proposal is brought forth by the Commission or Department. Comments will be limited to the amendment only.

The Department ensures its meetings are fully accessible to persons with disabilities and if special accommodations are needed, contact Coleen Furthmyre at (406) 444-3186.

EXHEBET

FWP COMMISSION AGENDA ITEM COVER SHEET

Meeting Date: December 10, 2012

Agenda Item: Review of 2012 Wolf Harvest

Division: Fish and Wildlife

Action Needed: Informational

Time for this Presentation: <u>15 minutes</u>

Background

The FWP Commission finalized regulations for the Montana wolf hunting and trapping season in July 2012. The 2012 wolf hunting season opened with the archery season on Sept. 1 and will close on Feb. 28, 2013. The first Montana trapping season opens on Dec. 15 and closes on Feb. 28, 2013. The 2012 season framework includes a number of changes from 2011, largely intended to increase wolf harvest and decrease wolf abundance in Montana. In July, the commission directed FWP to provide a review of the wolf harvest after Nov. 25, the end of the regular deer and elk season. The review will include data on harvest numbers, geographic distribution of harvest, consideration of depredation removals, and overall wolf mortality relative to wolf population model projections. Much of the data will be presented to compare harvests during the 2011 and 2012 hunting seasons.

EVUIB55 4



ł

EXHIBEF . .

TRANSCRIPT

FWP COMMISSION

12-10-12

CHAIRMAN REAM: We'll move from that into wolves.

STAFF: Okay. I'll just introduce it real quick. Again, Mr. 2 Chairman, Commissioners, in July of this past year when you 3 2012-2013 wolf hunting regulations there is adopted the 4 direction for the Department to evaluate the harvest as of the 5 end of the general gun season and report to you by December 3rd 6 where we were at in case any tweaks needed to be made based on 7 the fact we're still learning about wolf hunting and 8 effectiveness of wolf hunters and our lifting of the quota, so 9 today's agenda item was an informational. George Pauley is 10 going to go into the details with you, but it was in response 11 to the direction in July to revisit in case you wanted to make 12 any tweak, so I'll turn it over to George. 13

CHAIRMAN REAM: Thank you.

1

14

COMMISSIONER: Mr. Chairman, before Mr. Pauley starts, this is going to be an agenda item at our meeting on the 20th? Correct?

CHAIRMAN REAM: No, I don't think so. Well, it depends on, yeah, if the Commission wants to do something later rather than today, but we have the authority to do a closure if we so desire in any area, geographic area, at any time. So I don't think it is on the agenda topic for the next, for the December 20th meeting.

COMMISSIONER: Well then to clarify, I believe, Mr. Chairman, that we reserve the right, that we can do that.

-2-

CHAIRMAN REAM: Correct.

2 COMMISSIONER: At any time. That we don't have to set a 3 meeting and set a tentative and have that process, that we've 4 already addressed that process. Is that correct? Does that 5 square with Kim?

6 CHAIRMAN REAM: That's correct. And Becky is shaking her 7 head yes, our legal person here at the meeting. That's correct. 8 COMMISSIONER: Okay.

9 COMMISSIONER: But today's discussion is informational only 10 because that's the way we published it on the agenda.

11 CHAIRMAN REAM: It may or may not be. Like I said, we can 12 do a closure at any time if we so...

COMMISSIONER: Okay.

14 COMMISSIONER: Well, we identified it as informational, but 15 as you recall the true purpose here, and we thought we were 16 going to be together in Helena but we moved that meeting of the 17 13th to the 20th, but was to have a discussion before the season 18 started about any modifications that may, an update along with 19 is there reason for.

20 CHAIRMAN REAM: That's right. In fact we said way back in 21 July, I think, that we would revisit it at the beginning of 22 December and make any adjustments that we felt necessary at 23 that point in time. That was made clear then.

25

24

13

COMMISSIONER: You know, Mr. Chairman, I guess we could just go ahead and go with the update and then that should prompt some discussion from there I would suspect.

4 CHAIRMAN REAM: That's right. That's what I'm, yeah. That's 5 what I asked for. Okay, go ahead Mr. Pauley.

Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, Assistant MR. PAULEY: 6 Director Volesky, George Pauley with the Wildlife Bureau. I 7 sent you material summarizing harvest so thus far this year 8 comparing harvest to harvest levels in 2011 just some 9 informational maps displaying packs and harvests and so forth, 10 and maybe just to summarize all that. I looked at harvest 11 through the end of the general deer and elk season this year 12 compared to last year, and the harvest this year is 18 percent 13 lower than last year. I believe we harvested 81 wolves this 14 year by that time compared to 99 last year. No real indication 15 why that would be the case. We've sold essentially almost 16 exactly the same number of licenses this year compared to last 17 year. We might speculate that wolves are becoming a bit more 18 wary or maybe hunter effort is dropping off because of a, 19 losing the novelty of wolf season, but at any rate the harvest 20 rate season long has just been lagging behind the 2011 level. 21 There is really no indication if we look at that table with the 22 harvest among wolf management units. It's certainly, there is 23 some differences between this year and last year. Harvest 24 levels are up in some WMUs, down in others. No real alarming 25

-4-

indications that we might be eliminating wolves from a large 1 area of the landscape that, for example, might ultimately 2 threaten connectivity and genetic interchange or anything on 3 that order. Just really no indications, particularly with the 4 lower harvest levels we're seeing this year, no indications 5 that there is anything to be concerned about. We compared 6 harvest this year relative to the wolf population model 7 simulations we did this summer. Now in those simulations, as 8 you recall, depredation removals were fairly low during 2011 9 relative to 2010 and 2011 removed 64 wolves because, in 10 I 2011, response to wildlife depredation complaints. In 11 we removed 141 or something on that order, SO believe, 12 questionable what assumption to put in there, so we assumed the 13 high depredation level of removal which is maybe a conservative 14 assumption, and actually this year up to that date, I believe, 15 we'd removed 101 wolves, so we're kind of intermediate between 16 assuming the higher level of 2010 and 2011 levels, SO 17 depredations kind of takes us down a conservative course. We 18 also assumed a 60 percent harvest rate in the model, and that 19 is the highest rate that we might anticipate seeing under that 20 season framework, so, and that would lead to a harvest of 364 21 wolves. And I gave you a table showing you the distribution of 22 harvest among the old recovery areas and the total of 364 23 wolves that would be harvested if we achieved a 60 percent 24 rate. And of course up through the end of deer and elk season 25

-5-

we were only at 81 wolves. At this rate of harvest just in 1 summary, even if we pick up quite a bit of trapping harvest it 2 is kind of hard to imagine any mechanism by which we'd reach 3 364 wolves harvested this year. And even if we achieve that 4 harvest, the model predicts we would still have a residual -5 population of 485 wolves. Right now we're at about 22 percent 6 of modeled harvest. If you'll refer back to that table I 7 presented, Abby Nelson, one of our wolf specialists tabled, 8 there's certainly been a lot of interest in wolves killed 9 around the Yellowstone National Park, and she created a table 10 summarizing what's happened there. The upper half of the table 11 displays Yellowstone National Park packs, the lower half 12 displays Montana packs. This was current as of the end of 13 hunting season. And the left side indicates how many wolves are 14 remaining. For example, in the Eight Mile Pack at that time, 15 two radio collars remaining, ten total wolves remaining. And 16 she gave me an update today, actually, and indicated one more 17 un-collared wolf was killed that was likely a member of the 18 Eight Mile Pack, so there would now be 9 remaining. The right 19 hand side of the table indicates, has a bunch of information on 20 wolves that were harvested both from Yellowstone and Montana 21 packs, indicating which ones were collared and which ones were 22 not collared. Maybe to summarize, FWP does not, we don't see 23 any indication of over-harvest or any concern related to 24 harvest. This is, the season is progressing much as we might 25

-6-

have anticipated, certainly even with respect to packs around 1 the Yellowstone National Park boundary. We recognize that a 2 loss of radio collars to park staff certainly has some impact 3 on Yellowstone National Park research objectives. However, we also have elk management objectives we're trying to reach by a 5 number of mechanisms including allowing hunters to remove some 6 wolves, so we don't see any need for any changes at this time. 7 All I have. 8

CHAIRMAN REAM: I'm going to make a motion but before I do 9 I want to ask a few questions. And I'm trying to remember, I 10 didn't look this up, but in 2011 we had a quota in that 316 as 11 I recall. 12

MR. PAULEY: Correct. 13

CHAIRMAN REAM: Was it a quota of two or three, something 14 like that. 15

LEGAL COUNSEL: It was three.

MR. PAULEY: Three. 17

CHAIRMAN REAM: Okay. The map you have here showing the 18 harvest locations, I guess, shows, well, you indicated two 19 unknowns in that area in the Gardner Basin up to Mill Creek and 20 Cinnabar Creek, or un-collared I should say, not unknown. But 21 it looks like there may be more that that there. And then in 22 316 we had a quota of two and so far one has been taken, 23 correct? 24

-7-

25

16

MR. PAULEY: The current quota I believe is three, but let me look that up. 2

CHAIRMAN REAM: No, it's two and one has been taken.

MR. PAULEY: Actually there's a mistake in the regulations brochure. I believe that we, I think it's three right now.

CHAIRMAN REAM: Oh.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

MR. PAULEY: I'm pretty certain. And there was a mistake in the brochure. It was supposed to be two in 110 and three in 316, so we still have not reached the quota. We've only taken two.

MR. REAM: Okay, well that map of harvest does show a 11 substantial number taken just north of the park. You know we 12 did set a precedent up in Glacier in 101 or 110 and then 313 13 this year and, I'm sorry, 316, and we have last year had 316 as 14 well. You know, I wanted to make it clear when a park pack 15 leaves the park and goes into Montana or Idaho or Wyoming, for 16 example, and stays out of the park, it's a Montana pack. And 17 that's the case west of Yellowstone National Park. Conversely, 18 when a Montana Pack goes into the park, it's a park pack. And 19 that's exactly what happened with Eight Mile Pack. Last year it 20 was a Montana pack and this year it denned in the park. We have 21 had literally thousands of comments about the park wolves. Some 22 people focus on individual wolves, I tend to focus on the 23 The do have a stable population there. population. We 24 information that the researchers there have collected has been 25

·-8-

invaluable, I think, for wolf management. They've invested a 1 lot in putting out those collars. One of the problems as I see 2 it this year, and I think it happened a little bit last year, 3 is that by hunting just on the borders of the park there are 4 gut piles that attract predators, not just wolves but grizzly 5 bears as well, and that's true in the Gardner Basin. So I want 6 to make a motion that we have at least five killed in these two 7 areas, well let me back up. 390 is a huge area, Wolf Management 8 Unit. Within that we have hunting district 316 and within that 9 I would like to propose, and I'll make the motion, that the 10 area from Mill Creek and Cinnabar Creek east to Highway 89 be 11 closed. We've had at least two wolves killed there and also 12 that the area east of Bear Creek to Wolf Management Unit 316 be 13 closed. So those are two very small areas within Hunting 14 District 316, which is even smaller in relation to Wolf 15 Management Unit 390 as a whole. But I think this will take care 16 of further park wolves venturing out into Montana and the five 17 exceeds the quota that we had a year ago for all of 316. So I 18 think it's reasonable, it's kind of a compromise approach, and 19 I think it is warranted at this point in time. So ... 20

21

22

COMMISSIONER: I'll second your motion.

CHAIRMAN REAM: All right. It was a motion, I guess. So the motion has been made and seconded. Are there any comments? I'll 23 open it to public comment in a minute, but any other 24 Commissioners? 25

-9-

COMMISSIONER: Mr. Chairman, I agree with your assessment 1 here, and once again as always with wolves, this is a matter of 2 anthropology not biology of dealing with what is clearly a 3 clash of social cultures at that park boundary, and I think 4 your motion extends some new respect and regard for that 5 culture that dominates on the other side of that park boundary 6 without giving away the store on the Montana side. So that's 7 the reason why I was so quick to second it. I want to say that 8 I really appreciate what really was a new effort from one of 9 the non-hunting, pro-wolf groups, Wolves of the Rockies, to 10 find an accord they can live with with the hunting culture, 11 with the conservation culture. That deserves some attention 12 from us as different from trying to parse these things out in a 13 federal district court some place. Just one more thing though, 14 when we finish this discussion we really should talk about 15 process here because we informed the public this was an 16 informational briefing, and while we may have the authority to 17 do this it is not good public relations. But we'll talk about 18 that later. 19

20 CHAIRMAN REAM: We did inform the public at the July 21 meeting that we would be reassessing this in, at our...

22 COMMISSIONER: It was July, and the agenda says 23 information.

24 CHAIRMAN REAM: Well, it was but we do have the authority 25 to do that. In fact, the Department can do closures.

-10-
COMMISSIONERS: Just exactly how lawyers get such a bad reputation.

CHAIRMAN REAM: Ooohh.

3

COMMISSIONER: Well, speaking as a lawyer, we have not only 4 followed the appropriate process but we've stated this, and I 5 fully understood what the purpose of this reset, re-evaluation 6 in December was, and then it came out in the paper earlier here 7 last week that a discussion of this nature would be on the 8 table because that's what was anticipated. So I think that 9 while I understand what you're saying, Ron, I just think that 10 we have followed process effectively, and we have not hid the 11 ball in any fashion indicating that this is something that may 12 be on the table later. I look at this issue from a standpoint 13 of when something is going to interfere with our ability to 14 maintain management over the wolf, that is when the Commission 15 must involve itself, and ideally at some point this wolf season 16 and trapping season will move along as easily as our other big 17 game seasons, but it's going to take some time. And the loss of 18 this many collared wolves in our hunting season does not bode 19 well for what could take place in the trapping season because 20 obviously the trap is completely indiscriminate on whether it 21 catches a collared wolf or not, and I don't know if without the 22 aid of tranquilizers many people should be charged with the 23 task of releasing a collared wolf. And it does impact our 24 management and our understanding of this animal and the 25

-11-

movement of those packs. It also limits our ability with 1 depredation response. We need a collar on a wolf associated 2 with the pack to find that pack for legal removal. So it is in 3 everybody's interest on both sides of this issue to make sure 4 we keep collared wolves in appropriate abundance across the 5 landscape. It's not easy to get collars on wolves and it's not 6 inexpensive. I don't know why so many collard wolves have been 7 harvested. I don't want to speculate on that, but it's a fact. 8 And so I from my standpoint I think that this is a prudent 9 course. It is a very modest adjustment. We're still allowing 10 very little harvest across the state, and we just need those 11 collared wolves because to also to determine their interaction 12 and predation on our elk herd. And that has to take priority. 13 So I appreciate your leadership on this, Chairman Ream, in 14 making the motion and I'm certainly supportive. 15

16 CHAIRMAN REAM: Okay, with that I think we'll open it up 17 for public comment. Any public comment?

COMMISSIONER: This is Dan. I just had a quick question. Would you repeat the motion for me because I'm having to just look at the map and try and make sure I understand it correctly.

CHAIRMAN REAM: Okay, on the east if you go up Bear Creek all the way to the boundary of Hunting District 317, follow that south, then all the way to the park boundary. In other words it's adjacent to Wolf Management Unit 316, that area

-12-

immediately to the west of 316. And then further west, I went down from the park boundary down Mill Creek and Cinnabar Creek to Highway 89 and then down Highway 89 to the park boundary.

COMMISSIONER: For a small portion of, I guess that's 313 on the west side and a small portion of 313 on the east side of the river.

CHAIRMAN REAM: Correct. Leaving that middle part still open. And that's where the two, excuse me?

COMMISSIONER: The buffer that is being created, what exactly would the quota be in that particular buffer?

CHAIRMAN REAM: Say that again? I didn't...

12 STAFF: What's the closure? Is the closure exactly in that 13 particular?

CHAIRMAN REAM: The closure would be no further taking of 14 wolves by the hunting season or the trapping season in those 15 two small areas. That's where the problems have been, and like 16 I said I originally was looking at the area in between, Dan, 17 and the regional staff felt it would be better to keep that 18 open. There are no resident packs there as far as they know, so 19 it really has no effect one way or the other, but we would 20 leave that, leave that open. The area west of Bear Creek, in 21 other words all the way over to Highway 89, would still be part 22 of 316 that would be open. That make sense? Do you have a map 23 there, Dan, or? Dan? 24

-13-

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1	COMMISSIONER: I understand what you're talking about.
2	Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN REAM: Okay. All right. With that I'll open it to
4	public comment.
5	REGION 2: Mr. Chairman?
6	CHAIRMAN REAM: Yes.
7	REGION 2: This is Region 2. We'll have some people making
8	comment here.
9	CHAIRMAN REAM: Okay. Any other regions?
10	REGION 3: Region 3 will have people making comment.
11	CHAIRMAN REAM: Okay.
12	STAFF: They either need to get off the video or get on the
13	video and get off the bridge. That's why you've got some
14	feedback. They're on both.
15	CHAIRMAN REAM: So
16.	STAFF: They had the mic turned on and the phone turned on.
17	CHAIRMAN REAM: Okay, in Region 2 and 3 you can't have your
18	mic turned on with the phone bridge. We'll just use the video
19	conferencing. Is that correct?
20	STAFF: That would be preferable.
21	CHAIRMAN REAM: That would be preferable because we're
22	getting feedback here.
23	REGION 2: Mr. Chairman, this is Region 2 again. They have
24	several meetings going on here without the, we have no video
25	facilities here in Region 2 using this conference call.
- 1	

-14-

11

 \mathcal{T}_{i}

1 CHAIRMAN REAM: Okay, then just use the phone there in 2 Region 2. That will be fine.

REGION 2: Thank you.

3

4

5

6

7

8

CHAIRMAN REAM: But I'm going to start here in Helena, so we'll go ahead.

COMMENT: Commissioners, Chairman Ream and Commissioners...

CHAIRMAN REAM: Excuse me. Again, we will limit comments to two minutes.

MS. POPPER: Deputy Director Volesky, I'm Llona Popper of 9 Montana. I'm speaking for Bear Creek Counsel, a 10 Gardner, community-based conservation organization in Gardner, Montana. 11 Our membership includes hunting and wildlife outfitters, 12 hunters, ranchers, business people and conservationists, and 13 we're a part of a large group of stakeholders in Western 14 Montana who rely on wildlife tourism economically and as a way 15 of life. We ask the Commissioners to vote with Chairman Ream's 16 proposal, which would close the area that was designated as 17 313, 316 in 2011, and at that time it had a quota of three 18 wolves. We supported that in June, but Fish, Wildlife and Parks 19 turned us down. The 2012 hunt has been a disaster for Southwest 20 Montana's tourism industry, for the science of wolves and for 21 our wolf-reliant ecosystem. As of last week eight radio-22 collared Yellowstone National Park wolves and four un-collared 23 24 wolves have been killed at or near the boundaries of Yellowstone. Bear Creek Council wants to see those protected in 25

÷15-

Yellowstone and in the Gallatin National Forest Absaroka 1 Beartooth Wilderness Areas. Yellowstone is the number one place 2 in the world to watch wolves and millions of tourists are 3 appalled to find wolves they've watched have killed in the 4 hunt. This hurts Montana. Bear Creek Council also values 5 Yellowstone National Park Wolf Project Research and predator 6 prey relationships. We're surprised that Montana does not see 7 the value of nearly 20 years of predator prey research on what 8 was one of the largest unexploited populations of wolves in the 9 world. Think about 2013 Fish, Wildlife and Parks. What's your 10 goal here? We thought the idea was to lower wolf numbers in 11 areas that suffer from high predation or high elk losses. The 12 way this hunt is going it looks like Fish, Wildlife and Parks 13 is trying to and succeeding in lowering the number of wolves in 14 Yellowstone, not on ranch lands. These wolves do not depredate 15 and they're not killing all the elk. Where is the science? 16 STAFF: Would you hold on just one second? 17 MS. POPPER: I will. 18 STAFF: They have to use one or the other. 19 CHAIRMAN REAM: Region 2, you have to use one or the other. 20 We can't have, we're getting feedback here and it's interfering 21 with our testimony here in Helena, so either use the phone or 22 the video conferencing. We can't use both. 23 REGION 2: Okay. 24

CHAIRMAN REAM: Okay? Sorry.

25

-16-

MS. POPPER: That's all right. I just want to repeat, the 1 way the hunt is going it looks like Fish, Wildlife and Parks 2 has been trying to lower the number of wolves in Yellowstone, 3 not on ranch lands. These wolves don't depredate; they're not 4 killing all the elk. Where is the science? I challenge you to 5 produce science that shows that wolves are the main driver of 6 elk loss. Without predators, ungulates will eat through their 7 food. On the other hand, predators like cougars, wolves, bears 8 are self-regulating. When there's no food, predators stop 9 having babies. Fish, Wildlife and Parks, I looked at your 10 research on wolf tolerance in Montana. Unfortunately, you've 11 excluded two major stakeholders-wolf tourism businesses, which 12 hunting or ranching, bring in more money than and 13 conservationists. Fish, Wildlife and Parks, are you managing 14 wildlife or are you managing hunting? We want you to show us 15 Montanans who depend on wolf and wildlife tourism who support 16 Yellowstone science and who believe in conservation that you 17 see us and hear us as stakeholders. And we've been asking for 18 this since 2009. Fish, Wildlife and Parks, please show us 19 you're listening. Please vote yes on this closure and please 20 consider what happened in 2009 and in 2012 wolf hunts when you 21 make your decisions for 2013. Thank you very much for this 22 opportunity. 23

CHAIRMAN REAM: Thank you. Next?

25

24

MR. COOK: Assistant Director Volesky, Chairman Ream, Commissioners, my name is Mark Cook, and I'm with Wolves of the Rockies. My distinguished colleague has a lengthy statement that she would like to appear and tell you folks. I would like to relinquish my remaining time to her to allow her to do this with your permission.

CHAIRMAN REAM: Yeah, I would still like to keep it short.

7

9

MS. BEAN: I'll talk fast.

CHAIRMAN REAM: All right.

MS. BEAN: Good afternoon Commissioners, Chairman Ream, 10 Deputy Director Volesky. My name is Kim Bean and I'm here on 11 behalf of Wolves of the Rockies. When we learned of the 7 12 deaths of Yellowstone National Park collared wolves back at the 13 beginning of November, and we were outraged by these particular 14 wolves being killed. Yellowstone National Park wolves are 15 supposed to be the most protected wolves anywhere. And yet out 16 of the nine wolf packs in the park, six of those have lost one 17 or more wolves to hunters this season. The Park Service and 18 numerous wildlife advocates have asked for the protection of 19 these wolves, not simply for those of us throughout the world 20 that enjoy watching them and following them, but for the 21 research that the Yellowstone National Park Wolf Project 22 completes and distributes yearly. The data that the Wolf 23 Project biologists gather is pertinent to our understanding of 24 the predator prey dynamic and essential to understanding wolf 25

behavior. This data provides research free of charge to the 1 agencies everywhere including Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks. 2 So in essence, the loss of these research animals is affecting 3 you as well. According to the most recent available data, 4 Yellowstone National Park has less than 82 wolves remaining in 5 the park. The collared population is down to only 18 collared 6 wolves in the entire 2.2 million acres. Only six of those 7 collared wolves are left in the northern range. One of those 8 harvested was wolf number 826F was the only collared wolf in 9 the newly formed Junction Butte Pack, which has halted all 10 research for biologist concerning these wolves. A total of 5 11 wolf project collared wolves that reside inside the park 12 boundaries have been killed, all within the northern range. 13 That is 22 percent of park's data, biologists' hard work and 14 stakeholders' money due to ignoring the need for protecting 15 these wolves. To look at this in another way, between 50 and 70 16 percent of the Yellowstone wolves killed this season were 17 collared research wolves. You cannot deny this does more than 18 hamper the research of the wolf project. The North American 19 model of wildlife conservation is the cornerstone of ethical 20 hunting and that for which Montana Fish Wildlife and Parks 21 stands behind. Fair chase, as defined by the Boone and Crockett 22 Club, which is the ethical, sportsmanlike and lawful pursuit 23 and taking of any free-ranging wild native North American big 24 game animal in a manner that does not give the hunter an 25

-19-

improper advantage over such animals. Let me just point out 1 that Yellowstone National Park wolves are incredibly tolerant 2 of people. They have to be to survive in the most visited of 3 national parks. It is too energy expensive for these wolves to 4 try and dodge the people they encounter within these invisible 5 boundaries. A park wolf comes within 50 to 100 yards of people 6 in the park. Wolves, Yellowstone wolves, feel safety within 300 7 yards of people and yet when they naively leave the park they 8 are easily picked off within these same distances. This, .9 gentlemen, is not considered fair chase. You are unfairly 10 harvesting the most visible, human-tolerant wolves in the 11 world. Pup distress calls, howls, gut piles, baiting, trapping, 12 this is not fair chase and is in direct violation of the North 13 American model of wildlife conservation. We got the news last 14 week that the most famous, most researched and most watched 15 wolf, number 832, better known as the 06 female of the Lamar 16 Canyon Pack was killed in Wyoming. It was by a flip of the coin 17 that she was not killed here in Montana. I do not want to 18 sensationalize the issue of one particular wolf. There were 19 great wolves before her, and there will be great wolves that 20 follow her. However, in the best available data we know that 21 wolf viewers from around the world bring in \$35 million 22 annually to watch and learn about YNP wolves, and the 06 female 23 was part of that economic figure. As a matter of fact Wolves of 24 the Rockies was contacted last night for an interview with the 25

-20-

British Broadcasting Corporation in the UK concerning the death of 06 and the hunting of Yellowstone wolves. Yes, Europe is calling and they're concerned about what is happening in Yellowstone. We must keep in mind that all the wolves, collared and un-collared in YNP play an important role that provide an economic boost to the communities surrounding YNP, and they provide invaluable research data we all utilize.

CHAIRMAN REAM: Are you about?

MS. DEAN: Almost. I'm on the ...

10 CHAIRMAN REAM: Wrapped up?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

MS. DEAN: Yes sir. Wolves of the Rockies does not have 11 their head in the sand. We recognize and always have that 12 hunting will be a part of managing our wolf population, but we 13 stand firm in supporting science over special interests in 14 doing so. With that said, Wolves of the Rockies is proposing to 15 Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks and the Commission today, a 16 subunit with WMU 390 that we are calling WMU 390a with a quota 17 of two wolves. This area outlined in red on the map, I hope all 18 gentlemen have that. Within this subunit, we are asking for a 19 closure which is outlined in green. This is not unprecedented 20 to ask for a closure in the Deckard Flats area as this has been 21 closed to elk hunting in the past due to a firing line on elk 22 migrating out of the park during hunting season. At the time, 23 Wolves of the Rockies is asking that you close down WMU 316 for 24 further harvesting as only one more wolf is needed to fill this 25

-21-

quota, and I don't believe that is worth the collateral damage 1 that would be caused by trapping. With the acceptance of this proposal we are asking that WMU 390a be closed as well as the green closure zone that has already taken three of our collared wolves. We hope that you, our trusted Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks Commissioners will set a precedent for Wyoming and Idaho, the other two states surrounding YNP, by accepting this proposal to show good faith to the YNP Wolf Project and their stakeholders as well as wolf viewers from around the world that boost the economy of our state and provide a living for communities around YNP. Without both of these we are sure to lose not only an important research but vital resources. Gentlemen, thank you so much.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

CHAIRMAN REAM: Thank you. Further comment. Anyone has written comment you can turn it in as well.

MR. MATTHEWS : Commissioner Ream, Vice Chair Volesky and 16 Commissioners around the state, I'm Jonathan Matthews, I live 17 here in Helena, and I'm representing the 4,000 Montanans who 18 are a member of the Montana Chapter of the Sierra Club, and as 19 you know we are opposed to trapping. The trapping season is 20 about to begin and we would like to, first of all, Commissioner 21 Ream, thank you so much for proposing these closures of these 22 areas next to the park. Of course, we don't think that there 23 should be trapping in general because it is unethical, it goes 24 against the Boone and Crockett fair chase principles. We're 25

-22-

particularly opposed to trapping in the wolf management units 1 that abut the park, and are glad that you have suggested some 2 closure in these areas. The taking of these collared wolves as 3 you know is a great blow for research, and it's also generating 4 an extraordinary level of publicity. We heard about the BBC 5 being interested, and I have just to share in case you haven't 6 seen it a copy of an article that was in the New York Times on 7 December 9th about this famous and beloved wolf being killed. So 8 this is really making, it's a huge story all over the world 9 right now it appears so I know we need to do what's right for 10 Montana, but I think it is appropriate to realize that the rest 11 of the world cares tremendously and these wolves are the 12 nations wolves and really the world's wolves, so I don't think 13 that's inappropriate. So thank you for proposing this buffer 14 zone. I would like to also consider about trapping. Obviously 15 traps catch collared wolves as easily as non-collared wolves. 16 It is heartbreaking for people around the country to be hearing 17 about Yellowstone's wolves being killed, and I hope you'll do 18 whatever you can today to make sure that these rather 19 habituated to people wolves are protected from further hunting 20 and trapping. I'll leave written comments for you. Thank you. 21

22 23

Thank you. Any further comments? Any REAM: CHAIRMAN further comments? Let's go to Region 2 then.

Mr. Chairman, this is Keith Kubista, MR. KUBISTA: 24 representing Montana Sportsmen for Fish and Wildlife, and I 25

-23-

just wanted to take a couple seconds and address the public 1 process that Commissioner Moody spoke about and Commissioner 2 Colton as well, and I believe your legal staff has said it is 3 fully proper given the notification earlier, but it's a darned 4 hard thing to follow for the general public. And I think if you 5 were to look back at the recent August protocol suggested 6 change on the notice for USDA Wildlife Services to have a 7 different set of circumstances to deal with, and a notice went 8 out to the County Commissioners dealing with that change under 9 MCA 87-12-17, there is great need for improvement. To the 10 specifics of setting a buffer or a reduced harvest quota within 11 the borders of Yellowstone Park, we knew Yellowstone Park 12 existed when the wolf management plan was done. We knew 13 Yellowstone Park existed when the wolf season was set up. We 14 know wolves range great distances. We know a lot about the 15 wolves. What we don't know is the outcome of the season 16 structure that you set up early in July, and we're barely 17 halfway through with 18 percent lower harvest totals, with 18 met with lowering wolf numerous objectives yet to be 19 populations, and I think the Department's no need for change 20 statement is a vital consideration. Where was the protections 21 for the greater Yellowstone dwindling elk herd? There seems to 22 me more emotion concerned with wolves that there is proper 23 science. I don't see the proper science. I don't see the 24 biology for this. I see an unnecessary, precedent-setting 25

-24-

1 situation that could evolve over time into our inability to 2 manage wildlife within Montana, and I would urge you not to 3 adopt something of this nature. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN REAM: Further comment.

4

MR. PANUSZ: Chairman Ream, respected Commissioners, ladies 5 and gentlemen, thank you for the opportunity to speak. My name 6 Is Filip Panusz, I am the Executive Director of Footloose 7 Montana here in Missoula, and I speak on behalf of our 8 organization and the thousands of our statewide supporters. I 9 also speak as a student of wildlife biology. I would just like 10 to draw your attention as it has already been drawn by 11 Commissioner Colton's comments and Kim from Wolves of the 12 Rockies to the tradeoff between on the one hand the public 13 image of Montana's wildlife management policies, the enormous 14 economic boon of wolves to tourism in the Yellowstone region 15 and the proven ecological benefits of wolves to local riparian 16 ecosystems especially that had over the years been overgroused 17 by large populations of ungulates and on the other hand the 18 minimal value of the trapping quota opportunities in this 19 region especially given the numbers already taken in illegal 20 hunts in bordering states, especially the numbers of collared 21 urging individuals. Footloose Montana is strongly the 22 Commissioners to reconsider allowing wolf trapping in the areas 23 adjacent to Yellowstone National Park. If and only if it is 24 deemed necessary to reduce the wolf population further, and we 25

-25-

understand that sometimes that may be necessary, we propose 1 that a hunt would be sufficient to achieve these management 2 goals while minimizing the huge public relations cost to 3 Montana. Trapping being the total antithesis of fair chase 4 welcomes even more controversy into a situation where we can 5 least afford it. It also does not discriminate between collared 6 and uncollared animals as Commissioner Colton earlier stated. 7 Thank you so much for the opportunity to comment. 8

CHAIRMAN REAM: Any further public comment?

9

PUBLIC: This is (inaudible), Missoula, Montana, landowner 10 in this Region 2. I just wanted to make it pretty short here. 11 You know bison and elk are managed when they leave the park 12 boundary. Common sense says wolves should be managed at the 13 same boundaries. This is an historic boundary that should be 14 adhered to. Any private ground in or near you're going to if 15 you remove the harvest in these special areas you're sending 16 these wolves on to other landowners to have to deal with them 17 in the adjacent areas. You need to get, we're trying to get the 18 quota in those specific areas, so we need to go to that. Wolves 19 can be removed from traps. It's easily done with a catch stick 20 and should not be a problem. I myself realize the importance of 21 collared wolves and in my trapping activities so that we can 22 keep track of the packs. I would probably, as long as the wolf 23 was in good shape, have him removed from the trap so that we 24 can keep track of them. Thank you. 25

-26-

CHAIRMAN REAM: Thank you. I did want to point out to you 1 and Mr. Kubista too that in the two very small areas that I 2 identified there are no known Montana resident wolf packs, so I 3 don't think this is going to have an effect one way or the 4 other. There are no packs in those two very small areas, 5 Montana packs. Well, that's it. Further public comment. 6 MS. NARCISCO: My name is Claudia Narcisco. I'm a citizen 7 and a scientist, speaking for myself. I'm opposed to trapping 8 of wolves due to the basic cruel and inhumane nature of ... 9 CHAIRMAN REAM: The issue before us is closure on this 10 area, and I don't want to get off onto whether or not people 11 support or oppose trapping. 12 MS. NARCISCO: All right, well, okay. 13 CHAIRMAN REAM: I mean the closure will close it to hunting 14 and trapping for the remainder of this season this year. 15 MS. NARCISCO: Okay, then I support the closure. 16 CHAIRMAN REAM: All right. Any further? 17 MS. NARCISCO: Yes. Can you please let me finish speaking? 18 CHAIRMAN REAM: Oh, sorry. Sorry. 19 MS. NARCISCO: Thank you. I sat here for the last two-and-20 a-half hours so, you know, I would like to have my few minutes. 21 CHAIRMAN REAM: Go right ahead. 22 MS. NARCISCO: Okay. I also saw the article in the New York 23 Times, and I am concerned about public perception across the 24 country and potential for some type of a backlash on Montana's 25

-27-

1.00

tourism. The one wolf that was cited in that article happens to 1 be in the, this current issue of American Science, a good part 2 of the article and a photograph of that wolf. And the 3 photographer is actually from the LA area. So as was mentioned 4 early we do not know how far and wide this perception is being 5 broadcast. That can have some ramifications to the Montana 6 economy. Not only for the waste of taxpayer dollars for all of 7 the wolves that have been collared that have been taken this 8 year, and from a scientific perspective, I'm not certain that 9 that taking of the high percentage of collared wolves as 10 compared to un-collared wolves is statistically valid to be a 11 random rather than a targeted case. So I would really like that 12 to be looked into. Also, I think that it's like, at least with 13 the viewing of wolves is estimated at least a \$35 million 14 income to the State of Montana. Recently in the past few years 15 the economy has been down, but I think small businesses have 16 been seeing a pickup this past year. Now how much of this is 17 related to wolf or wildlife viewing I can't say for sure. Also, 18 I'm not sure that science has really been fully considered. 19 There is recent incomplete studies by, even from the Fish, 20 Wildlife and Parks, that verify, that fail to verify that 21 wolves are the primary predators on livestock or the wildlife, 22 so I think that some of this, this encourages prudence. This 23 lack of scientific validity encourages prudence on the part of 24 the Commission at the very least. Trapping, and I believe that 25

-28-

this proposal represents a radical departure from the grounded incremental approach Montana has taken so far, and I think that other alternatives might, to be more fully considered. And that's all I really have to say. Thank you.

5 CHAIRMAN REAM: Thank you. I would point out that if FWP 6 were to receive 10 percent of that \$35 million for wolf 7 research and management it would go a long ways towards helping 8 in this situation. Any further public comment?

9 REGION 3: Chairman Ream, we have I think probably ten 10 commenters in Region 3.

11 CHAIRMAN REAM: Okay. Go ahead. We'll limit it to two 12 minutes each. Same rules apply.

MR. DEANE: Commissioners, Director, my name is Roland 13 Deane. I'm from Three Forks. I represent the Montana Houndsmen 14 Association. The guides down in the Paradise, they kind of got 15 wind of maybe closing that down this week, and the Houndsmen 16 would be opposed to that. There's two many wolves now, and 17 there's too many going around. And maybe they could pass some 18 of them collars back into Montana so they could help us clean 19 up some more wolves. Thank you. 20

21

VOICE: Hello? Region 3 speaking?

22

CHAIRMAN REAM: Yes, we're listening to Region 3.

MS. JONES: Hello Commissioners, my name is Shawn Jones. I am from the United Kingdom. I'm currently over here for two months. I've been coming twice a year for many years

-29-

predominantly to watch wolves. I'm one of the people who come 1 out of season. I (inaudible), I pay a lot of money. I'm one of 2 those tourists that contributes a share of that \$35 million. 3 With what's been happening recently with the wolves of 4 Yellowstone, I think it is safe to say that the people I know 5 in Europe in the UK as well as in other states around the 6 United States are talking about no longer returning to this 7 area because they are appalled and disgusted by what has been 8 happening and what has been going on with the shooting of 9 collared wolves, which appear to us to be targeted outside the 10 park area. And I would heartily endorse the closures of not 11 only the areas that you're discussing, 316, but also the no 12 quota area and why there is a no quota area adjacent to a 13 national park is beyond my understanding. However, I would 14 heartily endorse the closures of those areas as would every 15 other wolf watcher and majority of wildlife watchers that 16 contribute millions and millions of dollars to your state, to 17 your economics, every single year. That money will go if things 18 do not change. We'll take it, we'll spend it somewhere else. I 19 come here for four months of the year; that will stop too. 20

21 CHAIRMAN REAM: Thank you. Again, I remind people keep it 22 to two minutes.

23 MR. MCGLA

MR. MCGLAUGHLIN: I'm Doug McGlaughlin, Manager...

CHAIRMAN REAM: Hang on a second. Can you hear me in Region

-30-

1	MR. MCGLAUGHLIN: Yes.
2	CHAIRMAN REAM: Okay. Okay. We're just, you're still on
3	both the phone and the video conferencing, so we need to get
4	off one or the other.
5	REGION 3: Chairman Ream, I'm sorry, but we are not
6	connected to the phone. We're just on the video conference.
7	Would you like us to get off the video conference and call in
8	instead?
9	CHAIRMAN REAM: No, I think we're okay now.
10	STAFF: Is your IT person there?
iı	CHAIRMAN REAM: Is your IT person there?
12	REGION 3: No, she's not. Do you want us to get Sunny?
13	STAFF: Try adjusting the television volume separately. I
14	saw some people going up there. I think that's why you get
15	feedback.
16	REGION 3: Chuck, I'm sorry, I couldn't hear you.
17	STAFF: If you could adjust your TV volume manually.
18	REGION 3: Down or up?
19	STAFF: I would try down. No, on the side of the
20	television, not with the remote.
21	REGION 3: Right. How is that?
22	STAFF: No more.
23	REGION 3: Okay, how's that?
24	STAFF: Can you hear us? Turn your volume up?
25	REGION 3: I can hear you. Can you hear us?

-31-

*

CHAIRMAN REAM: Yes, we can hear you.

REGION 3: How's the feedback?

1

2

24

25

3 CHAIRMAN REAM: It's better now, so let's go ahead and try 4 it.

MR. MCLAUGHLIN: I'm Doug McLaughlin, manager of Silver 5 Gate Lodging, and I can speak to you from that \$35 million. We 6 have a very small hospitality center, but yet we pay \$46,000, 7 that's what we paid last year in lodging tax, so if you think 8 of all the places around Yellowstone that are involved in 9 paying that, you'll come up with your thirty-five and a half 10 million dollars very quickly. I can also speak on the world 11 opinion of what's happened here in Montana. I supplied the 12 photo of 06 and the photo of 754 to Nate Schweiber who wrote 13 the New York Times article. I was told that that article 14 appeared in 70 newspapers throughout the world yesterday, and 15 the feedback has been horrendous. It moved up to the number 16 four story on the New York Times yesterday and thousands and 17 thousands of comments made on it. It's just horrible what's 18 going on here, the killing of these wolves outside the park, 19 and I really hope with all my heart that you do support the 20 closure of those two districts, and in the future ... 21

22 CHAIRMAN REAM: Thank you. Thank you very much for your 23 comments. Your time is up.

MR. MCLAUGLIN: Thank you.

-32-

CHAIRMAN REAM: Further comments, and again, I would ask people not to repeat previous testimony. We've heard the \$35 million over and over.

1

2

3

MR. SINAY: Hello Commissioners, thank you very much for 4 this opportunity, my name is Ken Sinay, I happen to be a member 5 of the Region 3 Citizens Advisory Council. I'm also Director of 6 Yellowstone Safari Company, a wildlife touring company with 7 over twenty years of experience both in Yellowstone as well as 8 Montana. We've been doing wolf tourists since before, in a 9 sense, the wolves were even released in 1995. I also work as a 10 seasonal hunting guide for over twenty years, and I represent 11 and support ethical outfitting. Finally, I represent national, 12 international non-hunting visitors. The people we serve, the 13 wildlife resources Montana and America and the people who have 14 contacted us due to these recent wolf kills. We are on the 15 national and international stage. We too have heard from BBC, 16 simply former clients, people who are New York Times, 17 interested. We've also been threatened with boycotts. Properly 18 our wildlife resources promote economic and managed, 19 environmental stability. Please consider all the values and 20 user groups. These values include economic values, of course, 21 to the hunting and non-hunting outfitters but also peripheral 22 businesses such as Doug just mentioned in relation to his 23 Silver Gate Cabins operations, also hotels, vehicle rentals, 24 airlines, restaurants and such. Environmental values, 25

-33-

ecological management, does promote stability of the natural 1 landscape, and I doubt we all wish to return to the days of 2 20,000 elk on Yellowstone's northern range. I do want to 3 emphasize that wildlife tourism has grown steadily since we've 4 started in the business. We've been doing it a long time. We 5 know the numbers of our competitors. The \$35 million, sorry to 6 bring it up again, it is a very old number. Our business has 7 been growing steadily since the first statistics and since 8 wolves were released in Yellowstone, and wolves have actually 9 gained greater prominence during that 20 years that I've been 10 doing this. This is actually our 22nd year. I also want to 11 emphasize the research and education aspects of wolf research 12 in Yellowstone and around Yellowstone. These projects help us 13 to be better managers, but they also help us develop human 14 commitment to the resource. And finally, experiential. This is 15 the majority of the people. The global public is looking for 16 ways to experience, and I would agree, to contribute to our 17 shared wildlife research. Finally, I just want to emphasize, 18 thank you very much. I do encourage, I do want to support the 19 closure. I hope you do as well. I would mention too that 20 management must become even more dynamic and complex. We're 21 dealing with a very changeable resource here. We're dealing 22 with changeable media and public representation. We should be 23 managing not by culling numbers, but by more specific goals and 24 consideration. I do believe trapping and hunting can be used to 25

-34-

achieve more specific goals, but I also believe we should be 1 managing for greater ecological integrity. And finally, I'd 2 like to emphasize that education and ethics should be given 3 future. Public perceptions of the weight in 4 greater disrespectful management and disrespectful wolf hunting hurts 5 all hunters and tourism. And finally, I'd like to encourage the 6 creation of a citizens advisory group to address conflict and 7 mentioned goals. I appreciate the motion of the above 8 Commissioner Ream. Thank you and I do intend to submit some 9 specific written comments. 10

11

CHAIRMAN REAM: Thank you. Next.

my name is Martha Collins. I'm from COLLINS: Hi, MS. 12 a twenty-one year resident. I have a degree in Bozeman, 13 wildlife management. I have been supportive to the wolf 14 management proposal from the state and I support your new 15 motion to close the two districts. I want management of wolves 16 to continue to be part of the state and I think Montana is 17 setting the precedent. I get concerned about previous testimony 18 when people want to target collared wolves, and I think that is 19 unproductive. I think we need to continue to have dialogue with 20 sportsman that are disturbed about elk populations and effects 21 on their outfitting businesses, and I would propose to somehow 22 help you guys come up with a way of getting some of the money 23 from public and the tourism that is around the Yellowstone 24 wolves' area, and I think that might be a good idea to help 25

1 also pay into some of the wolf research. And I support your
2 proposal.

CHAIRMAN REAM: Thank you. Next.

MR. ARONSON: My name is Robert Aronson, I'm representing 4 myself, and it's my understanding that state statute 2-3-103, 5 these people now holding this if you change this regulation it 6 is not in accordance to that. And saying that, the Yellowstone 7 wolves have come out, why are they coming out? They're hungry. 8 There's nothing in there for them, enough for them to eat. If 9 there's some of them got collared, Yellowstone does not manage 10 their wolves in any way, shape or form. They bring them out and 11 just put on us. If they get shot, give them some more food in 12 there. Anyway, I won't recommend this closure. Thank you. 13

CHAIRMAN REAM: Next.

3

14

MR. FANNING: Chairman Ream, distinguished members of the 15 Commission, ladies and gentlemen, my name is Bob Fanning, F-a-16 n-n-i-n-g. My resume is at Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks to 17 and contract resolution biologist have become the wolf 18 specialist here in Region 3. I also have my resume in front of 19 Governor-elect to be the next director of Fish Wildlife and 20 Parks. This would have been a wonderful conversation to have in 21 the late 90s or the early 2000's. We have given Mr. Smith 17 22 years to study wolves and collect his data. Mr. Smith bragged 23 to the Alliance for the Wild Rockies that the wolves were not 24 being collared. This was all the way back in 2004 as witnessed 25

-36-

by Bob Renault from the Boone and Crockett Association. Now either they have the data and have plenty of data and have 2 learned that wolves do not regulate their numbers with the alpha structure as pointed out by Dr. Mead, also that trophic cascade a yet to be proven science. They've had 15 years to prove it but it would have been what, 1500 years? This land was promised when we changed the legal definition and Sen. Grosfield's bill, back in 2000, from predator to be shot on 8 site to trophy game animal that we would be allowed to hunt 9 this animal. Now it is incrementally taking what is precious to the American and especially the Montana meat hunter which is 11 public land. We're taking that land away from the hunter after 12 dragging this thing out for the last 17 years. So when are we 13 going to deal in good faith with the people of Montana instead 14 of capitulating to politically correct public outside opinions. 15 Either it is a trophy game animal as it was defined in the 16 management plan or we're going to have mob rule, global mob 17 rule as to wildlife management in Montana. What is it? Are you 18 going to honor your word to the people of Montana or are you 19 going to capitulate to public sentiment. Thank you. 20

21 22

23

24

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

10

CHAIRMAN REAM: Thank you. Any further comment?

MR. SKOGLUND: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, my name is Matt Skoglund, and I am here on behalf of the Natural Resources Defense Council and all of our members in Montana and across the country, and as for the issue before the Commission, we

-37-

support the closure and going forward we support a strong no-1 take buffer zone around Yellowstone National Park, which is 2 something we previously asked for and going forward we support 3 that, so thank you. 4

5

CHAIRMAN REAM: Thank you. Any further comment?

MR. DUBRINSKY: One more. Mr. Chairman, this is Mark 6 Dubrinsky, and the rest of your committee there, I'd just like 7 to make a couple quick comments. One is a quota or a take this 8 year is 18 percent down from 2011. You know we're not at quota 9 in Region 316. 2011 we took 166 I believe of 220 in the quota, 10 so I don't personally see us having a real quota issue here, so 11 the long and the short I don't support closing down part of 12 Unit 390. I just don't see any reason for it, we're not at 13 quota, and I'd like to encourage you not to do so. Thank you 14 much. 15

CHAIRMAN REAM: Thank you. Any further comment?

MR. GREGOIRE: Hello, I'm Bob Gregoire, I've hunted all my 17 life, and I do support this closure. I do not think that it's 18 in the hunter's best interest to be shooting such visible 19 wolves. They talk about \$35 million but, god, you got to 20 believe that that's going to be generated into the anti-hunting 21 groups alone, and so I appreciate this opportunity to comment. 22 CHAIRMAN REAM: Thank you. Any further comment? That it, 23 Pat, in Region 3?

25

24

16

1 REGION 3: Chairman Ream, that is all the comment we have 2 here.

CHAIRMAN REAM: Thank you. Are there any other regions, any 3 comments from any other regions? Seeing none, I'll just make a 4 couple of concluding comments. Yellowstone National Park is not 5 Isle Royale National Park. Wolves are going to come out of the 6 park in the future and they may, we set a buffer zone they're 7 going to go beyond the buffer zone, we'll get complaints about 8 that. Secondly, I think it's a real problem that wolves have 9 become so human adapted. And I've been down there, and I've 10 seen wolves walking right by. I think that's a travesty, and it 11 is part of the problem of the wolves coming out. They have no 12 fear of humans and they will get shot. Thirdly, again I wanted 13 to remind those people who are opposed to this motion that 14 there are currently no resident packs within those two small 15 areas, so it will have no effect on Montana's, on the quotas on 16 Montana wolves. We have no idea if and how many wolves might be 17 coming out of the park for the remainder of the season, but we 18 have in that area exceeded the harvest of the past two hunting 19 seasons, so this motion, I think, is appropriate for this 20 particular and unique situation. Again, we're still learning as 21 we go on management. And finally, it's grand to talk about the 22 \$35 million that's brought into the state, but that does not do 23 us any good as Fish, Wildlife and Parks because none of it 24 comes to us for management and for research on wolves, 25

-39-

including those that may come out of the park. And I would 1 remind you also that of the 83 or whatever somebody said wolves 2 that are left in the park, we donated the Eight Mile Pack, ten 3 wolves, to the park last year, so that's the situation. I mean 4 we are going to have wolf packs moving in the park, out of the 5 park, some years they may be residents of the park by 6 definition because they denned there and other years they may 7 be residents of Montana. So we're faced with this situation and 8 this seems to be kind of a compromise. Is it political? Yeah, 9 wolves are political. They're charismatic megafauna. Is the 10 wolf population in Yellowstone endangered? No, it's not. I mean 11 if you look at Isle Royale at one point in Isle Royale National 12 Park the population was down to six I believe, and it's gone up 13 and down and up and down. And again, the 83 or whatever are in 14 the park right now is nearly half of what was there six or 15 seven years ago, and that has nothing to do with hunting, and I 16 hope people can get away from, I know they love dearly 17 individual wolves in the park, but we need to think in terms of 18 populations, and those are my final comments. Do any of the 19 other Commissioners have any? 20

COMMISSIONER VERMILLION: Region 3, and I appreciate what the Commission and I appreciate the discussion today. I'm going to vote against this motion. I respect the work that Mr. (inaudible) and Mr. Cook have done, and the comments of all the folks that are concerned about the overall wolf population and

-40-

the effect our management regime is having on the wolf, but I 1 would harken back to what you said in your own testimony and 2 the testimony as was set forth by the Department, and that is 3 it is my understanding and the Department's and the 4 that comments that I saw by the National park officials in the paper 5 this weekend that is that the population is intact and that the 6 harvest at this point doesn't show any indication of damaging 7 long term viability or sustainability of the wolf 8 the population in Yellowstone. I think what we have here is a 9 definite issue of collars, and the collar issue is very 10 different than the population issue. And it seems to me that a 11 lot of public comment is addressing the collars, but I think 12 all of us know that there are collars on wolves throughout 13 Montana. So that's an issue I think we need to look at and 14 figure out a way to work on that for future years, but I guess 15 at this time I'm not concerned that the wolf population in 16 Yellowstone is in danger from our recent hunting, and I would 17 note that, I just want to let it be known that I am going to 18 oppose this motion although I do understand what you're trying 19 to accomplish and I also respect the work of people that, and 20 both sides on the issue, so I appreciate the commentary, but in 21 this particular instance I'm going to vote against this. 22

CHAIRMAN REAM: Thanks Dan.

COMMISSIONER: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN REAM: Yes.

23

24

25

-41-

COMMISSIONER: Just real quickly. Just in response to some 1 of the points that were well made in the commentary and by 2 yourself; that was a well-made statement. Fish, Wildlife and 3 Parks should send a strong message to the National Park Service 4 that they share the big half of the blame for these wolves 5 being killed by practicing excessive human habituation of 6 wolves under their care inside that park. They're doing the 7 same thing to wolves now that they did to bears forty or fifty 8 years ago. They need to change management. Secondly, we need to 9 emphasize to the public a point well made by Mr. Kubista that 10 no precedent for future action is being set here today. This is 11 a unique situation receiving a unique action, and thirdly, I 12 think Fish, Wildlife and Parks should support a bill in the 13 legislature to divert a portion of the bed tax to wolf 14 management. Just me talking. That's it. 15 CHAIRMAN REAM: Okay. We're ready for the vote. All those 16

17 in favor of the motion signify by saying aye.

- 18 COMMISSIONER: Aye.
- 19 COMMISSIONER: Aye.

20 COMMISSIONER: Aye.

21 CHAIRMAN REAM: Opposed no.

22 COMMISSIONER: No.

CHAIRMAN REAM: Okay. 4-1. Thank you guys, and with that
 we're at the end of our meeting and the meeting is adjourned.
 COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

-42-

1	COMMISSIONER: I'll move to adjourn.
2	COMMISSIONER: Second.
3	COMMISSIONER: Third.
4	CHAIRMAN REAM: Meeting's adjourned.
5	END
6	
7	The proceedings of the Fish, Wildlife and Parks
8.	Commission are audio-recorded and found on the Fish, Wildlife
9	and Parks website. I listened to a portion of the audio
10	proceedings and prepared this transcript based on those audio
1 ,1,	proceedings. To the best of my knowledge, this is a true,
12	correct, and complete transcript of the portion of the audio
13	proceedings, as I heard them.
14	Christman bulling
15	State of Montana Prudence Gildroy, Secretary
16	LEWIS9 CHUIE (U) Subscribed and sworn to before me this 2 ml day of
17	<u>Sanuary</u> , 2013.
18	Drigina MEnight
19	VIRGINIAM KNIGHT of Montana residing at Helena.
20	(SE SEAL) State of Montana (SE SEAL) Residing at Helena, Montana My Commission expires
21	Hand Commission Expires February 26, 2016
22	
23	
24	s S
25	
10.2	
	-43-